

GREGORY J. KOPTA
Direct (206) 628-7692
gregkopta@dwt.com

April 30, 2003

Ms. Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary
Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: AT&T v. Verizon, Docket No. UT-020406

Dear Ms. Washburn:

AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc. (“AT&T”) provides the following response to the Motion for Reconsideration of the Ninth Supplemental filed by Verizon Northwest Inc. (“Verizon”). Verizon apparently cannot take “no” for an answer. In the Ninth Supplemental Order, the Commission denied Verizon’s motion to reconsider the Seventh Supplemental Order, stating “The Commission addressed the basis for excluding the testimony in the *Seventh Supplemental Order*.” Ninth Supp. Order ¶ 14; *see* Seventh Supp. Order ¶¶ 43-46 (Verizon surrebuttal largely failed to address any new issues raised in rebuttal testimony). Verizon’s current motion attacks the *additional* explanation the Commission provided in the Ninth Supplemental Order to support its prior order but does not address, much less refute, the controlling rationale of the Seventh Supplemental Order. AT&T, therefore, recommends that the Commission once again refuse to reconsider its decision and deny Verizon’s motion.

Sincerely,

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Gregory J. Kopta

cc: Service List
Letty Friesen