BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement Between CHARTER FIBERLINK WA-CCVII, LLC. and QWEST CORPORATION Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 (b)

DOCKET NO. UT-083041

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT H. WEINSTEIN QWEST CORPORATION

(Disputed Issue Nos. 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24)

October 8, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
III.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 17: MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES	2
IV.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 19: WHITE PAGES DIRECTORY LISTINGS SERVICE	E 12
V.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 20: PRIOR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE, SELL OR MAKE AVAILABLE CHARTER LISTING INFORMATION.	19
VI.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. ISSUE 21: CHARGES FOR DIRECTORY LISTINGS	25
VII.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 22: CHARGES FOR PRIVACY LISTINGS	28
VIII.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 23: CLASSIFIED (YELLOW PAGES) LISTINGS	33
IX.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 24: COST RESPONSIBILITY FOR AUDITS	37
Χ.	CONCLUSION	43

1		I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
3		ADDRESS.
4	A.	My name is Robert Weinstein. I work for Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") in the
5		Wholesale Markets organization. My business address is 1801 California Street,
6		24th Floor, Denver, Colorado, 80202.
7	Q.	PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL
8		BACKGROUND AND TELEPHONE COMPANY EXPERIENCE.
9	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from the
10		University of Colorado in 1985. In June 1990, I earned a Juris Doctorate from the
11		University Of Denver College Of Law. In 1998, I joined U S WEST (now known
12		as Qwest). In 2001, I left Qwest to implement and convert billing systems for
13		several national broadband companies as a consultant. I returned to Qwest in my
14		current capacity in July 2003.
15	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY IN WASHINGTON?
16	A.	No.
17		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
19	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain Qwest's positions, and the policies
20		underlying those positions related to following Disputed Issues:

1		Disputed Issue 17: Miscellaneous Charges
2 3		Disputed Issue 19: Limitation on Qwest's Use of Charter Listing Information
4 5		Disputed Issue 20: Prior Written Authorization to Release, Sell or Make Available Charter Listing Information
6		Disputed Issue 21: Charges for Directory Listings
7		Disputed Issue 22: Charges for Privacy Listings
8		Disputed Issue 23: Classified (yellow pages) Listings
9		Disputed Issue 24: Cost Responsibility for Audits
10 11		III. DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 17: MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES
12	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 17.
13	A.	Charter does not want to pay the miscellaneous charges that result from Qwest's
14		performance of one or more of the miscellaneous services listed in Section 9.1.12
15		These services are provided only at a CLEC's request or based on a CLEC's
16		actions, not through Qwest's initiation. Charter's overbroad language requires
17		Qwest to seek approval of these charges in advance and allows Charter to only
18		pay the charges "depending on the specific circumstances." These proposed
19		requirements are not appropriate. Qwest's proposed language puts forth the basic
20		proposition - if Charter causes Qwest to perform a service, either by request or
21		action, Charter must pay for the service performed.
22	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS QWEST PROPOSING FOR SECTION 19.1.12?
23	A.	Qwest is proposing the following language:

1 9.1.12 Miscellaneous Charges apply for miscellaneous services listed 2 below in this Section, if such miscellaneous services are available with 3 Unbundled Network Elements as noted under "Rate Elements" subsections of this Section 9. Miscellaneous services are provided at CLEC's request 4 5 or are provided based on CLEC's actions that result in miscellaneous 6 services being provided by Qwest. Miscellaneous Charges are in addition 7 to recurring and nonrecurring charges that apply under this Agreement. 8 When more than one miscellaneous service is requested for the same 9 Unbundled Network Element(s), Miscellaneous Charges for each 10 miscellaneous service apply. Where applicable, basic rates apply for 11 miscellaneous services provided during Qwest's regular business hours, 8 12 a.m. to 5 p.m., local time, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays; 13 overtime Miscellaneous Charges apply for such services provided between 5 p.m. and 8 a.m., local time, Monday through Friday, or any time 14 15 Saturday, excluding holidays; and premium Miscellaneous Charges apply for such services provided any time on Sundays or holidays. 16 17 Additional engineering – engineering work including: 1) additional 18 a) 19 technical information after Owest has already provided the technical 20 information normally on the design layout record; 2) customized service; 21 or 3) review of Qwest outside plant records. Basic or overtime rates 22 apply. 23 Additional labor – installation – installation work scheduled to be b) 24 performed outside of Qwest's regular business hours. Overtime or 25 premium rates apply. 26 Additional labor - other - work not included in "additional labor -27 installation" above that involves labor only, including testing and 28 maintenance that are not part of initially requested installation or 29 maintenance, or, for example, for Optional Testing when CLEC reports 30 trouble and provides no test results and authorizes Qwest to perform tests 31 on CLEC's behalf. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply. 32 d) Additional cooperative acceptance testing – performing specific 33 tests requested by CLEC. Qwest's participation in such testing is subject 34 to the availability of necessary qualified Qwest personnel and test equipment at test locations, which normally include the Owest Central 35

e) Non-scheduled testing - performing specific tests requested by

Office and may include CLEC's specified location. Tests include, but are

not limited to, loop back, attenuation, intermodulation, phase jitter, noise,

delay, echo, and frequency shift tests. Basic, overtime, or premium rates

3637

38

39

40

apply.

1 CLEC as described above under "cooperative testing" or "manual testing" 2 on a non-scheduled basis. Tests include, but are not limited to, loss, noise, 3 slope, delay, and echo. Such tests are performed as the result of a repair request and are in addition to tests required to isolate and repair trouble. 4 5 Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply. Cancellation – cancellation of a pending order for the installation 6 f) 7 of services at any time prior to notification by Qwest that service is 8 available for use. The cancellation date is the date Owest receives notice 9 from CLEC that the order is cancelled. If CLEC or CLEC's End User 10 Customer is unable to accept service within thirty (30) Days after the 11 original Due Date, the order will be cancelled by Qwest. Prices for this miscellaneous service are market-based, using Owest's Tariffed, 12 cataloged, price listed, or other similarly documented prices, and are 13 subject to change. Additional information concerning the application of 14 prices for cancellations can be found in Qwest's Tariff FCC No. 1, Section 15 16 5. 17 g) Design change – information provided by CLEC or a request from 18 CLEC that results in an engineering review and/or a design change to 19 service on a pending service order, per order, per occurrence. Design 20 changes include, but are not limited to: 1) changes to the address on a pending service order when the new address is in the same Qwest Wire 21 22 Center as the original address; or 2) conversions from an Unbundled Network Element to a private line/Special Access circuit. In addition to a 23 design change Miscellaneous Charge, an address change may result in the 24 application of an expedite Miscellaneous Charge in order to retain the 25 original Due Date. Prices for this miscellaneous service are market-based, 26 27 using Owest's Tariffed, cataloged, price listed, or other similarly 28 documented prices, and are subject to change. 29 Dispatch -1) information provided by CLEC, or a request from h) 30 CLEC, in relation to installation of services, resulting in dispatch of a 31

- Qwest technician(s) when dispatch is not required for Qwest to complete its installation work; 2) information provided by CLEC resulting in dispatch, or a request from CLEC for dispatch, of a Qwest technician(s) in relation to a repair request where no trouble is found in Qwest's facilities; and 3) a Qwest technician(s) is dispatched and CLEC or CLEC's End User Customer is not available or ready. Prices for this miscellaneous service are market-based, using Qwest's Tariffed, cataloged, price listed, or other similarly documented prices, and are subject to change.
- i) INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

j) Maintenance of Service/Trouble Isolation – work performed by

Qwest when CLEC reports trouble to Qwest and no trouble is found in Owest's facilities. CLEC is responsible for payment of charges when the trouble is in equipment or systems provided by a party(ies) other than Owest. Additionally, when CLEC reports trouble within a quantity of services and circuits, but fails to identify the specific service and circuit experiencing trouble, charges apply for the time spent by Qwest to isolate the trouble. A call-out of Qwest technician at a time not consecutive with that technician's scheduled work period is subject to a minimum charge of four (4) hours. Failure of Qwest personnel to find trouble in Qwest facilities will result in no charge if the trouble is subsequently found in those facilities. Charges apply per Qwest technician, from the time of dispatch until the work is complete. Trouble Isolation Charges (TIC) apply for trouble isolation work on POTS and Maintenance of Service charges apply for trouble isolation work on other services. Dispatch Miscellaneous Charges may apply in addition to Maintenance of Service charges or TIC. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply. Prices for this miscellaneous service are market-based, using Qwest's Tariffed, cataloged, price listed, or other similarly documented prices, and are subject to change.

