## Docket Nos. TG-220243 and TG-220215 (Consolidated) - Vol. II

## In re: Jammie's Environmental, Inc. / Basin Disposal v. Jammie's Environmental

November 15, 2022



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



Page	22 Page 24
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont.) 2 FOR PACKAGING CORPORATION OF AMERICA:
In the Matter of the ) Dockets TG-220243 and	3 DAWN BLANCAFLOR Packaging Corporation of America
Application of ) TG-220215 ) (Consolidated)	4 101 South Capitol Boulevard Suite 800
JAMMIE'S ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., )	5 Boise, Idaho 83702
	208-805-1288 6 dawnblancaflor@packagingcorp.com
For Authority to Operate as a ) Solid Waste Collection Company )	7
in Washington )	ALSO PRESENT:
) BASIN DISPOSAL, INC., )	JAMMIE SCOTT 9 OWEN SCOTT
Complainant, )	KURT THORNE
) V. )	10 CHARLIE DIETRICH DARRICK DIETRICH
JAMMIE'S ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., )	11 KATHRYN MCPHERSON
Respondent. )	12 RYAN SMITH 12 BRAD LOVAAS
)	GREG HAMMOND
VIRTUAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING	13 14
VOLUME II ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MICHAEL HOWARD	15 * * * * * 16
	17
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	18 19
621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast Lacey, Washington 98503	20
(All participants appeared via videoconference.)	21 22
DATE TAKEN: NOVEMBER 15, 2022	23
REPORTED BY: ROSE DETLOFF, RMR, CRR, CCR #21036100 LAURA OHMAN, RPR, CCR #3186	24 25
Page	23 Page 25
1 APPEARANCES	1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; NOVEMBER 15, 2022
2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 MICHAEL HOWARD	2 9:33 a.m.
4 5	3 -000-
6 FOR JAMMIE'S ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.:	4 PROCEEDINGS
7 DONNA L. BARNETT DAVID S. STEELE	5
8 Perkins Coie LLP	6 JUDGE HOWARD: Good morning, everyone.
9 Suite 700	<ul> <li>7 Let's be on the record.</li> <li>8 Today is Tuesday, November 15th, 2022, and the</li> </ul>
Bellevue, Washington 98004 10 425-635-1400	9 time is 9:33 a.m. This is a hearing in consolidated
dbarnett@perkinscoie.com	10 Dockets TG-220243 and TG-220215.
11 dsteele@perkinscoie.com 12	11 These dockets are captioned respectively, "In
FOR BASIN DISPOSAL, INC.:	12 the Matter of the Application of Jammie's Environmental,
BLAIR I. FASSBURG	13 Incorporated, for Authority to Operate As a Solid Waste
Williams Kastner	14 Collection Company in Washington," and Basin Disposal,
15 601 Union Street Suite 4100	15 Incorporated, versus Jammie's Environmental,
16 Seattle, Washington 98101	16 Incorporated.
J	17 My name is Michael Howard, and I'm an
206-628-6600 17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com	
206-628-6600 17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com dwiley@williamskastner.com	18 Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding
206-628-6600 17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com dwiley@williamskastner.com 18 19 FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION:	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> </ul>
206-628-6600 17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com dwiley@williamskastner.com 18 19 FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION: 20 ROD WHITAKER Attorney at Law, WRRA	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> <li>from the parties, and then we'll talk about our plans</li> </ul>
206-628-6600 17 bfassburg@williamskastner.com dwiley@williamskastner.com 18 19 FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION: 20 ROD WHITAKER Attorney at Law, WRRA 21 4160 Sixth Avenue Southeast	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> <li>from the parties, and then we'll talk about our plans</li> </ul>
206-628-6600         17       bfassburg@williamskastner.com         dwiley@williamskastner.com         18         19       FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION:         20       ROD WHITAKER         Attorney at Law, WRRA         21       4160 Sixth Avenue Southeast         Suite 205         22       Lacey, Washington 98503	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> <li>from the parties, and then we'll talk about our plans</li> <li>for today's hearing.</li> </ul>
206-628-6600         17       bfassburg@williamskastner.com         dwiley@williamskastner.com         18         19       FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION:         20       ROD WHITAKER         Attorney at Law, WRRA         21       4160 Sixth Avenue Southeast         Suite 205         22       Lacey, Washington 98503         360-943-8859         23       rod@-wrra.org	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> <li>from the parties, and then we'll talk about our plans</li> <li>for today's hearing.</li> <li>Can we hear first from Jammie's Environmental?</li> <li>MR. STEELE: Good morning, Your Honor.</li> <li>David Steele with Perkins Coie on behalf of Jammie's</li> </ul>
206-628-6600         17       bfassburg@williamskastner.com         dwiley@williamskastner.com         18         19       FOR WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION:         20       ROD WHITAKER         Attorney at Law, WRRA         21       4160 Sixth Avenue Southeast         Suite 205         22       Lacey, Washington 98503         360-943-8859	<ul> <li>Administrative Law Judge with the Commission presiding</li> <li>over today's hearing. Let's start by taking appearances</li> <li>from the parties, and then we'll talk about our plans</li> <li>for today's hearing.</li> <li>Can we hear first from Jammie's Environmental?</li> <li>MR. STEELE: Good morning, Your Honor.</li> </ul>

1 (Pages 22 to 25)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

· · ·		1	
	Page 26		Page 28
1	MS. BARNETT: Donna Barnett appearing as	1	parties' proposed order of witnesses, and this would
2	co-counsel.	2	mean our first witness today would be Jammie Scott.
3	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	3	So that was in terms of a brief roadmap. So
4	Can we hear from counsel for Basin Disposal?	4	let's talk about our plans for today in the case that
5	MR. FASSBURG: Yes. Good morning, Your	5	the hearing takes more than a normal hearing day and
6	Honor. Blair Fassburg and Dave Wiley of Williams	6	goes long.
7	Kastner representing Basin Disposal.	7	The parties have estimated that there's a total
8	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	8	of nine hours and 15 minutes of cross-examination.
9	Is Staff entering an appearance at the hearing	9	Since we normally take a brief midmorning break, a lunch
10	today? I don't believe I see Staff, but I'm just	10	break, and a brief midafternoon break, let's suggest we
11	checking.	11	may not finish today.
12	MS. MCPHERSON: This is Kathy McPherson. I	12	So if we come to the end of the day or we
13	am not appearing today. I'm just observing.	13	approach the end of the day this afternoon and we are
14	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	14	not finished, are the parties able to propose another
15	Could we have an appearance for Packaging	15	date to finish the hearing?
16	Corporation of America or PCA?	16	I have been informed that PCA is unavailable
17	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Good morning, Your Honor.	17	tomorrow, and in an earlier e-mail to the parties, I
18	My name is Dawn Blancaflor appearing for Packaging	18	raised the possibility of continuing into the evening.
19	Corporation of America.	19	Right now, I am generally leaning against that approach
20	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	20	because I'm not sure of the availability of the parties
21	Could we have an appearance for Washington	21	and the court reporter and anyone from the Commission
22	Refuse and Recycling Association or WRRA?	22	who is assisting with actually running the logistics of
23	MR. WHITAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.	23	this hearing.
24	This is Rod Whitaker, in-house counsel for the	24	So could I turn first to Jammie's?
25	Washington Refuse and Recycling Association appearing	25	MR. STEELE: And, Your Honor, just to be
	Page 27		Page 29
1	today for the association.	1	clear, is your question about going on later into the
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	2	day today or a different date altogether if we run past
3	Since we are doing this hearing over the phone	3	today?
4	and Zoom, I'm going to ask that the parties be aware of	4	JUDGE HOWARD: I would like to hear your
5	background noise and that you mute your microphone when		
6		5	preferred course of action between those. We can, of
	vou are not speaking. I would ask that observers be	5	preferred course of action between those. We can, of course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where
7	you are not speaking. I would ask that observers be mindful of this as well.		course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where
	mindful of this as well.	6	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45
7	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please	6 7 8	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a
7 8	mindful of this as well.	6 7	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45
7 8 9	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the	6 7 8 9	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred
7 8 9 10	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should	6 7 8 9 10	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn.
7 8 9 10 11	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing	6 7 8 9 10 11	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared
7 8 9 10 11 12	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing today.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing today. Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing today. Let's talk about our plans for this hearing. I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mindful of this as well. If you need to object or raise an issue, please identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should make an effort to not talk over each other so that the court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing today. Let's talk about our plans for this hearing. I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay. Hearing none. This is a consolidated proceeding where we are considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> <li>to give you a roadmap here at first.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the Commission's availability.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> <li>to give you a roadmap here at first.</li> <li>First, I want to discuss our plans for the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the Commission's availability. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. So perhaps we could go
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> <li>to give you a roadmap here at first.</li> <li>First, I want to discuss our plans for the</li> <li>hearing possibly going long today. Then I want to</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the Commission's availability.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> <li>to give you a roadmap here at first.</li> <li>First, I want to discuss our plans for the</li> <li>hearing possibly going long today. Then I want to</li> <li>discuss the admission of evidence. Then we will discuss</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the Commission's availability. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. So perhaps we could go past 5:00, if that was okay?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>mindful of this as well.</li> <li>If you need to object or raise an issue, please</li> <li>identify yourself when you are speaking. And we should</li> <li>make an effort to not talk over each other so that the</li> <li>court reporter can make a clear record of our hearing</li> <li>today.</li> <li>Let's talk about our plans for this hearing.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Was there a question? Okay.</li> <li>Hearing none.</li> <li>This is a consolidated proceeding where we are</li> <li>considering Jammie's application and Basin's formal</li> <li>complaint in the same proceeding. So first, let's</li> <li>discuss our plans in the event that we'll I just want</li> <li>to give you a roadmap here at first.</li> <li>First, I want to discuss our plans for the</li> <li>hearing possibly going long today. Then I want to</li> <li>discuss the admission of evidence. Then we will discuss</li> <li>opening we will allow for opening statements, and</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	course, hold this over for the afternoon and see where we're at. I just did not want to be, you know, at 4:45 in the afternoon trying to identify another day for a hearing or not being able to before we have to adjourn. So I would be curious in Jammie's preferred course of action. MR. STEELE: Well, we are we are prepared and are able to try to finish out the hearing today. I know that Jammie and Owen Scott came from Longview up to Bellevue, so they are they did drive and are spending the night up here. So I think that their preference is to try and finish today as well. If it does extend beyond today and going later is not an option, we're happy to consider a different date to try to finish the hearing, you know, at the Commission's availability. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. So perhaps we could go past 5:00, if that was okay? MR. STEELE: Yeah. We have no restrictions

2 (Pages 26 to 29)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 30		Page 32
1	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	1	from Jammie's, Basin, and PCA. If I recall correctly, I
2	Could I hear from Basin?	2	did not receive any cross exhibits from WRRA.
3	MR. FASSBURG: Yes, Your Honor. If we were	3	And I've circulated an exhibit list to the
4	to be close to finishing the hearing at 5:00 and we	4	parties reflecting all the prefiled testimony and
5	thought we could actually wrap up within a short while	5	exhibits. This is up to and including Jammie's proposed
6	after that, I might prefer that we finish the hearing	6	cross exhibit SR-23X, which was filed on November 11th.
7	today. I know that Mr. Dietrich is here despite his	7	Do the parties are the parties able to
8	wife being home with their newborn, and I'd like to be	8	stipulate to the admission of all the prefiled testimony
9	able to get him home rather than keeping the parties	9	and exhibits up to and including the November 11th
10	here late into the evening.	10	SR-23X exhibit, or do the parties wish to make any
11	So if we are going to go much past 5:00, it	11	evidentiary objections now or reserve certain
12	would be my preference for Basin that we find another	12	objections?
13	day. I currently have a couple of calendar conflicts on	13	Could I hear Jammie's position?
14	Thursday. None on Friday. So if the availability of	14	MS. BARNETT: Your Honor, we have no
15	the other parties was to finish it later this week, I	15	objections to any of the exhibits on the exhibit list.
16	could either move my Thursday meetings or do it on	16	I would just point out, I think an exhibit a
17	Friday.	17	document that's been identified as a cross-exam exhibit
18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank	18	for Jammie Scott, No. JDS-22X, is a docket notice. We
19	you.	19	have no problem with that being admitted or but I
20	I will just note, so the parties are aware, I	20	think it's probably more appropriate that the Commission
21	can make myself available on Thursday and Friday because	21	take judicial notice or official notice of that rather
22	I would prioritize this over internal meetings.	22	than having that be a cross-exam exhibit from Ms. Scott
23	Could I turn to PCA?	23	because it's an official Commission notice rather than
24	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, we are here and	24	anything that the parties drafted.
25	available to stay into the evening should the hearing	25	But with that, we have no objections with any
	Page 31		Page 33
1	continue past 5:00. That is, we have no time	1	of the exhibits.
2	constraints on this side for this evening. And we will	2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. I
3	be willing to pick another date if it comes to that, but	3	would agree with your reasoning on that. I would if
4	I need to let you know now that PCA is not available	4	the parties are willing to stipulate to all the
5	Thursday or Friday of this week.	5	exhibits, though, I would still be willing to admit that
6	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. All right. Thank you.	6	as a cross exhibit even though it's something we might
7	Could I hear from WRRA?	7	normally just take notice of.
8	MR. WHITAKER: Yes. Thank you, Judge	8	MS. BARNETT: That's fine.
9	Howard. We'd be good to continue on into the evening as	9	JUDGE HOWARD: Could I hear from Basin?
10	well today or work around the main parties' schedule for	10	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, we don't have an
11	a future hearing.	11	objection to any but one of the exhibits, and the
12	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay.	12	objection to testimony that we have would be twofold.
13 14	Well, given where we are at, and we are not sure if the hearing will go long today, I would just	13 14	First, I'd like to renew our motion to strike
15	encourage the parties to be mindful of their use of	15	the testimony that we moved to strike previously. I want to make sure that our argument is in the hearing
16	time, and we'll try to proceed as efficiently as	16	record on this point, specifically that both PCA and
17	possible today.	17	Jammie's argued in response to our motion to strike that
18	If we need to, we can arrange for the hearing	18	Basin had agreed to the procedural schedule that
19	to continue later. We can go past 5:00 briefly. I am	19	included PCA as an intervenor testifying in the response
20	mindful of our witness with a newborn baby and not	20	phase, and because there is no right to apply
21	keeping them late into the evening. That would seem	21	automatically, we didn't want to burden you, the judge,
22	unreasonable to me. So we'll see where we're at, but I	22	prior to the hearing, with too many motions and replies
23	did want to address that issue.	23	and responses. We did not move to reply to that
24	All right. So let's turn to the admission of	24	specific argument.
25	exhibits. I received cross exhibits and an exhibit list	25	But the hearing transcript for the prehearing
			<u> </u>

3 (Pages 30 to 33)

	Page 34		Page 36
1	conference reflects only an agreement as to the dates of	1	issued a ruling on Basin's motion to strike and on
2	direct testimony and response testimony, not that the	2	Basin's interpretation of the procedural schedule, and
3	intervenors would testify in the response phase.	3	as far as I'm concerned, that ruling still stands, and I
4	And so we continue to urge the Commission to	4	don't need to hear a response from Jammie's on that at
5	give Basin Disposal its due process rights and an	5	this time.
6	opportunity to respond to that testimony through	6	To the extent that Basin is suggesting that
7	something other than merely cross-examination and	7	Jammie's has failed to fully respond to a discovery
8	discovery because it did not have an opportunity to	8	request
9	present its own witnesses on what I think are critical	9	MR. FASSBURG: Excuse me, Your Honor. It's
10	disputed facts.	10	PCA who failed to respond.
11	And I would also raise, with respect to the	11	JUDGE HOWARD: Oh, PCA. I'm sorry. Thank
12	limited grounds that Basin had for disputing this	12	you for the clarification.
13	response testimony which was basically	13	To the extent that Basin is arguing that PCA
14	cross-examination and discovery the discovery that	14	failed to fully respond to a data request, Commission
15	was produced by PCA in this case, with respect to its	15	rules normally contemplate that the moving party for
16	response testimony, was incomplete. There was no time	16	such a motion would include the data request itself and
17	between the time it was produced and this hearing date	17	any responses, and this enables me to more fully
18	to file a discovery motion based on the Commission's	18	consider it. And I'm very hesitant to make any such
19	procedural schedules or rules, and so this is not	19	finding based on an oral motion right now when it could
20	something we were able to previously address.	20	have been brought earlier.
21	With that, I served a data request to PCA	21	So I would invite Basin to make a motion if it
22	asking for all of its communications with Jammie's	22	feels that there's a discovery issue that was but I'm
23	after I believe it was March 3rd, 2022. And what I	23	not granting an oral motion at this time.
24	received in response were two invoices and an objection	24	MR. FASSBURG: I understand, Your Honor.
25	that all of their communications were subject to	25	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Would Basin
	Daga 25		Page 37
	Page 35		
1	attorney-client and/or work-product privilege on the	1	stipulate to the admission of the exhibits beyond those
2	basis that they are privileged communications under the	2	objections?
3	common interest doctrine, but I don't believe that's an	3	MR. FASSBURG: We do have one additional
4	accurate response.	4	objection. There is an objection specifically to
5 6	Jammie's Environmental produced in response to Basin's requests quite a few e-mails that were exchanged	5	testimony offered in the response phase by Jammie Scott on Page 10, lines 11 through 16, wherein specifically
7	between those two parties during the same time period	7	she speculates as to what she thinks Basin Disposal may
8	that we requested information for. Without complete	8	have done in terms of communications with Finley Buttes
	discovery responses from PCA, this is not an adequate		Landfill.
9	UISCOVERY RESPONSES MORT FOR, UNIS IS NOT AN AUEQUATE	9	
10		10	
10 11	response for Basin.	10	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what
11	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg.	11	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where
	response for Basin.		I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not
11 12	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred	11 12	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates
11 12 13	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to?	11 12 13	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony.
11 12 13 14	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of	11 12 13 14	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates
11 12 13 14 15	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data	11 12 13 14 15	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence,
11 12 13 14 15 16	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a	11 12 13 14 15 16	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, it's Data	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment. MR. STEELE: Can you say the page cite
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, it's Data Request No. 5. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Well, Mr. Fassburg, I can appreciate I can	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment. MR. STEELE: Can you say the page cite again? MR. FASSBURG: I may have written it down incorrectly, but my note says Page 10, lines 11 to 16,
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, it's Data Request No. 5. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Well, Mr. Fassburg, I can appreciate I can appreciate Basin's need to make a record and to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment. MR. STEELE: Can you say the page cite again? MR. FASSBURG: I may have written it down
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, it's Data Request No. 5. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Well, Mr. Fassburg, I can appreciate I can appreciate Basin's need to make a record and to articulate its positions.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment. MR. STEELE: Can you say the page cite again? MR. FASSBURG: I may have written it down incorrectly, but my note says Page 10, lines 11 to 16, in Exhibit JDS-17. I think that's the incorrect page. Let me find
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	response for Basin. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Fassburg. What was the data request number that you just referred to? MR. FASSBURG: And I believe that is one of the cross-examination exhibits. I believe it was Data Request No. 25. I can confirm that, if you'll give me a moment. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, it's Data Request No. 5. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Well, Mr. Fassburg, I can appreciate I can appreciate Basin's need to make a record and to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I mean, she admits she's speculating as to what she thinks happens, and she admits an exhibit where she's communicating with Kathryn McPherson. This is not evidence that supports her speculation or corroborates it in any way, and this is inflammatory testimony. She's accusing my client improperly, without evidence, of interfering with its ability to dispose of waste at a landfill. JUDGE HOWARD: Please give me a moment. MR. STEELE: Can you say the page cite again? MR. FASSBURG: I may have written it down incorrectly, but my note says Page 10, lines 11 to 16, in Exhibit JDS-17.

4 (Pages 34 to 37)

,	Page 38		Page 40
1	JUDGE HOWARD: I am seeing it on Page 10,	1	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
2	line 11 on.	2	In that event, all the prefiled testimony and
3	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. That is correct. Yes.	3	exhibits as identified on the exhibit list up to and
4	JUDGE HOWARD: And, Mr. Fassburg, you are	4	including SR-23X filed on November 11th are admitted
5	maintaining that JDS-19, the exhibit being cited here,	5	into evidence, and I have as I've already explained,
6	provides no support whatsoever for this assertion?	6	I have overruled Basin's objections at the hearing
7	MR. FASSBURG: It supports that Jammie's	7	today, although Basin is free to cross as I've indicated
8	meets the same speculative allegation. It doesn't	8	on that point.
9	maintain in any way that it actually occurred.	9	I want to note as well, while we are talking
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Would Jammie's like to	10	about the evidence, that some of the cross exhibits have
11	respond?	11	been marked confidential. If you plan to ask a witness
12	MS. BARNETT: Your Honor, I think this is	12	specifically about information marked confidential, or
13	while it's presented as an objection to evidence, this	13	if the witness will need to refer directly to
14	is just a renewal of a motion to strike the testimony,	14	confidential information to answer your question, then
15	and I think this has already been addressed.	15	we'll need to take certain steps to maintain that
16	To the extent that this is a that there's an	16	confidentiality in the hearing today.
17	objection to this particular testimony, Mr. Fassburg can	17	So please let me know if you anticipate that
18	certainly question the witness about her understanding	18	will be an issue when you start your examination for
19	of it. And if an objection is warranted at that time,	19	that particular witness.
20	I'm sure you'll rule on that, and we will all be heard.	20	So next, let's turn to opening statements. I
21	But I think it's inappropriate to object to	21	indicated that these would be available to the parties,
22	testimony at this time. This is testimony, not a	22	and I asked that they be limited to 15 minutes each.
23	specific cross exhibit, and we've already addressed this	23	Would any of the parties like to provide an
24	issue.	24	opening statement?
25	JUDGE HOWARD: I agree right now with	25	MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor. Jammie's
	Page 39		Dage 41
1		1	Page 41
1	Jammie's position on this. I'm going to decline to	1	would, and we're happy to go in any order you would
2 3	strike these portions of JDS-17.	2	prefer.
4	The Commission does not operate on the basis of a jury trial system, so we're not easily prejudiced by	4	JUDGE HOWARD: I would begin with Jammie's, and we would follow the order of presentation, the same
5	hearing a witness's suspicions or views about something,	5	order of presentation given on the witness list. Thank
6	and we can give it the weight that it deserves based on	6	you. You may proceed when you are ready.
7	the evidence. And Basin is free to cross on that point	7	MR. STEELE: Thank you, Your Honor. Just
8	and to make that argument.	8	kind of sliding a little closer, if it will catch up.
9	Okay. Any other objections from Basin, or is	9	Good morning, Your Honor. 23 years ago, Jammie
10	Basin otherwise willing to stipulate to the admission of	10	Scott started Jammie's Environmental in Longview,
11	the exhibits setting aside those objections?	11	Washington with little more than a vacuum truck and a
12	MR. FASSBURG: Yeah. Aside from the	12	few employees. Today, by building a company whose core
13	specific portions of testimony to which we maintain our	13	principle is helping customers solve problems through
14	objections, we would stipulate to the admissibility of	14	excellent customer service, Jammie's has grown
15	all other testimony and all other exhibits.	15	exponentially, serving customers across the western
16	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	16	United States, including the pulp and paper industry,
10		17	shipyards, the railroads, the steel industry, chemical
17	Would PCA stipulate to the admission of all the		
	Would PCA stipulate to the admission of all the prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described?	18	plants, and refineries.
17	•	18 19	plants, and refineries. Jammie's core business is providing industrial
17 18	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described?		-
17 18 19	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. PCA	19	Jammie's core business is providing industrial
17 18 19 20 21 22	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. PCA stipulates to all the admission of the exhibits and cross exhibits. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	19 20 21 22	Jammie's core business is providing industrial cleaning and cleanup services to large industrial and
17 18 19 20 21	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. PCA stipulates to all the admission of the exhibits and cross exhibits.	19 20 21 22 23	Jammie's core business is providing industrial cleaning and cleanup services to large industrial and commercial companies. When these customers have a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. PCA stipulates to all the admission of the exhibits and cross exhibits. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And WRRA? MR. WHITAKER: Yes. WRRA will stipulate as	19 20 21 22 23 24	Jammie's core business is providing industrial cleaning and cleanup services to large industrial and commercial companies. When these customers have a unique or difficult-to-manage waste problem, they call Jammie's. When these whether it is cleaning up a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prefiled testimony and exhibits as I've described? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. PCA stipulates to all the admission of the exhibits and cross exhibits. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. And WRRA?	19 20 21 22 23	Jammie's core business is providing industrial cleaning and cleanup services to large industrial and commercial companies. When these customers have a unique or difficult-to-manage waste problem, they call Jammie's.

5 (Pages 38 to 41)

,	Page 42		Page 44
1	hydroblasting and disposing of waste from plugged lines,	1	PCA's OCC plant providing a variety of industrial
2	or just dealing with a challenging waste issue, Jammie's	2	cleaning services, many of which also generate rejects
3	is part of a class of companies in Washington that	3	as waste tanks are cleaned and lines are cleared.
4	dispose of industrial waste incidental to other services	4	Indeed, Jammie's was providing services in the OCC plant
5	they provide customers. These companies are, in most	5	before the plant was even up and running, and Jammie's
6	cases, not regulated by the Commission.	6	continues to provide those services today.
7	Let me be clear what Jammie's is and what it	7	Further, OCC rejects is a small piece of the
8	isn't. Jammie's is a fully certified industrial waste	8	comprehensive services Jammie's provides PCA at the
9	cleaning company that disposes of various types of waste	9	mill, and rejects are a minuscule part of Jammie's
10	incidental to cleaning or cleanup services. Prior to	10	overall business.
11	this case, Jammie's has never been investigated by the	11	Jammie's does not hold itself out to be a solid
12	Commission, and no party or person has ever asserted	12	waste hauling disposal company. Instead, Jammie's
13	that Jammie's needed a solid waste certificate for any	13	performs waste hauling disposal only to the extent that
14	of its services.	14	this serves as part of its overall industrial cleaning
15	Jammie's is not, however, nor does it seek to	15	business.
16	be, a solid waste collection company. It does not seek	16	BDI contends that Jammie's cannot meet the
17	to be a competitor to BDI or any company currently	17	exemption because of the volume of rejects hauled. This
18		18	
	holding a solid waste certificate. It is only applying for a solid waste certificate in this proceeding because		is a red herring. It is true, Jammie's hauls rejects to
19		19	a landfill every week, but this is not a dispositive
20	Commission Staff recommended that Jammie's do so.	20	fact for at least two reasons.
21	Jammie's services are separate and distinct from	21	First, the rejects are incredibly wet, which
22	regulated garbage collection services provided by	22	makes them heavy. As has been well-documented in this
23	entities like BDI.	23	case, there were times BDI could not haul because their
24	So why are we here? We are here because, in	24	containers were too wet too heavy with wet rejects.
25	this case, Jammie's did what it does best: Solve	25	The fact that Jammie's is hauling tons of very wet and
	Page 43		Page 45
1	problems. Whether BDI chooses to acknowledge this or	1	heavy material does not alone make this a regulated
2	not, Jammie's resolved the OCC rejects problem for its	2	service.
3	customer, PCA. That is a fact. BDI was given the	3	Second, it is important to put the rejects in
4	opportunity to solve this problem for PCA, and they	4	context. The mill is a massive industrial operation
5	failed. Jammie's succeeded.	5	where everything is big. Everything is on a larger
6	The evidence in this case already demonstrates,	6	scale. It uses millions of gallons of water every day,
5 7	and what will be further established today is, one, that	7	and there are literally mountains of wood chips and wood
8	Jammie's hauling and disposal of OCC rejects for PCA is	8	materials piled up around the mill.
9	exempt from regulation under WAC 480-70-011, Subpart 1G	9	So while compared to normal garbage services,
10	or 2.	10	the rejects they seem like a lot. For the mill, the
11	If the Commission determines regulation is	11	rejects are a tiny, tiny piece of what is otherwise a
12	needed, then Jammie's meets the requirements for a class	12	massive industrial operation.
13	C certificate under RCW 81.77.040 and applicable	13	This may explain why PCA was so frustrated with
14	regulations.	14	BDI's service. While the disposal of rejects should
15	I'll start with the exemption. Jammie's	15	have been an afterthought managed by a contractor, it
		16	became an unwanted and unnecessary distraction to PCA's
16	transportation and disposal of rejects is exempt from	1 70	became an unwanted and unnecessary distraction to r OA's
16 17	transportation and disposal of rejects is exempt from regulation because Jammie's bauling is incidental to a	17	business
17	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a	17 18	business.
17 18	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several	18	The question is, is Commission regulation
17 18 19	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons.	18 19	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial
17 18 19 20	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons. First, Jammie's hauling and disposal of rejects	18 19 20	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial waste stream for one customer? We submit that the
17 18 19 20 21	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons. First, Jammie's hauling and disposal of rejects is directly tied to the onsite services it provides PCA	18 19 20 21	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial waste stream for one customer? We submit that the answer to that question is no and that the Commission
17 18 19 20 21 22	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons. First, Jammie's hauling and disposal of rejects is directly tied to the onsite services it provides PCA to manage the OCC rejects' waste stream, which was PCA's	18 19 20 21 22	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial waste stream for one customer? We submit that the answer to that question is no and that the Commission should find that Jammie's OCC reject services to PCA are
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons. First, Jammie's hauling and disposal of rejects is directly tied to the onsite services it provides PCA to manage the OCC rejects' waste stream, which was PCA's critical need in this case.	18 19 20 21 22 23	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial waste stream for one customer? We submit that the answer to that question is no and that the Commission should find that Jammie's OCC reject services to PCA are exempt from regulation.
17 18 19 20 21 22	regulation because Jammie's hauling is incidental to a small fraction of its overall business for several reasons. First, Jammie's hauling and disposal of rejects is directly tied to the onsite services it provides PCA to manage the OCC rejects' waste stream, which was PCA's	18 19 20 21 22	The question is, is Commission regulation necessary for Jammie's disposal of one unique industrial waste stream for one customer? We submit that the answer to that question is no and that the Commission should find that Jammie's OCC reject services to PCA are

· · ·	Page 46		Page 48
1	mechanism for that. Class C certificates, which are	1	satisfaction, not PCA's, that matters here. While it is
2	for, quote, a solid waste collection company that does	2	true that the standard is the Commission's satisfaction,
3	not provide traditional residential or commercial solid	3	as BDI has pointed out, the customers' perspective is
4	waste operations. This class includes specialized	4	critical. This is especially true in this case.
5	carriers generally hauling specific waste products for	5	PCA is a unique customer. The mill is not your
6	specific customers or providing only on-call or	6	typical residential or business customer where the task
7	nonscheduled service.	7	is picking up garbage cans or dumpsters. Rather, the
8	Class C certificates are available for the	8	management and disposal of OCC rejects is a small but
9	exact scenario presented here, where waste disposal is	9	critical part of PCA's massive industrial operation, and
10	needed that the incumbent company is unable to provide.	10	we submit that PCA knows better than everyone else in
11	We submit that evidence demonstrating that Jammie's	11	this case what needs to be done. No one knows better
12	should be granted a Class C certificate is overwhelming	12	what its needs are and what will or won't work.
13	as follows.	13	The fact that PCA tried BDI but ultimately
14	First, BDI did not provide service to the	14	switched to Jammie's, cobbled with the overwhelming
15	satisfaction of the Commission. BDI has provided	15	testimony from PCA, should be sufficient evidence that
16	self-serving testimony that it did everything it could	16	BDI's service was unsatisfactory.
17	to meet PCA's needs. That is, it was PCA's fault as to	17	Second, there can be no question about Jammie's
18	why BDI failed. Incredibly, BDI engages in	18	fitness to provide the service to PCA. Of the factors
19	finger-pointing at its only customer in this case, but	19	identified in RCW 81.77.040, BDI only contended in its
20	refuses to admit that maybe just maybe this was a	20	motion to dismiss that the first and fourth were not
21	waste stream it wasn't well equipped to haul.	21	satisfied. The fourth, of course, is independent
22	BDI claims that PCA rejected its ideas, that it	22	evidence from PCA on its need for the service. In this
23	never gave BDI a fair chance to haul the waste, and that	23	case, PCA has expended considerable resources and
24	PCA too quickly transitioned to Jammie's, but this	24	provided abundant evidence of its dissatisfaction with
25	testimony doesn't make sense. It conflicts with BDI's	25	BDI and its need for Jammie's.
	Page 47		Page 49
1	Page 47 own evidence as rejected by PCA.	1	Page 49 The first factor is evidence against financial
1 2		1 2	
	own evidence as rejected by PCA.		The first factor is evidence against financial
2	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this	2	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has
2 3	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The	2 3	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted
2 3 4	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant	2 3 4 5 6	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the
2 3 4 5	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The	2 3 4 5 6 7	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition
2 3 4 5 6	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant	2 3 4 5 6	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021,	2 3 4 5 6 7	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong problems with PCA, and PCA did not trust that BDI could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states that, based on his analysis, if BDI provided the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong problems with PCA, and PCA did not trust that BDI could provide the service nor did BDI have the equipment,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states that, based on his analysis, if BDI provided the same service in which Jammie's provided PCA, BDI could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong problems with PCA, and PCA did not trust that BDI could provide the service nor did BDI have the equipment, workers, or tariffs in place to do the work. It still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states that, based on his analysis, if BDI provided the same service in which Jammie's provided PCA, BDI could actually do it cheaper than Jammie's.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong problems with PCA, and PCA did not trust that BDI could provide the service nor did BDI have the equipment, workers, or tariffs in place to do the work. It still doesn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states that, based on his analysis, if BDI provided the same service in which Jammie's provided PCA, BDI could actually do it cheaper than Jammie's.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	own evidence as rejected by PCA. PCA reached out to BDI first for help on this issue months before the plant began operating. PCA trusted that BDI could help solve the OCC rejects problem and asked for its ideas on how to do so. The parties had meetings and communications before the plant started running, giving BDI every chance to meet PCA's need. Once the plant started running in March 2021, PCA allowed BDI for months to take control and resolve the problem, and it never did. The notion that BDI didn't get a fair shake is simply not supported by the evidence. How long should PCA have to tolerate BDI not doing its job? BDI only began to change its behavior when it saw someone else on the job: Jammie's. In the fall of 2021, months after the rejects started, BDI proposed to perform nearly the exact process Jammie's had already successfully implemented. But by that point, Jammie's had solved the monthslong problems with PCA, and PCA did not trust that BDI could provide the service nor did BDI have the equipment, workers, or tariffs in place to do the work. It still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The first factor is evidence against financial help to provide its service. Here, Jammie's has provided the financial information requested by the Commission in its form application. To date, Staff has not suggested that the financial information submitted in Jammie's application is incomplete. However, if the Commission has questions about the financial condition of Jammie's or the cost of service, Ms. Scott is happy to address those questions today or can provide any additional financial information the Commission needs. Jammie's application also meets all of the requirements under WAC 480-70-091. The only additional information Jammie's needs to provide is an amendment to its contract with PCA to include the information required in WAC 480-70-146. If the Commission determines a certificate is needed, PCA and Jammie's will immediately execute an amendment to their contract reflecting those terms. I'd like to briefly address a few points in Mr. Dietrich's response testimony. Mr. Dietrich states that, based on his analysis, if BDI provided the same service in which Jammie's provided PCA, BDI could actually do it cheaper than Jammie's.

