

BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitioner of:
Douglas and Jessica Rupp; Kathie
Dunn and Chris Hall; Melinda
Inman; Verlin Jacobs; Anthony
Williams; Christine and Samuel
Inman; Robert Jacobs; and Sam
Haverkemp and Chris Portrey,
Petitioners

v.

Verizon Northwest, Inc.,
Respondent.

DOCKET NO. UT-050778

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
RAYMOND A JUSSAUME

ON BEHALF OF
RUPP, et al

MARCH 17, 2006

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS

2 A. My name is Raymond A. Jussaume Jr., and I live at 140 NW Thomas
3 Street, Pullman, Washington.

4

5 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

6 A. I am Professor and Chair of the Department of Community and Rural
7 Sociology at Washington State University. One of my areas of
8 specialization is community studies. I have written professionally on this
9 topic, I mentor graduate students in the area of specialization known as
10 the "Sociology of Community," as well as teach an upper division class on
11 "Cross-National Perspectives on Community." I am also currently
12 involved in research on the community impacts of agricultural
13 development strategies, using a comparative analysis of conditions in
14 Washington State, USA and the Languedoc-Rouisson region of France. My
15 complete vita is attached as Exhibit RAJ-33.

16

17 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

18 A. I am going to clarify some sociological conceptualizations and definitions
19 of community as they apply to what is known as the Skyko 2 area in
20 Snohomish County, Washington.

21

1

2 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY ADDRESSING SUCH
3 ISSUES IN WASHINGTON?

4 A. Yes, I provided direct testimony earlier in this docket and I've provided testimony
5 on similar issues in two other dockets before the Washington Utilities and
6 Transportation Commission.

7

8 Q. RESPONDENT ARGUES THAT BY YOUR DEFINITION A SINGLE
9 HOUSEHOLD COULD BE A COMMUNITY AND THAT YOUR APPROACH
10 IS HIGHLY SUBJECTIVE. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

11 A. I would argue that one household would NOT be a community. There would be
12 no other households for the members of that household to interact with. However,
13 communities can certainly be quite small. And yes, the determination of what
14 constitutes a minimum size can be subjective, which is why there is no agreement
15 on this aspect of communities in the literature. What generally has been agreed
16 upon, and thus what are the least subjective elements of the definition, are the two
17 attributes that I have identified.

18

19 Q. RESPONDENT ARGUES THAT BY YOUR DEFINITION ANY GROUP
20 ORGANIZED ENOUGH TO PRESENT A PETITION WOULD LIKELY
21 QUALIFY AS A COMMUNITY. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

22 A. This would only be true if the group was self-organized and if there were active
23 participation in the group by all the members. In other words, if one household

1 organized the petition with little or no help from any of the other members of the
2 group, then the group would not necessarily have enough social interaction to
3 meet the definition of community. However, I think the respondent makes an
4 excellent point. If there is a group that can organize itself in the spirit of
5 community to achieve a common objective, then that is an excellent test that can
6 be used to identify a community.