20 Q. WHAT CHANGES IS CHARTER PROPOSING TO THE SECTION 9.1.12

21 **LANGUAGE?**

A. Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted

changes:

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

9.1.12 Miscellaneous Charges apply for miscellaneous services listed below in this Section, if such miscellaneous services are available with Unbundled Network Elements as noted under "Rate Elements" subsections of this Section 9. Miscellaneous services are provided at CLEC's request and CLEC must affirmatively agree to the charges for such services in advance. -or are provided based on CLEC's actions that result in miscellaneous services being provided by Owest... Miscellaneous Charges are in addition to recurring and nonrecurring charges that apply under this Agreement. When more than one miscellaneous service is requested for the same Unbundled Network Element(s), Miscellaneous Charges for each miscellaneous service apply. Where applicable, basic rates apply for miscellaneous services provided during Qwest's regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., local time, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays; overtime Miscellaneous Charges apply for such services provided between 5 p.m. and 8 a.m., local time, Monday through Friday, or any time Saturday, excluding holidays; and premium

1 2 3 4 5	Miscellaneous Charges apply for such services provided any time on Sundays or holidays. Depending on the specific circumstances, the items below are Miscellaneous Charges that may apply if requested by CLEC:
6 7 8 9	a) Additional engineering – engineering work including: 1) additional technical information after Qwest has already provided the technical information normally on the design layout record; 2) customized service; or 3) review of Qwest outside plant records. Basic or overtime rates apply.
11 12 13	b) Additional labor – installation – installation work scheduled to be performed outside of Qwest's regular business hours. Overtime or premium rates apply.
14 15 16 17 18 19	c) Additional labor - other - work not included in "additional labor – installation" above that involves labor only, including testing and maintenance that are not part of initially requested installation or maintenance, or, for example, for Optional Testing when CLEC reports trouble and provides no test results and authorizes Qwest to perform tests on CLEC's behalf. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	d) Additional cooperative acceptance testing – performing specific tests requested by CLEC. Qwest's participation in such testing is subject to the availability of necessary qualified Qwest personnel and test equipment at test locations, which normally include the Qwest Central Office and may include CLEC's specified location. Tests include, but are not limited to, loop back, attenuation, intermodulation, phase jitter, noise, delay, echo, and frequency shift tests. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply.
28 29 30 31 32 33	e) Non-scheduled testing - performing specific tests requested by CLEC as described above under "cooperative testing" or "manual testing" on a non-scheduled basis. Tests include, but are not limited to, loss, noise, slope, delay, and echo. Such tests are performed as the result of a repair request and are in addition to tests required to isolate and repair trouble. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	f) Cancellation – cancellation of a pending order for the installation of services at any time prior to notification by Qwest that service is available for use. The cancellation date is the date Qwest receives notice from CLEC that the order is cancelled. If CLEC or CLEC's End User Customer is unable to accept service within thirty (30) Days after the original Due Date, the order will be cancelled by Qwest. Prices for this miscellaneous service are set forth in section [INSERT] of Qwest's

1 [IDENTIFY APPLICABLE] Tariff. Additional information concerning 2 the application of prices for cancellations can be found in Owest's Tariff 3 FCC No. 1, Section 5. 4 g) Design change – information provided by CLEC or a request from 5 CLEC that results in an engineering review and/or a design change to service on a pending service order, per order, per occurrence. Design 6 7 changes include, but are not limited to: 1) changes to the address on a 8 pending service order when the new address is in the same Qwest Wire Center as the original address; or 2) conversions from an Unbundled 9 Network Element to a private line/Special Access circuit. In addition to a 10 11 design change Miscellaneous Charge, an address change may result in the application of an expedite Miscellaneous Charge in order to retain the 12 original Due Date. Prices for this miscellaneous service are set forth in 13 section [INSERT] of Owest's [IDENTIFY APPLICABLE] Tariff. 14 15 Dispatch -1) information provided by CLEC, or a request from 16 CLEC, in relation to installation of services, resulting in dispatch of a Owest technician(s) when dispatch is not required for Owest to complete 17 18 its installation work; 2) information provided by CLEC resulting in 19 dispatch, or a request from CLEC for dispatch, of a Qwest technician(s) in relation to a repair request where no trouble is found in Qwest's facilities; 20 21 and 3) a Qwest technician(s) is dispatched and CLEC or CLEC's End User 22 Customer is not available or ready. Prices for this miscellaneous service 23 are set forth in section [INSERT] of Qwest's [IDENTIFY 24 APPLICABLE] Tariff. 25 **INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**-Expedite – a Due Date that 26 reflects a shorter service interval than is available in Owest's Service 27 Interval Guide; or that is a request for an earlier Due Date than has 28 been established on a pending order; or that is required to meet a Due 29 Date on a pending order due to design or other changes submitted by 30 CLEC. Owest will accommodate CLEC's request for an expedited 31 installation if it can do so without delaying Due Dates or orders of 32 other CLECs or End User Customers. Charges for expedited 33 installations are in addition to nonrecurring charges for the service 34 ordered. Prices for this miscellaneous service are set forth in section 35 [INSERT] of Qwest's [IDENTIFY APPLICABLE] Tariff 36 Maintenance of Service/Trouble Isolation – work performed by 37 Owest when CLEC reports trouble to Owest and no trouble is found in Qwest's facilities. CLEC is responsible for payment of charges when the 38 39 trouble is in equipment or systems provided by a party(ies) other than Owest. Additionally, when CLEC reports trouble within a quantity of

40

services and circuits, but fails to identify the specific service and circuit experiencing trouble, charges apply for the time spent by Qwest to isolate the trouble. A call-out of Qwest technician at a time not consecutive with that technician's scheduled work period is subject to a minimum charge of four (4) hours. Failure of Qwest personnel to find trouble in Qwest facilities will result in no charge if the trouble is subsequently found in those facilities. Charges apply per Qwest technician, from the time of dispatch until the work is complete. Trouble Isolation Charges (TIC) apply for trouble isolation work on POTS and Maintenance of Service 10 charges apply for trouble isolation work on other services. Dispatch Miscellaneous Charges may apply in addition to Maintenance of Service 12 charges or TIC. Basic, overtime, or premium rates apply. Prices for this 13 miscellaneous service are set forth in section [INSERT] of Qwest's 14 [IDENTIFY APPLICABLE] Tariff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

24

O. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF THE ACTIVITIES FOR WHICH MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES WOULD APPLY.

17 A. "Miscellaneous Charges" are defined in Section 4.0 of the draft Interconnection 18 Agreement (ICA) to "mean charges that apply for miscellaneous services 19 provided at CLEC's request or based on CLEC's actions that result in 20 miscellaneous services being provided by Qwest, as described in this Agreement." 21 These would include cancellation charges, additional labor, engineering, testing 22 and maintenance. Miscellaneous Charges are not already included in Owest's 23 recurring or nonrecurring rates. Miscellaneous Charges are listed in Exhibit A to

25 Q. YOU USE THE TERM "BASED ON A CLEC'S ACTIONS." CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT THAT MEANS? 26

the Interconnection Agreement.

27 A. Yes. As an example, suppose a CLEC notifies Qwest that there is a network issue 28 that is a result of a problem on Qwest's network. Qwest dispatches a Qwest technician to examine the facility and the Qwest technician discovers that Qwest's network is working properly but the issue is actually on the CLEC's side of the network. Qwest will assess a miscellaneous charge for the dispatch as the cause of the dispatch was the CLEC's notification. The CLEC's action caused Qwest to dispatch a technician. Qwest should be paid for performing the service.