7 (Pages 46 to 49)

	Page 50		Page 52
_			
1	the OCC rejects disposal process that was far more	1	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you,
2	efficient and cost-effective than what BDI was providing	2	Mr. Steele.
3	PCA. It was competition that drove BDI to try to match	3	I would allow Basin an opportunity for a
4	Jammie's' service.	4	15-minute opening statement, if it wishes.
5	The fact that Mr. Dietrich admits that BDI	5	MR. FASSBURG: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	could have implemented a far more cost-effective option	6	And I'll hopefully in the interest of moving this
7	for PCA from the start but instead implemented its more	7	proceeding along today, I hopefully will not take a full
8	expensive container option is telling, and for PCA,	8	15 minutes.
9	upsetting.	9	As you yourself indicated, Your Honor, this
10	Mr. Dietrich also suggests that Jammie's	10	proceeding involves two key issues or rather two
11	manufactured add-on services like cleaning, onsite	11	different burdens of proof. Basin Disposal filed first
12	management, and loading was to artificially qualify	12	a formal complaint against Jammie's Environmental for
13	under the incidental exemption. This is false. The	13	violation of RCW 81.77.040: Providing solid waste
14	record is abundantly clear that Jammie's has been	14	collection service without a certificate issued by the
15	providing a host of onsite services to PCA for years,	15	Commission. Jammie's, days later, filed an application
16	including work in the OCC rejects plant before it was	16	to provide that service.
17	asked by PCA to assist with hauling and disposing the	17	The issues do intertwine, but there is one core
18	rejects.	18	issue that is overlapping in both, that these do present
19	Second, Mr. Dietrich's dismissal of the OCC	19	two different sets of facts and two different issues
20	rejects management services prior to loading and hauling	20	that the Commission needs to consider.
21	again reveals that BDI still does not grasp what service	21	With respect to Basin's formal complaint, there
22	was really needed here. PCA didn't simply need a truck	22	is really only one issue, and it's really based on
23	that could run to the landfill. It needed onsite help	23	undisputed facts. The question is whether the service
24	that BDI never provided and apparently still does not	24	Jammie's provides to PCA at the mill near Wallula,
25	believe is necessary.	25	Washington qualifies as solid waste collection service.
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Finally, BDI leans heavily by its status as the	1	The facts in that regard are undisputed.
2	incumbent certificate holder. All this certificate	2	RCW 81.77.040 prohibits anyone from operating a
3	provides is certain privileges: To haul solid waste.	3	solid waste collection company without first having
4	That certificate is not a blank check. It is not	4	obtained, from the Commission, a certificate. The
5	without limitations.	5	positions by Jammie's and PCA in this case do not align
6	As discussed in the Stericycle case, the	6	with the Commission's jurisdiction or with its
7	Commission and Court of Appeals have made clear that		
,	Commission and Court of Appeals have made clear that	7	regulations.
8	while having an exclusive service provider may make	7	
			regulations.
8	while having an exclusive service provider may make	8	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different
8 9	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context	8 9	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not
8 9 10	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those	8 9 10	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection.
8 9 10 11	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts.	8 9 10 11	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company
8 9 10 11 12	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large	8 9 10 11 12	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees
8 9 10 11 12 13	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other	8 9 10 11 12 13	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing PCA the OCC rejects service, either as an unregulated	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is undisputed demonstrates that it is doing this nearly
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing PCA the OCC rejects service, either as an unregulated service or under a limited class C certificate. Finding	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is undisputed demonstrates that it is doing this nearly every single day of the week, and it is doing this in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing PCA the OCC rejects service, either as an unregulated service or under a limited class C certificate. Finding otherwise would ignore customer need and would reward	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is undisputed demonstrates that it is doing this nearly every single day of the week, and it is doing this in large volumes every day of the week.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing PCA the OCC rejects service, either as an unregulated service or under a limited class C certificate. Finding otherwise would ignore customer need and would reward BDI for providing unacceptable service to PCA.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is undisputed demonstrates that it is doing this nearly every single day of the week, and it is doing this in large volumes every day of the week. The only defense Jammie's presents to its
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	while having an exclusive service provider may make economic and public policy sense, quote, in the context of neighborhood solid waste collection, end quote, those reasons may not be justified in other contexts. We submit that one of those contexts is a large industrial generator located miles away from other customers with a unique waste stream, who has determined, based on their experience and business judgments, that the incumbent cannot provide service to their satisfaction or, I might add, has been charging more than it should have all along. In conclusion, Jammie's respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to continue providing PCA the OCC rejects service, either as an unregulated service or under a limited class C certificate. Finding otherwise would ignore customer need and would reward	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regulations. Jammie's contends that its service is different and unique because it provides services that are not within the definition of solid waste collection. RCW 81.77.010 defines a solid waste collection company as every person or as lessees, receivers, or trustees owning, controlling, operating, or managing vehicles used in the business of transporting solid waste for collection or disposal or both for compensation over a public highway in the state as a common carrier or contract carrier. I'm paraphrasing. Jammie's admits that, in vehicles for compensation over public highways in the state, it is transporting solid waste from PCA. The evidence that is undisputed demonstrates that it is doing this nearly every single day of the week, and it is doing this in large volumes every day of the week.

8 (Pages 50 to 53)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 54		Page 56
1	incidental adjunct to other services that it provides	1	ultimately be the most dispositive issue in this case,
2	the mill. The evidence on those points is also clear	2	although I think there are a number of dispositive
3	and relatively undisputed. The services Jammie's claims	3	issues. This is ultimately the reason why Jammie's
4	its solid waste transportation is incidental to are	4	application should be denied.
5	services that are unrelated to the solid waste that it	5	Despite the passion with which Jammie's and PCA
6	collects.	6	argue Basin failed to provide satisfactory service, the
7	It claims that, because it provides a myriad of	7	evidence reflects and will continue to reflect that all
8	services to the mill, it should also be allowed to	8	of the complaints that were made by PCA and by Jammie's
9	provide services that, by definition, makes it a solid	9	do not relate to solid waste collection.
10	waste collection company. There is no Commission	10	As I indicated, the statute in the Commission's
11	precedent that is anywhere close to what Jammie's	11	jurisdiction is over the collection and transportation
12	alleges should apply to here that would justify its	12	of solid waste over public highways for compensation.
13	blatant violation of the law that has been ongoing for	13	The issues in this case don't relate to those services.
14	months.	14	What this case is really about is whether or not Basin
15	Jammie's became aware of the Commission	15	was asked to and was responsible for finding solutions
16	regulation months ago and took no action to seek	16	to the moisture contents of the OCC rejects that were
17	Commission permission until it was forced to by Basin's	17	generated by PCA at its mill.
18	actions. Until Basin sent a cease and desist letter,	18	The evidence that will be presented today will
19	Jammie's did not consider seeking a Commission opinion	19	demonstrate that PCA, not Basin, began the plan for the
20	with respect to whether or not its services were exempt.	20	operations of its mill in 2019. They considered a
21	When it did, it sought only an informal opinion.	21	number of options for how to transport its solid waste
22	Upon hearing from Ms. McPherson that, in fact,	22	or how to dispose of it.
23	upon consultation with the Attorney General's Office,	23	Initially, PCA considered burning its OCC
24	this service did require them to obtain a certificate,	24	rejects. They received estimates for and considered
25	rather than ceasing their violations of Washington law,	25	using a pneumatic tube system to transport its OCC
	Page 55		Page 57
1	they continued that operation claiming that it was good	1	rejects to what is a hog fuel burner and ultimately
2	faith, claiming that, because they had sought an	2	dispose of its OCC rejects via incineration. As an
3	application, this was and that this was somehow	3	alternative option, it considered landfill disposal.
4	incidental, they could continue on a daily basis to	4	When PCA did this analysis, it considered two
5	violate Washington law.	5	different options for landfill disposal. Number one, it
6	The other significant issue in this case is	6	considered whether it should use a particular type of
7	whether or not Jammie's qualifies for that certificate	7	dumper that is sold with a Sebright press, one of the
8	that it has applied for. There's a number of factors	8	pieces of equipment there in its mill. It also
9	that you, Your Honor, understand will be considered with	9	considered whether it should use 20-yard or 30-yard BDI
10	respect to Jammie's application, and I don't need to	10	dropboxes, with which it was familiar because it already
11	address them all.	11	used those at the mill.
12	But linking this back to the formal complaint,	12	After two years of planning with only a couple
13	operational fitness is a very considerable factor or	13	months to go before it began operations, PCA contacted
14	an important factor the Commission has to consider.	14 15	BDI, and it asked it for pricing information and for
15	As I mentioned, the evidence is undisputed.	16	weight capacity information so that it could price out what it would cost PCA to transport the OCC rejects
16 17	Jammie's violated Washington law on hundreds of occasions. Only when forced to by Basin did it take	17	either via this Sebright compactor or via BDI dropboxes.
18	occasions. Only when forced to by basin did it take		Then, just about ten days before it began
10	action to seek permission from the Commission Rather	1 1 8	
19	action to seek permission from the Commission. Rather	18	
19 20	than asking for permission in the first place, it's	19	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out
20	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of	19 20	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and
20 21	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of violations based upon the premise that, because it	19 20 21	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and consider some alternatives for transportation and
20 21 22	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of violations based upon the premise that, because it provides other services, it should be legal, despite the	19 20 21 22	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and consider some alternatives for transportation and disposal. Being that PCA had already selected BDI
20 21 22 23	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of violations based upon the premise that, because it provides other services, it should be legal, despite the fact there is no Commission precedent that supports	19 20 21 22 23	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and consider some alternatives for transportation and disposal. Being that PCA had already selected BDI dropboxes as its method for transportation, and it had
20 21 22 23 24	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of violations based upon the premise that, because it provides other services, it should be legal, despite the fact there is no Commission precedent that supports their position.	19 20 21 22 23 24	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and consider some alternatives for transportation and disposal. Being that PCA had already selected BDI dropboxes as its method for transportation, and it had already priced out that method, and it had already
20 21 22 23	than asking for permission in the first place, it's asking for forgiveness and excusing away its hundreds of violations based upon the premise that, because it provides other services, it should be legal, despite the fact there is no Commission precedent that supports	19 20 21 22 23	production at its mill, PCA invited Basin Disposal out to the mill to tour the mill in a brief tour and consider some alternatives for transportation and disposal. Being that PCA had already selected BDI dropboxes as its method for transportation, and it had

9 (Pages 54 to 57)

	Page 58		Page 60
1	dropboxes and commenced its service.	1	complaint PCA made. Just a few weeks later, without any
2	On the first day of service, BDI discovered	2	additional attempts by PCA to find solutions to the
3	that its dropboxes were full of water. And it consulted	3	wetness problems of its OCC rejects that would resolve
4	PCA about this issue, and it advised it, we cannot	4	this issue, PCA started using Jammie's to haul its OCC
5	legally haul dropboxes that are going to leak water over	5	rejects instead.
6	the public roadways, and we can't take these dropboxes	6	Despite the fact that PCA looked to Jammie's
7	from you. We're going to have to leave them until they	7	and by the way, BDI was not aware that PCA had already
8	are dry enough that it can be legally transported.	8	looked to Jammie's to provide a solution BDI, on May
9	Now, in the beginning phases of the mill and	9	21st, 2021, proactively reaches out to PCA and says,
10	its operations, there wasn't enough volume at the mill	10	hey, we'd like to meet with you guys and find out what's
11	for this to create a problem, and apparently PCA didn't	11	working and what's not.
12	take that seriously enough to do anything about the	12	That request falls on deaf ears. So
13	water contents, and they continued the load of OCC	13	Mr. Dietrich repeats his request a few days later. That
14	rejects that were coming out of its mill. And I believe	14	also falls on deaf ears. Throughout the months of June,
15	the evidence will show those OCC rejects contained as	15	BDI is repeating its request: PCA, we'd like to work
16	much as 40 percent water and projected to contain as	16	with you guys. This is a long-term relationship we'd
17	many as 22 tons a day or more of water placed into BDI's	17	like to see work. What can we do to help find
18	dropboxes.	18	solutions?
19	Despite the fact that BDI advised PCA that it	19	And there are no responses until July. When
20	was producing too much water and putting too much water	20	Basin finally meets with PCA in July, it proposes to
21	into these dropboxes, PCA continued to load the	21	build a bunker and haul this material from the bunker
22	dropboxes with wet materials. Rather than looking for	22	where it can be dried via a tractor-trailer. Instead of
23	solutions to reduce the water content, it simply urged	23	listening to that option, PCA insisted it needs to use
24	BDI to haul the boxes more quickly.	24 25	its Sebright compactors, rejecting BDI's proposal and
25	BDI did everything it could to move those boxes	25	sending BDI on a wild goose chase to analyze what it
	Page 59		Page 61
1	as soon as they could be moved, and I believe the	1	would look like to haul this via a Sebright compactor
2	evidence reflects they moved as many as ten containers a	2	rather than using a long-haul tractor-trailer and a
3	day for PCA. But that wasn't enough because PCA	3	bunker to dry the material.
4	increased its production, and it continued to increase	4	When BDI comes back with a written proposal in
5	its production, and it did that without taking any	5	August proposing to provide the service via
6	effort or not significant efforts to reduce the water	6	tractor-trailer, they are basically sent away. Look at
7	content of its OCC rejects or to find a way, a system,	7	the compactor issue.
8	by which it could reduce that water content before it	8	So BDI looks at the compactor issue, and what
9	placed the solid waste into BDI's dropboxes for	9	they propose is, here's what you asked for. We would do
10	disposal.	10	this service via compactor if that's what you want. And
11	By April 26th, just six weeks after the mill	11	in addition to bringing down your cost, we're proposing
12	began operations or close to two months BDI	12	to use a tractor-trailer and to build a bunker and to
13	received a written communication and the only written	13	haul most of your rejects via that method. And, again,
14 15	communication from PCA saying, we think you're falling behind. The mill is increasing its production.	14 15	they are sent away. They proposed that same system again without
16	We'd like you to increase your hauls from our currently	16	the compactor in September. They propose, we are
10	scheduled five to six per day to seven to eight per day.	17	willing to provide a solid waste collection and
18	The response from BDI said, we're happy to do	18	transportation that will provide you a better cost and a
19	that. We're hiring additional drivers. Whatever it	19	more efficient service. That is not accepted once
20	takes. We want to work with you guys.	20	again.
21	And PCA's response was, it sounds like we're	21	BDI continues to make proposals in January and
22	both working out the kinks in our systems. Looking	22	March, and these proposals are not accepted. Now, PCA
23	forward to it.	23	and Jammie's, through exaggeration and misstatements,
24	Of course, I'm paraphrasing.	24	claim that they repeatedly or that Jammie's
25	This was the only complaint the only written	25	repeatedly implored BDI to find a solution to its

10 (Pages 58 to 61)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 62		Page 64
1		1	
1 2	problems.	1 2	Commission will do. First, we're going to ask that you
∠ 3	The issues that are presented in this case are not issues of solid waste collection for transportation.	3	find that Jammie's is providing solid waste collection service as what is defined by statute.
4	These are issues of generator management. PCA, as the	4	Second, we're going ask you to find that
5	generator, is the party legally responsible to ensure a	5	Jammie's has failed to present sufficient evidence to
6	safe and proper disposal of its solid waste collection.	6	demonstrate its financial fitness. And although
7	What it's looking to do is say, BDI wouldn't	7	Jammie's repeatedly offers to cure that defect through
8	take responsibility. BDI wanted to wash its hands. BDI	8	additional supplemental late-filed testimony, Basin will
9	wasn't willing to step up and help. But first, BDI has	9	maintain its objection that that is evidence that should
10	to be asked to provide that service for help for it to	10	have been submitted at a time that could be assessed by
11	be able to do that. And as the evidence will show, BDI	11	all parties and analyzed at the hearing.
12	was turned away at every opportunity.	12	Third, we will ask the Commission to find that
13	Second, for this to have worked in the first	13	Jammie's is not operationally fit and not regulatorily
14	place, the OCC rejects needed to be dried. This was	14	fit to provide solid waste collection service due to its
15	something that PCA refused to acknowledge or do anything	15	hundreds of violations of RCW 81.77.040.
16	about.	16	We're going to ask that you will find that
17	So when Jammie's and PCA argues that BDI	17	Basin did and will provide service to the Commission's
18	failed, the real question is, is it a failure to comply	18	satisfaction, and ultimately, we're going to ask that
19	with the law and refuse to haul a container that is so	19	you both deny Jammie's application and order it to cease
20	wet that transporting it over the public highways could	20	and desist providing solid waste collection service.
21	result in a misdemeanor offense at the worst or an	21	Thank you.
22	infraction at minimum? Is that a failure?	22	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
23	Or did BDI comply with exactly the requirements	23	Would PCA like to provide an opening statement?
24	that it should have by providing the service safely and	24	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
25	legally in the way that was originally requested by PCA	25	you. Thank you for the opportunity to present PCA's
	Page 63		Page 65
1		1	
1	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every	1	position this morning at the hearing.
2	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in	2	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about
2 3	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content	2 3	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a
2	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the	2	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services
2 3 4	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content	2 3 4	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its
2 3 4 5	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service?	2 3 4 5	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services
2 3 4 5 6	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in	2 3 4 5 6	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service	2 3 4 5 6 7	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than self-serving attempts to support Jammie's application so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as you've already heard in opening statements, several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than self-serving attempts to support Jammie's application so that PCA's preferred choice of hauler might be given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as you've already heard in opening statements, several interactions characterized differently by all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than self-serving attempts to support Jammie's application so that PCA's preferred choice of hauler might be given that opportunity by the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as you've already heard in opening statements, several interactions characterized differently by all the parties. You'll hear clarification from Jammie's as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than self-serving attempts to support Jammie's application so that PCA's preferred choice of hauler might be given that opportunity by the Commission. At the end of this case and in our briefs,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as you've already heard in opening statements, several interactions characterized differently by all the parties. You'll hear clarification from Jammie's as to the service they provide and how they provide it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and ultimately volunteering and offering at every opportunity to take efforts that would help PCA both in terms of resolving the problem with the moisture content of its OCC rejects and with respect to reducing the price by providing a more efficient service? If the Commission were to conclude BDI was in fact failing to provide solid waste management service that was requested by PCA, which is the major contention of Jammie's and PCA in this case, that would still not demonstrate Basin failed to provide service to the Commission's satisfaction because the service that is subject to the Commission's regulation is solid waste collection service, not pre-collection management. So although there are additional details that I think will be revealed through this hearing, we believe the evidence will ultimately reflect that the allegations made by Jammie's and PCA with respect to Basin's service are nothing more than exaggerations and misstatements unsupported or corroborated by any objective evidence. They are nothing more than self-serving attempts to support Jammie's application so that PCA's preferred choice of hauler might be given that opportunity by the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	position this morning at the hearing. For PCA, this case is simple. It is about PCA's need to have a reliable, responsive, and a flexible contractor capable of providing the services that PCA needs and has requested so that it can run its operations safely and in compliance. PCA is a very large, complex manufacturing operation operating in Wallula. We make boxes, and these boxes, they're used here regionally and throughout the country. We have over 400 employees here at the mill. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week. During COVID, we were deemed an essential business sector because of the products we produce and their impact on the economy. Having a competent, dependable, and safe contractor is critical to the success of our operation, and having a say as to who and which contractor that is and which ones are capable of providing the service that PCA needs is exactly why PCA intervened in this case. Your Honor, you're going to hear today, as you've already heard in opening statements, several interactions characterized differently by all the parties. You'll hear clarification from Jammie's as to

11 (Pages 62 to 65)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 66		Page 68
	-		
1	services that they did provide and what they're willing	1	a very different waste stream than any of the other
2	to provide going forward but didn't provide.	2	waste streams generated at the mill, which, by the way,
3	But to both of these parties, let's be clear.	3	BDI services.
4	This case is about one of their customers amongst a	4	But it became very visibly clear after startup
5	portfolio of many customers. But to PCA, the stakes are	5	that hauling the OCC rejects in the same method that BDI
6	much higher. The outcome of these consolidated cases	6	hauls all of the other waste streams generated at the
7	has a direct and material impact on how we operate.	7	mill wasn't going to work. PCA complained numerous
8 9	You'll likely hear from BDI that they tried to	8	times to BDI via phone shortly after startup that this
10	work with PCA in offering solutions and that PCA actually refused to listen to BDI. But that, Your	10	wasn't working and we needed to have a different method.
11	Honor, simply is not true.	11	We needed to have a different solution to this problem. The rejects clearly needed an additional method of
12	As early as December of 2020, we reached out to	12	handling them onsite and then disposal.
13	BDI to contact them for a plan for managing and	13	We complained to BDI the piles were out of
14	disposing our OCC rejects. Let's be clear on that, too.	14	control. We continued to request that BDI offer
15	This was not just a hauling question. This was a	15	different options for management and disposal. But to
16	management and hauling question.	16	PCA's frustration, BDI was nonresponsive. They showed
17	We invited BDI to the mill site so that we can	17	no interest whatsoever in collaborating with PCA in
18	brainstorm and come up with ideas for the best options	18	finding different management and disposal options.
19	for hauling our OCC rejects. We toured we gave them	19	BDI's position, as you heard earlier, was that
20	a tour of the plant. We went through the site	20	this was a PCA waste stream, and it was a PCA problem to
21	footprint. We showed them where things were going to be	21	solve. So PCA did. After months of trying to work with
22	generated. We talked about the nature of the rejects.	22	BDI, the growing piles of OCC rejects was so bad and had
23	And during that meeting, PCA very clearly, very	23	created safety issues for employees. It presented a
24	specifically, asked BDI for their opinion and for their	24	significant fire hazard for the mill that ultimately
25	thoughts on the best way to manage and haul the OCC	25	could lead to a catastrophic event, and the piles were
1 2	Page 67 rejects. But BDI this was in we had an initial	1	Page 69 getting so large that it actually jeopardized the mill's ability to comply with its own environmental
3	meeting in December, then another meeting in February,	3	obligations.
4	and BDI did not come back to PCA with any sort of	4	So what did PCA do? The only thing we could
5	options or methods for hauling until until BDI saw	5	do, and that was to look for other options. Jammie's
6	Jammie's doing the very thing that they were supposed to	6	has provided industrial cleaning services to PCA for
7	be doing for PCA.	7	years. They have operated on our site successfully and
8	To PCA, it seemed that BDI, at the time, was	8	collaboratively with PCA.
9	very comfortable with just proposing their option for	9	Jammie's noticed the growing problem and the
10	disposal using their typical method of handling and	10	mess that was out there in the OCC plant yard, so they
11	hauling solid waste, and that is with their 20-yard	11	actually offered to help clean up the mess. PCA did the
12	roll-off boxes.	12	only thing it could do, and we said, yes, Jammie's.
13 14	In the beginning, PCA was willing to try that option because we didn't know what other options would	13 14	Help. And Jammie's did that very thing. They cleaned
14 15	work at the facility. But after startup, it became very	14	up the mess. And today, Jammie's continues to provide
16	clear that these roll-off boxes were not going to work.	16	the services PCA wanted and had requested of BDI prior
17	And the reason they weren't going to work is because the	17	to even the startup of the OCC plant. But BDI never
18	OCC rejects they are a very different waste stream	18	provided the services PCA requested. Jammie's did.
19	than any of the other waste streams generated at the	19	Jammie's was able to come in immediately and
20	mill.	20	work with PCA. Jammie's was responsive, they
21	The OCC plant uses millions of gallons of water	21	communicated with PCA, and they came up with a different
22	every day to convert old boxes into fiber to make new	22	method of handling and hauling the rejects.
23	boxes. The nature of this process generates a waste	23	Bottom line, Your Honor, they solved PCA's
24	material that is very wet. That is the process. That	24	problems. After months of struggling with BDI, in just
25	is how it works. That fact alone makes the OCC rejects	25	a very short time, Jammie's came in, they cleaned up the

12 (Pages 66 to 69)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 70		Page 72
1		1	
1 2	massive piles, and they started providing an onsite	1 2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'm hearing no
∠ 3	service of handling the OCC rejects and then hauling them off in a timely fashion off PCA's site.	3	objection from any of the parties. Ms. Blancaflor, please feel free to show the
	Your Honor, BDI might try to assert that BDI	4	-
4 5	was ready to perform, willing to perform, and they tried	5	video. MS. BLANCAFLOR: 1 think I can hit share.
6	to communicate with PCA, but PCA didn't allow them to.	6	
7	That is not accurate. What is accurate is that BDI was		There we go. Here we go. Go ahead.
8	responsive to PCA only after Jammie's had come in and	8	(Video played.)
9	started helping with the mess.	9	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Thank you, Your Honor. PCA
10	Only after was BDI responsive when they	10	has nothing further at this time.
11	realized that there was another contractor actually	11	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
12	helping PCA solve its problem. Not before. Not when	12	Mr. Whitaker, would you like to give an opening
13	PCA was asking for BDI's help. The threat of losing	13	statement?
14	business is what motivated BDI, not helping PCA.	14	MR. WHITAKER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
15	For PCA, this is simply a matter of selecting a	15	In the interest of time, I'll also attempt to be brief
16	contractor that can perform the services PCA needs.	16	and avoid as much repetition as possible.
17	This is not a typical municipal solid waste issue. This	17	I'd like to begin by saying that, decades ago,
18	involves the operations of a very large, very complex,	18	in 1961, a very forward-thinking legislature envisioned
19	multifaceted manufacturing operation.	19	a system of solid waste regulation that regulation
20	For PCA, it's not a matter of the UTC's	20	for solid waste collection that culminated in RCW 81.77,
21	jurisdiction over waste hauling activities. It is not a	21	which governs the collection and transportation of solid
22	matter of tariff rates. It is not about who has the	22	waste for compensation.
23	license to haul. It is about performance. It is about	23	And by developing that system, similar to
24	reliability. It is about the ability to provide	24	utility regulation, Washington's regulated solid waste
25	services PCA needs to run its business.	25	system has endured the test of time and expanded to
	Page 71		Page 73
-			
1	We hope PCA's voice matters today. We tried	1	include the collection of recyclables and composting as
2	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is	2	well as municipal solid waste from all sources.
2 3	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA	2 3	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association
2 3 4	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI	2 3 4	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling
2 3 4 5	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who	2 3 4 5	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health
2 3 4 5 6	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until	2 3 4 5 6	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job.	2 3 4 5 6 7	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able to opt out of the regulated system by using onsite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Are there any objections from any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Are there any objections from any of the parties to the video?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able to opt out of the regulated system by using onsite service providers to transport their municipal solid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Are there any objections from any of the parties to the video? MR. FASSBURG: No objections from Basin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well as municipal solid waste from all sources. The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system. Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company. Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able to opt out of the regulated system by using onsite service providers to transport their municipal solid waste.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for months to work with BDI. Make no mistake. This is not a case of PCA preferences. This is a case of PCA needs, needs that were not met by BDI. Allowing BDI another bite at the apple will reward a company who frankly did not respond to the needs of PCA until someone else did their job. In conclusion, Your Honor, PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow PCA to choose what contractor can provide the services it needs. PCA respectfully requests that the Commission allow Jammie's to manage and haul PCA's OCC rejects. Thank you. Prior to the hearing, we had circulated a video that we think, Your Honor, would be very helpful for you and the other parties to see what PCA's operations look like, and so we have that video now. And with your approval, I'll go ahead and press play. All parties have seen it prior to the hearing. JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you. Are there any objections from any of the parties to the video? MR. FASSBURG: No objections from Basin Disposal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>well as municipal solid waste from all sources.</li> <li>The Washington Refuse and Recycling Association was founded by those regulated waste and recycling service providers to help maintain the long-term health and viability of Washington's regulatory system, and the association views the issues before Judge Howard and the Commission today as crucial to that long-term sustainability and maintenance of that regulated system.</li> <li>Commission precedent has long recognized the overall risk the risk to the overall regulatory system with the concept of cream skimming, that is to say the practice of picking and choosing the largest, most profitable generators without the requirement to serve all customers within a territory like that of a regulated solid waste collection company.</li> <li>Washington solid waste regulation will be significantly undermined if the largest waste generator in Washington and industrial facilities are simply able to opt out of the regulated system by using onsite service providers to transport their municipal solid waste.</li> <li>And Jammie's here argues that it shouldn't</li> </ul>

13 (Pages 70 to 73)

r			
· · ·	Page 74		Page 76
1	municipal solid waste is somehow incidental because it	1	Good morning, Ms. Scott. Please state your name
2	performs onsite cleaning and some waste handling	2	and title and spell your name for the court reporter.
3	activities. Jammie's does not generate the waste, nor	3	THE WITNESS: My name is Jammie D. Scott.
4	is the waste generated by Jammie's onsite services. The	4	My title is owner and president of Jammie's
5	waste is generated by PCA's industrial production.	5	Environmental. My spelling J-a-m-i-e, D, S-c-o-t-t.
6	And both PCA and Jammie's have claimed that the	6	MR. STEEL: Ms. Scott, do you have before
7	waste here is of a special character that necessitates a	7	you what have been marked for identification as exhibit
8	special collection provider. This is despite the fact	8	numbers JDS-1T through JDS-21 in Docket TG-220215 and
9	that throughout that Basin has continued to transport	9	TG-220243?
10	OCC rejects on behalf of PCA for disposal. This is	10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
11	despite the fact that OCC rejects require no special	11	MR. STEEL: Do these exhibits constitute
12	conditions or documentation for transport or disposal.	12	your pretrial direct and response testimony and related
13	The materials can be transported like any other waste,	13	exhibits in this proceeding?
14	assuming they aren't so wet that the container leaks	14	THE WITNESS: Yes, they do.
15	while traveling down the road.	15	MR. STEEL: Were these exhibits prepared
16	So here we have a regulated service provider	16	under your supervision and direction?
17	that did everything that was asked everything legal	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, they were.
18	that was asked of it to perform and more, and we have a	18	MR. STEEL: Do you have any corrections to
19	claim that hundreds of tons of material going for	19	any of your exhibits at the time?
20	disposal weekly is somehow incidental and should fall	20	THE WITNESS: Not at this time.
21	outside the regulatory system.	21	MR. STEEL: Are your pretrial direct and
22	WRRA is here in full support of the complaint	22	response testimony and accompanying exhibits true and
23	by Basin Disposal and respectfully asks that Judge	23	correct to the best of your information and belief?
24	Howard find that Basin provided service to the	24	THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.
25	satisfaction of the Commission and deny Jammie's the	25	MR. STEEL: Thank you.
1	Page 75 application. Thank you, Judge Howard.	1	Page 77 Your Honor, Jammie's offers Exhibits JDS1-T
2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	2	through JDS-21 into evidence and offers Ms. Scott for
3	So we are at 10:45. We would turn next to our	3	cross-examination.
4	first witness, Jammie Scott. I think before we do that,	4	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Steel.
5	we should maybe take a ten- or 15-minute break. How	5	We have admitted all of the pretrial exhibits
6	about a ten-minute break, and then we reconvene at	6	into evidence, so those are indeed admitted, excuse me.
7	10:55?	7	Basin has indicated I believe it was 150 minutes
8	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor.	8	for the cross of this witness.
9	MR. STEELE: Sounds good.	9	Basin, you may proceed.
10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Hearing no	10	I would note that we would probably plan on
11	objections, we are taking a ten-minute break. We are	11	taking a lunch break beginning at noon.
12	off the record.	12	Go ahead.
13	(A break was taken from	13	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.
14	10:45 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.)	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	JUDGE HOWARD: Back on the record. We are	15	BY MR. FASSBURG:
16	returning from a midmorning break that was slightly	16	Q. Good morning, Ms. Scott. My name is Blair
17	extended because we needed to have a new court reporter	17	Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I want to ask
	come in, so I appreciate everyone's understanding.	18	you some questions today, starting with your initial
18		19	pretrial testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T. And I'll start with
18 19	We left off with just beginning our	1 1	•
	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first	20	questions that relate to the nature of your business.
19 20 21	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first Jammie's Environmental's witnesses.	20 21	questions that relate to the nature of your business. If you don't understand any of my questions, please make
19 20 21 22	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first Jammie's Environmental's witnesses. Counsel for Jammie's, you may tender your	20 21 22	questions that relate to the nature of your business. If you don't understand any of my questions, please make sure you ask me for clarification.
19 20 21 22 23	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first Jammie's Environmental's witnesses. Counsel for Jammie's, you may tender your first introduce and tender your first witness for	20 21 22 23	questions that relate to the nature of your business. If you don't understand any of my questions, please make sure you ask me for clarification. Now, you testified on page 4 of your pretrial
19 20 21 22 23 24	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first Jammie's Environmental's witnesses. Counsel for Jammie's, you may tender your first introduce and tender your first witness for cross-examination.	20 21 22 23 24	questions that relate to the nature of your business. If you don't understand any of my questions, please make sure you ask me for clarification. Now, you testified on page 4 of your pretrial testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T, "Jammie's is not a waste
19 20 21 22 23	We left off with just beginning our cross-examination, and we're going to turn first Jammie's Environmental's witnesses. Counsel for Jammie's, you may tender your first introduce and tender your first witness for	20 21 22 23	questions that relate to the nature of your business. If you don't understand any of my questions, please make sure you ask me for clarification. Now, you testified on page 4 of your pretrial

14 (Pages 74 to 77)

Page 78	Page 80
1 dispose of both solid and liquid processed waste,	1 processed waste.
<ul> <li>hazardous waste, dangerous wast and/or special waste</li> </ul>	2 How do you define solid processed waste?
<ul> <li>incidental to Jammie's primary business performing</li> </ul>	3 A. Well, it's not my job to determine what is a
4 industrial cleaning."	4 solid not a solid processed waste, but in the in
5 Did I read that correct?	5 what we do for our customers, we do multiple types of
6 A. Yes, I believe so.	<ul> <li>cleaning where we will generate a waste, so basically, a</li> </ul>
7 Q. To understand what you mean by your statement,	<ul> <li>customer will have a specified waste. We will clean out</li> </ul>
8 what do you consider to be a waste disposal company?	<sup>8</sup> a vessel, a tank, whatever, and then all of that
9 A. Well, I would consider anybody that hauls and	9 material will come out of the tank, so we will generally
10 disposes of residential waste in the regular course of	10 figure out how to manage this waste. A lot of our
11 business and that is their primary service they provide.	11 customers do not a lot of our customers will handle
12 Q. So in your definition here, if a company	12 the waste themselves on their sides. We will just
13 provides services that are not solid waste collection as	13 separate it. Some will go here, some will go there. If
14 their primary business, it would not make them a solid	14 they do not have the capabilities of processing their
15 waste collection company?	15 own waste, then we will find a proper home for it,
A. No, I do not believe that would make them a	16 profile it, and haul it.
17 solid waste collection company.	17 Q. So, Ms. Scott, my question was a little
18 Q. Okay. Now, in terms of what business is	18 different. I'm asking for a specific definition based
19 Jammie's primary business, I believe you testified it	19 on the objection, and so I want to make sure we have
20 performs industrial cleaning and clean-up services.	20 some definition of terms here based on your testimony.
21 What other services does Jammie's provide that	21 You say that you will transport and dispose of
22 don't relate to solid waste collection and/or	22 solid and liquid processed waste, and I'm asking, how do
23 transportation?	23 you define solid processed waste?
A. The majority of our services do not relate to	A. Something that's gone through a process prior to
25 waste transportation and disposal. We provide	25 being disposed of and may need additional handling to
<ul> <li>industrial cleaning services to a multitude industries</li> <li>in our area.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And would the same be true with respect</li> <li>to PCA's mill near Wallula, that most of your services</li> <li>don't relate to solid waste collection or</li> <li>transportation?</li> <li>A. Yeah, for sure.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to facilities at which you do</li> <li>provide any service to collect any recycled material</li> <li>that's ultimately disposed of in a landfill, do you</li> <li>collect materials that would constitute municipal solid</li> <li>waste from facilities other than PCA?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I would just object to the</li> <li>extent counsel is asking a question that would involve</li> <li>legal definition or a legal conclusion.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Judge Howard, you're muted.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry. It was a brilliant</li> <li>response.</li> <li>I'm going to grant the objection because we've</li> </ul>	1       treat for hauling.         2       Q. Is that something that could be disposed of in a         3       municipal solid waste landfill?         4       A. At times, yeah, or some yes.         5       Q. Now, you say "and/or special waste" later on in         6       that same sentence.         7       Do you have an understanding of under Washington         8       law what constitutes special waste?         9       A. Yes. We do. I mean, we all know at this time.         10       Q. What is your definition of solid waste?         11       MR. STEEL: I'll just object again to the         12       extent he's asking for a legal answer here. Special         13       waste is a term under state law, and Ms. Scott is not a         14       lawyer, and so if that's what counsel's question is, you         15       know, that's         16       MR. FASSBURG: You Honor, I'm trying to         17       understand her testimony. I asked for her definition.         18       JUDGE HOWARD: I am going to allow the         19       question. I am going to allow Basin to to try to
20 not established that she's familiar with the legal	20 try to establish that this is relevant. I am going to
21 definition of municipal solid waste.	21 observe, though, that Ms. Scott's understanding of
22 BY MR. FASSBURG:	22 certain legal terms may may not be the most relevant,
23 Q. Understood.	23 weigh the item for my consideration today, so I would
Ms. Scott, you provide testimony here on page 4	24 urge you to show the relevance as you proceed through
that you will transport and dispose of solid and liquid	25 this.