6 Q. USING YOUR EXAMPLE ABOVE, WHAT EFFECT WOULD

CHARTER'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE HAVE ON THE SITUATION?

Charter's proposed language inappropriately requires Qwest to get Charter's approval for charges before knowing the specifics of the problem - before discovering that the issue was Charter's and not Qwest's or what will be involved in fixing the issue. This creates an unworkable situation. The proper order of action is for Qwest to act on Charter's request, determine the issue or solution and submit miscellaneous charges and any dispute can be submitted to the Commission for resolution pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of the ICA. Qwest's language is clear that if the service results from a CLEC request or action, the CLEC pays the miscellaneous charges.

17 Q. CHARTER HAS PROPOSED LANGUAGE IN SUBSECTION (i)

18 REGARDING EXPEDITES WHILE QWEST HAS NOT. CAN YOU

19 **EXPLAIN?**

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A.

20 A. Yes. Qwest does not offer "Expedites" as a miscellaneous service. Qwest only
21 provides expedites in emergency situations and those are at no charge.

1		Accordingly, the expedite language submitted by Charter is unnecessary and
2		should not be included in the ICA.
3	Q.	DOES QWEST AGREE WITH CHARTER'S PROPOSAL TO CITE
4		SPECIFIC SECTIONS OF A TARIFF IN THE SECTION 9.1.12?
5	A.	No. Particular sections of a tariff are prone to change. If such change occurs,
6		confusion would occur and the document would need to be amended.
7		Accordingly, it is inappropriate to modify Qwest's proposed language with the
8		specific section reference.
9	Q.	HAS THE COMMISSION ADDRESSED "MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES"
10		PREVIOUSLY?
10 11	A.	PREVIOUSLY? Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is
	A.	
11	A.	Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is
11 12	A.	Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is contained in subsequent Interconnection Agreements approved by the
111213	A. Q.	Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is contained in subsequent Interconnection Agreements approved by the Commission. Rates for Miscellaneous Charges were approved in Docket No. UT-
11 12 13 14		Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is contained in subsequent Interconnection Agreements approved by the Commission. Rates for Miscellaneous Charges were approved in Docket No. UT-003013.1
11 12 13 14		Yes. Miscellaneous Charges language was developed in the 271 process and is contained in subsequent Interconnection Agreements approved by the Commission. Rates for Miscellaneous Charges were approved in Docket No. UT-003013.1 DO OTHER CLECS HAVE ICA LANGUAGE REQUIRING ADVANCE

¹ In the Matter of the Continued Costing and Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements, Transport and Termination, 44th Supplemental Order; Part D Final Order Establishing Non recurring and recurring Rates for Unbundled Network Elements, 12/9/2002.

-

A. No. Qwest only performs these services after a CLEC who has submitted either a service order or a trouble ticket. Qwest does not initiate the services, instead relying on the CLEC for requests. The CLEC is in essence, "pre approving" the charges by submitting the request.

5 Q. WHY IS CHARTER'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE OBJECTIONABLE?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. Charter's position statement states "Where one party performs work at the request of the other party, then the party performing such work should be compensated." Charter's proposed language is unclear and could cause just the opposite effect. The undefined threshold for payment is "specific circumstances" for when miscellaneous charge "may apply when requested by CLEC." Thus, even if Charter requests service, Charter's language creates the possibility that Charter could dispute miscellaneous charges for such services already performed. Such vagueness may create more disputes and could leave Qwest uncompensated for the work performed. This is not proper given that Qwest does not initiate these charges. Charter is the party requesting the services and should be required to pay for the services rendered.

17 Q. WHAT ARE YOU REQUESTING THE COMMISSION DO IN REGARD 18 TO DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 17?

A. Qwest's proposed language sets out a simple and fair description and process for Miscellaneous Charges and should be approved by the Commission. Charter's language creates an unclear, unfair and potentially burdensome process for

- 1 miscellaneous charges and the Commission should reject Charter's proposed 2 changes.
- 3 IV. DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 19: WHITE PAGES DIRECTORY LISTINGS SERVICE

5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE WHITE PAGES DIRECTORY LISTINGS

6 **SERVICE?**

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

Section 10.4 describes the Qwest White Pages Directory Listings Service. This is the service Qwest provides that allows a CLEC who wants its end user listings used in the Qwest Directory Assistance (DA) Service and Directory Assistance List (DAL) service and published in white pages directory can provide them to Qwest. CLECs submit the names, addresses and telephone numbers of CLEC end user listings to Qwest which places them in Qwest's Listings database. Other CLEC's listings and Qwest end user listings are also placed into the Qwest Listings database. Each CLEC is responsible for marking privacy indicators for each listing it submits. Qwest provides non private listings to DA providers upon request and the listings are also placed in Qwest's DA service so it will be available when a customer calls "information". Also, if allowed by the CLEC, Qwest will include the CLEC provided listings in lists furnished to directory publishers for the purpose of publishing the listings in directories. Section 10.4 describes the terms and conditions of the Owest White Pages Directory Service.

21 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TERM "DA PROVIDER."

A. A DA provider is a company or organization that provides directory assistance to callers who, for example, dial 411 seeking a number for an individual. Qwest itself is a DA provider and others exist that are independent of Qwest. For example, a mobile phone company may choose to provide its own directory assistance service in competition with Qwest's DA service. DA providers may purchase Qwest's DA listings database (a product called the DA List or DAL) to incorporate the listings in its database.

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TERM "DIRECTORY PUBLISHER."

A. A directory publisher compiles listings for general or specialized directories.

Examples include localized yellow page directories like Yellowbook, industry specific directories like the Criminal Law Yellow Pages or even online directories such as Yellow.com. These publishers may purchase Qwest directory listings to include in their service.

14 Q. WHY WOULD A CLEC WANT ITS LISTINGS IN QWEST'S

15 **DATABASE?**

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. Qwest provides a DA service where the public can call to get information on listings. If a listing is not provided, Qwest's DA service will not have information available if, for example someone calls 411 to get a listing of an acquaintance. If the acquaintance is a Charter customer and Charter has not provided the listing to Qwest, Qwest would be unable to provide any information to the caller. While some end users may request to be non-listed or non-published, the majority want

to be listed. The same holds true for directory publishing, if Qwest does not receive the listing, the information may not be in the paper directory or online. I would note that a CLEC is not required to provide its listings to Qwest and nothing prevents a CLEC from providing its listings to DA providers and directory publishers directly.

6 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF SECTION 10.4.2.4?

- A. Section 10.4.2.4 describes the uses of the directory listings provided to Qwest by
 a CLEC in providing the White Pages Directory Services. The dispute for Section
 10.4.2.4 is over Charter's proposed language that improperly limits the lawful
 uses of the directory listings.
- 11 Q. ARE THE DIRECTORY LISTINGS PROVIDED TO QWEST
- 12 CATEGORIZED OR SEGREGATED BY SPECIFIC CLEC?
- 13 A. No. Once the directory listings are received from a CLEC and put into Qwest's
 14 Listing Database, the listings are not grouped by carrier. The end user listings,
 15 including Qwest's listings are not segregated by carrier.
- 16 Q. CAN QWEST USE DIRECTORY LISTINGS FROM ITS LISTING
- 17 DATABASE TO MARKET TO END USER CUSTOMERS OF A SPECIFIC
- 18 CLEC?
- 19 A. No. Qwest's directory listings process prevents Qwest from grouping the listings 20 in its database by carrier. Qwest cannot market to a specific carrier's customers 21 using lists from this database. Qwest does not use the listing database for any of

1		its internal or external marketing. Charter's directory assistance listings are
2		treated the same as Qwest's customer listings, providing no advantages to Qwest
3		for marketing purposes.
4	Q.	DOES QWEST USE ITS DIRECTORY LISTS FOR MARKETING TO
5		CLEC CUSTOMERS?
6	A.	No. Qwest does not use the Directory Listings in its marketing programs.
7	Q.	WHAT IS QWEST PROPOSING FOR SECTION 10.4.2.4?
8	A.	Qwest is proposing the following language:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		10.4.2.4 If CLEC provides its End User Customer's Listings to Qwest, CLEC grants Qwest access to CLEC's End User Customer Listings information for use in its Directory Assistance Service as described in Section 10.5, and in its Directory Assistance List Service as described in Section 10.6., and for other lawful purposes, except that CLEC's Listings supplied to Qwest by CLEC and marked as nonpublished or nonlisted Listings shall not be used for marketing purposes subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Qwest will incorporate CLEC End User Customer Listings in the Directory Assistance Database. Qwest will incorporate CLEC's End User Customer Listings information in all existing and future Directory Assistance applications developed by Qwest. Qwest will not market to CLEC's End User Customer's Listings based on segregation of CLEC's Listings. Should Qwest cease to be a Telecommunications Carrier, by virtue of a divestiture, merger or other transaction, this access grant automatically terminates.
25	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS CHARTER PROPOSING?
26	A.	Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted
27		changes:
28 29		10.4.2.4 If CLEC provides its End User Customer's Listings to Qwest, CLEC grants Qwest access to CLEC's End User Customer Listings

1		information for use in its Directory Assistance Service as described in
2 3		Section 10.5, and in its Directory Assistance List Service as described in Section 10.6. , and for other lawful purposes, except that CLEC's
4		Listings supplied to Qwest by CLEC and marked as nonpublished or
5		nonlisted Listings shall not be used for marketing purposes subject to
6		the terms and conditions of this Agreement. CLEC's Listings supplied
7		to Qwest by CLEC shall not be used by Qwest for marketing
8		purposes. Qwest will incorporate CLEC End User Customer Listings in
9		the Directory Assistance Database. Qwest will incorporate CLEC's End
10		User Customer Listings information in all existing and future Directory
11		Assistance applications developed by Qwest. Qwest will not market to
12		CLEC's End User Customer's Listings based on segregation of
13		CLEC's Listings. Should Qwest cease to be a Telecommunications
14		Carrier, by virtue of a divestiture, merger or other transaction, this access
15		grant automatically terminates.
16		
17	Q.	CHARTER'S PROPOSES TO DELETE QWEST LANGUAGE THAT
1 /	Ų.	CHARTER'S PROPOSES TO DELETE QWEST LANGUAGE THAT
18		"QWEST WILL NOT MARKET TO CLEC'S END USER CUSTOMER'S
19		LISTINGS BASED ON SEGREGATION OF CLEC'S LISTINGS." IS THIS
20		IN CONFLICT WITH CHARTER'S STATED POSITION ON THIS
21		SECTION?
22	A.	Yes. Charter's position statement on this issue states:
23 24 25 26		Qwest should not be permitted to market to Charter subscribers by segregating, or otherwise identifying, Charter's subscribers included in Qwest's database of subscriber listings that is used for publishing white pages directories.
27		Charter's proposal deletes the very language that matches its stated position. This
28		may simply be a typographical error by Charter in seeking to delete this language.
29	Q.	IS QWEST OPPOSED TO CHARTER'S ADDITIONAL PROPOSED
30		CHANGES?

- 1 A. Yes. Charter's additional changes do not comply with FCC rulings because the
- 2 changes attempt to place limits on the lawful uses of the DA listing information
- and should be rejected by the Commission.

4 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN OWEST'S POSITION.

- 5 A. Section 251(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) requires local
- 6 exchange carriers (LECs) to provide nondiscriminatory access of their local
- 7 directory assistance (DA) databases to competing DA providers that are certified
- 8 as competitive LECs, are agents of competitive LECs, or offer call completion
- 9 services.² For Owest, this means providing its end user directory listings along
- with the end user listings of the CLECs that choose to provide them to Owest.
- 11 Qwest's language allows the use of the listings for "lawful purposes." Charter
- seeks to limit the right to use the listings for lawful purposes.
- 13 Q. DOES CHARTER'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE "SHALL NOT BE USED
- 14 BY OWEST FOR MARKETING PURPOSES" COMPLY WITH THE FCC
- 15 **RULINGS?**
- 16 A. No. Charter's proposed language imposes a "veto" of a lawful use of the listings
- and violates the FCC rulings. The FCC has consistently ruled that the providing
- carrier (in this case Charter) could not dictate how the listing information was to
- be used:

.

² See Provision of Directory Listing Information under the Telecommunications Act of 1934, as Amended, CC Docket No. 99-273, First Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd 2736 at 2744-50, paras. 15-29, (2001) (SLI/DA First Report and Order).

2 does not, by its terms, limit the use of directory assistance data solely to 3 the provision of directory assistance."³ 4 Furthermore, we conclude that section 251(b)(3)'s requirement of 5 nondiscriminatory access to a LEC's DA database does not contemplate continuing veto power by the providing LEC over the uses to which DA 6 information is put.4 8 In the SLI/DA First Report and Order, the Commission specifically 9 considered and rejected arguments that a competing DA provider should be restricted from reselling DA information to third parties, finding that 10 commenters "offered no basis in the Act or our rules for imposing [a DA 11 only use] restriction on competing DA providers." The Commission also 12 found that restrictions on the use of DA data would substantially increase 13 14 the costs of providing competitive DA services, thereby reducing the 15 benefits to consumers arising from the presence in the market of competitive DA providers.5 16 17 Charter's proposed language in this regard should be rejected by the Commission. 18 Q. IS ELIMINATING LANGUAGE ALLOWING USE OF LISTINGS FOR 19 "OTHER LAWFUL PURPOSES" AN APPROPRIATE REQUEST? 20 No. Charter would have the Commission approve elimination of language that A. 21 provides for the lawful use of directory listings. Besides not being given any 22 reason from Charter for the deletion, I believe the Commission should not remove "lawful purposes" language as a matter of public policy. 23 Regardless, the 24 information provided, absent privacy listings, is largely if not fully publicly

In addition, as the Commission has previously noted, "[s]ection 251(b)(3)

³ In the Matters of Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information; Order on Reconsideration and Notice, 14 FCC Rcd 15550, 15646, para. 186.

1

⁴ SLI/DA First Report and Order. at 2748-49, paras. 28-29.

1		available. For example, a quick Google search for "white pages" returns
2		76,900,000 hits or listings including that term and many of the results such as
3		www.Whitepages.com, www.anywho.com or www.infospace.com contain white
4		pages listings for most of Washington. Barring lawful uses of the listings that are
5		already open to public consumption makes no sense.
6	Q.	HAS CHARTER PROVIDED ANY GROUNDS OR BASIS FOR THE
7		COMMISSION TO LIMIT THE USE OF DA LISTING INFORMATION
8		IN CONTRAST TO THE FCC RULING?
9	A.	No. Charter has provided no reason to vary from the FCC rulings.
10	Q.	WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN REGARD TO
11		THIS ISSUE?
12	A.	The Commission should reject Charter's language and accept Qwest's proposed
13		language. Qwest's language complies with the Act and FCC rulings and is
14		appropriate for this ICA.
15 16 17	V.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 20: PRIOR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE, SELL OR MAKE AVAILABLE CHARTER LISTING INFORMATION.
18	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ON SECTION 10.4.2.5?
19	A.	Yes. Section 10.4.2.5 provides the terms and conditions for Qwest to release
20		directory listings to other parties. As discussed above, directory publishers may

⁵ Id.

1 seek Qwest's directory listings (including the CLEC provided listings). 2 addition, other third parties may also want to purchase the listings. Qwest must 3 provide nondiscriminatory access to its directory listings to directory publishers.⁶ However, Qwest is not required to provide CLEC listings to the directory 4 5 publishers but will if the CLEC grants permission to do so. Section 10.4.2.5 lists 6 the conditions by which Qwest will release the information. HOW DOES A CLEC PROVIDE PERMISSION FOR QWEST TO 7 O. 8 **RELEASE** THE **DIRECTORY** LISTINGS TO **DIRECTORY** 9 PUBLISHERS OR OTHER THIRD PARTIES? 10 A. When first doing business with Owest, a CLEC will complete Owest's "New 11 Customer Ouestionnaire" that covers among other things, the CLEC's choice on whether to release directory listings to "directory publishers or other third 12 13 parties." Section G.7 of the questionnaire gives the CLEC two options on how 14 directory listings will be provided to directory publishers and third parties: 15 Option 1 - Permission to provide listings to directory publishers or other third parties to which Qwest supplies its own listings. 16 17 Option 2 – Restrictions on providing listings to directory publishers and other third parties unless Owest receives your letter of authorization from 18 19 a directory publisher or third party.