15 (Pages 78 to 81)

~	Page 82		Page 84
1	MR. FASSBURG: Sure. And, Your Honor,	1	for disposal in the quantities of tons as opposed to
2	sometimes when I ask questions, the sequence may not	2	small quantities?
3	always make sense. I'll say with respect to this one,	3	MR. STEEL: I'll object to that as vague.
4	Ms. Scott provides a lot of legal testimony, testimony	4	It's not clear what counsel means by "small quantities."
5	with respect to interpretation of statutes, testimony	5	MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase the question.
6	with respect to interpretation of Commission rules, and	6	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
7	as they pertain to how her testimony should be	7	BY MR. FASSBURG:
8	understood, I think we need to establish ground rules of	8	Q. Ms. Scott, are there any facilities from which
9	what does she mean by the terms she uses, and so we	9	Jammie's Environmental hauls for collection and disposal
10	can't understand her testimony if she hasn't explained	10	of materials that fit the description within your
11	how she's using these terms.	11	testimony here on page 4 on lines 11 through 14 in
12	JUDGE HOWARD: And I just to be clear, I	12	quantities that exceed more than one ton?
13	generally do not grant objections on the basis that a	13	A. Yes. There are instances where we dispose of
14	question calls for a legal conclusion if it's if it's	14	materials that are more than a ton.
15	a what we would call a policy issue involving	15	Q. I understand that in your equipment, Jammie's
16	Commission regulation, but but, again, I'm I'm	16	Environmental owns multiple drop boxes; is that correct?
17	hoping I'm hoping that we focus on exactly what's	17	A. Yes, we do.
18	relevant for the Commission, so I'll give you some	18	Q. Do you spot those drop boxes at your customers'
19	leeway with that.	19	facilities to collect solid waste?
20	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.	20	A. Incidental to projects that we're doing, yes, we
21	BY MR. FASSBURG:	21	do.
22	Q. So, Ms. Scott, I'll repeat the question. How do	22	Q. And so can you describe for me what types of
23	you define special waste here in your testimony?	23	projects that might include?
24	A. I view special waste of anything that needs	24	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
25	that goes through a process that prior to disposal needs	25	here on relevance. And counsel is asking questions
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Page 83 additional treatment or maintenance before you can	1	Page 85 about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not
2	additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.	2	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest
2 3	additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it. Q. Would that include material that ultimately can	2 3	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems
2 3 4	additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it. Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill	2 3 4	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.
2 3 4 5	additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it. Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?	2 3 4 5	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond,
2 3 4 5 6	additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it. <b>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can</b> <b>be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill</b> <b>without restrictions?</b> A. Yes. That would include that.	2 3 4 5 6	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers.</li> <li>Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about. MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law. JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now. BY MR. FASSBURG:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now. BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. So let me try to rephrase this to make sure</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are there any facilities that you serve, other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>0.</b> So let me try to rephrase this to make sure we're clear after the objection.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are there any facilities that you serve, other than PCA, at which you're asked to dispose of these</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>Q.</b> So let me try to rephrase this to make sure we're clear after the objection.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are there any facilities that you serve, other than PCA, at which you're asked to dispose of these types of waste materials as frequently as once a month?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. So let me try to rephrase this to make sure we're clear after the objection.</li> <li>Ms. Scott, what other types of services are you providing at these facilities at which you spot drop</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>additional treatment or maintenance before you can legally haul it.</li> <li>Q. Would that include material that ultimately can be disposed of in a municipal solid waste landfill without restrictions?</li> <li>A. Yes. That would include that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say that this is something you will provide when asked to do to some of your customers. Do I understand that correctly and actually that was a poor question. Let me rephrase it. The disposal of these wastes that you describe is something that Jammie's Environmental will do when asked by customers. Did I understand that correctly?</li> <li>A. In certain situations, yes. Not all the time.</li> <li>Q. Are there any customers, other than PCA, at which Jammie's has a haul that disposes of solid waste as frequently as once a week?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. Are there any facilities that you serve, other than PCA, at which you're asked to dispose of these types of waste materials as frequently as once a month?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>about Ms. Scott's the company's broader services, not about the waste at issue in this case. And in interest of time, exploring that all that Jammie's does seems irrelevant and beyond what this case is about.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, if I may respond, Jammie's has applied to receive a solid waste certificate. It's regulatory and operational fitness are direct questions in this case. If Jammie's is hauling solid waste from other facilities, I think it's important for the Commission to determine, is it doing this under a genuine exemption, or is this actually regulated activity that it's been doing in violation of state law.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to allow the question for now, but I am concerned about the relevance of a lengthy exploration of services for other customers, but I'll allow the questioning for now. BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>0.</b> So let me try to rephrase this to make sure we're clear after the objection.</li> <li>Ms. Scott, what other types of services are you providing at these facilities at which you spot drop boxes for collection of solid waste?</li> </ul>

16 (Pages 82 to 85)

Page 86	Page 88
1 box or vacuum box or any kind of containment for waste,	1 issues in this case and a waste of issues in this case,
<ul> <li>2 it is because I'm doing other work at a facility. Some</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>and I'm wondering how long we're going to keep exploring</li> </ul>
3 of these tanks that we clean have a lot of product in	<ul> <li>a everything about Ms. Scott's business. I think it's</li> </ul>
4 it, so we do water blasting. We do a lot of other	4 I think it's irrelevant.
5 services that will create waste that will need to be	5 JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, can you
6 stored and treated prior to disposal and transportation,	6 articulate why it's relevant to this proceeding?
7 so I'm not exactly sure what you are asking. I mean,	7 MR. FASSBURG: Sure. If Jammie's is hauling
<sup>8</sup> if if you're asking if I provide just drop box	8 solid waste that's already been placed in a drop box for
9 services to haul solid waste, no, that's not the case.	<sup>9</sup> disposal, it could be hauled by a solid waste collection
10 Q. No, I'm asking the types of services you	10 company, either municipal or franchise sorry,
11 provide.	11 franchise or regulated hauler, and they're doing this
12 So can you give me one example of and you	12 with regularity. It could indeed be violations of RCW
don't have to identify the customer by name, but one	13 81.77.040 that are relevant to its application and the
14 example of when Jammie's has provided the service to a	14 Commission needs to hear details with respect to how
15 customer and also spotted a drop box for the collection	15 frequently this occurs. Mr. Steel wants to object this
16 of waste that would not be considered hazardous or	16 is irrelevant, but it's directly relevant to the
17 dangerous and could be disposed of in a municipal solid	17 operational of fitness of Jammie's under its
18 waste landfill?	18 application.
19 A. Yeah, so I do a lot of work for chemical	19 And we attempted to get this information through
20 facilities, roofing facilities that have giant tanks	20 discovery, but we received vigorous objections and
21 full of asphalt that they mix to treat some of them	21 refusal to respond and provide detailed information.
22 make roof shingles. So we will go in the service we	JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.
23 provide to our customers is hydroblasting, industrial	23 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
24 cleaning. We will go in and we they will shut down	24 MR. FASSBURG: Well, Ms. Court reporter, I
their tank, which then we'll fill with solid asphalt.	25 hate to do this to you, but would you mind reading back
Page 87	
1 We will go in and we will wash these tanks. We will	1 my question?
2 vacuum out the material inside of the tanks. We will	2 (Question was read back.)
3 put this material from our vacuum truck into a box.	3 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to read
4 Then we will take our vacuum, dewater the water out of	4 the previous question to that?
5 the box so that it is acceptable to haul and transport	5 THE WITNESS: No, I'm good. I just want to
<ul> <li>down the road. We will dispose of the asphalt at</li> <li>multiple facilities we take asphalt, and then the water</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 know if you want to know every customer that has a</li> <li>7 that this may occur with or not, so I would it really</li> </ul>
•	<ul> <li>that this may occur with or not, so I would it really</li> <li>just varies for the customer's need and what work</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 will go to a separate facility that treats water. Is</li> <li>9 that?</li> </ul>	,
Q. So in your example, is the asphalt material	<ul> <li>9 they're doing at the facilities, maybe 15 to 20.</li> <li>10 BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> </ul>
11 being taken to a material recovery facility, a transfer	11 <b>Q. For clarity</b>
12 station, or a landfill?	12 A. On an as-needed basis. I mean, if they have an
13 A. It varies from customer. It will go to a	13 upset in their tank, if they have an upset with
14 landfill. It will go to a generally a landfill by	14 production, so (Pause.)
15 the time we're done dewatering it.	15 Q. For clarity, this is 15 to 20 customers per
16 <b>Q.</b> In these examples that you've given, have you	16 year, not instances for hauling; correct?
17 ever attempted to contact a solid waste collection	17 A. Well, they're generally the same customers. I
18 company to come pick up the drop box for disposal?	18 mean, we provide a lot of the same services for the same
19 A. I have not, no. It's just kind of assigned to	19 customers, so I would I mean, if you're looking for
20 the other services we provide.	20 specifics, I would need to go through and figure that
21 <b>Q.</b> How many customers per year would you estimate	
22 you provide a similar service to?	22 Q. And I'm only asking for estimates. I'll ask I
23 MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I continue to object	think one more question, and hopefully I can move on
to this line. This is, like, a fishing expedition by	24 from this topic.
25 counsel, and I think it's outside the scope of the	25 In your estimate, how many drop boxes of

17 (Pages 86 to 89)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 90		Page 92
1	materials that are disposed of without restriction in a	1	Q. Now, you talk about the impacts on those
2	municipal solid waste landfill do you handle per year?	2	customers, so I did want to ask you a couple of more
3	And I'm excluding PCA's facility in this question.	3	questions about that.
4	A. Maybe 30. Again, I would have to go back and	4	Do you know whether any of these I'm sorry.
5	look. I mean, it really does depend on what year you're	5	I said customers. I meant competitors, so I'll rephrase
6	looking at. So our business is different day-to-day,	6	the question.
7	so (Pause.)	7	Do you know whether any of your competitors have
8	Q. Ms. Scott, on page 6 of your testimony, line 3	8	ever sought a petition for declaratory order from the
9	to 5, you testified that Jammie's has been performing	9	Commission asking whether the services they provide are
10	the same services in essentially the same manner for	10	indeed exempt from regulation?
11	over 20 years.	11	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
12		12	to this question for Ms. Scott about a declaratory
13	Did I read that correctly?	13	
	A. You have, yes.	14	order. I think that's far outside her testimony.
14	Q. And so if I'm understanding your testimony	14	There's no foundation for that question, and it's
15 16	correctly, you've hauled a number of drop boxes in this	16	effectively a legal question.
10	way every year for 20 years; is that correct?	17	JUDGE HOWARD: I believe Mr. Fassburg was
	A. I don't know that we initially had drop boxes		asking if she's aware, so with that with you know,
18	and vacuum boxes. Those were those had been added	18 19	with reservations, I am going to allow the question
19	after I mean, initially when I started 20 years ago,	20	because we're asking about her awareness.
20	I had one vacuum truck and four employees, so as our	20	You may answer the question, Ms. Scott.
21	company has grown, so have our services, so (Pause.)		THE WITNESS: Okay. I just want to be clear
22	Q. To be clear, there is not a single other	22	on the question. You're asking me if I know if any of
23	customer at which you provide solid waste collection and	23	my competitors have sought to get a common carrier
24	transportation services similar to the way you provide	24	permit or a certificate?
25	them at PCA; correct?	25	BY MR. FASSBURG:
	Page 91		Page 93
1	A. That is clear. PCA is the managing of the waste	1	O My guartien was a little different you know
2	and the hauling is unique to PCA in this new operation	L T	
		2	Q. My question was a little different you know
		2	what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a
3	they have.	3	what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.
3 4	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential	3 4	what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit. Do I understand correctly that part of your
3 4 5	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors	3 4 5	what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit. Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's
3 4 5 6	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a	3 4 5 6	what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit. Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?
3 4 5 6 7	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your</li> <li>testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's</li> <li>decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they have. Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors'</li> </ul>	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector;</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> <li>A. In the course of their other work, yes, they do.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling, yeah, I do think it would impact other companies, yes.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> <li>A. In the course of their other work, yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And to be clear, do any of them have a regular</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling, yeah, I do think it would impact other companies, yes. Everybody needs a certificate to haul waste that's</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> <li>A. In the course of their other work, yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And to be clear, do any of them have a regular haul wherein they haul multiple tons of solid waste on a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling, yeah, I do think it would impact other companies, yes. Everybody needs a certificate to haul waste that's generated through a cleaning process or incidental work</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony. Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> <li>A. In the course of their other work, yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And to be clear, do any of them have a regular haul wherein they haul multiple tons of solid waste on a daily basis from specific customers?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling, yeah, I do think it would impact other companies, yes. Everybody needs a certificate to haul waste that's generated through a cleaning process or incidental work that we do.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>they have.</li> <li>Q. Now, you discuss in your testimony the potential impacts of the Commission's decision on your competitors as well as Jammie's, and I would like to ask you just a bit about those competitors to make sure I understand what you're trying to say to the Commission here. So I'll refer you to page 5 of your testimony.</li> <li>Do any of your competitors that you've identified on page 5 in lines 2 through 5 provide a service that is in any way resembling the solid waste collection service that you provide to PCA?</li> <li>A. You know, I can't speak to my competitors' services and what they do for their customers. I don't have that knowledge. But, yes, in general, we all do industrial cleaning.</li> <li>Q. Do all of these other competitors spot drop boxes for the collection and disposable of solid waste?</li> <li>A. In the course of their other work, yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And to be clear, do any of them have a regular haul wherein they haul multiple tons of solid waste on a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what, just for your understanding, I'll back up just a little bit.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that part of your testimony is about policy impacts of the Commission's decision on industrial cleaning companies?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe that is a big factor in this matter.</li> <li>Q. And your testimony is that if the commission were to include that the solid waste certificate was required to transport the material that's being generated at the PCA mill, OCC rejects, this would have an impact on the industrial cleaning operations of both Jammie's and its competitors in that industrial sector; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe the waste in question, if this is considered a regulated waste, because of the process it has been through, because of the manage the management of the waste that we do prior to hauling, yeah, I do think it would impact other companies, yes. Everybody needs a certificate to haul waste that's generated through a cleaning process or incidental work</li> </ul>

18 (Pages 90 to 93)

,	Page 94		Page 96
1	needed a certificate for the services you were	1	that doesn't (Pause.)
2	providing; correct?	2	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the nature
3	A. That is correct.	3	of any of the specific hauls they perform for their
4	Q. Did you ever ask the Commission for a	4	customers?
5	declaratory order concluding that your services to any	5	A. I do not.
6	of your other customers were exempt?	6	Q. Okay. I'll move on.
7	A. I have in the past spoken to the Commission in	7	So I would like to ask you a little bit about
8	regards to whether or not common carrier permits were	8	your work at PCA, and I'll start with what you're
9	needed in the services that we provide in the industries	9	providing currently.
10	that we provide. Generally, during insurance renewals,	10	It is my understanding that the solid waste that
11	I've questioned that and I have I've never needed a	11	is currently being transported from PCA by Jammie's is
12	common carrier permit or a certificate for the work that	12	being transported by multiple types of trucks and
13	we have done in the last 20 years, so (Pause.)	13	equipment.
14	Q. And perhaps you don't understand my question,	14	Can you describe for the Commission what types
15	but I want to make sure you do.	15	of equipment is currently being used?
16	Do you know what a petition for declaratory	16	A. Can you clarify? Are you looking for the entire
17	order is?	17	mill specifically, or just for this OCC area?
18	A. I do not know what that is, no.	18	Q. Well, let's start with the OCC rejects.
19	Q. Okay. And so you wouldn't know one way or	19	For OCC rejects, what type of equipment is
20	another apparently whether you have filed a petition for	20	Jammie's using for transportation of OCC rejects?
21	declaratory order asking the Commission to rule on	21	A. We utilize a wheel loader and belt trailers.
22	whether any of these services were exempt?	22	Q. Is it only belt trailers right now?
23	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. It's	23	A. Yes.
24	argumentative and also I think misrepresents Ms. Scott's	24	Q. Okay. Were those belt trailers made in a way or
25	testimony, I think (Pause.)	25	are they modified in a way that would allow them to
	Page 95		Page 97
1	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant the	1	transport liquid without leaking?
2	objection. I believe she just testified she's not	2	A. They are not, no.
3	familiar with this, this term.	3	Q. Okay. And so if OCC rejects were loaded into
4	BY MR. FASSBURG:	4	those trailers with a large volume of water in them,
5	Q. You have no knowledge similarly as to whether or	5	would you be able to haul those rejects safely over the
6	not any of your competitors have ever sought a ruling on	6	roadways in Washington?
7	this issue; correct?	7	A. We would not, no.
8	A. I do not have knowledge of my competitors, no.	8	Q. What type of loader is currently being used?
9	Q. I think you testified that outside one of your	9	A. A brand-specific? Size? I believe we have a
10	competitors, none of them hold a G certificate from the	10	7 or a 5-yard wheel loader out there on site.
11	Commission; is that correct?	11	Q. Is there anything that was unique about the
12	A. That is my understanding, yes.	12	wheel loader that allows it to eliminate water from OCC
13	Q. What is your understanding of the nature of	13	rejects?
14	solid waste collection service Clean Harbors provides?	14	A. No. The wheel loader, itself, does not fix
15	A. Clean Harbors is also a disposal company, so I	15	their moisture problem.
16	would imagine that's why they have a certificate,	16	Q. Okay. Now, do you still continue to use dump
17	because that, in my understanding, is something they do	17	trucks actually, let me rephrase that.
18	on a regular basis, so (Pause.)	18	How long did you at one point use dump trucks
19	Q. Do you know, when they perform that service on a	19	to haul OCC rejects from PCA's mill?
20	regular basis, is it to a single customer or multiple	20	A. We did. We were initially assisting PCA in
21	customers?	21	removing the giant piles that were building up in that
22	A. Safe Harbor was a waste transportation and	22	area. Yes, we did provide a dump truck to transport the
23	disposal company which has gone around and purchased	23	waste.
24	numerous other industrial cleaning companies, so as	24	Q. Was the dump truck specially built or modified
25	well as other companies like other waste companies, so	25	in any way that would prevent water from leaking out of

19 (Pages 94 to 97)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 98	Page 10
1		
1	it, if they if it was loaded with wet OCC rejects?	1 characterization of the OCC rejects from the times that
2	A. No. It was just a normal dump truck.	2 Jammie's first started hauling them from PCA to the 3 present?
3	Q. Are there any legal prohibitions that apply to	<ul> <li>3 present?</li> <li>4 A. Are you talking waste characterization or the</li> </ul>
4 5	Jammie's that would prevent it from operating vehicles on public roadways while leaking from the cargo	5 material, itself?
6	compartment, for lack of a better term?	6 <b>Q.</b> The waste characterization.
7	MR. STEEL: Object that there's a legal	7 A. No, there's not any change.
8	question there. Ms. Scott can answer if she knows, but,	8 Q. Okay. And so just to be clear, it's never been
9	Blair, also I didn't track the question. I'm wondering	<ul> <li>9 hazardous waste; correct?</li> </ul>
10	if you could either read it back and state it again.	10 A. No.
11	MR. FASSBURG: I'll be happy to rephase it.	11 Q. It's never been liquid waste?
12	MR. STEEL: Okay.	12 A. No.
13	MR. FASSBURG: I think it was worded poorly.	12     0. IVS.       13     Q. It's never been dangerous waste?
14	BY MR. FASSBURG:	14 A. No.
15	Q. Ms. Scott, do you believe that it would be safe	15 Q. Okay. I would like to direct you to what has
16	for Jammie's to transport any material that's leaking	16 been marked as Exhibit JS-22X.
17	water out onto the roadways?	17 JUDGE HOWARD: To be clear, I believe I have
18	A. No. It would not be safe nor is it legal to	18 remarked this as JDS-22X.
19	transport material dripping out of your vehicles.	19 MR. FASSBURG: Excuse me, yes, JDS-22X.
20	Q. And so Jammie's, I imagine, has done its best to	20 JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, just to stay
21	ensure that when OCC rejects are loaded into its	21 consistent for with the initial use for the parties'
22	vehicles, they would not be loaded in a way that would	22 exhibits.
23	leak water out onto the public roadways; correct?	23 BY MR. FASSBURG:
24	A. That is correct, yes.	24 Q. Ms. Scott, have you that docket notice in front
25	Q. Now, under the current process that Jammie's is	25 of you now?
	•	
		Dage 10
	Page 99	Page 10
1		
1 2	using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC	1 A. I do now.
		<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> </ol>
2	using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill? A. Not that I'm aware of.	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> </ol>
2 3	using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li><b>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</b></li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC</li> <li>rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or</li> <li>facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it</li> <li>presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC</li> <li>rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or</li> <li>facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC</li> <li>rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are</li> <li>taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC</li> <li>rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or</li> <li>facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC</li> <li>rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are</li> <li>taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC</li> <li>rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or</li> <li>facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC</li> <li>rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are</li> <li>taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was</li> <li>interacting with the Commission during its application</li> <li>about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how</li> <li>OCC rejects are processed.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it</li> <li>presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was</li> <li>interacting with the Commission during its application</li> <li>about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how</li> <li>OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was</li> <li>interacting with the Commission during its application</li> <li>about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how</li> <li>OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how</li> <li>OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 - are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how</li> <li>OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way?</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>Z4, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually forgetting the term. There has been no special</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of volume, the OCC rejects must be quickly collected and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually forgetting the term. There has been no special documents provided to you that would indicate the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of volume, the OCC rejects must be quickly collected and prepared for disposal. To reduce moisture content for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually forgetting the term. There has been no special documents provided to you that would indicate the material is special waste under state law; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of volume, the OCC rejects must be quickly collected and prepared for disposal. To reduce moisture content for</li> <li>disposals, Jammie's built a bunker and developed a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually forgetting the term. There has been no special documents provided to you that would indicate the material is special waste under state law; correct?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of volume, the OCC rejects must be quickly collected and prepared for disposal. To reduce moisture content for disposals, Jammie's built a bunker and developed a process for mixing the OCC rejects to ensure the OCC</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>using, are there any restrictions on disposal of the OCC rejects on a municipal solid waste landfill?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Does Jammie's take the OCC rejects to a plant or facility of any kind prior to disposal?</li> <li>A. We do not.</li> <li>Q. So if I understand correctly, once these OCC rejects are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, they are taken directly to a landfill for disposal?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into Jammie's vehicles, are OCC rejects treated in any way?</li> <li>A. Once they are loaded in our vehicles, no, they are not treated in any way.</li> <li>Q. Once they are loaded into your vehicles, are they processed in any way?</li> <li>A. No. Once they're loaded in our vehicles, they go to the disposal facility.</li> <li>Q. And as far as you're aware, no one has ever provided a special waste excuse me. I'm actually forgetting the term. There has been no special documents provided to you that would indicate the material is special waste under state law; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do now.</li> <li>Q. Have you seen this docket notice before?</li> <li>A. I have seen it, yes.</li> <li>Q. When this was prepared by the Commission, was it presented to you or anyone else at PCA in draft form?</li> <li>A. I have not seen this until the last day of June.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else at PCA was interacting with the Commission during its application about this docket notice?</li> <li>A. I do not know that.</li> <li>Q. All right. I will move on from that.</li> <li>I would like to ask you some questions about how OCC rejects are processed.</li> <li>You, in your testimony, Exhibit JDS-1T on page</li> <li>24, you state, starting on lines 24 are you there?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: You said 24, Blair.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Page 24, and beginning on line 24, your</li> <li>testimony states, "Special processing, because of volume, the OCC rejects must be quickly collected and prepared for disposal. To reduce moisture content for</li> <li>disposals, Jammie's built a bunker and developed a</li> </ul>

20 (Pages 98 to 101)

	Page 102		Page 104
1	Did I read that correctly?	1	moisture content, you can load it into a garbage can. I
2	A. Yes.	2	mean
3	Q. Instead of using containers bins, Jammie's 86	3	Q. Yeah, yeah. So it could be a 20-yard drop box,
4	cubic yard belt trailer further allows the wet and dry	4	it could be a 30-yard drop box, it could be a front-load
5	materials to be blended together so that the overall	5	container, it wouldn't matter as long as it could be
6	moisture level is reduced. This is a time and	6	safely loaded so it wouldn't leak out onto the roadways;
7	labor-intensive additional step in the processing and	7	correct?
8	handling of the materials that Jammie's employees	8	A. Correct, yes.
9	perform on a near daily basis.	9	Q. On the next page, you talk about special
10	Did I read that correctly?	10	handling. You state, "Once mixed, the OCC rejects are
11	A. Yes.	11	loaded using a 5-yard wheel loader that Jammie's
12	Q. Now, does any of this processing that you	12	provides. This replaces the smaller Bobcat loader that
13	describe here occur prior to loading?	13	PCA was using before Jammie's began providing it
14	A. It all occurs prior to loading or during the	14	service."
15	process of loading, depending on the waste.	15	Did I read that correct?
16	Q. Is there once the material is loaded into	16	A. Yes.
17	Jammie's trailers, is there any additional processing	17	Q. And if I understand correctly, there's nothing
18	that occurs?	18	special about the 5-yard wheel loader that was designed
19	A. No.	19	specifically for OCC rejects; correct?
20	Q. Would you agree with me that once that	20	A. Well, I the wheel loader was not designed
21	processing occurs and the material is dry enough that it	21	specifically for OCC rejects. We've had the wheel
22	can be safely transported over the public roadways, it	22	loader and we use it on other applications of our
23	could be loaded into a dump truck as opposed to a belt	23	business, but correct.
24	trailer?	24	Q. Are there other types of loaders that could be
25	A. If a customer chose to utilize a dump truck,	25	used to load OCC rejects into a drop box?
	• •		· ·
	Page 103		Page 105
1	that would be their option.	1	A. Yes. I would imagine you can load drop boxes
2	Q. And there's nothing specific or unique about the	2	with many types of mechanical devices.
3	material at that point that means it has to be in a	3	Q. And is it correct that the reason why the loader
4	specific container; is that correct?	4	that Jammie's is providing is being used is so that it
5	A. Yeah, that would that would be correct.	5	can reach up into the belt trailer to load the trailer?
6	Q. And so that if a customer were to choose,	6	A. Well, we utilize the loader to mix and sort the
7	that could include a drop box; correct?	7	material as well. And when it is properly mixed and the
8	A. I don't feel like that would be a very	8	moisture content is such that it's safe to transport and
9	cost-effective way to transport the solid waste, but	9	not leaking out the back of the belt trailer, yes, then
10	yes, they could totally utilize whatever they wanted to.	10	we utilize the wheel loader and load our belt trailers.
11	Q. And if there were a way to efficiently transport	11	Q. Sure. And I want to get something else here
		1 1 2	too.
12	that material, it could be transported via any solid	12	
13	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste	13	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it
13 14	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded;	13 14	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects
13 14 15	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct?	13 14 15	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct?
13 14 15 16	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague	13 14 15 16	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct.
13 14 15 16 17	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so	13 14 15 16 17	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed
13 14 15 16 17 18	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.	13 14 15 16 17 18	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase. MR. STEEL: Okay.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase. MR. STEEL: Okay. MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct? MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase. MR. STEEL: Okay. MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase. BY MR. FASSBURG:	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure what (Pause.)
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, there's no prohibition at that point,</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure what (Pause.) Q. Sure.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, there's no prohibition at that point, no reason it can't be loaded in a 20-yard drop box;</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure what (Pause.) Q. Sure. If a different container was used to haul OCC
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase. MR. STEEL: Okay. MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>MS. Scott, there's no prohibition at that point, no reason it can't be loaded in a 20-yard drop box; correct?</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure what (Pause.) Q. Sure. If a different container was used to haul OCC rejects, a different loader could be used; correct?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>waste vehicle that is used to transport any other waste once it's been dried sufficiently to be safely loaded; correct?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I mean, that was a vague question and there's a lot packed in there, so</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: I'll rephrase.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, there's no prohibition at that point, no reason it can't be loaded in a 20-yard drop box;</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Bobcat can't reach your trailers; it can't it's not tall enough to load the OCC rejects into your trailer; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. So a different piece of equipment was needed based on the vehicle that was selected versus something unique about OCC rejects; correct? A. Can you repeat the question? I'm not sure what (Pause.) Q. Sure. If a different container was used to haul OCC

21 (Pages 102 to 105)

	Page 106	Page 108
1	on material.	1 quantity to haul; correct?
2	Q. Following that, on page 25, you stated, "Special	2 A. I would imagine, yes.
3	transportation, to keep up with volume, the OCC rejects	3 Q. And the issue with respect to OCC rejects at PCA
4	were transported in real time using 86-cubic-yard belt	4 specifically is specifically the material needs to be
5	trailers, which takes the waste directly to landfills.	5 moved in a way that doesn't create a danger outside
6	This allows Jammie's to efficiently load, haul, and	6 their plant; correct?
7	dispose of OCC rejects and return with an empty trailer	7 A. Yeah, I would say that's correct.
8	for further loading. Jammie's currently uses two belt	8 Q. And if it were being moved via a different
9	trailers to transport and dispose of the OCC rejects."	9 container type, because it had been sufficiently dried
10	Did I read that correctly?	10 so it could be loaded, as long as the material is being
11	A. Yes.	11 moved out quickly enough to prevent a danger, it does
12	Q. Now, you when you say "in real time," do I	12 not matter how it is loaded or how or what container
13	understand what you mean is you load the trailer and	13 it is loaded into; correct?
14	then it is immediately hauled to the landfill?	14 A. I would disagree with that. I mean, we do spend
15	A. Well, it definitely varies for the customer's	15 time processing the material, mixing the material,
16	needs. And I guess it would vary at times. We have	16 making sure that making sure that the wet and the dry
17	loaded the trailer and it's a Friday night, so we don't	are mixed together to where it's the moisture content
18	haul to the landfill. We would wait until Monday to	18 is such that you can load and transport it safely down
19	actually transport because the landfill has operating	19 the road. I mean, that is a big part of our process
20	hours.	20 that we do for PCA.
21	Q. And so you try to transport it immediately, but	<b>Q.</b> And my question was a little different, so maybe
22	you don't always?	22 it was a poor question.
23	A. Really it just varies for time and day and day	23 Once it's been mixed in a way that it could be
24	of the week, so (Pause.)	24 safely loaded, it does not matter how much material
25	Q. Now, if the reason it needs to be hauled quickly	25 there is if it's being moved in a quick enough time
	Page 107	Page 109
1	is to ensure there's more capacity for OCC rejects to be	1 frame to prevent a danger from occurring by it piling up
2	removed from the mill, would it matter if it is removed	2 at the facility; correct?
3	in real time via a 50-foot trailer versus multiple drop	3 A. Yes. That would be correct.
4	boxes moved in quick succession?	4 Q. Now, if the material had been sufficiently dried
5	A. So for us, to keep up with the waste that this	5 so it could have been loaded without leaking, this same
6	process produces, yeah, I mean, it is a pretty	6 OCC reject waste stream from PCA could hypothetically be
7	there's a lot of waste that gets generated through this	7 moved via drop boxes; correct?
8	operation, so I can't tell you how many yards or 20-yard	8 A. Yes. I think we've said that the material, when
9	boxes it would take to do what we do with our belt	<sup>9</sup> it's dry, can be moved in a drop box.
10	trailers on a daily basis, so (Pause.)	10 <b>Q.</b> And there's no reason in terms of special
11	Q. So but my question is a little bit more	<ul> <li>quantity, processing, special handling, or</li> <li>transportation, that as long as it is dry enough, Basin</li> </ul>
12 13	hypothetical.	
13 14	If you lined up three 20-yard drop boxes and loaded them and hauled them straight to the transfer	<ul> <li>Disposal also could have also hauled this material from</li> <li>PCA; correct?</li> </ul>
14 15	station, there's nothing special about the OCC rejects	15 A. That is correct.
16	that requires the use of a belt trailer; correct?	16 MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Judge, I think I'm
17	A. Correct.	17 done with this specific line of questions. I know you
18	Q. And then going back to page 24, you talk about	18 wanted to take a break around noon, so if now would be
19	the special quantity.	19 good for you, now would be fine for me.
20	If I understand correctly, the quantity that's	20 JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Thank you. I think
21	generated at PCA varies based on their own production;	21 this would be a good stopping point, if that works for
22	correct?	22 you. Let's return at let's say 1 p.m.
23	A. That is correct, yes.	23 Does that is that adequate time, or is that
24	Q. And there have been days where you haven't had	too much time? Do people do people have any concerns
25	to haul from PCA because there wasn't sufficient	25 with that?

22 (Pages 106 to 109)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

<b></b>			
	Page 110	Pa	age 112
1	MR. STEEL: That works for us, Your Honor.	1 A. Well, it really just kind of varies on the	
2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. I'm hearing no	2 services we're providing. I mean, if we're cleaning	ga
3	concerns. Let's plan on returning at 1 p.m.	3 stock tank or a chest, then that's quite a bit of	
4	(A break was taken from	4 material being removed, so I'm assuming it just w	as
5	12:08 p.m. to 1:02 p.m.)	5 pushed out into the area and loaded into BDI's bo	
6	JUDGE HOWARD: So let's be back on the	6 Q. Were you on site to observe this yourself?	
7	record. It's 1:03 p.m., we're returning from our lunch	7 A. I personally was not, no.	
8	break. We paused in the middle of the cross-examination	8 Q. Did, at that time, Jammie's provide drop b	oxes
9	of Jammie Scott. I have I neglected earlier to swear	9 or any other container for collection of OCC reje	
10	in the witness. I do apologize for that. Ms. Scott, I	10 A. No.	
11	will swear you in now, and I will ask that you affirm	11 Q. If you would, please look to exhibit and I	et
12	your earlier testimony.	me make sure I get you the right number JDS-24	
13	Would you please raise your right hand.	13 And for everyone following along, I'll proba	
14		14 refer to the file numbers in the native format file	-
15	JAMMIE SCOTT, having been duly sworn by the	15 you have those available because those have ou	
16	Arbitrator, testified as follows:	16 timestamp. If you need me to reference the other	
17		17 ordering of them, I certainly can try.	
18	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	18         And I'll refer you first to the file that has	
19	Mr. Fassburg, you may continue.	19 file or the digital file has Label 0001.jpeg.	
20	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor.	20 MR. STEEL: Blair, do you by chance have	e the
21	BY MR. FASSBURG:	21 page number? We just have the printed PDF one	
22	Q. Ms. Scott, I may skip around a little bit today,	<ul><li>timestamp that Basin provided.</li></ul>	
23	so I'll try to give you an explanation if you don't	23 MR. FASSBURG: Yeah, so I'm going to t	rv to
24	follow me, but I'll start by going back to some topics	find that for you because I want everyone on the	-
25	earlier or at least one. I realize there's one question	25 literal page. Unfortunately, I don't have that open	
	Page 111	Pa	age 113
1			age 113
1 2	l didn't ask you.	1 Let me get to it.	age 113
		<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> </ol>	
2	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> </ol>	these
2 3	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> </ol>	these document
2 3 4	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> </ol>	these document these
2 3 4 5	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> </ol>	these document these
2 3 4 5 6	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> </ol>	these document these ose
2 3 4 5 6 7	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's? A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> </ol>	these document these ose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's? A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> </ol>	these document these ose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's? A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> <li>me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.</li> </ol>	these document these ose et
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I didn't ask you. With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's? A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> <li>me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.</li> </ol>	these document these ose et set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> <li>me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF</li> </ol>	these document these ose et set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> <li>me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF</li> <li>where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the</li> </ol>	these document these ose et set date and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Let me get to it.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of</li> <li>questions, it may be simpler to work from that of</li> <li>because I'm not concerned with the dates from</li> <li>documents so let me just open it up and use th</li> <li>numbers.</li> <li>Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I</li> <li>me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF</li> <li>where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the</li> <li>time or the other</li> </ol>	these document these ose et set date and ne set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use th         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th	these document these ose et set date and ne set t get page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use th         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn'r         16       numbers and they were served in native formation	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those c. derstand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so the         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native formator         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those c. derstand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Back when Basin Disposal was providing primary</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?         21       MR. FASSBURG: Yes.	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those c. derstand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Back when Basin Disposal was providing primary OCC rejects service to the mill before Jammie's was</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?         21       MR. FASSBURG: Yes.         22       So for clarity for everybody, these were	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those c. derstand on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Back when Basin Disposal was providing primary OCC rejects service to the mill before Jammie's was involved in transporting it for disposal, what was</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?         21       MR. FASSBURG: Yes.         22       So for clarity for everybody, these were         23       produced to us I think the date before the deact </th <th>these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those derstand on.</th>	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those derstand on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Back when Basin Disposal was providing primary OCC rejects service to the mill before Jammie's was involved in transporting it for disposal, what was happening with the OCC rejects removed by Jammie's from</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use th         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn'n         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?         21       MR. FASSBURG: Yes.         22       So for clarity for everybody, these were         23       produced to us I think the date before the deace      <	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those derstand on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>I didn't ask you.</li> <li>With respect to the drop boxes you spot for customers at various industrial facilities around the state, are there materials placed in those drop boxes for disposal that are not collected on site and processed on site by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. No. In fact, when we are providing a drop box to a customer, the customer can't even put stuff in the box, I mean, from everything I produced from the projects we've been doing or working with.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, at PCA, Jammie's provides services inside the OCC plant that are cleaning services, if I understand; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. We do industrial cleaning in the OCC facility.</li> <li>Q. And if I understand your response testimony correctly, your cleaning inside the facility does ultimately remove some OCC rejects from the facility; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Back when Basin Disposal was providing primary OCC rejects service to the mill before Jammie's was involved in transporting it for disposal, what was</li> </ul>	1       Let me get to it.         2       MR. STEEL: We may pull it up too.         3       MR. FASSBURG: And for purposes of         4       questions, it may be simpler to work from that of         5       because I'm not concerned with the dates from         6       documents so let me just open it up and use the         7       numbers.         8       Okay. Let's start with page 26. And just I         9       me know when you're there, Ms. Scott.         10       THE WITNESS: I'm here, I think.         11       MR. STEEL: So, Blair, this is the PDF         12       where BDI, I think you guys superimposed the         13       time or the other         14       MR. FASSBURG: So perhaps I so th         15       that has the superimposed date and time didn't         16       numbers and they were served in native forma         17       I would reference specifically by their file name         18       JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, I un         19       you to be referring to page 26 of JDS production         20       Am I right there?         21       MR. FASSBURG: Yes.         22       So for clarity for everybody, these were         23       produced to us I think the date before the deact </th <th>these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those derstand on.</th>	these document these ose et set date and he set t get page t, so those derstand on.