⁶ In the Matters of Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information; Third Report and Order, Docket No. 96-115 14 FCC RCD 15550 (1999), para. 53.

⁷ Id. at para. 54.

By picking an option, the CLEC controls who can receive this information (other than DA providers.) Charter has the choice to allow Qwest to provide the listings to all publishers and third parties or Charter can choose to select those specific publishers or third parties to receive their end user listings. If a CLEC chooses Option 2, its directory listings will be removed from the listings provided to directory publishers and third parties.

7 Q. WHAT IS QWEST PROPOSING FOR SECTION 10.4.2.5?

8 A. Qwest is proposing the following language:

10.4.2.5 CLEC End User Customer Listings will be treated the same as Qwest's End User Customer Listings. Prior written authorization from CLEC, which authorization may be withheld, shall be required for Qwest to sell, make available, or release CLEC's End User Customer Listings to directory publishers, or other third parties other than Directory Assistance providers. No prior authorization from CLEC shall be required for Qwest to sell, make available, or release CLEC's End User Customer Directory Assistance Listings to Directory Assistance providers. Listings shall not be provided or sold in such a manner as to segregate End User Customers by Carrier. Qwest will not charge CLEC for updating and maintaining Qwest's Listings databases. CLEC will not receive compensation from Qwest for any sale of Listings by Qwest as provided for under this Agreement.

23 Q. WHAT LANGUAGE IS CHARTER PROPOSING?

A. Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted

changes:

10.4.2.5 CLEC End User Customer Listings will be treated the same as Qwest's End User Customer Listings. Qwest will not release CLEC's End User Customer Listings without CLEC's prior written consent and only to the extent required by Applicable Law. Prior written

1 authorization from CLEC, which authorization may be withheld, 2 shall be required for Owest to sell, make available, or release CLEC's 3 End User Customer Listings to directory publishers, or other third 4 parties other than Directory Assistance providers. No prior 5 authorization from CLEC shall be required for Qwest to sell, make 6 available, or release CLEC's End User Customer Directory Assistance 7 Listings to Directory Assistance providers, provided that Qwest does so 8 in accordance with Applicable Law. Listings shall not be provided or 9 sold in such a manner as to segregate End User Customers by Carrier and 10 shall not be provided by Owest for marketing purposes to third 11 parties. Qwest will not charge CLEC for updating and maintaining 12 Owest's Listings databases. CLEC will not receive compensation from Qwest for any sale of Listings by Qwest as provided for under this 13 14 Agreement. 15 16 WHY IS OWEST OBJECTING TO CHARTER'S CHANGES FOR Q. 17 **SECTION 10.4.2.5?** 18 Charter's language does not acknowledge Qwest's 251(b)(3) obligation to provide A. directory listings to DA providers. Owest's language carves out the DA provider 19 20 exception that, as described above in Issue 19, Charter cannot legally limit the 21 lawful uses of the directory listings provided to DA providers. Charter's proposal 22 however, requires "prior written consent" and bans marketing uses for all entities 23 provided with listings, including DA providers violating the FCC rulings discussed in Issue 19. 24 OWEST'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE EXCLUDES DA PROVIDERS 25 Q. FROM THE PRIOR WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENT -26 DOES CHARTER'S LANGUAGE DO THE SAME? 27 28 A. No. The two proposals impose different limits on the release of directory listings. 29 Charter's proposed blanket requirement calls for prior written consent for all

- 1 releases of directory listings. Qwest's proposal limits the written authorization to
- 2 directory publishers and third parties, excluding DA providers.

3 O. IS THE DISTINCTION IMPORTANT?

4 A. Yes. Owest has an obligation under Section 251(b)(3) to provide 5 nondiscriminatory access to its DA listings and to provide them upon request to 6 DA providers. The FCC did not require consent prior to providing listings to DA 7 providers. Qwest does not require prior written consent for its own or other 8 CLEC's listings for release to DA providers. Thus, where Qwest specifically 9 proposed language that removes DA providers from the approval requirement, 10 Charter did not. Charter's language fails to exclude DA providers, instead simply 11 requiring it for all releases of directory listings. This is not in compliance with the 12 FCC rulings on directory listings as cited above.

13 Q. DOES CHARTER STILL HAVE AN ABILITY TO LIMIT WHO

14 RECEIVES THE INFORMATION?

15 A. Except for DA providers, Charter can limit who receives their end user listings.

16 Charter is provided with a choice on which, if any, directory publishers or third

17 parties can receive the directory listings. Charter's choice of Option 1 or Option 2

18 constitutes the "prior written approval" for release to directory publishers or third

19 parties.

20 Q. DOES CHARTER CURRENTLY ALLOW QWEST TO PROVIDE

21 LISTINGS TO DIRECTORY PUBLISHERS OR OTHER THIRD

PARTIES TO WHICH QWEST SUPPLIES ITS OWN LISTINGS?

- 2 A. Yes. Charter has chosen Option 1 since they began doing business with Qwest.
- 3 Charter is allowed to change its choice at any time.

4 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH CHARTER'S PROPOSED LIMITATION THAT

5 LISTINGS "SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED BY OWEST FOR

6 MARKETING PURPOSES TO THIRD PARTIES"?

A. No. Charter puts forth the same blanket limitation on the uses of the directory listings as before. Charter again fails to acknowledge that a limitation on the lawful use of directory listings by DA providers is improper. Even if the failure to exclude DA providers from the limits is ignored, the language still is inappropriate since Charter <u>already</u> has the ability to keep the directory listings away from third parties, whatever purpose they may have in mind. Charter's proposed language is really just a complicated choice of "Option 2." Instead of putting improper language in the contract, Charter should and easily could, change its current choice of Options so it can properly limit which, if any, third parties received the directory listings from Qwest.

17 Q. WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN REGARD TO

18 **ISSUE 20?**

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19 A. There is already a process in place to allow Charter to determine what third 20 parties receive directory listings from Owest. Charter fails again to recognize the

1		FCC rules regarding DA providers. Accordingly, the Commission should
2		approve Qwest's language.
3 4	V	I. DISPUTED ISSUE NO. ISSUE 21: CHARGES FOR DIRECTORY LISTINGS
5	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DISPUTE IN ISSUE 21.
6	A.	Issue 21 is a dispute over whether the contract should prohibit Qwest from ever
7		assessing a nonrecurring charge for a primary directory listing. Charter wants the
8		contract itself to include a ban while Qwest believes the rates are set by the
9		Commission and placed in Exhibit A. If Qwest sought a rate change through a
10		cost docket and the rate was approved, there would then be conflicting provision
11		in the document.
12	Q.	WHAT IS QWEST PROPOSING FOR ISSUE 21?
13	A.	Qwest is proposing the following language:
14 15 16 17		10.4.2.1.1 Qwest will accept one (1) primary Listing for each main telephone number belonging to CLEC's resale and facilities-based End User Customers at no monthly recurring charge. Additional terms regarding application of rates is provided in Section 10.4.3.
18		10.4.3.3 INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
19	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS CHARTER PROPOSING?
20	A.	Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted
21		changes:
22 23 24		10.4.2.1.1 Qwest will accept one (1) primary Listing for each main telephone number belonging to CLEC's resale and facilities-based End User Customers at no non-recurring or monthly recurring charge.