23 (Pages 110 to 113)

	Page 114	Page 11	6
1	we don't have to look at the file properties to	1 from the Sebright from the facility?	
2	determine when the pictures were taken, so we have two	2 A. We do not.	
3	different sets of the same pictures. One has page	3 <b>Q.</b> What about the sedamater (phonetic), if I'm	
4	numbers, and one is in native format and would only have	4 saying that name correctly, does Jammie's operate the	at
5	a file label as opposed to a page number.	5 piece of equipment?	
6	MR. STEEL: Does it has a picture of a	6 A. We do not.	
7	ladder on it?	7 <b>Q.</b> Does Jammie's operate any of the equipment	
8	MR. FASSBURG: The one I'm looking at is a	8 inside the mill by which OCC rejects are generated?	
9	Bobcat with a motor bucket laying on the ground.	9 A. We do not operate any of that equipment.	
10	MR. STEEL: Okay. All right.	10 Q. And all of that equipment generates the vast	
11	BY MR. FASSBURG:	11 majority of the OCC rejects that are generated at PCA;	
12	Q. Ms. Scott, is this Bobcat that is in that	12 correct?	
13	particular page 26 of Exhibit JDS-24X, is this a piece	13 A. That is my understanding, yes.	
14	of equipment that Jammie's operates?	14 Q. And so the OCC rejects that are removed from the	ne
15	A. Jammie's does not operate this Bobcat.	15 mill by Jammie's cleaning inside the mill, do you have	
16	Q. Okay. And is it your understanding this Bobcat	16 any quantification as to what percentage of the total	
17	is used by PCA to remove OCC rejects from the mill?	17 rejects that creates?	
18	A. That is my understanding, yes.	18 A. Well, that's kind of a two-part question. It	
19	Q. Okay. And I believe if you look to page 27, the	19 depends on how the process is running. When we're in	
20	next page, there's a picture of a person. It appears to	20 there assisting the customer, their process is generally	
21	be a person inside a BDI drop box.	21 not running properly, so I don't I couldn't tell you	
22	Are you on that page?	22 how much material, when we clean the chest or we unplu	ıg
23	A. Yes, I am, and that is what it is.	a line or we vacuum out an area, that it would vary on	
24	Q. Would this have been a Jammie's employee	any given project in there.	
25	removing OCC rejects from that bucket?	<b>Q. If I understand you correctly, is Jammie's not</b>	
	D 11F	D	
	Page 115	Page 11	
			_ /
1	A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.	1 inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?	_ /
2	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> </ol>	_ /
2 3	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> </ol>	_ /
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> </ul>	_ /
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> </ul>	_ /
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> </ol>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleanin</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleanin</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleanin</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> <li>its cleaning services to PCA on a monthly basis?</li> <li>A. Some projects, yes. Some on a project basis,</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. As part of the process.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> <li>its cleaning services to PCA on a monthly basis?</li> <li>A. Some projects, yes. Some on a project basis,</li> <li>some on a it really just depends on the project.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. As part of the process.</li> <li>Q. So with respect to the other components of their process, the what about the Sebright; do you operate the Sebright in any way?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleanin</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> <li>its cleaning services to PCA on a monthly basis?</li> <li>A. Some projects, yes. Some on a project basis,</li> <li>some on a it really just depends on the project.</li> <li>Q. So in page 1 of Exhibit JDS-20, would this be</li> <li>your invoice for the entire month of August?</li> <li>A. In my opinion, this would be an invoice for this</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. As part of the process.</li> <li>Q. So with respect to the other components of their process, the what about the Sebright; do you operate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleaning</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> <li>its cleaning services to PCA on a monthly basis?</li> <li>A. Some projects, yes. Some on a project basis,</li> <li>some on a it really just depends on the project.</li> <li>Q. So in page 1 of Exhibit JDS-20, would this be</li> <li>your invoice for the entire month of August?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. That is not a Jammie's employee, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 28, this what is this picture? Do you know?</li> <li>A. That is part of the process, the OCC rejects process.</li> <li>Wait, are you talking about the orange clamp?</li> <li>Q. Well, I'm asking you what it is. Do you know? That's the correct page. What is that device?</li> <li>A. That is part of the OCC processing system.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is that piece of machinery operated by Jammie's or PCA?</li> <li>A. PCA.</li> <li>Q. And is that piece of equipment used to removed solid waste from the junk tower?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And is that solid waste removed from the OCC plant by PCA?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA is operating this piece of equipment.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. As part of the process.</li> <li>Q. So with respect to the other components of their process, the what about the Sebright; do you operate the Sebright in any way?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inside the OCC plant on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. We are in the OCC facility, yes, on a daily</li> <li>basis. We do not provide cleaning services in the OCC</li> <li>facility on a daily basis. We do it as needed when the</li> <li>customer needs it.</li> <li>Q. You supplied in your response testimony or with</li> <li>your response testimony some invoices for your cleanin</li> <li>services. Let's see if I can find that exhibit number.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I believe we're looking at</li> <li>JDS-20?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: That is correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>Thank you. That's what I was just about to say.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Great.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Are you there, Ms. Scott?</li> <li>A. I'm there.</li> <li>Q. Do I understand correctly that Jammie's invoices</li> <li>its cleaning services to PCA on a monthly basis?</li> <li>A. Some projects, yes. Some on a project basis,</li> <li>some on a it really just depends on the project.</li> <li>Q. So in page 1 of Exhibit JDS-20, would this be</li> <li>your invoice for the entire month of August?</li> <li>A. In my opinion, this would be an invoice for this</li> </ul>	

24 (Pages 114 to 117)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 118	Page 120
1	drains.	1 were actually up and running, videoing lines to make
2	Q. In this Exhibit JDS-20, did you produce all of	2 sure they were unplugged.
3	your invoices for cleaning for the date range that these	3 <b>Q.</b> I think we can stop there for now.
4	represent?	4 A. Pressure washing areas.
5	A. Can you please repeat your question? I'm not	5 Okay.
6	sure what you're	6 Q. Do any of the services you've just described
7	Q. Sure. So if we flip through these pages,	7 generate significant quantities of OCC rejects?
8	starting on page 1, these invoice dates start August	8 A. We're not generating the rejects; we're removing
9	2020, and on page 26, it ends on April 15th, 2022.	9 the rejects from the areas that we're cleaning.
10	Does this exhibit represent all of your invoices	10 Q. Okay. Thank you.
11	for cleaning between those two dates?	11 And do you have any idea of what the quantities
12	And let me be clear, cleaning OCC plant at PCA.	12 are of those rejects that you're removing from the areas
13	A. Cleaning the OCC plant, well, I don't see the	13 that you're cleaning?
14	April one. I see my last one is through March 10th, but	14 A. I couldn't tell you right off the top of my
15	yeah, this is all different work we do inside the	15 head, no.
16	facility.	16 Q. Then I'll move on just a little bit.
17	Q. And is this all of the invoices in that date	17 When Jammie's was first asked to provide any
18	range?	18 service relating to transportation of OCC rejects at the
19	A. I would assume, yes.	19 mill, do you have any understanding of who had been
20	Q. Okay.	20 removing all of the OCC rejects from the building in
21	A. I produced everything, so (Pause.)	21 terms of the actual generation as opposed to the
22	Q. So if we start on the first page, it appears	22 cleaning?
23	that actually, you know what, let's skip forward a	A. So I'm assuming you're asking me, yes, PCA
24	little bit to when they were actually operating the	24 pushes the material out from the building. Is that what
25	plant.	25 you're
	Devr. 110	
	Page 119	Page 121
1		
1 2	If you'll turn to page 9.	
		1 Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's
2	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go	1 Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's 2 removing the material from the building?
2 3	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5.	<ol> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> </ol>
2 3 4	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly	<ol> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th,	<ol> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021?	<ol> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th?	<ol> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes.	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct?	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes.	1Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's2removing the material from the building?3A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the4building.5Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was6cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of7the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove8the material from the building, or did PCA?9A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's10generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so11(Pause.)12Q. When the material was removed from the building,13who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?14A. PCA loaded it into the containers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward.	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there.	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> <li>rejects?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021?	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> <li>rejects?</li> <li>A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> <li>rejects?</li> <li>A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in</li> <li>removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of different services we were providing. We had a vacuum	1Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's1Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's2removing the material from the building?3A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the4building.5Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was6cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of7the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove8the material from the building, or did PCA?9A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's10generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so11(Pause.)12Q. When the material was removed from the building,13who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?14A. PCA loaded it into the containers.15Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via16what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC17rejects?18A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in19removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?20Q. If that's the first time you started providing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of different services we were providing. We had a vacuum truck in there. We had a vacuum truck in quite a bit.	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> <li>rejects?</li> <li>A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in</li> <li>removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?</li> <li>Q. If that's the first time you started providing</li> <li>transfer of OCC rejects.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of different services we were providing. We had a vacuum truck in there. We had a vacuum truck in quite a bit. It looks like we had cleaning up stock. It looks like a	1       Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's         2       removing the material from the building?         3       A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the         4       building.         5       Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was         6       cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of         7       the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove         8       the material from the building, or did PCA?         9       A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's         10       generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so         11       (Pause.)         12       Q. When the material was removed from the building,         13       who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?         14       A. PCA loaded it into the containers.         15       Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via         16       what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC         17       rejects?         18       A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in         19       removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?         20       Q. If that's the first time you started providing         21       transfer of OCC rejects.         22       A. Yes. That is what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of different services we were providing. We had a vacuum truck in there. We had a vacuum truck in quite a bit. It looks like we had cleaning up stock. It looks like a lot of miscellaneous vacuum services.	<ul> <li>Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's</li> <li>removing the material from the building?</li> <li>A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the</li> <li>building.</li> <li>Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was</li> <li>cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of</li> <li>the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove</li> <li>the material from the building, or did PCA?</li> <li>A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's</li> <li>generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When the material was removed from the building,</li> <li>who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?</li> <li>A. PCA loaded it into the containers.</li> <li>Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via</li> <li>what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC</li> <li>rejects?</li> <li>A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in</li> <li>removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?</li> <li>Q. If that's the first time you started providing</li> <li>transfer of OCC rejects.</li> <li>A. Yes. That is what we provided to remove the</li> <li>material, to assist in removing the material.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you'll turn to page 9. Actually, we can back up a little bit. Let's go to page 5. Do I understand reading this invoice correctly that you provided cleaning services on March 5th, 6th, and 7th of 2021? A. Correct. Q. And then the next date on which you provided services was March 12th? A. Yes. Q. And then it appears there are several more days in a row that you provided cleaning in March; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now let's move forward. I'm sorry. Let me stop back there. What types of cleaning services were you providing in March of 2021? A. According to the invoices, there was a couple of different services we were providing. We had a vacuum truck in there. We had a vacuum truck in quite a bit. It looks like we had cleaning up stock. It looks like a	1       Q. Well, that's what I'm asking; is it PCA that's         2       removing the material from the building?         3       A. Yes. PCA pushes the material out of the         4       building.         5       Q. And at that time, Jammie's when Jammie's was         6       cleaning the interior or cleaning various components of         7       the building, did you did Jammie's actually remove         8       the material from the building, or did PCA?         9       A. That would be a better question for Owen. He's         10       generally on site. I'm not generally on site, so         11       (Pause.)         12       Q. When the material was removed from the building,         13       who loaded it into BDI containers at that time?         14       A. PCA loaded it into the containers.         15       Q. When Jammie's first started working at PCA, via         16       what type of equipment did Jammie's transport OCC         17       rejects?         18       A. When we first provided a dump truck to assist in         19       removing the piles; is that what you're asking, or?         20       Q. If that's the first time you started providing         21       transfer of OCC rejects.         22       A. Yes. That is what we

25 (Pages 118 to 121)

	Page 122		Page 124
1	A. PCA was pushing the material out of the	1	apologize for the delay. I just want to make sure I get
2	building.	2	you to the right page, Ms. Davis.
3	Q. And who was who was loading Jammie's dump	3	JUDGE HOWARD: Is it possibly page 31 of
4	trucks?	4	JDS-IT?
5	A. PCA loaded our dump trucks initially.	5	MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I think that's correct.
б	Q. Do you recall the date on which you first	6	Thank you, Your Honor.
7	started providing that service?	7	BY MR. FASSBURG:
8	A. Late May.	8	Q. Ms. Scott, you testified here that you reached
9	Q. Do you know when PCA started or I'm sorry.	9	out to the Commission staff, and on line 7, you
10	When did Jammie's start loading the material,	10	testified, after discussing the work with Commission
11	itself?	11	staff, I was confident that Jammie's met the exemptions
12	A. Late June, beginning of July.	12	under WAC 480-70-111(g), which staff confirmed.
13	Q. Do you recall the reason why Jammie's started	13	Did I read that correctly?
14	providing the loading as opposed to PCA?	14	A. Can you tell me what page you're on? I didn't
15	A. Well, I believe at that time is when we started	15	hear that.
16	managing the material for PCA. We would mix it, make	16	Q. It's page 31, and it's beginning on line 7.
17	sure that the moisture content was that it could be	17	You testify there, "After discussing the work
18	transferred without making a mess and safely down the	18	with Commission staff, I was confident Jammie's met the
19	road.	19	exemption under WAC 480-70-111(g), which staff
20	Q. So when PCA started I'm sorry. When Jammie's	20	confirmed."
21	started, as you called it, managing the OCC rejects,	21	Did I read that correctly?
22	loading it, did you also load BDI's drop boxes?	22	A. Yes, you did read that correctly.
23	A. We did not. Not to my knowledge, no.	23	Q. And when you receive Ms. McPherson's e-mail to
24	Q. So was it PCA that continued to load BDI's drop	24	you, did you read the entire e-mail?
25	boxes at that point in time?	25	A. I did. The initial e-mail, or?
	Page 123		Page 125
1	A. That is my understanding.	1	Q. The e-mail you're referring to there which is in
2	Q. Is there any reason you would not have loaded	2	Exhibit JDS-16?
3	BDI's drop boxes at that time?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. They're not my boxes to load, so (Pause.)	4	Q. So did you read the entire e-mail?
5	Q. So if I understand correctly, Jammie's mixed the	5	MR. STEEL: Blair, is there an exhibit you
6	materials and loaded its own vehicles, but PCA continued	6	want Ms. Scott to look at? I think if there's a
7	to load BDI's drop boxes, is that what you're saying, at	7	document, we should have the document up, so it seems
8	that point in time?	8	MR. FASSBURG: And I just gave the exhibit
9	A. That would be a better question directed to PCA.	9	number. It's JDS-16.
10	It's not to my knowledge, we loaded our equipment.	10	MR. STEEL: All right. So would you like
11	We mixed the material and load it into our haul	11	her to turn there?
12	trailers.	12	JUDGE HOWARD: Are we actually discussing
13	Q. Is there any other than whatever reason PCA	13	JDS-15, Mr. Fassburg?
14	may have, is there any let me rephrase that.	14	MR. FASSBURG: Well, it may also be in
15	Is there anything special about the material	15	JDS-15, but I see what I'm looking for in JDS-16.
16	itself for the process Jammie's was using that would	16	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Sorry.
17	have meant could not have gone into BDI's drop boxes at	17	MR. FASSBURG: So, Mr. Steel, just to make
18 10	that time?	18	sure I think Judge Howard had a good point there. I
19 20	A. Not that I know of.	19	think the language I'm looking for is not the e-mail to
20	Q. I would like to move on a little bit.	20 21	Ms. Scott. That was language in the e-mail but not the
21 22	You provided testimony in your initial testimony	21	e-mail to Ms. Scott.
22	about reaching out to the UTC staff and the e-mail that you received from Kathryn McPherson. I believe that	22	BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. So yes, it is JDS Exhibit 15, if you'll please
23 24	would be on page 33.	23	turn to that.
24 25	I'm sorry. That is not the correct page. I	25	A. Okay.
20			/ Chay.

26 (Pages 122 to 125)

1Q. And when you received these e-mails from Ms.1something you're pointing to2McPherson, did you read the entire e-mail?2BY MR. FASSBURG:3A. I read both e-mails, but I'm not sure which one3Q. Ms. Scott, will you please turn to page 3 of4you're referring to right now.4your testimony. And this is Exhibit JDS-1, just to be5Q. Did you read all of the e-mail on January 20th?5clear.6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.7"Lastly, while Jammie's is well qualified to provide to8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14	ss C DCC er and
2McPherson, did you read the entire e-mail?2BY MR. FASSBURG:3A. I read both e-mails, but I'm not sure which one3Q. Ms. Scott, will you please turn to page 3 of4you're referring to right now.4your testimony. And this is Exhibit JDS-1, just to be5Q. Did you read all of the e-mail on January 20th?5Clear.6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
3A. I read both e-mails, but I'm not sure which one3Q. Ms. Scott, will you please turn to page 3 of4you're referring to right now.4your testimony. And this is Exhibit JDS-1, just to be5Q. Did you read all of the e-mail on January 20th?5clear.6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.7"Lastly, while Jammie's is well qualified to provide to8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
4you're referring to right now.4your testimony. And this is Exhibit JDS-1, just to be clear.5Q. Did you read all of the e-mail on January 20th?5clear.6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
5Q. Did you read all of the e-mail on January 20th?5clear.6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.7"Lastly, while Jammie's is well qualified to provide to8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
6A. Yes.6On page 3, starting at line 12, you testified,7Q. Will you turn to page 3.7"Lastly, while Jammie's is well qualified to provide to8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14Component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
7Q. Will you turn to page 3.7"Lastly, while Jammie's is well qualified to provide to8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14Component of the myriad of services it provides."	ss C DCC er and
8Did you read the language that states, "This8service to PCA and I believe should be granted a Cla9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14	ss C DCC er and
9e-mail," slash, "letter states the informal opinions of commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and are not intended as legal advice"?9certificate if the Commission deems a certificate is necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the 1111are not intended as legal advice"?10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the 1112A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad of services it provides."14Q. And did you read at the time that it14	DCC er and
10commissioned staff offered as technical assistance and are not intended as legal advice"?10necessary, Jammie's maintains its position that the 1111are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation und 1212A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one13Q. And did you read at the time that it14Component of the myriad of services it provides."	er and
11are not intended as legal advice"?11reject service should be exempt from regulation under the service should be ex	er and
12A. Okay. I probably did read that, but I can see12WAC 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	and
13that now.13disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one14Q. And did you read at the time that it14component of the myriad of services it provides."	
14 Q. And did you read at the time that it 14 component of the myriad of services it provides."	ļ
	;
15 additionally states, "We reserve the right to amend 15 Did I read that correct?	<b>}</b>
16     these opinions should circumstances change or additional     16     A. Yes.	•
10       information be brought to our attention"?       17       Q. And those myriad of services that it provides	<del>)</del>
	;
20 A. I'm sure I read it at the time. I mean, this is 20 A. That is initially how I looked at the whole	
21     all new to me, so (Pause.)     21     situation, yes.       22     0     And your entries under the Commission	
22 Q. And you understood from her the last sentence 22 Q. Okay. In your opinion, under the Commission	
23       there in her e-mail, "Staff's opinions are not binding       23       exemption rule, should a company be authorized to         24       on the Commission" second temperature       on the Commission in the commissin the commin the commission in the commission in the commission i	
<ul> <li>on the Commission"; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, actually, I was reading the content of her</li> <li><i>industrial facility so long as it provides other</i></li> </ul>	iy
A. Well, actually, I was reading the content of her 25 industrial facility so long as it provides other	
Page 127 Page	129
1 e-mail more so than the signature there, but I guess 1 services unrelated to solid waste at the mill or I'm	
2 MR. STEEL: I was just going to say, the 2 sorry, at the industrial facility?	
3 document speaks for itself, so (Pause.) 3 MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. That's an	
4 MR. FASSBURG: My question was whether she 4 awfully vague question, also calls for a legal	
5 read it. 5 conclusion.	
6 MR. STEEL: I think she said she did. 6 MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, Ms I'm just	
7 THE WITNESS: I did read it, of course. I 7 asking Ms. Scott about her own testimony.	
8 read the content of the e-mail. 8 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat	
9 MR. FASSBURG: Okay. 9 MR. STEEL: Please. Yeah.	
10 THE WITNESS: I didn't focus on the last 10 JUDGE HOWARD: I will I will allow the	
11 line for sure, no, but that's understood. 11 guestion.	
12 BY MR. FASSBURG: 12 You may need to repeat the question.	
13 Q. You understood at the time that the opinion was 13 BY MR. FASSBURG:	
14 rendered, that it may not be the final answer as to 14 Q. Ms. Scott, is it your testimony that if a	
15 whether or not Jammie's is operating under an exemption; 15 company provides solid waste collection and	
16 correct? 16 transportation services to an industrial facility, that	
17 A. Correct. Yeah, I reached out to the UTC for 17 activity would be exempt under Commission rule so	ong
18 their advice. I never felt this would have been a 18 as it provides other unrelated services to the same	•
19 regulated waste, so (Pause.) 19 facility?	
20 Q. The reason you believed it was not a regulated 20 A. I felt that the services we were providing was	
waste, you believe because you're providing a myriad of 21 incidental to the managing of the waste for the	
22 services to PCA, that additional services to provide 22 customer.	
<ul> <li>solid waste collection are exempt; is that correct?</li> <li>Q. You just testified a moment ago that the myria</li> </ul>	I
24 MR. STEEL: Object. I think that 24 of services included all of the services you were	
25 mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony. If there's 25 providing, and I want to know, are those services or	es.

27 (Pages 126 to 129)

· ·	Page 130	Page 132
1	that make solid waste collection incidental?	1 A. First off, you couldn't haul the material as is
2	A. No. Not in this case, no.	2 coming out of the process area. It was way too wet. So
3	Q. So your testimony is that the cleaning services	3 we were trying to provide an effective solution to our
4	that you provide and the managing services that you	4 customer's needs. The amount of material coming out of
5	provide are what make the solid waste collection	5 there is constantly changing, so we were just trying to
6	incidental?	6 assist the customer in providing a service to help
7	A. In the OCC area or in the I mean, you're	7 maintain the material coming out of this area.
8	asking specifically in this area; correct?	8 <b>Q.</b> Now, prior to the time that you provided that
9	Q. I'm trying to understand your testimony. Is it	<ul> <li>service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects, is it your</li> </ul>
10	your testimony that the solid waste collection and	10 understanding that PCA was removing the material
11	transportation is incidental to only the cleaning and	11 straight from the plant and putting it directly into
12	only the mixing of wet and dry OCC rejects at the	12 Basin's drop boxes?
13	facility?	13 A. That is what it appeared to be, yes.
14	-	14 <b>Q.</b> And so if I understand you correctly, that
15	A. Yes. And so just for clarity, you're not including	15 material was way too wet to be hauled when loaded into
16	Q. And so just for clarity, you're not including any consideration of all of the other services you	16 BDI's drop boxes in that condition?
17	provided to the mill when you opine that it is	17 A. The material was wet for sure, yes.
18	incidental to the services you provide?	18 Q. Sure. My question, just to be clear, is a 19 little bit more specific.
19	A. Can you repeat your question? I'm sorry. I'm	·
20	trying to understand where you're coming, you know.	20 It was way to wet to be hauled after being
21	Q. Did you understand my question?	21 loaded in that condition by PCA into BDI's drop boxes;
22	A. No. Would you repeat it, please.	22 correct?
23	Q. So you when you talk about what is incidental	A. In my opinion, yes. But I don't know of BDI's
24	in your opinion, just to be clear, you do not believe	24 capabilities on this matter, so (Pause.)
25	that it is incidental to the services you provide	25 <b>Q. Well, you would agree that if it was too wet to</b>
	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} = 131$	Dago 177
	Page 131	Page 133
1	outside of the OCC plant?	1 haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public
1 2		<ol> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> </ol>
	outside of the OCC plant?	1 haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public
2	outside of the OCC plant? A. That is correct, yes.	<ol> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> </ol>
2 3	<ul><li>outside of the OCC plant?</li><li>A. That is correct, yes.</li><li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you</li></ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the service of mixing OCC rejects at PCA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> <li>fact, provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC rejects</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the service of mixing OCC rejects at PCA?</li> <li>A. About the time we put the loader in there. I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> <li>fact, provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC rejects</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the service of mixing OCC rejects at PCA?</li> <li>A. About the time we put the loader in there. I want to say late June, beginning of July. I can go back</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> <li>fact, provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC rejects</li> <li>and then place them into Basin's drop boxes for</li> <li>disposal; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the service of mixing OCC rejects at PCA?</li> <li>A. About the time we put the loader in there. I want to say late June, beginning of July. I can go back and look, but (Pause.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> <li>fact, provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC rejects</li> <li>and then place them into Basin's drop boxes for</li> <li>disposal; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't see why. Yes, they can haul dry</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>outside of the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. That is correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to the services that you provide at the OCC plant and only with respect to those services, is it true that you provided service to collect and dispose of OCC rejects prior to the time you provided mixing services?</li> <li>A. That is correct. We in May, we provided a dump pump to assist our customer in getting rid of the vast amount of piles that were built up along the building in the OCC area.</li> <li>Q. And subsequent to that is when you started to providing a loading service; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. After that.</li> <li>Q. And it was only after that that you began providing the service to mix the wet and dry OCC rejects at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. When in time did Jammie's start to provide the service of mixing OCC rejects at PCA?</li> <li>A. About the time we put the loader in there. I want to say late June, beginning of July. I can go back and look, but (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. And why is it that you began to mix the wet and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>haul, it could not be legally hauled over the public</li> <li>roadways by BDI after the material has been placed into</li> <li>a drop box in that condition; correct?</li> <li>A. I would not haul that material in the manner</li> <li>that it comes out of the process area, no.</li> <li>Q. If PCA were to decide tomorrow that it was going</li> <li>to hire a different contractor to mix the wet and dry</li> <li>OCC rejects, do you still believe that your service</li> <li>would be incidental.</li> <li>I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.</li> <li>Do you believe your transportation of OCC</li> <li>rejects would be incidental?</li> <li>A. So if PCA hired another a company to come in</li> <li>and mix their waste, do I feel like us hauling would be</li> <li>incidental? No, I do not. I feel like that's a big</li> <li>portion of what we're doing for our customer, managing</li> <li>their waste stream that's coming out of this process</li> <li>area.</li> <li>Q. And although you did not do it, you could, in</li> <li>fact, provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC rejects</li> <li>and then place them into Basin's drop boxes for</li> <li>disposal; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't see why. Yes, they can haul dry</li> <li>material. I don't I feel like that would be very</li> </ul>

28 (Pages 130 to 133)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

,	Page 134	Page 136
1	customer to decide, not me.	1 How many times were you personally at the OCC
2	Q. But in terms of the overall process, there's	2 plant in the range of March to June of 2021?
3	no no logistical reason why the company who does the	3 A. I was not on site during that time.
4	mixing also has to do the transportation; correct?	4 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the issues
5	A. I feel that the company that does the mixing and	5 we have I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.
6	managing of the waste material is there on a regular	6 Do you have any personal knowledge of how many
7	basis to determine what the customer needs. I don't	7 containers BDI supplied to PCA in March of 2021?
8	feel it would be effective for one company to mix, one	8 A. My knowledge of that is not from being on site.
9	company to load, and one company to haul, but, I mean,	<ul> <li>9 It's from talking to customers and my other employers</li> </ul>
10	that's just my personal opinion.	10 that were on site, yes.
11	Q. Is there any reason why the company that does	10         Interview of site, yes.           11         Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of what
12		
13	the mixing and the loading could not call a solid waste collection company and advise them when containers are	12planning went into how the OCC plant would start and how13PCA determined its waste disposal needs?
14		
14	full and ready to be hauled?	
	A. I feel like that would put the customer right	
16	back in the situation they were in initially when the	16 PCA and the disposal facility.
17	piles were mounding up everywhere, but (Pause.)	17 Q. So all of your knowledge is based on reviewing
18	Q. My question is a little different.	18 documents?
19	A. Okay. I'm just trying to	19 MR. STEEL: Objection; that mischaracterizes
20	Q. No, no, I'll rephrase it.	20 testimony.
21	A. Okay. Thank you.	21 JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant that
22	Q. Irrespective of Basin Disposal in this case, is	22 objection.
23	there any reason why at PCA's facility, one contractor	23 BY MR. FASSBURG:
24 25	couldn't provide a service to mix wet and dry OCC	24 Q. To be clear, Ms. Scott, did you have any
25	rejects, load them into drop boxes, and then dispatch	25 conversation with Basin in March 2021 regarding how many
	Page 135	Page 137
1	the local solid waste collection company to haul them?	1 containers it was providing?
2	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, this is asked and	2 A. Did I have a conversation with Basin? No, I did
3	answered. Counsel has asked multiple versions of his	3 not.
4	question now repeatedly.	4 Q. Did you have a conversation with PCA at that
5	MR. FASSBURG: That's not accurate. She	5 time regarding how many containers it was providing?
6	didn't answer my question. She answered that she didn't	6 A. I did not.
7	think Basin could do it, and that's not the specific	7 Q. Did you have any conversations with either Basin
8	question I'm asking.	8 or PCA regarding who made the decision on how to haul
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not	9 solid waste from PCA?
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry. You have to wait a	10 A. I was not involved in any of those
11	minute for my ruling.	11 communications between PCA and the disposal facility, if
12	THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor.	12 that's what you're asking.
13	JUDGE HOWARD: It's okay.	13 Q. Did you have any part personally in cost
14	I do feel like this question is phrased slightly	14 analyses for what would be the most cost effective way
15	differently, and I will allow this question.	15 for disposal prior to when the plant opened?
16	THE WITNESS: So technically, yes, you could	16 A. No, I do not.
17	have one company mixing the material. You could have	17 Q. Did you have any personal involvement in
18	one company loading the material. And you could have	18 determining what size of container to use?
19	one company hauling the material.	A. Can you rephrase that? I mean, I are you
20	BY MR. FASSBURG:	20 talking between PCA and the disposal facility, BDI, or
21	Q. Sure. And you could have one company that does	21 myself and PCA?
22	mixing, loading, and dispatching; correct?	22 Q. I'll make the question more specific.
23	A. You could definitely do that.	23 Were you personally involved in any way in the
	-	
24 25	Q. How many times have you I'm sorry. Let me start over.	24decision-making process for selecting a drop box25container size for hauling OCC rejects from PCA at the

29 (Pages 134 to 137)

	Page 138		Page 140
1	time its operations started in March 2021?	1	Q. Turning to the next page, page 11, you were
2	A. I was not.	2	asked, "Was BDI able to adequately dispose of the OCC
3	Q. Did you have any conversations with PCA in that	3	rejects."
4	time frame regarding those decision-making processes?	4	The answer: "Based on our observations and in
5	A. I did not.	5	conversations with PCA, no. Shortly after OCC
6	Q. Were you involved in planning the OCC reject	6	production began and the OCC facility began generating
7	disposal in any way in 2021, prior to May?	7	rejects, it was evident that BDI was having problems
8	A. I was not involved in the disposal portion of	8	keeping up with the OCC rejects due to large piles of
9	that, no.	9	uncollected OCC rejects that were piled up against the
10	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of what type	10	OCC buildings and scattered all over the OCC facility
11	of equipment is available to Basin Disposal outside of a	11	yard."
12	single discovery request and answer?	12	Did I read that correctly?
13	A. Are you asking if I know what BDI has?	13	A. Yes, you did.
14	Q. Yes.	14	Q. When did you first see OCC rejects piled up in
15	A. I don't know what all of it they have.	15	the yard?
16	Q. Do you know anything about BDI's affiliates?	16	A. When did I first see or hear about it? Because,
17	A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last part.	17	again, I was not on site during that time.
18	Q. Do you know anything about BDI's affiliated	18	Q. When did you first see it?
19	entities?	19	A. Through pictures or through personally being on
20	A. Such as their transfer station, or?	20	site?
21	Q. At all.	21	Q. When did you first see it in pictures?
22	A. Not really technically, no. I mean, I I know	22	A. I don't know. It built up quite fast. I mean,
23	what I see them doing. I see them on the road hauling.	23	I would say around the end of April. It was the
24	I've been to their transfer station before.	24	piles were pretty large.
25	Q. And so you don't know whether Basin's affiliated	25	Q. When did you first see them in person?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>trailers available for lease to Basin Disposal, should</li> <li>it need them for a specific customer; correct?</li> <li>A. No. I don't know why I should know that</li> <li>information.</li> <li>Q. Well, and you don't know what kind of loaders</li> <li>Basin Disposal has should they need them for a specific</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>site. Again, I have personal on site on a regular basis, on a daily basis for the most part, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. When did you first see OCC rejects inside a Basin container?</li> <li>A. I did not officially see OCC rejects inside a Basin container personal basis</li> </ul>
	customer; correct? A. I do not.		Basin container personally. Q. So you have no knowledge of how long OCC rejects
9 10	Q. I would like to ask you some questions about	9 10	sat in the Basin container wet, drying, waiting to be
11	your testimony beginning on page 10 of JDS-1T.	11	hauled at all; correct?
12	Are you there on page 10?	12	MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. It's
13	A. I am, yes.	13	mischaracterizing Ms. Scott's testimony.
14	Q. Beginning on line 12, you were asked, "Describe	14	MR. FASSBURG: I'm asking her a question.
15	how BDI disposed of the OCC rejects?"	15	THE WITNESS: I personally was not on site
16	And you answer, "BDI followed the same approach	16	at the facility oh, I'm sorry.
17	it uses for normal garbage services at the mill. BDI	17	JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, yes.
18	would drop 20-yard container bins off at the mill for	18	THE WITNESS: Sorry, Judge.
19	PCA to fill with the OCC rejects."	19	JUDGE HOWARD: It's okay.
20	Did I read that correctly?	20	I'm going to allow the question. Mr. Fassburg,
21	A. Yes.	21	you might need to re-ask it, unless the witness recalls
22	Q. You have no knowledge personally regarding who	22	it. Sorry.
23	determined that that would be the method that BDI would	23	THE WITNESS: Again, I personally was not on
24	use; correct?	24	site during this time to see any of the boxes.
25	A. Correct.	25	BY MR. FASSBURG:

30 (Pages 138 to 141)