Additional terms regarding application of rates is provided in Section

2 10.4.3. 3 10.4.3.3 There shall be no charge (recurring or non-recurring) to CLEC for the inclusion of a Primary Listing for CLEC's End Users-4 INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK 5 6 7 Q. WHAT IS A PRIMARY LISTING? 8 A Primary or Main Listing is end user information that includes the name(s), A. 9 address (listed and premises address where service is located), and the telephone 10 number of the exchange access line service for each end-user. The listing is provided to Qwest in a standard format for inclusion in Qwest's listing database. 11 12 CLEC's provide end-user directory listing information to Qwest to ensure that the 13 end-user listings are available for directory assistance use and for publication in 14 published directories. Maintaining a comprehensive listing database, regardless 15 of each end-user's Local Service Provider, ensures that end-users have access to 16 complete DA information and the option to appear in a published White Page Directory. 17 18 Q. WHAT IS MEANT BY THE TERMS "RECURRING" AND "NON-19 RECURRING" WHEN DESCRIBING THE RATES IN YOUR 20 **TESTIMONY?** 21 A. A recurring charge is one that is applied monthly for the product or service 22 provided by Qwest. For example, there may be a monthly maintenance fee for 23 space leased by a CLEC in a central office. On the other hand, a non-recurring 24 charge is a one time fee for the product or service provided to the CLEC by

1

- 1 Qwest. An example of a non-recurring charge would be a setup fee for cable 2 splicing.
- 3 Q. WHAT IS THE CURRENT NONRECURRING CHARGE FOR A CLEC
- 4 **SUBMITTING A PRIMARY LISTING?**
- 5 A. Rate elements are listed in Exhibit A to the ICA. The current nonrecurring charge
- for a primary listing is listed in Exhibit A as "no charge."
- 7 Q. DOES THIS MEAN QWEST CAN NEVER HAVE A CHARGE FOR A
- **8 PRIMARY LISTING?**
- 9 A. No. Rates are set by the Commission in a cost proceeding. Qwest could petition
- for a rate change.
- 11 Q. WHAT IS QWEST'S OBJECTION TO CHARTER'S LANGUAGE?
- 12 A. Charter's language states there is no charge for primary listings. This proposal
- 13 creates a conflict with Qwest's right to request the Commission order a
- 14 nonrecurring charge for primary listings for which the Commission has the
- authority. The language is improper and not necessary.
- 16 Q. DOES ANY OTHER CLEC CONTRACT CONTAIN A BAN ON THE
- 17 NONRECURRING CHARGE FOR PRIMARY LISTINGS?
- 18 A. No. My review of Qwest's contracts did not discover any other CLEC with this
- 19 prohibition in its contract.
- 20 Q. WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN REGARD TO

1 **ISSUE 21?**

2 A. The Commission should approve Qwest's proposal.

3 VII. DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 22: CHARGES FOR PRIVACY LISTINGS

4 Q. WHAT IS THE BASIC DISPUTE BETWEEN CHARTER AND QWEST

5 ON ISSUE 22?

- 6 A. Privacy Listing is an option that includes non-published and non-listed listings.
- 7 Qwest offers Privacy Listing as an option to its end users. Privacy Listing is
- 8 available to CLECs for use by their customers, at the same Commission approved
- 9 rate listed in Exhibit A to the ICA that Qwest end users pay. The dispute in this
- issue stems from Charter's not wanting to pay for Privacy Listings and its
- proposal that deletes the rate element itself.

12 Q. WHAT IS A "PRIVACY LISTING?"

- 13 A. Privacy Listing is a service offered by Qwest that provides options for end user
- listings that are not included in a primary listing. Non-published and non-listed
- listings are two of the Privacy Listing options available. Non-published listings
- are not included in white page directories and are not available to DA users. Non-
- listed listings are not included in white page directories but are included for DA
- service. Additional privacy options are also available, (e.g., omit listed address,
- 19 no solicitation, omit from direct mail, etc.). These options are provided by the
- 20 CLEC to Qwest through specific indicators submitted per listings. The optional
- 21 Privacy Listing is available at the Commission approved rate.

1	Q.	ARE PRIVACY LISTINGS A STANDARD FEATURE?
2	A.	No. Privacy Listings are the exception, not the norm. The large majority of end
3		user listings are published. For Privacy Listings, the CLEC must submit the
4		listings with specific indicators marked representing what options it seeks for that
5		listing. Qwest must perform services to ensure that these indicators are noted,
6		processed and implemented.
7	Q.	WHAT IS QWEST PROPOSING FOR ISSUE 22?
8	A.	Qwest is proposing the following language:
9 10 11 12 13		10.4.2.1.2 CLEC will be charged for its facilities-based premium Listings (e.g., additional, foreign, cross-reference) and Privacy Listings (i.e., nonlisted and nonpublished) at market-based prices contained in Exhibit A. Primary Listings and other types of Listings are defined in the Qwest General Exchange Tariffs.
14 15 16		10.4.3 The following rate elements apply to white pages directory Listings and are contained in Exhibit A of this Agreement.
17		10.4.3.1 Primary Listings; and
18		10.4.3.2 Premium and Privacy Listings.
19		10.4.3.4 [INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
20	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS CHARTER PROPOSING?
21	A.	Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted
22		changes:
23 24 25		10.4.2.1.2 CLEC will be charged for its facilities-based premium Listings (e.g., additional, foreign, cross-reference) and privacy Listings (i.e., nonlisted and nonpublished) at market-based prices contained in

2		Qwest General Exchange Tariffs.
3 4 5		10.4.3 The following rate elements apply to white pages directory Listings and are contained in Exhibit A of this Agreement.
6		10.4.3.1 Primary Listings; and
7		10.4.3.2 Premium and Privacy Listings.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		shall have no obligation to provide Qwest directory listing information related to CLEC End User Customers that have requested non-list or non-publish status within the directory. Qwest will not assess a charge upon CLEC for providing, maintaining, storing or otherwise processing information related to End User Customers Listings, that have requested non-list or non-publish status, or for any other act associated with such End User Customers.
19	Q.	WHAT QWEST'S OBJECTIONS TO CHARTER'S PROPOSED
20		LANGUAGE?
21	A.	Charter can achieve the result its proposed language seeks by merely no
22		submitting a specific listing to Qwest instead of attempting to get away with no
23		paying for Privacy Listings. Charter's proposal instead seeks to remove any
24		charge for Privacy Listing from the ICA. Charter's proposal is a mishmash of
25		concepts that are wholly unnecessary.
26	Q.	IS CHARTER OBLIGATED TO PROVIDE ITS END USER LISTINGS TO
27		QWEST?
28	A.	No. Charter does not have to provide its listings to Qwest. White Pages
29		Directory Listings Service only involves listings provided to Qwest by the CLEC

1 voluntarily. Charter does not have a duty to provide any of its directory listings to 2 Qwest unless Qwest requests them as a "DA provider" which is no the case here. 3 White Pages Directory Listings Service is defined in Section 10.4.1 as Owest placing the names, addresses and telephone numbers of CLEC's 4 5 End User Customers in Qwest's Listings database, based on End User Customer information provided to Qwest by CLEC. (emphasis added) 6 7 8 Qwest only places those listings provided by Charter into the listings database. 9 These listings are provided so a CLEC's end users are included in directory 10 assistance databases, available for directory assistance services and included in 11 published directories. If Charter does not want its end users listed in Owest's 12 directory listings database, it simply does not have to submit them to Owest. 13 Since it is up to Charter to decide what listings to provide to Qwest, Charter's first sentence proposed for 10.4.3.4 is redundant and unnecessary. 14 15 IS QWEST ALLOWED TO CHARGE FOR PRIVACY LISTINGS, Q. INCLUDING NON-PUBLISHED AND NON-LISTED LISTINGS? 16 17 Yes and this makes Charter's second sentence proposed for Section 10.4.3.4 more A. 18 problematic. Charter wants something for nothing. Charter's language proposes 19 that Qwest not be able to charge for any act connected to its end users who 20 request Privacy Listings, in other words, Charter wants something for nothing. 21 Charter itself describes in its proposal some of the services or work Owest may 22 provide for a Privacy Listing: "maintaining, storing, or otherwise processing 23 information." Yet Charter believes this should all be done for free. Charter's

proposal fails to recognize that the Commission has set an approved rate for Privacy Listings and that Qwest is permitted to charge this Commission approved rate that is contained in Exhibit A to the ICA. If Charter submits a directory listing to Qwest and Charter indicates that it should be a Privacy Listing, Qwest can and should charge the approved rate.