	De 1140		De sec. 144
	Page 142		Page 144
1	Q. And so you have no personal knowledge whether	1	A. I do not.
2	dry boxes were sitting, waiting to be collected or if	2	Q. But you understand PCA was, in fact, doing that
3	the problem was purely that they were too wet to be	3	directly; correct?
4	hauled, as we discussed earlier; correct?	4	A. Correct.
5	A. Correct. I was not on site during that time,	5	Q. And do you have any personal knowledge of the
6	so (Pause.)	6	agreement between PCA and BDI as to who would load BDI's
7	Q. Now, if if OCC rejects were being loaded into	7	drop boxes?
8	Basin's drop boxes directly from the mill without a	8	A. Again, I was not involved in any meetings
9	process for mixing and drying them first, do you have	9	between PCA and BDI in regards to this waste, so
10	any idea of how long it would then take for that	10	(Pause.)
11	material to dry before it could be hauled?	11	Q. But you but you understand PCA was doing the
12	A. I do not have no.	12	loading of BDI's drop boxes; correct?
13	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of whether	13	A. I do understand that, yes.
14	there was any absorbent material available to PCA to mix	14	Q. And you don't have any personal knowledge of
15	with its OCC rejects to dry out the material at that	15	whether PCA asked BDI to take over the responsibilities
16	time?	16	of removing the material, mixing it or loading it, do
17	A. I do not.	17	you?
18	Q. Do you know whether anybody made that	18	A. I do not. No, I don't know the communications
19	suggestion, PCA or Jammie's, to add an absorbent	19	they had.
20	material to the drop boxes at that time?	20	Q. Okay. Now, and you also don't know who we
21	A. Well, during that time, we were not really	21	covered this: You don't know who selected drop boxes
22	involved in any part of the OCC reject management or	22	versus a different type of container; correct?
23	transportation. We didn't become involved in that until	23	A. Correct. I was not involved in those meetings,
24	May-ish, so (Pause.)	24	no.
25	Q. Now, moving on, on page 11, starting on line 7,	25	Q. Okay. Now, if OCC rejects had been sufficiently
	Page 143		Page 145
1		-	
1	you're asked, "What seemed to be the problem?"	1	dried before being placed into BDI's drop boxes I
2	And you say, "Based on Jammie's's experience on	2 3	think we've covered this you agree there's no reason
3 4	handling industrial waste, our observations at the mill and in conversations with PCA, I believe BDI could not	4	a drop box is an inappropriate container for hauling dried OCC rejects; correct?
5	keep up with the OCC rejects stream for several reasons,	5	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I'll just object.
6	including, first, BDI's use of garbage bins garbage	6	As counsel noted, I think this is asked and
7	container bins was a mistake. In my opinion, while	7	answered. I think we've covered this ground.
8	container bins was a mistake. In my opinion, while container bins are appropriate for normal garbage, they	8	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant it. I can
9	were not appropriate for this type of waste which	9	appreciate the need to make a transition, but we
10	requires specialized handling into the volume of OCC	10	don't I think that is asked and answered.
11	rejects regenerated, and because the OCC rejects are	11	MR. FASSBURG: Okay.
12	wet" I'm skipping a line here "the bins were not	12	BY MR. FASSBURG:
13	large enough and because the OCC rejects were wet, BDI	13	Q. Ms. Scott, if OCC rejects had been hauled by
14	could not load the bins filled with wet OCC rejects due	14	Jammie's at that time using the same process, direct
15	to the weight and leaking water. BDI did not seem	15	haul or directly remove from the OCC plant and loaded
16	prepared for either of these factors."	16	directly into Jammie's trailers by PCA, the material
17	Did I read that correct?	17	could not have been moved any more quickly than it was
18	A. Yes.	18	when it was loaded into BDI's drop boxes; correct?
19	Q. Now, this is your opinion not based on personal	19	A. As stated, that material was too wet to just
20	knowledge of what occurred; correct?	20	shovel out of the building and load into anything at
	A. That is correct. That's my opinion based on	21	all.
21		22	Q. So do you agree that the real problem here in
21 22		<u> </u>	
22	what I heard the issues were.	22	
22 23	what I heard the issues were. Q. Now, do you have personal knowledge as to what		terms of why the OCC rejects could not be removed from
22 23 24	what I heard the issues were. Q. Now, do you have personal knowledge as to what the agreement was between PCA and BDI as to who would	23	terms of why the OCC rejects could not be removed from that facility faster is they were being loaded directly
22 23	what I heard the issues were. Q. Now, do you have personal knowledge as to what	23 24	terms of why the OCC rejects could not be removed from

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Dama 146	Domo 140
	Page 146	Page 148
1	A. I'm not even sure how to answer that. I agree	1 MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Thank you.
2	that, yes, you couldn't have hauled this material wet.	2 (A break was taken from
3	Q. Okay. Now, starting on page 11, line 21, you	3 2:00 p.m. to 2:10 p.m.)
4	state, BDI is not picking up containers fast enough.	4 JUDGE HOWARD: We're returning from a short
5	Did I read that correctly?	5 break and we're resuming with the cross-examination of
6	A. Yes.	6 Jammie Scott.
7	Q. And you would agree that BDI could not pick up a	7 BY MR. FASSBURG:
8	container faster than it would dry if it was filled with	8 Q. Ms. Scott, referring back to JDS-1T on page 11
9	OCC rejects; correct?	9 on line 25, you state, "BDI did not have the resources
10	A. Yes. That is correct.	10 to address other issues with the OCC rejects such as OCC
11	Q. And if BDI was not asked to do something that	11     rejects that had too much moisture content."       12     Specifically, what resources are necessary to
12	would remove the water content of its containers,	12         Specifically, what resources are necessary to           13         address issues such as moisture content in your
13 14	there's nothing that it or let me rephrase that.	-
14	If nobody asked it to provide services, should it have provided them anyway?	
16	A. Well, in my line of work, yes, that is what we	
17	do. I mean, we find solutions to a customer's problems,	<ul> <li>material has the moisture content that is acceptable to</li> <li>load and transport. So for our instance, we're using</li> </ul>
18	so, again, I am not super familiar with BDI's operations	18 our loader to mix the material on a regular basis to
19	or what they can and can't do, so I really can't speak	19 make sure that it is suitable to haul.
20	to their choices on why they felt that this was the way	20 <b>Q.</b> So is it your testimony that BDI did not have a
20	to handle the waste, so (Pause.)	21 loader available to it to provide mixing, had it been
22	Q. In your work, do you just do the work that you	22 asked to do so?
23	believe is necessary and bill for the customer for it	23 MR. STEEL: Objection; mischaracterizes
24	afterwards?	24 testimony.
25	A. Most of the time, I have a conversation with my	25 MR. FASSBURG: I'm asking her a question
-	· ·· ·································	······································
	Page 147	Page 149
1	customer throughout the project, ves, to find a solution	1 about what her testimony says.
1 2	customer throughout the project, yes, to find a solution to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)	
	to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)	
2		2 JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.
2 3	to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.) Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written	<ol> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> </ol>
2 3 4	to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.) Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.) Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> </ul>	2JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.3THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI4changing its processes at all to manage the customer's5ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or6what they didn't have available to them at the time.7BY MR. FASSBURG:8Q. Thank you.9Now, you state on the last line of page 11,10moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind11and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up12against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste13scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture14of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on15April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.16Did I read that correctly?17A. Yes.18Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?19A. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Who took that photograph, by the way?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Do you know what time of day this photograph was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Judge, I need to take a</li> </ul>	2       JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.         3       THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI         4       changing its processes at all to manage the customer's         5       ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or         6       what they didn't have available to them at the time.         7       BY MR. FASSBURG:         8       Q. Thank you.         9       Now, you state on the last line of page 11,         10       moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind         11       and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up         12       against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste         13       scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture         14       of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on         15       April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.         16       Did I read that correctly?         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?         19       A. I don't know.         20       Q. Do you know what time of day this photograph was         21       taken?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. FASSBURG: Judge, I need to take a bathroom break.</li> </ul>	2JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.3THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI4changing its processes at all to manage the customer's5ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or6what they didn't have available to them at the time.7BY MR. FASSBURG:8Q. Thank you.9Now, you state on the last line of page 11,10moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind11and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up12against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste13scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture14of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on15April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.16Did I read that correctly?17A. Yes.18Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?19A. I don't know.20Q. Do you know what time of day this photograph was21taken?22A. I did not take the picture, so I do not know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Judge, I need to take a bathroom break.</li> <li>Would now be an okay time to do that?</li> </ul>	2       JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.         3       THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI         4       changing its processes at all to manage the customer's         5       ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or         6       what they didn't have available to them at the time.         7       BY MR. FASSBURG:         8       Q. Thank you.         9       Now, you state on the last line of page 11,         10       moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind         11       and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up         12       against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste         13       scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture         14       of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on         15       April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.         16       Did I read that correctly?         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?         19       A. I don't know.         20       Q. Do you know what time of day this photograph was         21       taken?         22       A. I did not take the picture, so I do not know         23       that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Judge, I need to take a bathroom break.</li> <li>Would now be an okay time to do that? JUDGE HOWARD: Yes. Let's let's take a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI</li> <li>changing its processes at all to manage the customer's</li> <li>ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or</li> <li>what they didn't have available to them at the time.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, you state on the last line of page 11,</li> <li>moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind</li> <li>and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up</li> <li>against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste</li> <li>scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture</li> <li>of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on</li> <li>April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what time of day this photograph was</li> <li>taken?</li> <li>A. I did not take the picture, so I do not know</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. And do you know whether those containers were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to whatever we're working on, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. In fact, in your work on PCA, you made written proposals that were adopted and purchase orders were issued before you provided specific services at the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. We generally do provide an estimate prior to providing the service.</li> <li>Q. And so if you haven't received a purchase order authorizing the work, you wouldn't just perform work at the mill and bill PCA for that, would you?</li> <li>A. It would really depend on the situation and the communications I had with the customer, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. You would need to have at least some expectation that they had requested the work and that you could prove that later as well; right? You wouldn't do that purely on an oral conversation, would you?</li> <li>A. You're right; I would not just walk in and do work that wasn't requested.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Judge, I need to take a bathroom break.</li> <li>Would now be an okay time to do that?</li> </ul>	2       JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.         3       THE WITNESS: I did not hear about BDI         4       changing its processes at all to manage the customer's         5       ways, so I don't know what they had available to them or         6       what they didn't have available to them at the time.         7       BY MR. FASSBURG:         8       Q. Thank you.         9       Now, you state on the last line of page 11,         10       moving on to page 12, "Because of this, it fell behind         11       and large piles of uncollected OCC rejects were piled up         12       against the OCC buildings and there was OCC reject waste         13       scattered all over the OCC facility. Below is a picture         14       of the OCC reject waste piled up at the OCC facility on         15       April 26th, 2021, prior to Jammie's's involvement.         16       Did I read that correctly?         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Who took that photograph, by the way?         19       A. I don't know.         20       Q. Do you know what time of day this photograph was         21       taken?         22       A. I did not take the picture, so I do not know         23       that.

32 (Pages 146 to 149)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 150	Page 152
1	A. I do not know this.	1 MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor.
2	Q. You go on to state later on page 12, "As you can	2 That's I think that calls for a legal conclusion.
3	see in the picture, the OCC rejects were piled up along	3 JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant that one.
4	the OCC building with the OCC rejects scattered all over	4 I think that's appropriate for counsel to argue later.
5	the yard and several full uncollected BDI bins. This	5 BY MR. FASSBURG:
6	was typical while BDI was managing the OCC rejects;	6 Q. Ms. Scott
7	although, at times, I understand from my staff that the	7 A. Can you repeat your question, please?
8	piles of OCC rejects were so high that it nearly reached	8 MR. STEEL: No. He granted the objection.
9	the blue ink on the building."	9 BY MR. FASSBURG:
10	Did I read that correctly?	10 Q. You state, "BDI bins were also stored all over
11	A. Yes.	11 the yard, creating traffic flow problems, safety
12	Q. I have a couple questions.	12 hazards, and rendering the yard effectively useless, as
13	First, what's the basis of your statement that	13 it was completely occupied by piles of OCC rejects and
14	BDI was managing the OCC rejects?	14 uncollected bins, the bins were constantly in the way.
15	A. Well, they were the ones handling the material	15 Did I read that correctly?
16	at the time. They were flagging the drop boxes, they	16 A. Yes.
17	were dropping the boxes, and they were removing the	<b>Q.</b> And you were you were not there constantly to
18	boxes.	18 make an observation as to where the bins were to be in
19	Q. And do you have any personal knowledge of	19 the way; correct?
20	whether the OCC rejects there in the yard were scattered	20 A. Correct. I was not on site.
21	there as a result of the removal from the building?	21 Q. And do you know who sited the bins or who
22	A. I do not.	determined where the bins should be sited?
23	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether those	23 A. I do not.
24	OCC rejects were scattered as a result of the loading?	<b>Q.</b> I would like to ask you to take a look at
25	A. I do not.	another photograph. I can give you both a page number
	Page 151	Page 153
1	Q. Do you so you don't know whether or not those	and a file number. The page number will be 76 in
2	OCR rejects were scattered throughout the yard as a	2 Exhibit JDS-24X, and the native image is 0051.jpeg.
3	result of an activity that PCA undertook or if that was	<sup>3</sup> MR. STEEL: What's the page again?
4	a result of an activity that Basin Disposal undertook;	4 MR. FASSBURG: 76.
5	correct?	5 BY MR. FASSBURG:
б	A. Correct.	6 Q. Have you found the page?
7	Q. But we do know the material was piled there by	7 A. I have found the page, yes.
8	PCA and not Basin; correct?	8 Q. Do you recognize this photograph?
9	<ol> <li>That is my understanding, yes.</li> </ol>	9 A. Yes. I've seen this photograph.
10	Q. On page 13, starting on line 3, you state, "In	10Q. Now, does the version you're looking at include
11	my business, leaving that amount of waste scattered and	11 the date and timestamp?
12	uncollected would not be acceptable for any of my	12 MR. STEEL: No.
13	customers."	13 BY MR. FASSBURG:
14	Did I read that correctly?	14 Q. So if you'll find the native version, which is
15	A. That is correct.	again 0051.jpeg, it will reflect this photograph was
16	Q. Do I understand correctly here that you are	16 taken on August 2nd, 2021, at 1:10 p.m.
17	implying Basin is providing unsatisfactory service	17 A. Okay.
18	because of waste scattered throughout the OCC yard?	18 Q. By August 2nd, Jammie's was providing solid
19 20	A. I'm not implying anything, sir. I'm just	19 waste collection at PCA; correct?
20	that was just what I thought or and what I heard was	20 A. We were assisting in the management of the
21 22	happening, so (Pause.) Q. And so if there was OCC rejects scattered	21files, yes.22Q. And does this picture look like it was of a
22	throughout the yard as a result of activities in which	23 clean or messy yard?
23 24	Basin was not engaged, those do not reflect on Basin's	23     Clean of messy yard?       24     A. This isn't just in my opinion, this isn't
25	satisfactory service; correct?	25 terrible, no. I've seen it worse.

33 (Pages 150 to 153)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 154	Page 156
1	Q. Does this have a greater mess or a less mess of	1 becomes a fire hazard?
2	the photograph on April 26th?	2 A. I do not.
3	A. Which one are you referring to?	3 Q. Now, you say that you provide fire mitigation
4	Q. The one in your testimony on page 12.	4 clean-up for PCA of wood chips and other waste around
5	A. It appears to be the same picture, in my	5 the mill there in your testimony; correct?
6	opinion.	6 A. That is correct.
7	I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? I have	7 Q. And we saw in the demonstrative video that Ms.
8	both pictures in front of me now.	8 Blancaflor showed for PCA earlier in this proceeding a
9	Q. Do you believe that the photograph taken on	9 much much larger piles of wood chips and other
10	August 2nd is less mess or more mess than the photograph	10 potentially combustible material at the mill at PCA's
11	on April 26th?	11 facility; correct?
12	A. I don't feel like there's a huge difference.	12 A. There are large piles of bark chips, yes.
13	There's still full boxes and there's still you know,	13 Q. What does PCA do to avoid the fire hazard
14	a full pile.	14 associated with those piles?
15	Q. And there's a pile up against the wall, though;	15 A. That would be a question for PCA.
16	correct?	16 Q. So am I understanding that you don't have a good
17	A. Yes, in both pictures.	17 answer for when a pile becomes a fire hazard or what to
18	Q. Yeah, and now you say you you've seen worse.	18 do to prevent it from becoming a fire hazard?
19	When have you been out to the mill to seen	19 MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. That
20	worse?	20 mischaracterizes testimony.
21	A. I'm sorry. I've seen worse than the pictures	21 MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm asking her a
22	provided, so (Pause.)	22 question about her knowledge of the fire hazard that
23	Q. Now, Ms. Scott, the reason that you've seen	23 she's testified to.
24	worse in part is because the removal of OCC rejects from	24 JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question.
25	the plant and the handling of them, the processing them	25 THE WITNESS: So you're asking me how they
		Deres 157
	Page 155	Page 157
1	at the plant and the loading of them is a messy process,	1 control the fire hazard around the pile of bark chips
2	isn't it?	2 they had around their mill?
3	A. It is a messy process, yes.	3 BY MR. FASSBURG:
4	Q. In fact, inside the plant and outside the plant,	4 Q. My question is different, and I'll try to
5	there are OCC rejects scattered throughout the facility	5 rephrase it if you don't understand or I'm not being 6 clear.
6	in and out on a regular basis; correct?	
7 8		
	A. It definitely varies for production, yes.	7 You don't have knowledge of when a pile of
	Q. But you would agree with me there is there is	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> </ul>
9	Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> </ul>
9 10	<ul><li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li><li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> </ul>
9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles</li> <li>would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles</li> <li>would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while sitting in a pile to dry sufficiently, to become a fire hazard?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you been to Wallula on a windy day?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles</li> <li>would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while</li> <li>sitting in a pile to dry sufficiently, to become a fire</li> <li>hazard?</li> <li>A. I don't know. It would vary on the size of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you been to Wallula on a windy day.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles</li> <li>would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while sitting in a pile to dry sufficiently, to become a fire hazard?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you been to Wallula on a windy day?</li> <li>A. I have been to Wallula on a windy day.</li> <li>Q. And have you been there when the OCC rejects</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while sitting in a pile to dry sufficiently, to become a fire hazard?</li> <li>A. I don't know. It would vary on the size of the pile and the moisture content and the amount of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you been to Wallula on a windy day?</li> <li>A. I have been to Wallula on a windy day.</li> <li>Q. And have you been there when the OCC rejects</li> <li>were blown across the yard and off site?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. But you would agree with me there is there is a consistent mess in and out of this facility; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, you state I'd like you to look at page</li> <li>15 of your direct testimony, starting on line 3. You say, "Second, the OCC rejects piles created unsafe conditions. As the uncollected OCC rejects piles would dry out, they became a fire hazard for PCA." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. How long does it take for OCC rejects, while sitting in a pile to dry sufficiently, to become a fire hazard?</li> <li>A. I don't know. It would vary on the size of the pile and the moisture content and the amount of the waste.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>You don't have knowledge of when a pile of</li> <li>combustible material becomes a fire hazard or how it is</li> <li>being controlled at PCA; correct?</li> <li>A. I do not.</li> <li>Q. Now, you say further down in your testimony,</li> <li>starting on line 10, "Third, on windy days, which are</li> <li>frequently in Wallula, the uncollected OCC rejects would</li> <li>blow around the yard and sometimes off site which became</li> <li>a significant environmental and air quality concern for</li> <li>PCA. It also contributed to the fire concerns described</li> <li>above.</li> <li>Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you been to Wallula on a windy day?</li> <li>A. I have been to Wallula on a windy day.</li> <li>Q. And have you been there when the OCC rejects</li> </ul>

34 (Pages 154 to 157)

1         the mill a lot.         2         A. I do yu have any knowledge of when and in what circumstances finds concerned PCA, and do yu have any appendix knowledge, no.         1         bases for different claims that are being made here and I'm going to allow this.           2         A. I do no trave that specialic knowledge, no.         1         bases for different claims that are being made here and I'm going to allow this.           3         A. I do no trave that specialic knowledge, no.         1         bases for different claims that are being made here and I'm going to allow this.           4         would special claims that are being made here and I'm going to allow this.         1         a variable of the XC rejects.           3         A. I do no thave that specialization of PCA, and what they iserve at the last option. slowing down OC production until BD could generation - or its OC reject generation can be dried and disposed of when dry; correct?           3         A. Yes.         0. Now, had there been such great safety concerns that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dury tor mapportation; correct?         0. Now, had there been such great safety concerns that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dury tor mapportation; correct?         0. Ne. Scott. you're responsible for safety at statement by couries and vague, for such and	,	Page 158	Page 160
2         O. And do you have any knowledge of when and in what iccurstances drived OCC rejects in printic become a potential violation of PCA's clean air permit?         If m going to allow this.           3         A. Ido not have that specific knowledge, no.         The WITNESS: So PCA can do whatever they a very cost-effective solution for their issues, to shut           4         A. Ido not have that pacefile knowledge, no.         BY MR: FASSBURG           7         Thaily. Lunderstand the piles and constant mess of uncollected OCC rejects os significantly or concerned PCA, studies and solving down OCC production until BD could dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage for ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC rejects."         BY MR: FASSBURG           10         Data ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC rejects."         A. Yes.           11         On tow, had ther be on such great safety concerns 12         A. Yes.           12         a vers.         C. And it this was a grave danger to human health and alsey, would it have been smatter to stop the production of OCC rejects or pilt term on the ground?           14         rejoes."         A. Yup. Yes.           12         and lays avail of the vas solving down the stop touchon production of OCC rejects or pilt term on the ground?           14         and set you as solving down the stop duction 14         The Witness?           12         that they could be placed into a container sufficiently 14         A. Yup. Yes.         A. And it this were	1	the mill a lot.	1 bases for different claims that are being made here and
what dreumstances dried OCC rejects might become a         THE WITNESS: So FCA can do whatever they           what dreumstances dried OCC rejects of the south what were they         what is a south a sou	2	Q. And do you have any knowledge of when and in	-
4         potential violation of PCA's clean air permit?         vant, but I don't hink in this case, Io shut           5         A. Idon thave hase pick would have been         sa vary cost-effective solution for their issue, Io shut           7         "Finally.1 understand the piles and constant mess of         sa vary cost-effective solution of their operations, so (Pause.)           9         they began considering what they viewed as the last         file point of their operations, so (Pause.)           9         point soluties and they began considering what they viewed as the last         file point of their operations, so (Pause.)           10         dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage         a. Fair. And I don't mean to suggest that PCA           11         dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage         a. A clean't know.         a. A clean't know.           12         Did I read that correct?         14         A. I don't know.         a. A did have been smarter to so the the production system, so, (Pause.)           13         to ak/ Jammie's to help with its production         and alage/, would it have been smarter to so to the production system, so, (Pause.)           14         that they could be placed into a container sufficiently         and alage/, would it have been         and alage/, would it have been           2         must know the arge the and that.         G. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced	3		
5       A. I do not have that specific knowledge, no.       9       a very cost-effective solution for their issues, to shut         7       "Finally, I understand the piles and constant mess of uncollected OCC rejects so solightCarly concerned PCA, 10       9       0. Fair. And I don't mean to suggest that PCA could deal with this little portion of their operations, so (Pause.)         10       option, slowing down OCC protects on solightCarly concerned PCA, 10       0. Fair. And I don't mean to suggest that PCA could slow down the protections to the point where its disposal generation – or its OCC project generation can be dried and disposed of when dry; correct?         11       tis OCC productions to the point where its disposal generation – or its OCC reject generation can be dried and disposed of when dry; correct?         12       PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision         13       tand three ben such great safety concerns         14       they could be placed into a container sufficiently         15       MR. SteEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I mg oing         16       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy         17       to object. That was not a question. That was a prime or cortexing a risk that you're described         18       Page 159         19       Winch is always autimorized.         20       MR. TSTEEL: Hang on. You Honor, I think ho's         21       JDDE HOWARD: Yes, I'mg oing to allow the question, you're asking mome you as	4		
6     C. And you say, "Finally," beginning on line 14,     6       7     "Finally, Linderstand the piles and constant mess of     1       8     uncollected OCC rejects so significantly concerned PCA,     9       9     they began considering what they viewed as the last     7       9     option, slowing down OCC production until BDI could     10       11     dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage     10       12     PCA's business and was a primay driver in its decision     11       13     to ask. Jammie's to help with its management of OCC     12       14     rejects."     13       15     Did read that correct?     14       16     A. Yes.     15       17     and slopseed of when dry, correct?       18     that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean       19     and fingured out how to process of receives on a container sufficientity       11     did how available to it was slowing down tist production       12     dengerous and might potentially violate clean ali       11     to object. That was not a quession. That was a lengthy       12     MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think Aris       13     the objecting to a leading question by adverse consel,       14     to object. That was not a quession it and violating of that       14     objecting to a lead	5		5 a very cost-effective solution for their issues, to shut
7       "Finally, Lunderstand the piles and constant mess of uncollected OCC rejects so significantly concerned PCA, 9       7       Ittle portion of their operators, so (Pause.)         8       moollected OCC rejects or so significantly concerned PCA, 9       BY MR. FASSBURG       0. Fair. And I don't mean to suggest that PCA would shut down its entrem lik. PCA could slow down 10         10       option, slowing down OCC production until BD could 11       11 <th>6</th> <th></th> <th>6 down their production so that they could deal with this</th>	6		6 down their production so that they could deal with this
B         uncollected OCC rejects so significantly concerned PCA, option, slowing down OCC production until BDI could dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC         Generation can be dried and disposed of when dry: correct?           10         Dicit read that correct?         14         A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow           15         Dicit read that correct?         14         A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow           16         A. Yes.         A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow           17         an dasky. would it have been smarter to stop the production of OCC rejects or pile them on the ground?           18         that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dry for transportation; correct?         16         A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow           23         that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dry for transportation; correct?         16         Q. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced to make either stop an activity that it believes is           12         gene 159         Page 159         Page 161           14         to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy guestion?         1         dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a fisk to human health and safety of the subjecting to a leading questions by adveres counsel, whink ha lavaya autiony coulds and vagu	7		
10       option, slowing down CCC production until BDI could       10       would shut down its entire mill. PCA could slow down its CCC productions to the point where its disposal         11       dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage generation — or its OCC reject generation can be dried         12       PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision         13       to ask Jammié s to help with its management of OCC         14       rejects."         15       Did I read that correct?         16       A. Yes.         17       O. Now, had there been such great safety concerns         18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating one of PCA's         19       aring remit or creating a file or harming one of PCA's         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA         21       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects or         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently         24       dry for transportation; correct?         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going         26       mile. Sacustion a rule with the's sold of the place in the was not a question. That was a lengthy         25       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy         26       JDIGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the         27       quest	8		
10       option, slowing down CCC production until BDI could       10       would shut down its entire mill. PCA could slow down its CCC productions to the point where its disposal         11       dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage generation — or its OCC reject generation can be dried         12       PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision         13       to ask Jammié s to help with its management of OCC         14       rejects."         15       Did I read that correct?         16       A. Yes.         17       O. Now, had there been such great safety concerns         18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating one of PCA's         19       aring remit or creating a file or harming one of PCA's         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA         21       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects or         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently         24       dry for transportation; correct?         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going         26       mile. Sacustion a rule with the's sold of the place in the was not a question. That was a lengthy         25       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy         26       JDIGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the         27       quest	9	they began considering what they viewed as the last	9 Q. Fair. And I don't mean to suggest that PCA
11       dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage         12       PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision         13       to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC         14       rejects."         15       Did I read that correct?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. Now, had there been such great safety concers         18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean         19       air permit or creating a fire of harming one of PCA's         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA         21       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects or         22       until they could be placed into a container sufficiently         24       dry for transportation; correct?         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going         26       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm going to allow the         27       JDDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the         28       Which is always authorized.         29       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your         20       Q. One of the options that would have been available to axid was the struct your descript.         29       MR. FASSBURG:         20       Q. One of the options that would have been availing a number of claims, and I'm <th>10</th> <th></th> <th></th>	10		
12       PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision         13       to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC         13       to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC         14       reject."         15       Did I read that correct?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. Now, had there been such great safely concerns         18       that these piles were creating a risk of valening one of PCA's         19       air permit or creating a risk of valening one of PCA's         10       and afaposed of when dry; correct?         11       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently         12       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so         11       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently         12       dri for transportation; correct?         13       MR. FXSEBURG: Your Honor, I'm going         14       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy         15       statement by counsel and vague, for sure.         16       A. Reposented about?         17       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy         18       guestion?         19       to colored. That was not a question by adverse counsel,         10       objecting to a leading ques	11	dispose of the OCC rejects. This, however, would damage	11 its OCC productions to the point where its disposal
13       to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC       13       and disposed of when dry; correct?         14       rejects."       14       A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow         15       Did I read that correct?       14       A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow         16       A. Yes.       16       A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow         16       that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean       and sisposed of whas a grave danger to human health         17       O. Now, had there been such great safety concerns       16       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean       and singbosed of whas been smarter to stop the         19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       and singbosed of whas been smarter to stop the         21       did have available to it was slowing down its production       Jumie's, are you not?       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         22       mith they could be placed intra container sufficienty       Jumie's, are you not?       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         23       hat they could be placed intra container sufficienty       Jumie's, are you not? <td< th=""><th>12</th><th>PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision</th><th></th></td<>	12	PCA's business and was a primary driver in its decision	
15       Did I read that correct?       15       they can run their production systems, so (Pause.)         16       A. Yes.       Q. And if this was a grave danger to human health         18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's         19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's       modelse been smarter to stop the production of CCC rejects or pile them on the ground?         21       until it had figured out how to proceess OCC rejects so       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         24       Umail it had figured out how to proceess OCC rejects so       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         26       mR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       adagerous and might potentially violate clean air         3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       adagerous and might potentially violate clean air         4       objecting to a leading question by adverse coursel,       Mr. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's         4       usaible to avoid the hazards that you've described       Mr. FASSBURG:         7       MR. FASSBURG:       Mr. FASSBURG:         9       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your	13	to ask Jammie's to help with its management of OCC	
16       A. Yes.       0. And if this was a grave danger to human health         17       Q. Now, had there been such great safety concerns       if and safety, would it have been smarter to stop the         19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's       permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       0. And if this was a grave danger to human health         21       did have available to it was slowing down its process CCC rejects so       2         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       2         24       dy for transportation; correct?       Q. And if this was a grave danger to human health         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       2       Jammie's, are you not?         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       2       Q. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced         26       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       3       and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that         2       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the       question?       4         4       did pose a question at the end of that.       9       THE WITNESS: So can you plase repeat your         10       question?       16       MR. FASSBURG:       17         3       available to av	14	rejects."	14 A. I don't know. I don't know how fast or slow
17       Q. Now, had there been such great safety concerns       17       and safety, would it have been smarter to stop the groun?         18       that these piles were creating a fire of harming one of PCA's       production of OCC rejects or pile them on the groun?         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       Q. More Scott, you're responsible for safety at         21       until it had figured out how to processo CCC rejects so       Q. Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       Q. Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         24       Until it had figured out how to processo CCC rejects so       MR. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       C. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced         25       boject. That was not a question. That was a lengthy       Statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       Page 159         2       mR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel,       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I think he's       Gargerous and might potentially violate clean air         3       MR. FASSBURG:       MR. Tassburg question shout the health and safety for       He mill is a question for PCA, not MS. Soct.         4       objecting to a leading question by adverse causel,       MR. FASSBURG:       MR. FASSBURG:       MR. FASSBU	15	Did I read that correct?	15 they can run their production systems, so (Pause.)
18       that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean       18       production of OCC rejects or pile them on the ground?         19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's       19       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         21       did have available to it was slowing down its production       11       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         22       until it had figured out how to process OC rejects so       21       Q. Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       23       A. Yup. Yes, Iam.         24       dry for transportation; correct?       23       A. Yup. Yes, Iam.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       23       A. Yup. Yes, Iam.         26       Dege 159       Page 159       Page 161         1       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air         2       permits or continue so that it can continue making money       and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that         3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I think he's       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I think he's         6       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I think he's       MR. FASSBURG: Your Hono	16	A. Yes.	16 Q. And if this was a grave danger to human health
19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       19       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the mill.         20       did have available to it was slowing down its production until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dry for transportation; correct?       10       Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at Jammie's, are you not?         21       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dry for transportation; correct?       A. Yup, Yes, I am.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       Page 159         26       Page 159         27       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, did pose a question at the end of that.       1         28       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question?       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making morey and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety of the did pose a question at the end of that.         29       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?       MR. FASSBURG:         21       O. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?       11         11	17	Q. Now, had there been such great safety concerns	and safety, would it have been smarter to stop the
19       air permit or creating a fire or harming one of PCA's       19       A. I don't know. I can't speak on behalf of the         20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       10       Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         21       did have available to it was slowing down its production       20       Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at         22       until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so       A. Yup, Yes, I am.       21         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       23       A. Yup, Yes, I am.         24       Q. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced       25         25       ms. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       23       A. Yup, Yes, I am.         26       value, for sure.       29       Page 159         27       mils a question of sure.       20       and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that         3       MR. FASSBURG:       20       ms. Steel: Your Honor, I object. I think he's         4       objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel,       4       you avoid the risk to human health and safety of         4       duagerous and might potentially violate clean ar       permits or continue so that it can continue making money         3       MR. FASSBURG:       20       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I think	18	that these piles were creating a risk of violating clean	18 production of OCC rejects or pile them on the ground?
20       employees or someone else, one of the options that PCA       20       mill.         21       did have available to it was slowing down its production       20       mill.         21       until it had figure dut how to process OCC rejects so       21         23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       22       Jammie's, are you not?         23       mill.       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         24       When Statement by coursel and vague, for sure.       24       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         25       Was Statement by coursel and vague, for sure.       25       Page 161         2       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy       statement by coursel and vague, for sure.       2       and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that         3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's       you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA         4       objecting to a leading question by adverse coursel,       M. F. Fassburg's questions about the health and safety that PCA         5       which is always authorized.       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the       M. F. FassBurg:         1       Question.       He eri nyour testimony would be that PCA could have       M. TELE: 'Hang on 'Linking'' M. FassBurg:         1       Question?       G. One of the options that would have been <th>19</th> <th></th> <th></th>	19		
21       did have available to it was slowing down its production until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so that they could be placed into a container sufficiently dry for transportation; correct?       21       Q. Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at Jammie's, are you not?         24       dry for transportation; correct?       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       24       Q. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced to make either stop an activity that it believes is         26       Page 159       Page 161         1       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objection; the did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety of the guestion.         1       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the did pose a question at the end of that.       7       MR. FASSBURG:         1       Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have so slowed down its production question; for rect?       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes is for going to allow the disciparioe of somebody getting hut or slowing down production or changing production, I would definitely stop production or manage my processes, which is something we do continual	20		
23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         24       dry for transportation; correct?       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       24         26       Page 159       Page 161         27       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       2       3         3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA careat to be so concerned about?         3       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question?       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think         4       objection?       MR FASSBURG:       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think         9       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your questimon?       JUDGE HOWARD:       MR staburg question of the coptions that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have this submit is question.       13       THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if 1         14       has to make a decision of somebody getting hunt or slowing down production or changing production, I would definitely stop production is correct?	21		21 Q. Ms. Scott, you're responsible for safety at
23       that they could be placed into a container sufficiently       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         24       dry for transportation; correct?       23       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       24       C. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced to make either stop an activity that it believes is         26       Page 159       Page 161         1       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       3       A. Yup. Yes, I am.         2       statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       9       Page 161         4       objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA careat to be so concerned about?         6       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question at the end of that.       7       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. Think *         9       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?       9       JUDGE HOWARD: 'moing to generally agree with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony on the very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow tho tor to slowing down production or changing production, I would definitely stop production in mediately af figure out a better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in	22	until it had figured out how to process OCC rejects so	Jammie's, are you not?
25       MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going       25       to make either stop an activity that it believes is         1       bit object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       Page 159       Page 161         1       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permis or continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA care to be so concerned about?         6       JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.       MR. FASSBURG:       MR. FASSBURG:         12       Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?       13       THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I had to make a decision of somebody getting hun or slowing down reproduction or changing production, I would definitely stop production immediately and figure out a better way to manage my processes, which is something we down its production, I'm going to deny the objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg       10       Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did PCA raise there concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?	23	that they could be placed into a container sufficiently	
Page 159       Page 161         1       to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.       1       dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?         3       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.       3       MR. FASSBURG: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.       6       MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think         9       THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?       9       JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow this question.         13       Available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collectino; correct?       13       THE WITNESS: So if your Honor, I mitred of these disruptive objections when I'm asking question about what she said on the page.       19       MR. FASSBURG:       11         10       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions about the runderstanding. This is not a question about what she said on the page.       19       MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of these disruptive objections when I'm aski	24	dry for transportation; correct?	Q. And if this were a decision Jammie's was forced
1to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.a3MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.iand create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?6MR. FASSBURG:MR. FASSBURG:10Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow this question.10MR. FASSBURG: 'Our Honor, I'm tired of there in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if 1 had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or slowing down production immediately and figure out a better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of these disruptive objections when I'm asking question about her understanding. This is not a question about what she said on the page.1024objection. I canI can appreciate that Mr. Fass	25	MR. STEEL: Hang on. Your Honor, I'm going	25 to make either stop an activity that it believes is
1to object. That was not a question. That was a lengthy statement by counsel and vague, for sure.1dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?3MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.1dangerous and might potentially violate clean air permits or continue so that it can continue making money and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?5JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think Mr. Fassburg's questions about the health and safety of the mill is a question for PCA, not Ms. Scott.9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony10wuestion?11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?1314here in your testimony.1415slowing down production immediately and figure out a better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in our line of work, so (			
2statement by counsel and vague, for sure.2permits or continue so that it can continue making money3MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's3and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that4objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel,3and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that5which is always authorized.4you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think7question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been12going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:2010these alivup		Page 159	Page 161
3MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I think he's3and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that4objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.3and create a risk, wouldn't you stop production so that5which is always authorized.4you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the did pose a question at the end of that.5MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think7question?6MR. STESES: So can you please repeat your9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:020these disruptive objections when I'm asking question20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21 <td< th=""><th>1</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	1		
4objecting to a leading question by adverse counsel, which is always authorized.4you avoid the risk to human health and safety that PCA cared to be so concerned about?5which is always authorized.5cared to be so concerned about?6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the did pose a question at the end of that.6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your7Mr. Fassburg's questions about the health and safety of the mill is a question for PCA, not Ms. Scott.9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have Is14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until if figured out how to IG15slowing down production immediately and figure out a16load dry materials for collection; correct? MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of about her understanding. This is not a question about about her understanding. This is not a question about about her understanding. This is not a question about 			_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5which is always authorized.5cared to be so concerned about?6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think7question?7MR. STEEL: So can you please repeat your question?9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow this question.1112Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to 1513THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if 114here in your testimony would be that PCA could have isoure attriats for collection; correct?16better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of about her understanding. This is not a question about what she said on the page.20Thank you.21JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24You don't have any personal knowledge of what concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
6JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I'm going to allow the question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.6MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. I think9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree0question?10JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree11BY MR. FASSBURG:10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony12Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described1113available to avoid the hazards that you've described1314here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to1516load dry materials for collection; correct?1617MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.1819MR. FASSBURG: 201820these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions2021about her understanding. This is not a question about2122what she said on the page.2223JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg2424objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24			
7question. He did ask it that was her understanding. He did pose a question at the end of that.7Mr. Fassburg's questions about the health and safety of the mill is a question for PCA, not Ms. Scott.9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until if figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production immediately and figure out a18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.19BY MR. FASSBURG:019MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:Q. Thank you.20these asid on the page.21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the objection. I canI can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24You don't have any personal knowledge of what concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
8did pose a question at the end of that.8the mill is a question for PCA, not Ms. Scott.9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been12going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production or changing production, I would18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18better way to manage my processes, which is something we19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:10what she said on the page.21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I canI can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24You don't have any personal knowledge of what			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9THE WITNESS: So can you please repeat your question?9JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to generally agree10question?10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm going to allow this question.12Q. One of the options that would have been available to avoid the hazards that you've described here in your testimony would be that PCA could have 141214here in your testimony would be that PCA could have slowed down its production until it figured out how to 1613THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or slowing down production or changing production, I would definitely stop production immediately and figure out a better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of about her understanding. This is not a question about what she said on the page.20Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there. You don't have any personal knowledge of what concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
10question?10with that premise, but in this case, we have testimony11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been12going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production immediately and figure out a17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
11BY MR. FASSBURG:11on this very page making a number of claims, and I'm12Q. One of the options that would have been12going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production immediately and figure out a17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
12Q. One of the options that would have been12going to allow this question.13available to avoid the hazards that you've described13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have13THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production immediately and figure out a17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22yub at she said on the page.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the2323JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		•	
<ul> <li>available to avoid the hazards that you've described</li> <li>here in your testimony would be that PCA could have</li> <li>slowed down its production until it figured out how to</li> <li>load dry materials for collection; correct?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that</li> <li>mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of</li> <li>these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions</li> <li>about her understanding. This is not a question about</li> <li>what she said on the page.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the</li> <li>objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg</li> <li>THE WITNESS: So if you're asking me if I</li> <li>had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or</li> <li>slowing down production or changing production, I would</li> <li>definitely stop production immediately and figure out a</li> <li>better way to manage my processes, which is something we</li> <li>do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Thank you.</li> <li>Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did</li> <li>PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.</li> <li>You don't have any personal knowledge of what</li> <li>concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?</li> </ul>			
14here in your testimony would be that PCA could have14had to make a decision of somebody getting hurt or15slowed down its production until it figured out how to15slowing down production or changing production, I would16load dry materials for collection; correct?16loefinitely stop production immediately and figure out a17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18better way to manage my processes, which is something we19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20 <b>0.</b> Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22ybac HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		•	3. 3
15slowed down its production until it figured out how to load dry materials for collection; correct?15slowing down production or changing production, I would definitely stop production immediately and figure out a16load dry materials for collection; correct?161617MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.1819MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions about her understanding. This is not a question about what she said on the page.2021JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg2424objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24		-	· · ·
16load dry materials for collection; correct?16definitely stop production immediately and figure out a17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18better way to manage my processes, which is something we19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.22PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
17MR. STEEL: I'll object. That is that17better way to manage my processes, which is something we18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.21JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the2324objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24Concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
18mischaracterizes Ms. Scott's testimony.18do continually in our line of work, so (Pause.)19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the2324objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24Concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		•	
19MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'm tired of19BY MR. FASSBURG:20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions202021about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.22PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		-	
20these disruptive objections when I'm asking questions20Q. Thank you.21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.22PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		•	
21about her understanding. This is not a question about21Now, further down page 15, you're asked, "Did22what she said on the page.22PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
22what she said on the page.22PCA raise these concerns with BDI?" I'll stop there.23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24Concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
23JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny the23You don't have any personal knowledge of what24objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg24Concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?			
24       objection. I can I can appreciate that Mr. Fassburg       24       concerns PCA raised with BDI, do you?		· •	-
	-		

35 (Pages 158 to 161)

,	Page 162		Page 164
1	Q. You were not a party to phone conversations	1	collection service?
2	between PCA's representatives and Basin Disposal's	2	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I object. That
3	representatives; correct?	3	calls for a legal conclusion.
4	A. That's correct.	4	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, she provided
5	Q. And the only information that you have been able	5	several pages about her opinions as to why Basin did not
6	to review with respect to this specific subject are	6	provide satisfactory service. I think I should be
7	e-mails that have been produced to you by PCA or through	7	allowed to explore her opinions.
8	discovery; correct?	8	JUDGE HOWARD: Given the particular
9	A. And through employees being on site, I mean	9	circumstances and arguments being made in this case, I'm
10	(Pause.)	10	going to allow that question.
11	Q. My question was about documents you've reviewed.	11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you please repeat
12	A. Yes. Through discovery, that's what I see in	12	the question then.
13	these documents.	13	BY MR. FASSBURG:
14	Q. And your employees on site weren't a party to	14	Q. Do you believe a solid waste collection company,
15	conversations with PCA and BDI; correct?	15	in order to provide satisfactory service, also needs to
16	A. No.	16	provide environmental services?
17	Q. So in terms of what concerns PCA raised with	17	A. To a certain extent, yes, I believe they need to
18	BDI, you wouldn't have personal knowledge of that	18	be responsible environmentally for what they're doing.
19	through either your firsthand observation or your	19	Q. And would that include any activities prior to
20	employees; you only know this because what was relaid to	20	the collection of a contaminant?
21	you by others or what you have read; correct?	21	A. No.
22	A. Can you please repeat that question? That was a	22	Q. Do you believe in order to pride satisfactory
23	long one.	23	service, a solid waste collection company needs to
24	Q. With respect to concerns that you claim were	24	provide on site management of waste?
25	related by PCA to BDI, your knowledge is not firsthand	25	A. No. I believe we need to haul the boxes when
	Page 163		Page 165
1	in any way; correct?	1	they haul them. Again, I don't believe this waste is a
2	A. That is correct.	2	very typical waste, so I (Pause.)
3 4	Q. To be clear, you lodge a number of allegations against Basin with respect to its service at PCA here in	4	Q. I think I have just a few more questions, and then I can wrap up.
5	your testimony, and I want to understand generally	5	Ms. Scott, does PCA get charged by Jammie's for
6	speaking with respect to whose responsibility is whose.	6	the mixing activities that it engages in prior to
5 7	Do you believe a solid waste collection company	7	loading and transportation?
8	has the legal obligation to ensure that the material is	8	A. Jammie's bills PCA on a time and materials
9	processed safely prior to being placed in the solid	9	basis.
10	waste collection company's container?	10	Q. Does it include the time it charges for mixing
11	A. I am not a solid waste collection company, so,	11	on its invoices for solid waste collection?
12	again, I don't know.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. So if if you don't know and it were to	13	Q. How does it code those bills or to be clear,
14	turn out that all of these activities that led to piles	14	how does it code those services on its invoices?
15	that you claim created dangers, those activities that	15	A. On a time and material basis. Basically, my
16	were caused by I'm sorry. Let me rephrase this.	16	operator shows up. His time is billed whether he's
17	Because you don't know about whose legal	17	hauling or mixing. It's all billed. It's all the same
18	responsibility it is, if it were PCA that took these	18	rate, so it's all
19	steps and not BDI, would you agree with me that you	19	Q. When you say operator I'm sorry. When you
20	can't say that it was BDI responsible for the outcome of	20	say your operator, is that your driver?
21	those activities?	21	A. That is my operator, yes, my truck driver, my
22	A. I can't I can't say that, yeah.	22	operator, my motor operator, so
23	Q. Do you believe that to provide satisfactory	23	Q. When they arrive, do I understand correctly they
24	service, a solid waste collection company has to provide	24	mix the material, they load it, and then they transport
25	environmental services in addition to solid waste	25	it?
		1	

36 (Pages 162 to 165)

	Page 166	Page 168
1	A. That is what they do.	1 Q. Were you speaking hypothetically?
2	Q. Do you have different on-staff personnel who	2 A. Yes. I definitely was. You wouldn't want to
3	provide the mixing as a service separately from the	3 haul a small amount of material in the big scheme of
4	loading at any time?	4 things trying to keep are you talking in the
5	A. Are you asking if we just show up and mix the	5 situation? The amount of material that is constantly
6	material and don't haul it and load it, or?	6 flowing, I don't believe a 20-yard bin is large enough
7	Q. I'm asking if at any time there's someone on	7 to maintain the area, but technically, you could haul it
8	site who does just mix without loading and transporting?	8 in whatever you wanted.
9	A. Not that I'm aware of, no. Generally, it's a	9 Q. What do you believe is the best way to haul
10	one-stop shopping.	10 rejects from the mill?
11	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Your Honor, could I	11 A. I feel that the solution we provided with the
12	take just a couple of minute break to review my notes	12 belt trailers has been an effective solution. It allows
13	and make sure that I asked what I've needed to.	13 us to maintain the moisture of the product and make sure
14	JUDGE HOWARD: A brief, a couple minutes,	14 it's properly mixed. It maintains us it allows us to
15	yes.	15 haul large quantities at a time, and it allows the mill
16	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Thank you.	16 to continue processing without substantive services of
17	(Pause in the proceedings.)	17 production.
18	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. Thank you for that	18Q. Why doesn't Jammie's use container boxes to haul
19	break, Your Honor.	19 rejects?
20	THE COURT REPORTER: And I'm all set.	A. Well, in this situation, there's not a big area
21	JUDGE HOWARD: And we are still on the	21 to store these container boxes. Container boxes are
22	record.	heavy. In my opinion, I just felt it was better to
23	And do you have any further questions for this	haul as large of a quantity as you can legally haul for
24	witness?	24 the customer.
25	MR. FASSBURG: No further questions, Your	<b>Q.</b> In your mind, is there a relationship between on
	Page 167	Page 169
1	Honor.	1 site OCC rejects management services and hauling
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you.	2 services?
3	Do we have any redirect?	A. Definitely there is. I feel that for us to be
4	MR. STEEL: We do, Your Honor. I just	4 affective itle bind of a superint lange and and
		4 effective, it's kind of a one it's one large process
5	given the length of Mr. Fassburg's cross, we're just	<ul> <li>effective, it's kind of a one it's one large process</li> <li>continually flowing.</li> </ul>
5 6	given the length of Mr. Fassburg's cross, we're just putting together our notes, and so could we have a few	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> </ul>
	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> </ul>
6	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>9 area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>9 area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>10 Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>9 area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>10 Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>11 before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>12 of two separate entities operating?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>9 area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>10 Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>11 before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>12 of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>13 MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEEL:	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEEL: Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEEL: Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.</li> <li>(A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 continually flowing.</li> <li>6 Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>7 site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>8 A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>9 area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>10 Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>11 before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>12 of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>13 MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>14 JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>15 objection.</li> <li>16 BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>17 Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>18 testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>19 What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible? JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes. (A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.) JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEEL: Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects. Do you recall that? A. Yes.</pre>	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> <li>your testimony?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.</li> <li>(A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you said you in response, I think</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on</li> <li>site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> <li>your testimony?</li> <li>A. In my testimony, real time is constantly</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.</li> <li>(A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you said you in response, I think you stated something to the effect of you could use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> <li>your testimony?</li> <li>A. In my testimony, real time is constantly</li> <li>fluctuating, constantly flowing. I mean, we have no way</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.</li> <li>(A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you said you in response, I think you stated something to the effect of you could use anything to haul rejects once they are dry.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> <li>your testimony?</li> <li>A. In my testimony, real time is constantly</li> <li>fluctuating, constantly flowing. I mean, we have no way</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>putting together our notes, and so could we have a few minutes to just put our notes together and then we'll start as soon as possible?</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Certainly. Let's go off the record for a few minutes.</li> <li>(A break was taken from 2:42 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: We'll begin the redirect of Jammie Scott.</li> <li>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about using a belt trailer to haul OCC rejects.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I think you said you in response, I think you stated something to the effect of you could use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>continually flowing.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, can you really separate the on site services from the hauling services?</li> <li>A. Not to be an effective way of maintaining their</li> <li>area and keeping up with their waste flow.</li> <li>Q. And in your mind, was this one of the problems</li> <li>before when BDI was hauling, that there were two sort</li> <li>of two separate entities operating?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I'll going to grant that</li> <li>objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about your</li> <li>testimony where you talk about real time.</li> <li>What did you mean by the term "real time" in</li> <li>your testimony?</li> <li>A. In my testimony, real time is constantly</li> <li>fluctuating, constantly flowing. I mean, we have no way</li> <li>of knowing what the mill is going to produce, when it's</li> </ul>

37 (Pages 166 to 169)

	Page 170		Page 172
1	knowing what the customer's needs are going to be,	1	A. I do.
2	knowing how the piles look, knowing what's wet, knowing	2	Q. And I believe you stated that you weren't on
3	what's dry, knowing that we have a loader, we're going	3	site during at least some of that time.
4	to load the material, we're going to haul, if we need	4	Do you recall that?
5	another truck to come in because there's a large amount	5	A. Yes.
б	of material there. It's just constantly flowing. I	6	Q. What was the basis for your knowledge of what
7	mean, it's not a stop, load a box, call for a pickup.	7	was taking place on the mill during that time?
8	Mix the waste. That would be my best way to describe	8	A. You have to understand, we work at this mill on
9	it.	9	a daily basis, so we see we see issues, we hear
10	Q. Earlier Mr. Fassburg asked you about a	10	problems that the customers have, so that is where I
11	photograph dated August 2nd, 2021.	11	gathered my knowledge on this whole scenario, is from my
12	Do you recall that?	12	employees, from, you know, just listening just
13	A. Correct, yes.	13	looking at the site, so (Pause.)
14	Q. And I have a copy of that photo here in front of	14	Q. In your testimony, you state that you're the
15	you; is that right?	15	I believe the owner and president of Jammie's; is that
16	A. Yes.	16	true?
17	Q. During this time, had Jammie's fully implemented	17	A. That is true.
18	its on site services in hauling for PCA?	18	Q. And as owner and president, where do you
19	A. No. This is I believe in August, we were	19	typically spend most of your time?
20	still trying to figure out the solution to the problem.	20	A. I'm generally in the office these days. I don't
21	There's no there's no bunker set up. There's not	21	get out to facilities nearly as much as I would like.
22	even a temporary bunker set up at this point, and I	22	Q. Who do you rely on to communicate information
23	don't see our loader on site at all either, so	23	about what's happening on job sites to you?
24	(Pause.)	24	A. I typically rely on my employees, my operations
25	Q. Mr. Fassburg asked a few questions on I'll	25	managers, my field personnel.
	Page 171		5 172
			Page 173
1		1	
1 2	come back to that.	1	Q. And is that what happened here?
2	come back to that. First, he asked you going to page 3 of your	2	<ul><li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li><li>A. Yes, for sure.</li></ul>
	come back to that. First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was		<ul><li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li><li>A. Yes, for sure.</li><li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening,</li></ul>
2 3	come back to that. First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4	come back to that. First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption. Do you recall that?	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	come back to that. First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption. Do you recall that? A. I do recall that, yes.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you? MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides." Do you see that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted. Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to that mill, and it's even a smaller portion of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted.</li> <li>Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead. MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you a few</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to that mill, and it's even a smaller portion of the services we provide in general, and so in my mind,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you? MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted. Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead. MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you a few questions about page 15 of your testimony. Do you want</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to that mill, and it's even a smaller portion of the services we provide in general, and so in my mind, that's how I looked at it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page - JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted. Thank you.</li> <li>Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead. MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you a few questions about page 15 of your testimony. Do you want to jump there? And he asked you about unsafe</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to that mill, and it's even a smaller portion of the services we provide in general, and so in my mind, that's how I looked at it.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Fassburg asked you several questions about your personal knowledge of what happened at the mill in let's say the spring of 2021.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you?</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading. JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And on page JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted. Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead. MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> <li>BY MR. STEEL:</li> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you a few questions about page 15 of your testimony. Do you want to jump there? And he asked you about unsafe conditions, fire concerns, environmental concerns, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>come back to that.</li> <li>First, he asked you going to page 3 of your testimony, if you want to turn there. Earlier, he was asking you about the incidental exemption.</li> <li>Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I do recall that, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in your testimony, you state on page 3, line 14, that, "Jammie's maintains its position that the OC rejects service should be exempt from regulation under 480-70-111(g) because Jammie's transportation and disposal of OCC rejects is incidental to and just one component of the myriad services it provides."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And Ms. Scott, in your mind, what is the hauling of the OCC rejects incidental to?</li> <li>A. The hauling is a tiny fraction of the work that we it's a tiny fraction of the services we provide to that mill, and it's even a smaller portion of the services we provide to that mill, and it.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Fassburg asked you several questions about your personal knowledge of what happened at the mill in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And is that what happened here?</li> <li>A. Yes, for sure.</li> <li>Q. So if your employees saw what was happening, they would communicate that to you? <ul> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. STEEL: <ul> <li>Q. Did your company see what was going on at the mill and communicate that information to you?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And on page <ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Sorry, Mr. Steel, just a</li> </ul> </li> <li>moment, Ms. Blancaflor, you may want to check that you're muted. <ul> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>Sorry, Mr. Steel, go ahead.</li> <li>MR. STEEL: No problem.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. STEEL: <ul> <li>Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you a few questions about page 15 of your testimony. Do you want to jump there? And he asked you about unsafe conditions, fire concerns, environmental concerns, and production concerns.</li> </ul></li></ul>

38 (Pages 170 to 173)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1	Page 174		Page 176
1	A. Well, in discussions with my employees and	1	What does that mean?
2	personally, I mean, it became apparent that the mess	2	A. Any time the mill isn't functioning as they
3	really did need to be cleaned up. It was not	3	should, I would consider that an upset.
4	dissolving, it was growing.	4	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, can I just have a
5	Q. And was it your employees that conveyed these	5	minute to check my notes to see if there's anything else
б	concerns to you?	6	I want to ask.
7	A. Yes. And eventually the mill. I mean, we	7	JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, go ahead.
8	discussed providing a dump truck to start eliminating	8	MR. STEEL: Thank you.
9	some of these piles.	9	(Pause in the proceedings.)
10	Q. Are you saying it was PCA that conveyed these	10	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, we have no further
11	concerns to	11	questions for redirect.
12	MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading.	12	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. I believe WRRA also
13	BY MR. STEEL:	13	indicated cross for this witness. Mr. Whittaker, do you
14	Q. Did PCA convey these concerns to you and your	14	have questions for the witness?
15	company?	15	MR. WHITTAKER: Yes, I do have questions,
16	A. There was communication between PCA and my	16	Your Honor, but I'll try to be brief this afternoon.
17	employees on site.	17	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Please go ahead.
18	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be sure to allow for	18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	the ruling on the objection.	19	BY MR. WHITTAKER:
20	MR. STEEL: Sorry, Your Honor.	20	Q. Thank you, Judge Howard, and hello, Ms. Scott.
21	JUDGE HOWARD: It's okay. The question I'll	21	I wanted to ask a few higher-level questions, starting
22	permit.	22	with the OCC rejects that Jammie's manages on site for
23	BY MR. STEEL:	23	PCA.
24	Q. Ms. Scott, Mr. Fassburg asked you some questions	24	So at a high level, you provide on site solid
25	about Jammie's services at the mill, and can you	25	waste handling services to reduce the moisture content
		1	
	Page 175		Page 177
1		1	
1 2	Page 175 describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?	1 2	Page 177 of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the
	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of		of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?
2	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?	2	of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the
2 3	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC	2 3	of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.
2 3 4	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from	2 3 4	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill? A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste,</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your sented that precedent of the transmitted of the trans</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that your plan to manage</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start – did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your or your agents your question?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start – did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that your company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA's waste, you or your employees or agents represented that your company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> <li>Q. When did Jammie's begin providing this sort of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a regulated waste to begin with, so we had been hauling</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> <li>Q. When did Jammie's begin providing this sort of management set of service?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that your company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a regulated waste to begin with, so we had been hauling for a while, and when I was approached by BDI, I think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> <li>Q. When did Jammie's begin providing this sort of management set of service?</li> <li>A. I would say full-on managing and day-to-day</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a regulated waste to begin with, so we had been hauling for a while, and when I was approached by BDI, I think it was an e-mail in maybe January-ish, stating that, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> <li>Q. When did Jammie's begin providing this sort of management set of service?</li> <li>A. I would say full-on managing and day-to-day operations in that area August.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a regulated waste to begin with, so we had been hauling for a while, and when I was approached by BDI, I think it was an e-mail in maybe January-ish, stating that, you know, this is illegal, that is when I reached out to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>describe how Jammie's OCC rejects services sort of started and how they evolved at the mill?</li> <li>A. Well, this is a new process for PCA, the OCC rejects area, so we had been working in that area from the get-go. You know, they had upsets. We would go unplug lines. We would go clean the areas as needed. I mean, any type of a new process is going to have their hiccups, and we assisted PCA and facilitated their problems, their hiccups. We would assist where we could to help them remain operational and at least get the operations up and going, so whenever there was an issue, we were generally on site to assist them.</li> <li>Q. And did you start did the process start with you managing the rejects on site initially, or how did that play out?</li> <li>A. No. That was never our intention, I mean, our intention was to was not to go in and start changing all this waste and taking it over from BDI. We went in initially to help them remove piles, so it just evolved from there?</li> <li>Q. When did Jammie's begin providing this sort of management set of service?</li> <li>A. I would say full-on managing and day-to-day</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>of OCC rejects to prepare them for transport; correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes, that is correct. That is one of the services we provide.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you would agree once dry and loaded into a trailer or drop box, there's no legal requirements that you're aware of for hauling OCC rejects that differ from any other municipal solid waste? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And these materials, OCC rejects, have no economic value?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or your agents represented that Jammie's could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Can you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. So when you developed your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or company could legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal to PCA?</li> <li>A. Initially, I never felt like this was a regulated waste to begin with, so we had been hauling for a while, and when I was approached by BDI, I think it was an e-mail in maybe January-ish, stating that, you</li> </ul>

39 (Pages 174 to 177)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 178		Page 180
1	Q. So prior to servicing Jammie's, you testified	1	fact that it's hundreds of tons?
2	that you're familiar with the UTC's management of solid	2	A. This waste is so wet, it definitely adds
3	waste collection; correct?	3	tonnage, so, no, I did not again, I did not see this
4	A. No, I have not. I've talked to the UTC in the	4	waste as a as a regulated waste when we started
5	past on whether or not I needed a certificate or a	5	hauling.
6	permit, and at that time, the amount the work that we	6	Q. And the fact that the waste can be transported
7	were doing was so incidental to the other services we	7	with or without special handling once dry doesn't
8	provide, I had never needed one.	8	matter; it's still exempt from regulation in your
9	Q. But you understand that the legislature had a	9	opinion?
10	policy to manage solid waste collection through a	10	A. I feel like the transportation is incidental to
11	regulated system using regulated service providers;	11	the other services we are providing in this situation,
12	correct?	12	and that's why I reached out to the UTC. That's why I'm
13	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object	13	following the guidelines that they're recommending to
14	to that. That's a legal question about legal background	14	me, so (Pause.)
15	and asks for a legal conclusion.	15	Q. Okay. And you testified on redirect that it
16	JUDGE HOWARD: I am going to grant the	16	would be difficult to separate the on site services you
17	objection. I think that, you know, we have sometimes a	17	provide from disposal, but at various points, both Basin
18	blurry line between policy questions and legal questions	18	and Jammie's concurrently provide hauling of OCC rejects
19	in proceeding before the Commission, but we have not	19	for disposal; correct?
20	quite laid the foundation for that type of question to	20	A. I feel the service Jammie's is providing is the
21	this witness.	21	management. And again, the customer doesn't have to
22	BY MR. WHITTAKER:	22	think about the waste.
23	Q. Then I will rephrase and say, you understand	23	Q. Well, you testified on redirect that the hauling
24	that solid waste is regulated similar to the utility,	24	portion is a tiny fraction, I think were your words, of
25	where the Commission regulates a company with authority	25	the services provided to the mill.
	Page 179		Page 181
1	to collect solid waste within a given geographic area;	1	Did your revenue from the mill work increase by
2	correct?	2	a tiny fraction after you began hauling the OCC waste
3	<ol> <li>I do understand that there are regulations on</li> </ol>	3	for disposal?
4	garage, yes, transportation and disposal.	4	A. The revenue did increase, yes.
5	Q. Okay. And you didn't reach out to	5	Q. Did it increase substantially?
6	A. As a go ahead. Sorry.	6	A. Yeah. I mean, we were hauling on a regular
7	Q. No. Finish.	7	basis, mixing on a regular basis, spending more time in
8	Okay. So you didn't reach out to the Commission	8	that area, yes.
9	for technical assistance before beginning to haul these	9	Q. So not by a tiny fraction?
10	large quantities of waste?	10	A. The hauling, itself, is a tiny fraction of the
11	A. I did not.	11 12	services we provide.
12 13	Q. And earlier, you discussed the significant volume of the waste as being one of its special	13	<b>Q. And the disposal?</b> A. Yes.
14	characteristics.	14	Q. So if you're correct, then Jammie's could haul
15	You didn't feel the need to consult with the	15	material from any paper mill in Washington where you
16	Commission or any regulatory body before beginning to	16	perform some sort of on site solid waste handling or
17	haul that quantity of waste, knowing that it was	17	clean-up?
18	regulated?	18	A. I would look to the Utilities Transportation
19	A. I did not feel that this particular waste was	19	Council to make that decision. I think that's why we're
20	regulated initially, so no.	20	here. I guess
21	Q. And that's because you believed the hauling is	21	Q. But you didn't feel a need to call ahead of
22	an incidental adjunct?	22	time?
23	A. After I reached out to the UTC, yes, that is my	23	A. I did. When it was brought to my attention this
24	understanding.	24	may be a regulated waste under the UTC, that is when I
25	Q. And the volume of the waste doesn't matter, the	25	made the initial phone call.

40 (Pages 178 to 181)

<i>.</i>	Page 182	Page 184
1	Q. So your interpretation	1 Q. Do you believe your competitors could view this
2	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't	2 proceeding as an opportunity to expand their business in
3	hear that question clearly.	3 solid waste disposal?
4	Can you please re-ask it?	4 A. I don't know.
5	MR. WHITTAKER: Sure. I'll rephrase.	5 MR. WHITTAKER: That's all I have, Your
6	BY MR. STEEL:	
7	Q. Is it under interpretation of the law that you	<ul> <li>Honor. Thank you.</li> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.</li> </ul>
8	would be able to provide this service at any facility,	8 Do we have any redirect?
8 9		9 MR. STEEL: No, Your Honor.
10	any paper mill in Washington or industrial facility where you perform some sort of on site solid waste	10         JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Well, thank you, Ms.
11	handling prior to the material going for disposal?	10         JODGE HOWARD. Okay. Weil, thank you, Ms.           11         Scott, for your testimony today. Let's turn to the next
12	MR. STEEL: I believe that question has been	12 witness.
13	asked and answered. I think the witness has answered	12     witness.       13     Do we have Owen Scott is everyone we've
13 14		14 kind of had scatter shot breaks this afternoon. Does
14 15	that question.	
16	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to deny that	15does anyone need a break before we continue with the16next witness? I would I want to be efficient, but I
$10 \\ 17$	objection. I the witness has not directly answered	
18	that question.	
18 19	THE WITNESS: So it is my understanding if	18         MR. FASSBURG: Yeah, I would apologize, Your           19         Honor, but I would appreciate at least a five-minute
20	the hauling of the waste is incidental to the other	
20 21	services we provide, then it is not regulated under the	20     break.       21     JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's take a
22	UTC regulations, but, again, I am trying to learn here.	
23	I really have never this is a different situation for us in general.	<ul> <li>ten-minute break and reconvene at 3:20 and we'll pick up</li> <li>with Owen Scott.</li> </ul>
24	BY MR. WHITTAKER:	24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
25	Q. But you would agree though, you know, large	25 JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. We are off the
23	a. Dut you would agree though, you know, large	25 SODGE HOWARD. Hank you. We are on the
	Page 183	Page 185
1	Page 183 industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of	Page 185 1 record.
1 2		1     record.       2     (A break was taken from
	industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of	1record.2(A break was taken from33:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)
2	industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the
2 3	industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators? A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the
2 3 4	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so</li> <li>(Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of</li> </ul>	1record.2(A break was taken from33:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)4JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the5record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the6cross-examination with Owen Scott.7Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the</li> </ul>	1record.2(A break was taken from33:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)4JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the5record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the6cross-examination with Owen Scott.7Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right8hand?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       10         0WEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers.</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         0       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         0       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         0       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations         20       manager, Jammie's Environmental, O-w-e-n, J, S-c-o-t-t.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. And do you believe that so then do you view</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations         20       manager, Jammie's Environmental, O-w-e-n, J, S-c-o-t-t.         21       MR. STEEL: Mr. Scott, do you have before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. And do you believe that so then do you view this proceeding as an opportunity to expand your</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations         20       manager, Jammie's Environmental, O-w-e-n, J, S-c-o-t-t.         21       MR. STEEL: Mr. Scott, do you have before         22       you what has been marked for identification as Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. And do you believe that so then do you view this proceeding as an opportunity to expand your business to other facilities as well?</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       0         10       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       13         13       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations         20       manager, Jammie's Environmental, O-w-e-n, J, S-c-o-t-t.         21       MR. STEEL: Mr. Scott, do you have before         22       you what has been marked for identification as Exhibit         23       Nos. OJS-1T through OJS-6 in Dockets TG-220215 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>industrial facilities generate substantial amounts of waste; they're the largest generators?</li> <li>A. Correct. And I don't think that I can just go in there and start hauling whatever waste, so (Pause.)</li> <li>Q. So do you believe that interpretation, as you discussed it, would impact the rates and services of other smaller customers that are regulated by the Commission?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's foundation for Ms. Scott to answer questions about the rate impacts to other customers. THE WITNESS: I don't know.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the concept of credence stealing (phonetic)?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I have learned that in discovery, in somebody's testimony.</li> <li>BY MR. WHITTAKER:</li> <li>Q. And do you believe that so then do you view this proceeding as an opportunity to expand your</li> </ul>	1       record.         2       (A break was taken from         3       3:10 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)         4       JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Let's be back on the         5       record. It's 3:21 p.m. We're going to conclude the         6       cross-examination with Owen Scott.         7       Mr. Scott, would you please raise your right         8       hand?         9       OWEN SCOTT, having been first duly sworn by the         11       Arbitrator, testified as follows:         12       JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.         14       Mr. Steel, would you please introduce your         15       witness?         16       MR. STEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.         17       Mr. Scott, would state your name and title and         18       spell your name for the court reporter?         19       THE WITNESS: Owen J. Scott, operations         20       manager, Jammie's Environmental, O-w-e-n, J, S-c-o-t-t.         21       MR. STEEL: Mr. Scott, do you have before         22       you what has been marked for identification as Exhibit

41 (Pages 182 to 185)

	Dago 196	Daga 199
	Page 186	Page 188
1	MR. STEEL: And these exhibits constitute	1 A. We do have the industrial cleaning, everything
2	your pretrial testimony and related exhibits in this	2 from vacuum truck services, large mill outage, cleaning
3	proceeding?	3 services. It varies.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4 Q. And through that work you do at the mill, have
5	MR. STEEL: Were these exhibits prepared	5 you developed a good relationship with the people who
6	under your supervision and direction?	6 work there with for PCA?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7 A. Yes.
8	MR. STEEL: Do you have any corrections to	<ul> <li>Q. You state in your experience on the page of your</li> <li>pretrial testimony that you've worked in industrial</li> </ul>
9	any of your exhibits at this time? THE WITNESS: I do not.	
10		10waste handling.11Have you, prior to this particular facility, had
11 12	MR. STEEL: Is your pretrial direct testimony and accompanying exhibits true and correct to	12 any experience working with OCC rejects?
13	the best of your information and belief?	13 A. Yes.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	13A. res.14Q. Where did you have experience working with OCC
15	MR. STEEL: Thank you.	15 rejects?
16	Your Honor, Jammie's offers Mr. Scott for	-
10	cross-examination.	<ul> <li>A. I've worked with OCC rejects in several pulp</li> <li>mill facilities in a cleaning capacity.</li> </ul>
18	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you.	17min facilities in a cleaning capacity.18Q. Did you have any experience in removal,
19	Mr. Fassburg, you may proceed.	19 collection, transportation, or disposal of OCC rejects
20	Are you handling this witness?	20 at any of those other facilities?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, Your Honor. Thank	21 A. No.
22	you for asking.	22 <b>Q.</b> Do you have and you state you work in
23	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay.	23 industrial waste handling.
24	///	24 What does that entail for Jammie's?
25	/// ///	25 A. Industrial waste handling can be a variety of
	Page 187	Page 189
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
1 2		
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG:	<ol> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> </ol>
2 3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: <b>Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my</b>	<ol> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> </ol>
2 3 4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today.	<ol> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief	<ol> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know.	<ol> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct?	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct.	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to?	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often.	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct?	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct.	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Working at the mill, did your particular	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Working at the mill, did your particular responsibilities involve more than just working at the	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> <li>You testified on page 4 about a variety of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Working at the mill, did your particular responsibilities involve more than just working at the OCC plant?	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> <li>You testified on page 4 about a variety of</li> <li>cleaning services that Jammie's provides at the mill.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 9. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. 9. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. 9. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. 9. Working at the mill, did your particular responsibilities involve more than just working at the OCC plant? A. Yes.	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> <li>You testified on page 4 about a variety of</li> <li>cleaning services that Jammie's provides at the mill.</li> <li>Are you on site for any of the work that's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: Q. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. Q. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Working at the mill, did your particular responsibilities involve more than just working at the OCC plant? A. Yes. Q. What other service what other services are	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> <li>You testified on page 4 about a variety of</li> <li>cleaning services that Jammie's provides at the mill.</li> <li>Are you on site for any of the work that's</li> <li>performed for those cleaning services?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FASSBURG: 9. Mr. Scott, as you may have already heard, my name is Blair Fassburg. I represent Basin Disposal. I will try to keep my questions clear and relatively brief today. If you don't understand one of my questions, please let me know. I understand you're an operations manager for Jammie's Environmental; is that correct? A. That's correct. 9. And in your capacity as operations manager, how frequently are you on site at given facilities that Jammie's provides services to? A. It varies, but I'm on site often. 9. I understand that you were on site on occasion at PCA's mill near Wallula, Washington, during 2021; is that correct? A. That is correct. 9. Working at the mill, did your particular responsibilities involve more than just working at the OCC plant? A. Yes.	<ul> <li>things from cleaning asphalt tanks, cleaning bilges on</li> <li>ships. If we've decommissioned pulp mills, cleaned out</li> <li>all their green liquor waste, transported that for</li> <li>disposal, so it's a wide variety.</li> <li>Q. Does your experience with industrial waste</li> <li>handling always involve the disposal side?</li> <li>A. Not always.</li> <li>Q. Are there facilities at which you provide on</li> <li>site processing where after you're completed with your</li> <li>work, a solid waste collection company comes in and</li> <li>hauls away the solid waste that has resulted from your</li> <li>work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that solid waste handling</li> <li>and management and solid waste collection under</li> <li>Washington law are different services that are regulated</li> <li>differently?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, I asked this question of Ms. Scott, but I</li> <li>want to make sure I understand your testimony as well.</li> <li>You testified on page 4 about a variety of</li> <li>cleaning services that Jammie's provides at the mill.</li> <li>Are you on site for any of the work that's</li> </ul>