6 Q. ARE CHARTER'S PROPOSALS FOR SECTIONS 10.4.2.1.2 AND 10.4.3.2

APPROPRIATE?

A.

No. Simply put, Charter just wants to erase Privacy Listings from the ICA and thus not pay for Privacy Listings. Section 10.4.2.1.2 provides that there is a charge for privacy and premium listings that is contained in Exhibit A to the ICA. Similarly, Section 10.4.3.2 registers Privacy Listings as a Rate Element contained in Exhibit A. Charter wants to delete these references but provides no basis for the Commission to act in that way. In fact, Charter fails to acknowledge that the Commission has approved Privacy Listings as a rate element and approved a rate for such listings. The approved rate currently in Exhibit A to the ICA is "General Exchange Tariff Rate, Less Wholesale Discount." The Qwest Washington Exchange and Network Services Tariff defines Privacy Listings and sets the rate in Sections 5.7.1 (G) (6) and (7) for non-listed and non-published listings. Notwithstanding, Charter proposes to just remove "Privacy Listings" from Sections 10.4.2.1.2 and 10.4.3.2. Charter offers no basis for obtaining this type of special treatment.

1		However, if the listing is supplied and the listing is marked with a privacy
2		indicator, Qwest will charge Charter for this optional service at the Commission
3		has approved a rate.
4	Q.	DOES THE QWEST WASHINGTON EXCHANGE AND NETWORK
5		SERVICES TARIFF ALLOW CHARTER TO GET PRIVACY LISTINGS
6		WITHOUT CHARGE?
7	A.	No. Sections 5.7.1 (G) (5) and (6) provide rates for non-listed and non-published
8		listings. Charter gets this rate less the wholesale discount. Charter does not get
9		the optional service for free.
10	Q.	WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO FOR ISSUE 22
11	A.	Charter should pay for Privacy Listings for those directory listings it submits to
12		Qwest. The Commission should approve Qwest's language.
13	VIII.	DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 23: CLASSIFIED (YELLOW PAGES) LISTINGS
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE BASIC DISPUTE BETWEEN CHARTER AND QWEST
15		ON ISSUE 23?
16	A.	Charter wants terms and conditions in the ICA requiring Qwest to negotiate on its
17		behalf for yellow pages publishing. Qwest is not required to publish yellow page
18		directories or cause them be published. Qwest has an obligation to have a white
19		pages directory published in the locations where it provides local service,
20		including Washington. Qwest provides directory listings to the publisher that it
21		chooses to publish the white pages directory, which is currently Dex. Qwest

1		provides directory listings to requesting directory publisher on nondiscriminatory						
2		terms. Charter has agreed to have its end user listings commingled with others in						
3		the listings provided by Qwest.						
4	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS QWEST PROPOSING?						
5	A.	Qwest is proposing the following language:						
6 7		10.4.5 Intentionally Left Blank						
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		15. Qwest and CLEC agree that certain issues outside the provision of basic white page Directory Listings, such as yellow pages advertising, yellow pages Listings, directory coverage, access to call guide pages (phone service pages), applicable Listings criteria, white page enhancements and publication schedules will be the subject of negotiations between CLEC and directory publishers, including Qwest's Official Directory Publisher. Qwest acknowledges that CLEC may request Qwest to facilitate discussions between CLEC and Qwest's Official Directory Publisher.						
17	Q.	WHAT LANGUAGE IS CHARTER PROPOSING?						
18	A.	Charter proposes to modify the Qwest language with the following highlighted						
19		changes:						
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		10.4.5 Intentionally Left Blank—Classified (yellow pages) Primary Listings The same provisions and requirements that apply to white pages directory treatment of CLEC Listings also apply to the provision of a classified listing in any classified (Yellow Pages) directory published by or on behalf of, or under contract to, Qwest. Arrangements for listings in a classified directory other than primary listings, including bold-faced listings, multiple listings, and advertisements, shall be arranged between any affected End User and Qwest's contractor.						

Qwest shall provide CLEC with directory listing functions 15.1 (that is, inclusion of CLEC numbers in printed white and vellow pages directories) to the same extent that Qwest provides its own End Users with such listing functions, irrespective of whether Owest provides such functions itself or relies on a third party to do so. Owest shall promptly cause any contracts or agreements it has with any third party with respect to the provision of these services and functions to be amended, to the extent necessary, so that CLEC may provide its own End Users' information for inclusion in such printed directories on the same terms and conditions that Owest End User information is included. Notwithstanding the foregoing, CLEC acknowledges that **Qwest and CLEC** agree that certain issues outside the provision of basic white page Directory Listings, such as yellow pages advertising, vellow pages Listings, directory coverage, access to eall guide pages (phone service pages), applicable Listings criteria, white page enhancements and publication schedules will be the subject of negotiations between CLEC and directory publishers, including Owest's Official Directory Publisher. Owest acknowledges that CLEC may request Owest to facilitate discussions between CLEC and Owest's Official Directory Publisher, arrangements will be established directly between Owest's Official Directory Publisher and any End Users seeking to place such advertising.

222324

30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

Q. WHAT DOES QWEST OBJECT TO?

25 A. Charter's proposed language confuses Qwest's role in white pages directory
26 publishing. Qwest contracts with a directory publisher to publish the white pages
27 directory.⁸ Charter's proposes a "yellow pages" obligation on Qwest that is
28 improper and unnecessary. Qwest's proposed language for Section 15 correctly
29 defines the scope of Qwest's yellow page directory role.

Q. DOES QWEST HAVE A YELLOW PAGES DIRECTORY PUBLISHED

31 **ON ITS BEHALF?**

_

⁸ Qwest's current directory publisher is DEX, which is a separate company from Qwest.

A. No. Qwest does not contract to publish yellow pages. Qwest has negotiated with Dex to provide a complementary yellow page listing for those business listings Qwest provides in its directory listings. However, that is the extent to which Qwest is involved with the yellow pages business other than providing listings upon request. Qwest does not publish or have published a yellow pages directory. Qwest provides its directory list information to requesting directory publishers at the same rates, terms, and conditions that it provides the information to its contracted directory publisher. These publishers could offer white pages or yellow pages directories – it makes no difference to Qwest. Qwest provides its directory listings in a format that does not contain font or print formats, "type of business" classifications, page placements and does not specify white or yellow pages. Qwest simply provides the directory listings and Charter has provided Qwest with written permission to include its end user customers in these listings.

A.

Q. SHOULD QWEST BE REQUIRED TO NEGOTIATE WITH YELLOW PAGES PROVIDERS ON CHARTER'S BEHALF?

No. However, that would result from Charter's proposed language. Charter's language provides that Qwest should negotiate with the directory publisher so "that CLEC may provide its own End Users' information for inclusion in such printed directories on the same terms and conditions that Qwest End User information is included." If Charter wants to provide its listings to a publisher, Charter can do so without Qwest's approval and certainly without Qwest's involvement. Qwest's obligation is to provide directory listings to requesting

publishers, both yellow and white pages and does so. For example, if McLeod requests Qwest's directory listings for publishing McLeod's yellow pages, Qwest will sell the listings to McLeod and include Charter's end user listings as well.

Other directory issues, such as those listed in Qwest's proposed language for Section 15.0 above are strictly between the publisher and Charter.