42 (Pages 186 to 189)

	Page 190	Page 192
1	Q. Who removes the OCC rejects for the mill,	1 Q. Did you at times empty those vacuum trucks
2	following Jammie's's cleaning services?	2 directly onto the grounds outdoors?
3	A. In the beginning, we would if we were	3 A. No.
4	cleaning a tank prior to us doing any of the management,	4 Q. When you first started working for Jammie's to
5	we would clean the tank, if we were vacuuming and dump	5 provide service to collect those OCC rejects in May, who
б	that in a containment so it could then be pushed	6 first contacted you about that service?
7	dewatered and pushed out with the PCA Bobcats.	7 A. From the mill, I spoke with Brian Wilhelm.
8	Q. So when the when you were first working	8 Q. Do you remember when that contact occurred?
9	there, if I understand, the OCC rejects that were	9 A. Sometime in May of '21.
10	connected with the cleaning services you provided were	10 Q. And do you recall how that contact was
11	actually removed from the facility by PCA; is that what	11 initiated?
12	you're saying?	12 A. I believe we were having a conversation about
13	A. I'm saying they collected those after we cleaned	13 work that was ongoing in the area. I was on site and I
14	and put those into the receptacle for the disposal.	14 brought it up, that he obviously had a need and a
15	Q. Okay. Has that process changed at all over	15 problem growing.
16	time?	<b>Q. Did Mr. Wilhelm ask you to prepare a written</b>
17	A. Yes.	17 proposal for service?
18	Q. Now when you clean, who removes the material	18 A. Mr. Wilhelm asked me to give him a couple of
19	from the facility?	19 ideas how to at least stem the tide, and we gave him a
20	A. We do.	20 cost to provide a dump truck and pup.
21	Q. What do you do with that material?	<b>Q.</b> And did he ask you well, let he rephrase
22	A. We dump it into the bunker.	22 this.
23	Q. Why was there a reason for that change?	23 Were you asked for multiple written proposals?
24	A. Well, there was reason for that change because	A. Not at that time. We gave them one.
25	the material was not being handled to the satisfaction	25 <b>Q. Gave him one.</b>
	Page 191	Page 193
1	Page 191 of the customer.	Page 193      1    Did you have any plans, provide him any other
1 2		
	of the customer.	1         Did you have any plans, provide him any other
2	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill?	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this	<ol> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> </ol>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away.	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material?	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material? A. There was a couple of different spots we would	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet</li> <li>OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the customer. <b>Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the</b> <b>mill.</b> <b>You're saying that you were not satisfying the</b> <b>customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with</b> <b>their own removal from the mill?</b> A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. <b>Q. Where did you dump the material?</b> A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that.	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet</li> <li>OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should</li> <li>have hauled them despite the fact that they contained</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material? A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that. Q. Where were those spots?	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet</li> <li>OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should</li> <li>have hauled them despite the fact that they contained</li> <li>wet OCC rejects?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material? A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that. Q. Where were those spots? A. Usually in the basement area, we would back in	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet</li> <li>OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should</li> <li>have hauled them despite the fact that they contained</li> <li>wet OCC rejects?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material? A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that. Q. Where were those spots?	<ul> <li>Did you have any plans, provide him any other</li> <li>written proposals at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's</li> <li>involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading</li> <li>or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at</li> <li>the mill; correct?</li> <li>A. I do understand that.</li> <li>Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not</li> <li>hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is</li> <li>that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet</li> <li>OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should</li> <li>have hauled them despite the fact that they contained</li> <li>wet OCC rejects?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the customer. Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill. You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill? A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away. Q. Where did you dump the material? A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that. Q. Where were those spots? A. Usually in the basement area, we would back in and unload the truck so it could dewater.	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet         14       OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should         15       have hauled them despite the fact that they contained         16       wet OCC rejects?         17       A. No, I'm not.         18       Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have         19       found a solution to reduce the water content of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>of the customer.</li> <li>Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill.</li> <li>You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill?</li> <li>A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away.</li> <li>Q. Where did you dump the material?</li> <li>A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that.</li> <li>Q. Where were those spots?</li> <li>A. Usually in the basement area, we would back in and unload the truck so it could dewater.</li> <li>Q. Why does that material need to dewater, in your</li> </ul>	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet         14       OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should         15       have hauled them despite the fact that they contained         16       wet OCC rejects?         17       A. No, I'm not.         18       Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have         19       found a solution to reduce the water content of the         20       material placed in its containers by PCA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>of the customer.</li> <li>Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill.</li> <li>You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill?</li> <li>A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away.</li> <li>Q. Where did you dump the material?</li> <li>A. There was a couple of different spots we would back in and unload the truck so it could dewater.</li> <li>Q. Why does that material need to dewater, in your opinion?</li> <li>A. Because it was wet.</li> </ul>	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet         14       OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should         15       have hauled them despite the fact that they contained         16       wet OCC rejects?         17       A. No, I'm not.         18       Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have         19       found a solution to reduce the water content of the         20       material placed in its containers by PCA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>of the customer.</li> <li>Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill.</li> <li>You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill?</li> <li>A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away.</li> <li>Q. Where did you dump the material?</li> <li>A. There was a couple of different spots we would do that.</li> <li>Q. Where were those spots?</li> <li>A. Usually in the basement area, we would back in and unload the truck so it could dewater.</li> <li>Q. Why does that material need to dewater, in your opinion?</li> </ul>	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet         14       OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should         15       have hauled them despite the fact that they contained         16       wet OCC rejects?         17       A. No, I'm not.         18       Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have         19       found a solution to reduce the water content of the         20       material placed in its containers by PCA?         21       A. I think that if Basin saw it as a problem, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>of the customer.</li> <li>Q. I'm talking about just the removal from the mill.</li> <li>You're saying that you were not satisfying the customer with I'm sorry, they were unsatisfied with their own removal from the mill?</li> <li>A. No. No. If we're cleaning in the beginning prior to us hauling and removing those OCC rejects, if we were cleaning something back in the beginning of this process, we would vacuum, clean, dump that, and then PCA would use their equipment to load that into whatever receptacle that was being hauled away.</li> <li>Q. Where did you dump the material?</li> <li>A. There was a couple of different spots we would back in and unload the truck so it could dewater.</li> <li>Q. Why does that material need to dewater, in your opinion?</li> <li>A. Because it was wet.</li> <li>Q. You wouldn't have loaded wet OCC rejects into a</li> </ul>	1       Did you have any plans, provide him any other         2       written proposals at that time?         3       A. No.         4       Q. Now you understand prior to Jammie's's         5       involvement, Basin Disposal did not perform any loading         6       or handling services with respect to the OCC rejects at         7       the mill; correct?         8       A. I do understand that.         9       Q. Now, you criticized Basin Disposal for not         10       hauling containers more quickly from PCA's facility; is         11       that correct?         12       A. That is correct.         13       Q. Now, when those containers were filled with wet         14       OCC rejects by PCA, are you suggesting that Basin should         15       have hauled them despite the fact that they contained         16       wet OCC rejects?         17       A. No, I'm not.         18       Q. Is it your contention that Basin should have         19       found a solution to reduce the water content of the         20       material placed in its containers by PCA?         21       A. I think that if Basin saw it as a problem, they         22       should have been suggesting something to the customer

43 (Pages 190 to 193)

	Page 194		Page 196
1	that was sitting in the yard is full.	1	Q. Okay. And do you understand that, according to
2	MR. FASSBURG: Objection; nonresponsive.	2	PCA's data request response that it provided to Basin,
3	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to grant the	3	the material was approximately 40 percent water?
4	objection from "I want to go back to the other question	4	A. Yes.
5	you asked me" onwards.	5	Q. And so you're saying that that was sufficiently
6	And, Mr. Scott, just we have our formalities and	6	dry to haul when it contained 40 percent water?
7	our specific rules we need to follow in this setting.	7	A. As long as it wasn't dripping out of your
8	THE WITNESS: Sure.	8	containers.
9	JUDGE HOWARD: So please just focus on the	9	Q. Do you have any understanding of what water
10	specific question being posed.	10	percentage the water the material is not going to
11	BY MR. FASSBURG:	11	drip out of the container?
12	Q. Mr. Scott, you were there on site to observe how	12	A. I don't have that.
13	loading into Basin containers occurred, were you not?	13	Q. Now, back to my question a moment ago, are you
14	A. Yes.	14	suggesting that PCA does not bear responsibility?
15	Q. And you understand that the materials were being	15	A. No, I'm not suggesting that.
16	removed from the mill and loaded directly into	16	Q. Okay. Now, your testimony blames BDI for all
17	containers without first being processed to dry them; is	17	the problems that occurred at PCA with respect to
18	that right?	18	materials that accumulated on the ground; is that
19	A. Yes.	19	correct?
20	Q. And it's your testimony that all of the loading	20	A. Yes.
21	and handling was being performed by PCA; correct?	21	Q. Okay. Now, do you have an understanding of how
22	A. Correct.	22	many containers were removed today from PCA's facility
23	Q. Now, if PCA loads a container full of wet	23	by Basin Disposal?
24	materials, are you suggesting PCA bears no	24	A. I do not.
25	responsibility for the fact that container cannot be	25	Q. Do you have any understanding of where the
1	Page 195	1	Page 197 bottleneck occurred and why materials could not be moved
2	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, objection. That's a	2	at the same rate of production that they were being
3	legal conclusion. I'm not sure Mr. Scott should be	3	generated by PCA?
4	answering that question.	4	A. I do not.
5	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, Mr. Scott then	5	Q. Okay. So if we wanted to determine whether it
6	shouldn't have provided testimony with respect to his	6	was poor service by BDI or a poor plan by PCA, we would
7	observation about whose fault it was that containers	7	need to determine where the bottleneck actually
8	weren't being moved.	8	occurred; would we not?
9	JUDGE HOWARD: I will allow the question,	9	A. Sure.
10	given the underlying testimony.	10	Q. Okay. You testified on page 8 of your direct
11	THE WITNESS: Will you ask the question	11	testimony that the OCC rejects piled against the
12	again?	12	building present a fire hazard; is that correct?
13	BY MR. FASSBURG:	13	A. That is correct.
14	Q. Are you suggesting that PCA has no	14	Q. When PCA first hired Jammie's, was there a
15	responsibility when it loads a container with wet OCC	15	bunker constructed at that facility?
16	rejects?	16	A. There was not.
17	A. Well, I would first suggest that not all the	17	Q. Where were the materials piled when Jammie's
18	containers were loaded with wet rejects. There are some	18	first took over service or first started providing
19	rejects that come out that have dewatered already, so	19	service?
20	your assumption is that all the containers were wet.	20	A. They were piled up against the building, and
21	Q. What was the dewatering process that PCA was	21	then there were other piles that were developing off to
22	engaged in?	22	the side of the building.
23	A. They had dumpsters inside the plant that had	23	Q. And do those piles that were loaded next to the
24	screens built in them, and then the Sebright also does	24	building continue for several months after Jammie's
		1	-
25	some dewatering as it comes out.	25	started providing service to PCA?

44 (Pages 194 to 197)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1	Page 198		Page 200
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I'm saying PCA probably could only load what
2	Q. And when you eventually built for PCA a CONEX	2	they could load.
3	bunker, what did that bunker consist of?	3	Q. If there was a fire risk based on how long
4	A. It was just a simple bunker built with a CONEX	4	materials were sitting there at PCA's facility, you
- 5	box and concrete Jersey barriers.	5	would agree with me it would be incumbent on PCA to make
6	Q. And so for those of us who would appreciate	6	sure the pile was moved or being rotated; correct?
7	layman terms, a CONEX box is basically a steel cargo	7	A. It would be incumbent on either PCA or the
8	container; correct?	8	contractor to make that happen.
9	A. Correct.	9	Q. So it would be incumbent on whatever party is
10	Q. And the Jersey barriers are just concrete blocks	10	actually doing the processing and loading; correct?
11	stacked up on top of each other at one end of that	11	A. Correct.
12	trailer – or one end of that container; correct?	12	Q. And we agree that the party performing that
13	A. That's correct.	13	service when BDI was providing solid waste collection
14	Q. What was the barrier on the other side, opposite	14	service was PCA; correct?
15	the Jersey barriers?	15	A. Correct.
16	A. I'm not 100 percent.	16	JUDGE HOWARD: I let's I know we're
17	Q. Well, we can look at pictures.	17	working with the limitations of this video hearing
18	If you turn to page 12 of your testimony, you	18	setting, but I do I do have a bit of concern that
19	include a pictures.	19	there's a lot of background noise from the conference
20	Can you see there in that photograph what the	20	room right now.
21	other end of the CONEX box consisted of?	21	MR. STEEL: Apologies, Your Honor. I was
22	A. Yes. It's a I think it might be a little	22	page turning.
23	misleading. It's inside of the build. I think it's a	23	JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah, hopefully this goes
24	little past, but (Pause.)	24	back to in person hearings soon, so I just wanted to
25	Q. If it would help, we can look at a picture	25	it was getting to the point where I was worrying about
	Page 199		
	rage 199		Page 201
1	that's not in your testimony. The native file image	1	the record. Okay.
1 2	that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.	1 2	
	that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X. A. This picture looks it's not		the record. Okay.
2	that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X. A. This picture looks it's not Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the	2	the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.
2 3	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case. BY MR. FASSBURG:
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case. BY MR. FASSBURG: <b>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the record. Okay. MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051. Can you find that photograph?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At what point is the pile small enough that it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At what point is the pile small enough that it doesn't present a risk?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At what point is the pile small enough that it doesn't present a risk?</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. At what point is the pile small enough that it doesn't present a risk?</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and weeks on end, and that was what was happening in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> <li>A. And how do we know this was taken on August 2nd?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. twhat point is the pile small enough that it doesn't present a risk?</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and weeks on end, and that was what was happening in the summer of '21.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> <li>A. And how do we know this was taken on August 2nd?</li> <li>Q. This is a photograph taken by PCA or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and weeks on end, and that was what was happening in the summer of '21.</li> <li>Q. Are you saying that PCA didn't rotate the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> <li>A. And how do we know this was taken on August 2nd?</li> <li>Q. This is a photograph taken by PCA or presumably taken by PCA. It was produced by PCA in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and weeks on end, and that was what was happening in the summer of '21.</li> <li>Q. Are you saying that PCA didn't rotate the materials in its pile, that it left the same materials</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li><b>Can you find that photograph?</b></li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li><b>Q.</b> We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> <li>A. And how do we know this was taken on August 2nd?</li> <li><b>Q.</b> This is a photograph taken by PCA or presumably taken by PCA. It was produced by PCA in discovery. If you would like it, we can pull up the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that's not in your testimony. The native file image that I have is 0111.jpeg in Exhibit OJS-7X.</li> <li>A. This picture looks it's not</li> <li>Q. The question is, is there another barrier on the other side of that bunker?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't tell, but it looks to me like it's past where there's a ramp that goes back into the building, the production building.</li> <li>Q. Is so is it your testimony that there was never a pile next to the building that was inside the CONEX bunker?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not saying that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when these piles are near the building, do they present varying degrees of risk with respect to a fire?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>A. Well, at this time, you've got to keep the piles moving so they're not just sitting there for weeks and weeks on end, and that was what was happening in the summer of '21.</li> <li>Q. Are you saying that PCA didn't rotate the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the record. Okay.</li> <li>MR. FASSBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. We do care about making sure we have a good record on this case.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. Now, with respect to this CONEX bunker, when specifically was that constructed by PCA or I'm sorry, by Jammie's?</li> <li>A. Somewhere around the end of July, 1st of August, '21.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, we've discussed earlier with Ms. Scott a picture that was taken on August 2nd. I'll refer you back to that. It was the picture was native file 0051.</li> <li>Can you find that photograph?</li> <li>MR. STEEL: That's the one dated August 2nd. THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>BY MR. FASSBURG:</li> <li>Q. We can see in that photograph there was note CONEX bunker as of August 2nd; correct?</li> <li>A. And how do we know this was taken on August 2nd?</li> <li>Q. This is a photograph taken by PCA or presumably taken by PCA. It was produced by PCA in</li> </ul>

45 (Pages 198 to 201)

,	Page 202	Page 204
1	Page 202	
1	I'm confident we can do that.	1 Would that be the date in which the bunker was
2	A. I'm just saying, I don't know when the CONEX was	2 set up?
3	put in there, but it was somewhere in that time frame.	3 A. Yes, it would. 4 <b>Q. Okav. Thank vou.</b>
4	We can call it the end of August then. I'm not exactly	
5	sure exactly when it was built.	
6	Q. Sure. To be clear, I'm not trying to challenge	6 concrete bunker at PCA's facility?
7	the accuracy of your testimony. I'm trying to pin down	7 A. PCA did the work.
8	when this was constructed.	8 Q. Do you know when they began planning the
9	A. We don't have that. Obviously, it wasn't	9 construction of that bunker?
10	constructed by August 2nd. When is this dated? The	10 A. I do not.
11	CONEX is there.	11 Q. Sorry for the brief delay. I'm just going to
12	Q. Would the charge for the CONEX bunker appear in	12 make sure that I've asked my questions.
13	the invoices that Jammie's issued for its solid waste	13 Okay. On page 14 of your pre-trial testimony,
14	collection services to PCA?	14 beginning at line 16, you state, "Before Jammie's
15	A. I believe it would be.	15 involvement, BDI was attempting to haul raw OCC rejects
16	Q. Do you know how that would have been coded or	16 to the transfer station, which was not working. This
17	what invoice we ought to be able to find that?	17 reflects considerable inexperience with industrial waste
18	A. I don't.	18 like the OCC rejects on BDI's part."
19	Q. Okay. I may come back to that, but I don't have	19         Is it your understanding that BDI was actually
20	a lot more questions, so why don't we actually go ahead	20 attempting to haul OCC rejects that were so wet, they
21	and take a quick break, and I'll look to see if I can	21 could not be hauled?
22	find that in the invoices.	22 A. No.
23	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Fassburg, do you just	23 Q. And you have no knowledge of who selected the
24	need a couple of minutes, or do you need a	24 type of service BDI would provide, do you?
25	MR. FASSBURG: Yeah, I literally just need a	25 A. I do not.
	Page 203	Page 205
1	few minutes.	1 Q. And you don't know what amount of planning PCA
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. We'll stay on the	2 put into their decision-making for what service would be
3	record.	<ul> <li>used at the OCC plant for the removal or for the</li> </ul>
4	(Pause in the proceedings.)	4 collection and transportation of OCC rejects, did you?
5	BY MR. FASSBURG:	5 A. I was not part of those conversations.
6	Q. Okay. Mr. Scott, do you have available to you	6 MR. FASSBURG: I have no further questions
7	Document CV-07?	7 at this time.
8	JUDGE HOWARD: Mr. Scott, so you're aware,	8 JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any
9	the a number of dollar values in this exhibit have	9 redirect?
10	been marked confidential, so if you need to refer to any	10 MR. STEEL: No, Your Honor.
11	specific numbers when you give your answers, please try	11 Okay. WRRA also indicated cross. Mr.
12	to give me a heads-up first so I can take a couple of	12 Whittaker, do you have questions for the witness?
13	procedural steps, but if you're able to answer without	13 MR. WHITTAKER: Yes, I do. I have just a
14	referring to specific dollar figures, then we don't need	14 couple of brief questions. Give me just a moment.
15	to worry about it.	15 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. FASSBURG: And to be clear, I won't be	16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	attempting to ask Mr. Scott any questions about the	17 BY MR. WHITTAKER:
18	dollar figures. I'm referring to a redacted version,	18 Q. This was asked previously, but I wanted to
19	which I believe contains what we're looking for.	19 clarify it with you as an operations person who probably
20	MR. STEEL: Okay. I think we got it.	20 has more direct personal experience: When you were
_ •	BY MR. FASSBURG:	21 developing your plan to manage PCA's waste, you or
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21 22	Q. Okay. Would you please turn mine says page	22 someone from Jammie's represented that Jammie's could
22	Q. Okay. Would you please turn mine says page 8. Let me confirm that's actually accurate, yes, page	
	8. Let me confirm that's actually accurate, yes, page	23 legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal?
22 23		23 legally haul the OCC rejects for disposal?

46 (Pages 202 to 205)

	Page 206		Page 208
1	hauled material for disposal for other customers. In	1	ready.
2	those instances, you or your agents also represent that	2	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I did want to
3	you can haul waste for disposal; correct?	3	check, what would be a good date for continuation for
4	MR. STEEL: Just sec. Can you just slow	4	PCA?
5	down? I didn't totally hear the question.	5	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Unfortunately, Your Honor,
6	MR. WHITTAKER: Sure.	6	with vacations coming up, the first full week that all
7	BY MR. STEEL:	7	of our team is available is the week of December 5th.
8	Q. So you mentioned or it was mentioned earlier	8	We have two of our team members that are out of the week
9	today that Jammie's hauls materials for disposal for	9	of the 21st and as well as the week of November 28th.
10	other customers, and in those instances, you are you	10	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. And I can
11	or agents that represent you to those customers	11	certainly understand all of that with the Thanksgiving
12	represented that they can haul waste for disposal;	12	holiday and planned leave.
13	correct?	13	I would be available December 6th. I could also
14	A. Correct.	14	make December 7th
15	Q. No further questions. Thank you.	15	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Tuesday?
16	JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any redirect?	16	JUDGE HOWARD: Yeah, December 6th, or
17	MR. STEEL: No, Your Honor.	17	Wednesday, December 7th I could make work. I could make
18	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Mr. Scott Mr.	18	the Friday, December 9th, work.
19	Scott, thank you for your testimony today. You are	19	Do any of the parties have concerns with any of
20	excused from the proceeding.	20	those three dates? I think we would need to adjust the
21	Our next witness on the proposed order of	21	post-hearing briefing deadlines because that would
22	witnesses would by Skylar Ratchford (phonetic). I am	22	otherwise take a couple of weeks off the parties'
23	concerned that we don't actually have time today to	23	preparation.
24	finish the examination of this witness and that it would	24	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, my only
25	involve an awkward break partway through the testimony	25	concern we are available those dates. My only
	Page 207		Page 209
1	because we're going to have to resume the hearing	1	concern with a Friday hearing date, if today's progress
2	possibly next week or at a later date. I'm proposing we	2	is any indication, I would request that we at least
3	use the PCA witness Kurt Thorne forward and that we	3	reserve two days on our calendar so we don't have to
4	handle the cross-examination of Mr. Thorne at this	4	bump it again, if needed.
5	point, and then we also identify a date for the	5	JUDGE HOWARD: Hopefully it's not necessary,
6	continuation of the hearing before we adjourn.	6	but I can see why you're requesting that. How about
7	What are the parties thoughts about that	7	December 6th and 7th, and we'll err on the side of
8	proposal or, do you have any alternatives?	8	caution, we'll include at least another half day.
9	I turn first to Jammie's.	9	MR. STEEL: Your Honor, the 6th does not
10	MR. STEEL: We're flexible. We're fine with	10	work for Jammie's. The 7th does.
11	your plan as proposed.	11	MR. WHITTAKER: The same for WRRA, Your
12	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Does that work	12	Honor.
13	for Basin?	13	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, for Basin, we
14	MR. FASSBURG: It does, Your Honor. In	14	would be available, I can say, although I certainly
15	terms of assuming we have one hour left today, it's	15	appreciate the need to reserve extra time, frankly, I
16	possible that there are no other witnesses being offered	16	often think these hearings are overly rushed and
17	for testimony or for cross-examination by PCA that	17	compressed when we don't allow ourselves enough time,
18	would be completed today, I think we could definitely	18	and so I would encourage us to do that. I will state,
19	complete Kurt Thorne. I don't believe it's going to	19	even though maybe perhaps my best interest here, I think
20	take anywhere near an hour. Mr. Wilhelm I suspect might	20	because of opening statements today and preliminary
21	in terms of all parties examinations combined, and so I	21	objections, we got a later start this morning than we
22	think this is logical.	22	would on a second day.
23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Does that work	23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: I can make December 8th work,
24 25	for PCA? MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. We're	24	so I would suggest December 7th and 8th for the continuation of the hearing, and I think we would likely
ر ک	NO. DEANOAL LON. 163, TOUL HOUDT. WETE	2,5	contanuation of the meaning, and I think we would likely
		1	

47 (Pages 206 to 209)

	Page 210	Page 2	212
1	finish on the 7th. And then that at least we're not	1 MS. BLANCAFLOR: So with this correction, is	
2	rushing the parties, we don't have a suspension of a	2 your are your pretrial direct testimony and	
3	deadline in the case.	3 accompanied exhibits true and correct to the best of	
4	And in the notice, I will issue I will adjust	4 your information and your belief?	
5	the post-hearing briefing deadline; all right?	5 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
6	MR. STEEL: That works for Jammie's, Your	6 MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, PCA presents	
7	Honor.	7 Mr. Thorne for cross-examination.	
8	MR. FASSBURG: That works for Basin too.	8 JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	
9	JUDGE HOWARD: Any objections from	9 Basin, you made proceed.	
10	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Works for PCA.	10 MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.	
11	MR. WHITTAKER: Works for WRRA.	11 CROSS-EXAMINATION	
12	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Great. I feel better	12 BY MR. FASSBURG:	
13	addressing that, that issue.	13 Q. And Mr. Thorne, although you may have heard	
14	So let's take up the examination of Kurt Thorne.	14 previously, my name is Blair Fassburg. I'm counsel fo	r
15		15 Basin Disposal. I hope to keep my examination today	
16	KURT THORNE, having been first duly sworn by the	16 brief, and I will probably be skipping around in terms	
17	Arbitrator, testified as follows:	<ol> <li>of subject matters.</li> <li>If you do not understand one of my questions due</li> </ol>	
18		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
19 20	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Ms. Blancaflor, would you please introduce the	19to lack of context, please do let me know and I'll try20to rephrase the question. I would like to start first	
20	witness.	20 vith your responsibilities at the mill.	
22	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor. Thank	22 As mill manager, does that mean you are the	
23	YOU.	<ul> <li>23 person with whom the buck stops for all major decision</li> </ul>	ns?
24	Mr. Thorne, would you please state your name,	24 A. Yes.	113 :
25	your title, and spell your name for the record, please.	25 Q. As a person who is at that senior level, I	
	Page 211	Page 2	213
-			110
1	THE WITNESS: Yes. Kurt Thorne. I'm the	1 imagine you do not make certain decisions within the	
2	mill manager here at the PCA Wallula mill, K-u-r-t,	<ul> <li>2 mill directly such as selecting a contractor for solid</li> <li>3 waste collection services; is that correct?</li> </ul>	
3	T-h-o-r-n-e.	3 waste collection services; is that correct?	
1	MS PLANCAELOP: Thank you	A It depends on the desision. That will your	
4	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Thank you.	4 A. It depends on the decision. That will vary	
5	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been	5 depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't	
5 6	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> </ul>	
5 6 7	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3.	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes.	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. <b>Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial</b>	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li><b>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</b></li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> </ul>	em
5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. <b>Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial</b>	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding?	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes.	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction?	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> </ul>	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time?	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because of</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because o</li> <li>what?</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because of</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a proposal dated September that should have been August	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because of</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> <li>the time. We didn't really get into it, so I don't</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a proposal dated September that should have been August instead of September. That is the only correction.	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because of</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> <li>the time. We didn't really get into it, so I don't</li> <li>really have a good because for that. We really didn't</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a proposal dated September that should have been August instead of September. That is the only correction. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, that's KT-1T,	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because o</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> <li>the time. We didn't really get into it, so I don't</li> <li>really have a good because for that. We really didn't</li> <li>get into the details around it. We just were going with</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a proposal dated September that should have been August instead of September. That is the only correction. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, that's KT-1T, page 8.	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because of</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> <li>the time. We didn't really get into it, so I don't</li> <li>really have a good because for that. We really didn't</li> <li>get into the details around it. We just were going with</li> <li>BDI.</li> </ul>	,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Thorne, do you have before you what has been marked for identification Exhibit Nos. KT-1T through KT-1 through or 1-T through 3. A. Yes. Q. Do these exhibits constitute your pretrial direct testimony and related exhibits in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Were these exhibits prepared under your supervision and direction? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any corrections to your exhibits at this time? A. There was one correction. On page 8, it mentioned that or that the the question refers to an e-mail from Skylar Ratchford dated September a proposal dated September that should have been August instead of September. That is the only correction. MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, that's KT-1T,	<ul> <li>depending on solid waste contractor. We didn't</li> <li>initially didn't have any options for that decision. So</li> <li>but I was involved in the other decisions moving</li> <li>forward.</li> <li>Q. And now when you say initially you didn't have</li> <li>any options moving forward for that decision, is that</li> <li>because you understood in Washington, there's a syst</li> <li>of regulation by which solid waste collection company</li> <li>needs to have a certificate before it can provide solid</li> <li>waste collection services?</li> <li>A. Well, I think we're here to decide that, but our</li> <li>initially honestly, what we were initially told is</li> <li>that BDI is our only option.</li> <li>Q. Right. Now, BDI was your only option because o</li> <li>what?</li> <li>A. Well, really, that's just all we considered at</li> <li>the time. We didn't really get into it, so I don't</li> <li>really have a good because for that. We really didn't</li> <li>get into the details around it. We just were going with</li> </ul>	,