6 IX. DISPUTED ISSUE NO. 24: COST RESPONSIBILITY FOR AUDITS

- 7 Q. WHAT SECTIONS ARE INVOLVED IN ISSUE 24?
- 8 A. Issue 24 involves three sections of the ICA-Sections 18.2.8.2, 18.2.9 and Section
- 9 18.2.10.
- 10 Q. IT APPEARS THE ISSUES IN SECTION 18.2.8.2, 18.2.9 AND SECTION
- 11 18.2.10 ARE RELATED. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ISSUE.
- 12 A. Section 18.2.8.2, 18.2.9 and 18.2.10 are part of the section on Audits or
- Examinations Expenses. Charter proposes language requiring a 10% differential
- between amounts billed and amounts actually owing as the trigger point for the
- non requesting party to pay the costs of the audit. Charter also proposes allowing
- the "audited" party as the only party entitled to request an independent auditor
- and to force the requesting party to pay the costs of the audit.
- 18 Q. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN QWEST'S AND CHARTER'S
- 19 **LANGUAGE FOR SECTIONS 18.2.8.2, 18.9 AND 18.10?**
- 20 A. Charter proposes to modify the Owest language with the following highlighted
- changes:

1 18.2.8.2 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the non-requesting Party 2 shall pay all of the requesting Party's commercially reasonable expenses in 3 the event an Audit or Examination identifies a difference between the amount billed and the amount determined by the Audit to be owed that 4 5 exceeds ten five percent (10 5%) of the amount billed and results in a 6 refund and/or reduction of at least ten percent (10%) in the Billing to the 7 requesting Party. 8 9 18.2.9 The Audited Party may require requesting the Audit may 10 **request** that an Audit be conducted by a mutually agreed-to independent 11 auditor, which agreement will not be unreasonably withheld or delayed by 12 the either non-requesting Party. Under this circumstance, the costs of 13 the independent auditor shall be paid for by the Party requesting the Audit 14 subject to Section 18.2.8.2. 15 16 18.2.10 In the event that the non-requesting Party requests that the 17 Audit be performed by an independent auditor, the Parties shall mutually 18 agree to the selection of the independent auditor. Under this circumstance, 19 the costs of the independent auditor shall be established pursuant to the 20 terms set forth in Section 18.2.9, above. shared equally by the Parties. 21 However, tThe portion of this expense borne by the requesting Party shall 22 be borne by the non-requesting Party if the terms of Section 18.2.8.2 are 23 satisfied. 24 25 Q. WHAT IS QWEST'S POSITION ON SECTION 18.2.8.2? 26 27 A. Already agreed upon language in Section 18.2.8.1 provides that "each Party shall 28 bear its own expenses in connection with conduct of the Audit or Examination." 29 This changes when there is a variance in the amount billed and the amount owed. 30 This allows a party to reasonably choose whether to have an audit, in other words,

when it believes there is enough of a difference to warrant the costs. The purpose

of Section 18.2.8.2 allows a party to balance the cost of an audit with its belief

that there is a variance in the amount billed and the amount owing. 5% is the

proper percentage of variance and should not be changed absent compelling

31

32

33

34

reasons. The 5% figure can be thousands of dollars and Charter should not be able to impose its belief of what constitutes a "large amount" onto Qwest. Commission approved ICAs contain this 5% figure as well as Qwest's proposed language. In my search, I could not find any CLEC ICA that contained a 10% variance provision. The 5% figure is the fair and reasonable threshold for shifting cost responsibility.

Q. HAVE THE PARTIES AGREED TO LANGUAGE REGARDING AUDITS THAT USES A LOWER PERCENTAGE OF VARIANCE THAN 5%?

Yes. The parties have agreed to Section 18.2.4 that limits the number of audits a party can do to one per year unless "the immediately preceding audit found previously uncorrected net variances, inaccuracies or errors in invoices in the audited Party's favor with an aggregate value of at least two percent (2%) of the amounts payable for the affected services during the period covered by the Audit." In other words, Charter has agreed to additional audits if the variance is just 2%, but does not want to pay for it unless it is 5 times higher. As I stated before, 5% is a reasonable percent of variance.

17 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH CHARTER'S POSITION THAT "THE 5%

18 THRESHOLD PROPOSED BY QWEST MAY BE MORE EASILY

19 TRIGGERED, EVEN THOUGH THE TOTAL AMOUNTS IN QUESTION

20 ARE STILL RELATIVELY LIMITED"?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A.

A. Obviously, since 5% is lower than 10%, the chances for this provision to be effective are greater. That however, is not the issue – it is what is reasonable. Qwest's proposed language allows the party initiating the audit to make a choice on whether to spend the money on an audit. Charter's argument assumes there is going to be a variance; they simply do not want to have to pay the expense if their books are incorrect. This is not a situation that is going to arise frequently. The parties agreed to a 1 audit per year standard making it is an infrequent occurrence. The maximum number of audits allowed per year is one per quarter based on a lower variance percentage. Charter's concern that this 5% threshold may be triggered more easily is not realistic. Setting the higher bar rewards Charter for having their books a bit off. Audit charges will not be an everyday occurrence.

A.

12 Q. WHAT IS QWEST'S POSITION FOR SECTIONS 18.2.9 AND 18.2.10?

Qwest's proposed language for these sections is discretionary, allowing either party to request an independent auditor, and having the party requesting the independent auditor pay at minimum, half the costs of the independent auditor. Under this language, the parties do not incur great expense unless they believe it is absolutely necessary and under their responsibility. With Qwest's language, the party who did not ask for the audit can still compel an independent auditor to be involved. Thus, under all possible situations, a party can exercise its rights and have an independent auditor conduct the audit.

Q. WHAT DOES QWEST PROPOSAL ACCOMPLISH?

1 A. Simply put, Qwest's proposed language allows a party to evaluate the need for an 2 independent auditor. Charter's proposed language doesn't provide the ability for 3 a party to determine its particular needs, but allows the other party to force an 4 independent audit on the party and force them to pay for it. Qwest proposes that 5 the party requesting the audit have the choice to use and pay for an independent 6 auditor or to choose to use its own employees to conduct the audit. Qwest's 7 proposal also allows the party who is not requesting the audit to request an 8 independent auditor but with both parties splitting the costs.

9 Q. SHOULD THE PARTY WHO IS BEING AUDITED BE ABLE TO 10 REQUEST AN INDEPENDENT AUDIT?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Yes. However, the audited party should not be able to use unwarranted expense of an independent auditor to thwart rights of the requesting party by forcing an independent auditor at no cost to itself. The requesting party should have the choice and Qwest's proposed language encompasses this logic. Charter's language would allow the non requesting party to force the use of an independent auditor in all situations, forcing a party to incur the cost no matter what. The only purpose served by this would be to discourage a party from requesting an audit, which is not a valid reason. Although an independent auditor is not needed in all circumstances, Qwest or Charter should be able to determine whether they wish to engage one and pay the extra expense that goes with the use of an independent auditor. In the same sense, if the non-requesting party wants an independent auditor, it would have to pay half the cost.

1	Q.	UNDER QWEST'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE, IF THE NON								
2		REQUESTING PARTY WANTS AN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR, IT								
3		MUST PAY HALF THE COSTS. IS THIS FAIR?								
4	A.	Yes. This again provides a choice rather than a requirement that each party can								
5		evaluate. The language proposed by Qwest equitably balances the cost of the								
6		independent auditor between the two requests - the request for an audit and the								
7		request for an independent auditor with each party paying half. A party can still								
8		have an independent audit if it so chooses and the basic premise of Section								
9		18.2.8.2 still applies:								
10 11 12 13 14 15		the non-requesting Party shall pay all of the requesting Party's commercially reasonable expenses in the event an Audit or Examination identifies a difference between the amount billed and the amount determined by the Audit that exceeds five percent (5%) of the amount billed and results in a refund and/or reduction in the Billing to the requesting Party.								
16		In essence, Charter receives the benefit of its proposed language without								
17		obligating Qwest to incur the costs if it does not believe an independent audit is								
18		necessary.								
19	Q.	IS THE AUDIT PROCESS PROPOSED BY QWEST APPROVED BY								
20		OTHER CLECS?								
21	A.	Yes. Qwest's proposed language is the standard audit process contained in								
22		Qwest's interconnection agreements with most other CLECs and is substantially								
23		identical to the process contained in Qwest's negotiation template and the Qwest								
24		SGAT. Charter should not be treated differently.								

	1	Q.	IS	CHARTER'S	PROPOSED	PROCESS	MORE	EFFICIENT	THAN
--	---	----	----	------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	------------------	------

- 2 **QWEST'S?**
- 3 A. No. Charter's proposal will increase the cost of audits.
- 4 Q. HOW SHOULD THE COMMISSION RULE ON ISSUE 24?
- 5 A. The Commission should adopt Qwest's proposed audit language on Issue No. 24.
- 6 X. CONCLUSION
- 7 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 8 A. Yes.