48 (Pages 210 to 213)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Washington has a system of regulation by which solid         Provide a stat at the solution companies are required to obtain permission from the Commission?           A. Yesh, BDI - BDI informed us of that once we had moved in things like cost analyses for using one type of container versus another is that correct?         A. Now, in your position as mill manager, you were not involved in things like cost analyses for using one type of container versus another is that correct?         A. And you would not have been involved in minor day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be load of day and that why we were the put there so that they could be dried sufficiently so they could be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently so they could be dried sufficiently so they could be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently so they could be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently and be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently solid be dried sufficiently sol		Page 214	,	Page 216
2         waste collection companies are required to obtain permission from the Commission?         order to reduce their water content so they could be disposed?           4         A. Yesh, BDI BDI informed us of that once we had moved to Jammiés.         order to reduce their water content so they could be disposed?           5         M. Wow, In your position as mill manager, you were rot involved in things like cost analyses for using the container versus another; is that correct?         A. And you would not have been involved in mion day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be loaded into drop boxes for disposat, correct?         A. I don't recall if we had issue, moisture issues           13         A. Correct. Although, I knew - I knew of them, that.         Image: moisture issues           14         but - and at the time, I was more involved at the operations manager, sol would say I was involved in that.         A. I don't recall if we had issues, moisture issues           15         or sure you didn't have - or whether you had moisture issues, are you suggesting that COC rejects con vary the was built by based on the mill producing them?           16         that.         Colay. Now, are you saidy out us a Subright press - or or Subrigh press, but I think you saidy out us a Subright press.           17         Q. And is that the tile had by one of our other witnesses here today?         A. Absolutely. And, we adhere the CCC rejects?           20         A. Well, I was slightly involved. I was more involved in that, but I knew with as going for on.         Q. And what dit the process of a the colling?				
a     permission from the Commission?     a       A     Vesh, BOI - BOI informed us of that once we had moved to Jammis 5.     A       C     Now, In your position as mill manager, you were not involved in things like cost analyses for using one type of container versus another; is that correct?     A     A       A     Correct.     A     A correct.     Correct.       Boatded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?     Correct.     A     A correct.       A     Correct.     A     I contract. Although, I know - I knew of than, the so that they could be dried sufficiently so they could be dried sufficiently dried				
4       A. Yesh, BOI - BDI informed us of that once we had         5       moved to Jammie's.         6       Now, In your position as mill manager, you were         7       not involved in things like cost analyses for using one         7       A. Correct.         8       And you would not have been involved in minor         11       day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be         12       loaded into drop boxes for disposat, correct?         13       A. Correct. Mihough, Iknew - Iknew of them,         14       but and at the time, Iwas more involved in that.         16       that.         17       Q. Okay. And so we may get in that a little bit.         18       wern into the bounk for sure for asso and that is the bit.         19       O. Kay. And so we may get in that a little bit.         10       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?         11       that.         12       o. And is that the title held by one of our other         13       A. Correct.         14       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?         15       not inst the title held by one of our other         16       that.         17       Q. Wan is that the title held by one of our other         18       wit		· · ·		-
<ul> <li>moved to Jammie's.</li> <li>O. Now, in your position as mill manager, you were not involved in things like cost analyses for using one type of container versus another; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>A. And you would not have been involved in minor day-tody decisions regarding how materials would be there so that they could be dried sufficiently so they could later be loaded?</li> <li>A. I don't real! five had issues, moisture issues</li> <li>I don't content.</li> <li>Dat and at the time, I was more involved as the operations manager, so I would say! was involved in that latted bit.</li> <li>When did you first get promoted on mill manager?</li> <li>A. It was Juno of 2021.</li> <li>O. Kay. And so were the operations manager?</li> <li>A. Tota's that the title hold by one of our other witnesses here today?</li> <li>A. Tota's that the title hold by one of our other witnesses here today?</li> <li>A. Correct. Minuping of the process of the OCC plant, you were the operations manager?</li> <li>A. Correct. Minuping of the process of the OCC plant.</li> <li>Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?</li> <li>A. Now. We had that expertise howse. We</li> <li>A. Now. We had that expertise howse. We</li> <li>Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that some of a beapres.</li> <li>Q. Way. Mwa tother GCC plants should be operatord?</li> <li>A. Myself, I was involved in that, but I knew what was going on.</li> <li>Q. Wen the mill first decided to start up an OCC plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for disposal; is that and place them in a container for dis</li></ul>		•	•	
6       0. Now, in your position as mill manager, you were not involved in things like cost analyses for using one type of container versus another; is that correct?       6. And so if they went into a bunker, were they put there so that they could be dried sufficiently so they could late be loaded?         11       0. And you would not have been involved in minor day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be loaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?       0. And you would not have been involved in thinor day to-day decisions regarding how materials would be loaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?       0. And you would not have been involved in then, but and at the time, lwas more involved at the facility with a bunker. We just went into the bunker We just went into the bunker We just went into the bunk for sure you dial that you saying that you don't know in or sure you dial that we so and goal.         12       0. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit. that we sume of 2021       0. Okay. Now, are you saying that you don't know in for sure you dind thave - or whether you had noisture issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects can vary imilike here, the moisture content absolutely varies.         13       0. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit. that we sume of 2021       0. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press - or not Sebright press, but inhow you said you use a Sebright press.         13       0. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plants were you molves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant stoud you first det press.       0. And what did that process, you dewatered the materials on one is had been sufficienty dried of press.         14<				· · · · ·
7       not involved in things like cost analyses for using one       7         8       type of container versus another; is that correct?       0       A. And you would not have been involved in minor         10       A. And you would not have been involved in thins       0       A. I don't reall if we had issues, moisture issues         12       Iscaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?       1       A. I don't reall if we had issues, moisture issues         13       A. Correct. Altwoyh, Iknew - I knew of them, werin ton the bunker, were they put their water capacity based on the mill producing them?         14       Dut- and at the time, I was more involved as the implement of services at the Ittle belt.         14       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?         15       A. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?         14       Witnesses here today?         24       Witnesses here today?         25       A. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?         26       A. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?         27       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the cornect.         28       A. Correct. M. Witheim.         29       Correct. M. Witheim.         20       A. Mostilly hynolved. I was more of a beth getprimetery ind of the pooses, you dewatering process, you. Bynolved in the				-
8       type of container versus another; is that correct?       9       A. Correct.       9         9       A. Correct.       9       A. Correct.       9         10       G. And you would not have been involved in minor       10       10       11       14       16       11	-			t straight into straight into bins or into a
9       A. Correct.       9       there so that they could be dried sufficiently so they could later be loaded?         10       Q. And you would not have been involved in minor       10       could later be loaded?         11       A. Correct. Although, I knew - I henew of them.       12       loaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?         12       A. Correct. Although, I knew - I henew of them.       13       went into the bunk for sure you saying that you don't know for sure you didn't have - or whether you had moisture issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects can vary         13       When did you first got promoted to mill manage?       A. A should be were the operations manager?       A. A should the weads on even a singular         14       When did you first got promoted to mill manage?       A. A should have a dewatering process, yes. It was a singular         15       O. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC       10       N. Wei have a dewatering process, yes. It was a singular         16       A. I dist that the title held by one of our other       24       Miller here as a singular         17       A. Ma is that the title held by one of our other       23       A. Weil A was a different type of press.         19       R. Weil you workes in the planning of the       Cormencement of services at the OCC plants       A. I different type of press.         19       A. Weil, I was slightly involved in that, but I knew what was going on.<				ad an if they want into a humber ware they put
10       Q. And you would not have been involved in minor         11       day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be         12       loaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?         13       A. Correct. Although, I knew ~ I knew of them,         14       but - and at the time, I was more involved as the         15       operations manager, so I would say I was involved in         16       that.         17       Q. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit.         18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?         14       It was June of 2021.         25       A. That is correct.         26       Q. Nay, when you said you use a Sebright press - or not Sebright press, but I think you said there was another process, slid that dewater the OCC rejects?         27       A. That is correct.         28       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.         29       C. Were you involves in the planning of the coromencement of services at the OCC plant?         29       A. Weil, I was slightly involved. I wasn the man person involved in that.         20       O. Were you involves in the planning of the coromencement of services at the OCC plant?         3       A. Weil, I was slightly involved. I wasn the man person involved in that experise indows.         3       O. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC				
11       day-to-day decisions regarding how materials would be loaded into drop boxes for disposal; correct?       11       A. I don't recail if we had issues, moisture issues loading and that's why we went into the bunk for. We just wont into the bunk for sure for ease of handling.         12       but - and at the time, I was more involved as the operations manager, so I would say I was involved in that.       11       A. I don't recail if we had issues, moisture issues wont into the bunk for sure for ease of handling.         13       A. Orrect. Alhough, I knew - I knew of them, that.       12       wont into the bunk for sure for ease of handling.         14       Q. Okay. Now, are you saying that you don't know for sure you iddin't have - or whether you had moisture their water capacity based on even a singular mill like here, the moisture content absolutely varies.         15       A. I trans june of 2021.       0. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press.         16       0. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?       0. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?         15       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25       0. And what did that process, ets. It was another process, ets. It squeezed it commencement of services at the OCC plant?       A. It just look out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press is more of a companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant should be operated?         16       0. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did h hire consultants or envinomental services compan				
12       loading and that swy we went into the bunker. We just         13       A. Correct. Although, I knew I knew of them,       13         14       but - and at the time, I was more involved as the operations manager, so I would say I was involved in       13         15       operations manager, so I would say I was involved in       13         16       that.       13         17       Q. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit.       16         18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       17         19       A. It was June of 2021.       18         20       O. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC plant, you were the operations manager?       18         21       M. That is correct.       20         22       A. And is that the title held by one of our other       20         23       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       21         24       Went weak in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plants?       23         3       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I was the operations or norizons frankly, to held water matal so one it had been sufficiently dried, that paper millicoul remove the OCC rejects?         3       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I was the operation?       24         4       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I was the operator?       3         <		-		
13       A. Correct. Although, I knew - I knew of them,       13       went into the bunk for sure for ease of handling.         14       but - and at the time, I was more involved as the       0. Okay. Now, are you saying that you don't know         15       operations manager, so I would say I was involved in       14       0. Okay. Now, are you saying that you don't know         16       that.       0. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit.       16         16       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. Absolutely. And, yeah, based on even a singular         17       A. It was June of 2021.       A. Ab so that the tille held by one of our other         18       witnesses here today?       A. And is that the tille held by one of our other         23       A. And is that the tille held by one of our other       witnesses here today?         24       witnesses here today?       A. Boy when you said there was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         24       O. Were you involves in the planning of the       25         25       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the       2         26       When the mill first decided to start up an OCC       plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services         27       A. No, No. We had that expertise inhouse. We       A. Sore.         30       A. No, No. We had that texpertise inhouse.				
14       but - and at the time, I was more involved as the operations manager, so I would say I was involved in that.       14       Q. Okay, Andy, are you saying that you don't know for sure you uldin't have - or whether you had moisture issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects an vary their water capacity based on the mill producing them?         17       Q. Okay, And so we may get into that a little bit.       15       for sure you uldin't have - or whether you had moisture issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects an vary their water capacity based on the mill producing them?         18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. It was June of 2021.       A. That is correct.         20       A. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC       20       Q. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press.         21       A. That is correct.       We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25       Q. And what did that process do at the facility?         24       witnesses here today?       25       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it cogether, not where a Sebright press is more of a compactor, his was more of a belt press.       26         26       Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       3       3         3       G. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services on involved with it.       3       Cos with			0	
15       operations manager, so I would say I was involved in that.       15       for sure you din(h have - or whether you had moisture issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects can vary the water capacity based on the mill producing them?         16       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. It was June of 2021.         17       Q. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit. When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. Absolutely. And, yeah, based on even a singular them?         18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. Absolutely. And, yeah, based on the wall producing them?         20       A. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?       O. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press - or or to Sebright press, but I think you said there was a different type of press.         21       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       23       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         23       A. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       1       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it to together, not where a Sebright press is more of a compactor, this was more of a belt press.       2         3       A. Weil, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       3       3       Companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant show the operator?       4       A. Swe: It was a differentity eo or disposal; is that a		-		-
16       that.       16       issues, are you suggesting that OCC rejects can vary their water capacity based on the mill producing them?         17       A. It was June of 2021.       A. It was June of 2021.       A. It was June of 2021.         19       A. It was June of 2021.       A. That is correct.       Q. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press – or on Sebright press, but I think you said there was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         23       A. That is correct.       Q. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press – or on Sebright press, but I think you said there was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         24       witnesses here today?       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25         26       Q. And what did that process of a the OCC plants?       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         26       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the consultants or environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plants thould be operated?       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press, you dewatered the material so once it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that anot tright?         3       A. No. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We have OCC plants at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants meryou involved?       A. No. We took that ec				
17       Q. Okay. And so we may get into that a little bit.       17       their water capacity based on the mill producing them?         18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       A. Absolutely. And, yeah, based on even a singular         19       A. It was June of 2021.       mill like here, the moistoure content absolutely varies.         21       plant, you were the operations manager?       A. Absolutely varies.         22       A. That is correct.       20         23       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       20         24       witnesses here today?       23         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       24         26       Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25         27       Q. Mere you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       A. Weid, have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         26       Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       26       A. Must did that process do at the facility?         27       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       1       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press is more of a compactor, this was more of a belt press. you dewatered the material so once it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants on one in had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants on a container for disposal, would t				
18       When did you first get promoted to mill manager?       18       A. Absolutely. And, yeah, based on even a singular mill like here, the moisture content absolutely varies.         19       Q. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC plant, you were the operations manager?       0. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press - or not Sebright press, but I think you said there was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         21       Q. And is that the title held by one of our other witnesses here today?       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       23       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         22       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       24       different type of press.       0. And is that the title held by one of our other was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         23       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25       0. And what did that process do at the facility?         Page 215         Page 215         Page 215         Page 215         Page 217         A. Well, I was signify involved. I wasn't the commence of services at the OCC plant?         A. Well, I was signify involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.         Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, sord be operated?         A. No. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We have OCC plants shoud be operated?				
19       A. It was June of 2021.       19         20       G. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC       19         21       plant, you were the operations manager?       20         23       A. That is correct.       22         4       witnesses here today?       23         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       23         7       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       A. No. No. We had that experise inhouse. We       1       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press, so und ewatered the materials once it had been sufficiently dried, that about right?         9       A. No. No. We had that experise inhouse. We       10       A. Sure.         10       Na sincellaw at the facilities where there are at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.       10       A. No. We had that experise infinouse. We         11       A. Moself, I was involved?       A. No. We had that experise regination on the regination of the press. and went into drop boxes or I mean, there was a tinew when we would go straight from the bunker		, , ,		
20       Q. So at the beginning of the process of the OCC       20       Q. Now, when you said you use a Sebright press				
21       plant, you were the operations manager?       21       or not Sebright press, but i think you said there was another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         23       Q. And is that the title held by one of our other       22         34       Witnesses here today?       23         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       24         Page 215         Page 217         1       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       A. Wull, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the mair person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.         6       Q. Where woul first decided to start up an OCC       plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant should be operated?       A. Sure.         10       A. Mos. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We the materials of once it had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.       10         12       O. Okay. At what other facilities where there are oCC plants were you involved?       14         14       A. Myel, I was involved at Republic Paperboard at Lew materiads iffectly in a drop box for disposal, would they?         14       A. Mos. We to facilities where there are oCC plants were you personally involved in OCC waste?         15 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th>				-
22       A. That is correct.       22       another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         23       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       22       another process, did that dewater the OCC rejects?         24       witnesses here today?       23       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       24         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25       Q. Mere you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       Q. Were you involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       Page 215       Page 216       Page 217         1       Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant sor involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant sor environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant sor of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.       Q. Okay. And at that mill, they would not have taken materials directly out of the facility without dewatering them or drying them in a bunker and place them in a container for disposal; is that about for disposal, would they?         14       Q. Okay. At what other facilities where there are OCC plants at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.       10       A. No. We took some out of the press - straight out of the press - straight out of the press and went into drop boxes or - I mean, there was a time when we would go straight from the bunker to - sory. I take that box. Straight from the bunker to - sory. I take that box. Stra				
23       Q. And is that the title held by one of our other       23       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         24       25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       23       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       23       A. Mw did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         26       Page 215       Q. And what did that process do at the facility?         7       Page 11       A. We did have a dewatering process, yes. It was a different type of press.         7       Q. Mere you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       1         3       A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.       1         6       Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services       2         8       companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.       1         1       A. No. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We in a container for disposal, would not have taken materials directly out of the facility without dewatering them or drying them in a bunker and place them in a container for disposal, would the?         14       O. Okay. At what other facilities where there are or or been involved with it.       1	22			
24       witnesses here today?       24       different type of press.       Q. And what did that process do at the facility?         25       A. Correct. Mr. Wilhelm.       25       Q. And what did that process do at the facility?         26       Page 215       Q. And what did that process do at the facility?         1       Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?       1       A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press is more of a belt press, you dewatered the material so noce it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that about right?         6       Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant should be operated?       Q. Okay. And at that mill, they would not have taken materials directly out of the facility without dewatering them or drying them in a bunker and place them directly in a drop box for disposal, would the??         16       A. Myeif, I was involved at Republic Paperboard at Lawton, Oklahoma, and then Georgia Pacific in Rincon, Georgia.       19       Q. And at that two facilities, were you personally involved in OCC waste??       19       Q. And at that two facilities, were you personally involved in OCC waste??       24       Q. And tima town envior met was the other roccipics sart         10       A. It was.       Q. And it mat two facilities, were you personally involved in	23	Q. And is that the title held by one of our other		• • •
Page 215Page 2171 <b>Q.</b> Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?1A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press is more of a together, not where a Sebright press, you dewatered the material so once it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that about right?0A. No, No, We had that expertise inhouse. We have OCC plants at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.0O. Okay. And at that mill, they would not have take materials directly out of the facilities where there are out of the press and went into drop boxs or I mean, there was a time when we would go straight from the bunker to sorry. I take that back. Straight from the bunker straight into a box.19Q. And at that two facilities, were you personally involved in OCC production?19Q. Sure. And at that point, were the OCC rejects sufficiently dried and could be transported without leaking water on the roadway?21A. I was. Q. And were you personally involved in OCC waste? A. Yes.Q. And im sorry, please remind me, where was the other OCC plant at which you were located?	24	-		
1Q. Were you involves in the planning of the commencement of services at the OCC plant?1A. It just took out water. It squeezed it together, not where a Sebright press is more of a compactor, this was more of a belt press.3A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.3C. So with the belt press, you dewatered the material so once it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that about right?6Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant should be operate??A. So with the belt press, you dewatered the material so once it had been sufficiently dried, that paper mill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants and place them in a container for disposal; is that about right?9A. No. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We have OCC plants at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.114Q. Okay. At what other facilities where there are to CC plants were you involved?115OCC plants were you involved?116A. Myself, I was involved at Republic Paperboard at involved in OCC production?117Lawton, Oklahoma, and then Georgia Pacific in Rincon, Georgia.118Georgia.Q. And at that two facilities, were you personally involved in OCC production?219Q. And were you upersonally involved in OCC waste?Q.	25	•		
22Q. And were you personally involved in OCC waste?22A. Yes.23A. Yes.23Q. And I'm sorry, please remind me, where was the24Q. At those two mills, how was or let's start24	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Well, I was slightly involved. I wasn't the main person involved in that, but I knew what was going on.</li> <li>Q. When the mill first decided to start up an OCC plant, did it hire consultants or environmental services companies or any other kind of contractor, frankly, to help guide it on how the OCC plant should be operated?</li> <li>A. No. No. We had that expertise inhouse. We have OCC plants at four of our other mills, and I, myself, had been at two other OCC plants prior to this or been involved with it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At what other facilities where there are OCC plants were you involved?</li> <li>A. Myself, I was involved at Republic Paperboard at Lawton, Oklahoma, and then Georgia Pacific in Rincon, Georgia.</li> <li>Q. And at that two facilities, were you personally</li> </ul>	3compacts4Q. So5material6paper mi7and placs8about rig9A. Sur10Q. Ok11taken ma12dewateri13them dire14A. No.15out of the16there was17bunker to18the bunker19Q. Sur	or, this was more of a belt press. with the belt press, you dewatered the so once it had been sufficiently dried, that ill could remove the OCC rejects from the plants the them in a container for disposal; is that ght? re. ay. And at that mill, they would not have aterials directly out of the facility without ng them or drying them in a bunker and place ectly in a drop box for disposal, would they? . We took some out of the press straight e press and went into drop boxes or I mean, s a time when we would go straight from the o sorry. I take that back. Straight from er straight into a box. re. And at that point, were the OCC rejects
23A. Yes.23Q. And I'm sorry, please remind me, where was the24Q. At those two mills, how was or let's start24other OCC plant at which you were located?	21	-		
23A. Yes.23Q. And I'm sorry, please remind me, where was the24Q. At those two mills, how was or let's start24other OCC plant at which you were located?			-	-
	23		23 <b>Q. An</b>	d I'm sorry, please remind me, where was the
25     one at a time. I think you said one was in Oklahoma.     25     A. Georgia.	24	Q. At those two mills, how was or let's start	24 other OC	C plant at which you were located?
	25	one at a time. I think you said one was in Oklahoma.	25 A. Ge	orgia.

49 (Pages 214 to 217)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 218	Page 220
1	Q. In Georgia.	1 but we said we were going to haul them to a landfill.
2	I think you said for Georgia Pacific; correct?	2 We didn't and then by that time, the plant was you
3	A. Yeah.	3 know, we were getting close to commissioning the plant,
4	Q. How were the OCC rejects processed at the mill	4 and honestly, yeah, we we didn't at that time, we
5	at or at Georgia Pacific's mill?	<ul> <li>started thinking about how we were going to handle</li> </ul>
6	A. They all went into a big bunker at that mill.	<ul> <li>rejects. So prior to that, we were back and forth</li> </ul>
7	Yeah, it was a much bigger facility. They all went into	<ul> <li>Projects: Go prior to triat, we were back and forth</li> <li>between the burning them and landfilling them.</li> </ul>
8	a big bunker and then loaded onto a truck.	8 <b>Q.</b> And when you were considering how exactly to
9	Q. And did that bunker allow the OCC rejects to	<ul> <li>9 handle them, one of the plans that you considered was</li> </ul>
10	dry, or were they dewatered before they went into the	10 whether to use a pneumatic tube system for which you've
11	bunker?	11 got an estimate or a quote from a vendor where you
12	A. They were both dewatered, and then, yeah, there	12 transport those OCC rejects to a hog fuel boiler or
13	was some drying. If the rejects sit there in Georgia	13 burner and burn them on site; correct?
14	heat, it's going to dry.	14 A. Yeah, essentially. That's fair enough. We can
15	Q. With respect to the handling of the rejects at	15 go with that. Some detail is missing, but the hog fuel
16	the Oklahoma facility, was it the mill that handled all	16 boiler is correct.
17	of the processing and the loading into the dumpsters?	17Q. Okay. Did you ever consider disposing of them
18	A. Yes.	18 in your own landfill?
19	Q. And what about in Georgia?	19 A. No. Our landfill does not have that capability.
20	A. We had a third party load onto from the	20 Q. And what type of landfill does PCA operate?
21	bunker into a truck similar to what Jammie's is doing	A. We haul our own sludge from the mill over to our
22	here.	22 landfill, where it gets processed and then generally
23	Q. So it first went into a bunker and then it went	23 goes on to a secondhand use with farmers.
24	into a truck.	24 Q. Does PCA have a solid waste handling permit?
25	Was that a was the person who did that	25 A. We do not well, I guess I don't know that.
	•	
	Page 219	Page 221
1	loading someone who was authorized to haul solid waste	1 From the not with the UTC, so I don't know how we
2	in Georgia?	2 handle that, to be honest.
3	A. I assume so. I don't I do not know.	3 <b>Q. And I wasn't</b>
4	Q. Okay. And I don't and I'm not trying to ask	4 A. What permit we have to handle that, if we have
5	you about the system of regulations in Georgia, but	5 one.
6	presumably, you would use someone who is authorized to	6 Q. Do you have any kind of knowledge what permits
7	provide the service?	7 you would have to have for the facilities that you
8	A. Yeah.	8 operate?
9	Q. Okay. When PCA was planning its process here at	9 A. For the facility
10	the Wallula mill, does did it plan for any sort of	10 Q. Let me be clear, solid waste handling permits.
11	dewatering device within the mill?	11 A. To handle what?
12	A. Well, we had the Sebright press, and we that	12 Q. Any of the waste you generate at your facility
13	was our dewatering device, along with, you know, for the	13 that you landfill?
14	junk trap, some of these dumpsters that had drilled	14 A. I do not.
15	holes in them to let the water dry drip out of them.	15 <b>Q. Okay.</b>
16	Q. And so the plan for and let me actually make	16 A. For us to handle.
17	sure we're talking about the right time frame.	<b>Q.</b> Now, when you were planning this process with
18	Before the plant opened, the plan was to use the	18         respect to the OCC rejects, you considered more than one
19	Sebright press and the dumpsters that you used	19 way for the collection of those rejects at the mill for
20	internally that had holes in them; is that right?	20 transportation; correct? Do you understand my question?
21	A. It was. I mean you talked a little bit earlier	A. Say that say that again.
22	about our we were back and forth whether we were	<b>Q.</b> Before PCA started operating the OCC plant, it
23	going to burn the rejects or whether we were going to	23 was considering more than one method by which it would
24	haul them to a landfill, and it was pretty late in the	24 transport OCC rejects for disposal; correct?
25	game when we said we were I think December we said,	A. No. As far as off site, I guess transferring to

50 (Pages 218 to 221)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 222		Page 224
1	the hog fuel boiler would be one. And then the other	1	that. Heavy rejects means mostly the metals that get
2	was BDI handled transporting off site.	2	removed from our process, where Jammie's handles the
3	Q. Now, with respect to the option of BDI	3	plastic and then paper that gets rejected.
4	transporting off site, did you look at multiple	4	Q. Now, who handles the processing and the loading
5	container options for how it might be handled?	5	of the heavy rejects?
6	A. I wasn't part of that. I do not know if we	6	A. PCA does.
7	considered different-size containers or different	7	Q. Okay. So just to make sure I understand your
8	container options. We did we did talk about and we	8	answers clearly, at no time has Basin had the
9	had that with that Sebright, there is a couple of	9	responsibility at PCA for removing OCC rejects from the
10	compactor containers we had and I guess we somewhat	10	plant or loading them into drop boxes?
11	considered it at that, but not seriously. It was a	11	A. Not that I know of.
12	later time we looked into that in more detail.	12	Q. Okay. You are the person at the mill who is
13	Q. Does that Sebright, by the way, have more than	13	ultimately responsible for safety; is that correct?
14	one dewatering mode? In other words, can it generate	14	A. Yes.
15	OCC rejects with more or less water?	15	Q. And I imagine as a person who you know, if
16	A. I don't know the answer to that. I don't think	16	the buck stops with you, you would make safety a
17	there are different modes to it, but I'm not positive.	17	paramount concern for the mill; correct?
18	Q. Okay. And that may be a question I just need to	18	A. Absolutely.
19	ask a different witness.	19	Q. And you would want to make sure that the mill is
20	A. Yeah. Ask Skylar that question. He would know.	20	doing everything necessary to protect its employees from
21	Q. Now, were you involved in discussions with BDI	21	any risk of harm; correct?
22	as to who would provide onsite loading and onsite	22	A. Well, let me clarify. Let me answer like this:
23	removal from the OCC plant?	23	There's inherent risk in all of the mill, and, yes, we
24	A. No. My only interaction with BDI was in the	24	consistently work to reduce that risk on a daily basis.
25	February meeting, when we brought them out brought	25	Q. Sure. So understanding that there's inherent
-	Page 223		Page 225
1	BDI out to ask for different ideas as far as how we	1 2	risk in many things that people do throughout their
2 3	could handle the rejects. Q. And so after that February 2021 meeting, you	3	daily life and their work, PCA would not do anything that would deliberately put its employees at risk, would
4	didn't have much direct interaction with BDI?	4	it?
5	A. No.	5	A. Again, I need you to define "risk." If we're
6	Q. And other than what was reported to you, I take	6	if if someone is going to have an immediate accident,
7	it then you don't have personal knowledge of what was	7	no. If I'm asking someone to cut a rope with a knife,
8	communicated on the telephone calls between BDI and PCA	8	which is a risk, yes. So you need to define "risk"
9	either; correct?	9	there.
10	A. Correct.	10	Q. Sure. And if there's something that PCA did
11	Q. It is your understanding, though, that PCA was	11	deliberately, it wouldn't have done so if they thought
12	ultimately the party that took the OCC rejects out of	12	the risk was going to create an immediate harm or
13	the mill and placed them into BDI's drop boxes for	13	immediate risk of harm to somebody; correct?
14	collection during the time that BDI was providing that	14	A. Absolutely, correct.
15	service in March, April, and May of 2021; correct?	15	Q. And so PCA would not have taken needless risk
16	A. That is correct.	16	either, right, risk that's too great of a danger to its
17	Q. Who does that now?	17	employees or others; correct?
18	A. Jammie's well, we haul them out into the	18	A. As determined by us, yes, correct.
19	bunker. Jammie's takes them, mixes them in the bunker,	19	Q. Now, in your testimony, Exhibit KT-1T, you talk
20	processes them, loads them in the truck and hauls them	20	about some of your concerns about the unsafe environment
21	into the landfill.	21	that was created at PCA due to growing piles of OCC
22	Q. And my understanding is you still do use Basin	22	rejects; is that true?
	Disposal for the handling of certain OCC rejects out of	23	A. That's correct.
23	Disposal for the nanding of certain 000 rejects out of		
23 24	the plaint; is that correct?	24	Q. Now, you blame the piles themselves and the
		24 25	Q. Now, you blame the piles themselves and the unsafe environment and the risk to your employees on

51 (Pages 222 to 225)

	Page 226	Page 228
1	Basin Disposal; is that your testimony is?	1 was a big contributor to that.
2	A. Yes. Both on the method Basin was hauling and	2 Q. But you understand that Basin coordinated with
3	how promptly they hauled. So the method I'm referring	3 PCA to haul a number of dumpsters that were estimated by
4	to is loading the rejects into dumpsters. You	4 PCA on a regular basis; correct?
5	inherently get you know, you're not going to get all	5 A. I do, but I also consider that Basin had a big
6	that plastic and paper into the dumpster, so you develop	6 part in that in that we're not the expert
7	all these little piles everywhere around the dumpster,	7 MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object to
8	and granted, the ground on there wasn't that even in the	8 nonresponsive everything after "I do." This is not the
9	first place, but it creates even more uneven ground and	9 question I was asking. Your Honor, I asked him if they
10	rocks the Bobcat back and forth. And then when those	10 coordinated with Basin with respect to the number of
11	piles dry out, yeah, they they become an issue as far	11 dumpsters to be hauled.
12	as blowing around, as far as higher risk, so yes.	12 JUDGE HOWARD: I will grant the objection.
13	Q. Does does PCA take any responsibility for	13 THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
14	risks that were created by OCC rejects being on the	14 BY MR. FASSBURG:
15	ground?	15 Q. And have you ever examined the number of
16	A. I'm sure that our guys were operating the	16 dumpsters that Basin hauled on average?
17	Bobcat, so but at the same time, I mean, yeah, so yes,	17 A. I do not know that.
18	we were involved in that, but I think it was unrealistic	18 Q. And so you have no basis to challenge testimony
19	based on the conditions to say we were going to get all	19 of Basin regarding the average number of dumpsters it
20	that all that material straight into the dumpster	20 was hauling for PCA?
21	without spilling.	A. I do not and yeah. That was based on my
22	Q. Now, just to be clear, some of the some of	22 visual observations out there and what was reported to
23	the concerns that you have relates to the fact that OCC	23 me by my folks.
24	rejects were on the ground after PCA loaded them and	24 Q. Now, Basin Disposal did not, itself, dump OCC
25	spilled them during the process of loading; is that	<sup>25</sup> rejects on the ground; correct?
	Page 227	Page 229
1	correct?	1 A. Correct.
2	A. Correct.	2 Q. Now, if there was a risk of fire because OCC
3	Q. And some of your concerns are about the fact	3 rejects had been dumped on the ground, who is the party
4	that PCA built piles against its building; is that	4 directly responsible for dumping the OCC rejects on the
5	correct?	5 fire on the ground and creating the risk of fire?
6	A. Well, and yes, and the only reason we built	<ul> <li>A. I think there's two parties directly</li> <li>responsible: BDI and PCA.</li> </ul>
7	piles was because all the dumpsters were full and we	
8		
٥	didn't couldn't get them all hauled out. We couldn't	8 Q. And who has the ability to control the
9 10	dump into the dumpsters.	<ul> <li>Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> </ul>
10	dump into the dumpsters. <b>Q. And so</b>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> </ul>
10 11	dump into the dumpsters. <b>Q. And so</b> A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> </ul>
10 11 12	dump into the dumpsters. <b>Q. And so</b> A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I</li> <li>clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I</li> <li>clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the Sebright, and that was definitely all the time, or we</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> <li>20 again, you say risk, and I did not determine the risk to</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the Sebright, and that was definitely all the time, or we were too wet because we had other rejects coming from an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> <li>20 again, you say risk, and I did not determine the risk to</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the Sebright, and that was definitely all the time, or we were too wet because we had other rejects coming from an upset process upset, and we would we would pile up</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> <li>20 again, you say risk, and I did not determine the risk to</li> <li>21 be to that level to do that. Did we have fugitive</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the Sebright, and that was definitely all the time, or we were too wet because we had other rejects coming from an upset process upset, and we would we would pile up that way, so not maybe not all the piles were created</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> <li>20 again, you say risk, and I did not determine the risk to</li> <li>21 be to that level to do that. Did we have fugitive</li> <li>22 emissions blowing constantly out of the mill? No. But</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>dump into the dumpsters.</li> <li>Q. And so</li> <li>A. I shouldn't say that. I shouldn't can I clarify? Or, no, do you want me to stop?</li> <li>Q. Are you not complete answering your question?</li> <li>A. I wasn't complete.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I was just going to clarify that, yes, there were times, I think, that and you may were going to allude to this, that we were to wet coming off the Sebright, and that was definitely all the time, or we were too wet because we had other rejects coming from an upset process upset, and we would we would pile up that way, so not maybe not all the piles were created because the dumpsters were full. There could have been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Q. And who has the ability to control the</li> <li>9 production of OCC rejects out of PCA's plant: BDI or</li> <li>10 PCA?</li> <li>11 A. PCA.</li> <li>12 Q. Now, if piling OCC rejects on the ground was</li> <li>13 creating an actual grave risk to your employees,</li> <li>14 whatever that risk may be, or a risk to the potential</li> <li>15 violation of your permit due to fugitive emissions, is</li> <li>16 there one party that has the ability to turn off the</li> <li>17 spigot and cut the production of OCC rejects so that</li> <li>18 these risks are either mitigated or stopped?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, yes, we have the ability to do that, but,</li> <li>20 again, you say risk, and I did not determine the risk to</li> <li>21 be to that level to do that. Did we have fugitive</li> <li>22 emissions blowing constantly out of the mill? No. But</li> <li>23 were we at a much greater risk for fugitive emissions?</li> </ul>

52 (Pages 226 to 229)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 230	Page 232
1	Q. If the risk had been so great that you believed	1 to load those containers without spilling OCC rejects on
2	this was an actual grave threat to PCA, you would have	2 the ground; correct?
3	shut down the mill; correct?	3 A. No, I don't think so because we wouldn't have
4	A. 100 percent.	4 been able to fit that load we needed something small
5	Q. And when you say in your testimony that no	5 enough to fit in for the Sebright is, where the Sebright
6	manager wants to be in the position of either having to	6 comes out. And so you couldn't get this big loader that
7	slow down or shut down the mill with the financial	<ul> <li>would have made it easier to dump into the containers.</li> </ul>
8	repercussions that would have in order to clean up the	8 <b>Q. And so</b>
9	OCC rejects pile, the question that you're being faced	9 A. And I still and I still maintain that you
10	with there is do you do the safer thing, or do you do	10 would have still had a mess around the containers.
11	the thing that generates money; is that right?	11 Q. Are you telling me there's no mess when there's
12	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Objection; what's the	12 loading into Jammie's's trailers?
13	question? Can you rephrase? Re-ask?	13 A. Not nearly as much. A bigger truck and a
14	BY MR. FASSBURG:	14 bigger and a bigger loader too.
15	Q. I'll refer you to your testimony on page 7.	15 Q. Sure. Now, to be clear, now that Jammie's is
16	A. Okay.	16 providing the service, the material is being double
17	Q. You state on the second paragraph, "Each of	handled, one loader brings it out of the facility and a
18	these conditions created a work environment unacceptable	18 larger loader brings into to the container; is that
19	to PCA standards. The cumulative effect of these forced	19 correct?
20	me to consider slowing down production at our OCC plant	20 A. That's correct.
21	to allow BDI time to catch up, haul the waste of the	Q. And you're telling me there's no way PCA could
22	landfill, and for PCA to clean up the OCC rejects piles.	have double-handled its own material, bringing one
23	Slowing down production is a major decision with	23 loader into the facility and a larger loader from a
24	potentially significant financial impacts to PCA, our	24 bunker to load into BDI's drop boxes; correct?
25	employees, and customers. No manager wants to be in	A. We didn't consider that, whether that was
	Page 231	Page 233
1	this position because a contractor can not perform its	1 possible or not. I still maintain that if we would have
2	responsibilities"; is that right?	2 done that, we still would have all the messes around
3	A. That is correct.	3 the around the drop boxes, and we would still have
4	Q. But the risks that are posed that you're	4 had all the issues hauling the drop boxes.
5	describing are the risks that stem from dumping OCC	5 Q. And again, those were messes that were created
6	rejects in piles along your building; correct?	
		6 by PCA loading the drop boxes, not by actions that BDI
7	A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along	<ul> <li>by PCA loading the drop boxes, not by actions that BDI</li> <li>took; correct?</li> </ul>
7 8		
	A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along	7 took; correct?
8	A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I	<ul> <li>7 took; correct?</li> <li>8 A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> </ul>
8 9	A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> </ul>
8 9 10	A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 took; correct?</li> <li>8 A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>9 like I answered earlier.</li> <li>10 Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>11 more questions.</li> <li>12 If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>13 various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>14 risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 took; correct?</li> <li>8 A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>9 like I answered earlier.</li> <li>10 Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>11 more questions.</li> <li>12 If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>13 various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>14 risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to find another solution to loading the containers, like</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> <li>that, for one. And you're right. If it was serious</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to find another solution to loading the containers, like getting a bigger loader?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> <li>that, for one. And you're right. If it was serious</li> <li>enough, if I thought it was serious enough, and I do</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to find another solution to loading the containers, like getting a bigger loader?</li> <li>A. I did feel it was significant enough. That's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> <li>that, for one. And you're right. If it was serious</li> <li>enough, if I thought it was serious enough, and I do</li> <li>think it was serious, but if I thought it was serious</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to find another solution to loading the containers, like getting a bigger loader?</li> <li>A. I did feel it was significant enough. That's why went with Jammie's.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> <li>that, for one. And you're right. If it was serious</li> <li>enough, if I thought it was serious enough, and I do</li> <li>think it was serious, but if I thought it was serious</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I wasn't necessarily worried about them along the building well, depending on how dry they were. I was more worried personally, I was more worried about the piles around the dumpsters and the piles that were away from the building, but piles regardless.</li> <li>Q. And so if I understand your testimony today, you don't believe there was a significant danger from the pile along the building that would have required you to slow down production?</li> <li>A. I didn't believe it was significant to slow enough to slow down production, correct. Do I believe it was significant? Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you believe there was a risk of the piles around the dumpsters that were significant enough to find another solution to loading the containers, like getting a bigger loader?</li> <li>A. I did feel it was significant enough. That's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>took; correct?</li> <li>A. Again, I said they're both our responsibility,</li> <li>like I answered earlier.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Now, I believe I only have a couple of</li> <li>more questions.</li> <li>If you had genuinely thought that all of these</li> <li>various problems that you identified created a serious</li> <li>risk to one of your employees, you would not have</li> <li>allowed it to continue; you would have slowed down</li> <li>production at the facility, you would not have looked for</li> <li>more immediate solutions; you would not have lowed this</li> <li>to drag on until late August, when Jammie's first</li> <li>started providing service via tractor trailer; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we brought in Jammie's earlier than</li> <li>that, for one. And you're right. If it was serious</li> <li>enough, if I thought it was serious enough, and I do</li> <li>think it was serious, but if I thought it was serious</li> </ul>

53 (Pages 230 to 233)

,	Page 234		Page 236
1	but it did increase the risk of injury, so we did look	1	Q. So is it fair to say that at Republic
2	at improving it, and that's why we went with Jammie's.	2	Paperboard, you had upsets as well?
3	And according and talking to my guys, they	3	A. Yes.
4	could probably answer this better, but the issues were	4	Q. And did the OCC rejects in that plant also need
5	communicated to BDI as well.	5	dewatering?
6	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. I have no further	6	A. Yes, at times.
7	questions. Thank you.		Q. At times, similar to our upsets here at Wallula?
8	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Do we have any	8	A. Correct.
9	redirect?	9	Q. And at the Georgia Pacific plant, you also had a
10	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Yes, Your Honor.	10	bunker that functioned essentially as an area for
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	11	dewatering?
12	BY MS. BLANCAFLOR:	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. All right. Mr. Thorne, earlier excuse me,	13	Q. And did you have a piece of equipment at the
14	let me just look at my notes again really quick. Here	14	Georgia Pacific plant similar to our Sebright press?
15	they are. Okay. Here we go.	15	A. I don't remember what the press was at the at
16	Mr. Thorne, Mr. Fassburg asked you, when you	16	the Georgia plant.
17	were considering your waste hauling options, he	17	Q. Is it fair to say, though, that OCC plants in
18	suggested that we did not look at any other options.	18	general will have some sort of press?
19	Is that because BDI was our current waste	19	A. Absolutely. You have to. You have to dewater
20	hauler?	20	the plastics before they they get before they go
21	A. Yes.	21	into whatever containment system you have.
22	Q. And so BDI to be clear, BDI was already	22	Q. And can you explain why that is? Why is it
23	providing waste hauling services to PCA?	23	necessarily necessary at an OCC plant to have some
24	A. Correct.	24	sort of dewatering function?
25	MR. FASSBURG: Objection; leading	25	A. Well, it would basically flow out. It would
	Page 235		Page 237
1	actually, I'll withdraw that objection.	1	basically flow. You would have water and then floating
2	BY MS. BLANCAFLOR:	2	plastics and floating paper debris in there, and it
3	Q. So does BDI provide other waste hauling services	3	would flow out of the plant, and so you've got to get
4	at the mill?	4	rid of that water somewhere.
5	A. They do.	5	Q. And so is it with Republic Paperboard,
6	Q. And so when we were looking at providing or	6	Georgia Pacific, and now Wallula, is it reasonable to
7	when we were looking at arranging for hauling, would we	7	say that mill operations vary at each of these plants?
8	have looked at anyone else, other than BDI at this time?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. No, not in a situation we were in.	9	Q. And the equipment used to dewater the OCC
10	Q. Were we aware of another waste hauler providing	10	rejects varies?
11	solid waste services in the area?	11	A. Yeah. You're going to get different results.
12	A. I was not, and I don't believe anyone else was.	12	Q. And
13	Q. So was it reasonable for us to contact BDI at	13	A. Yeah.
14	the time?	14	Q. And the moisture content of the OCC rejects
15	A. Yes.	15	would vary?
16	Q. With regard to the question surrounding your	16 17	A. Yes.
17	experience with other facilities that had an OCC plant,		Q. But at all of these plants, the rejects are not
18 19	with regard to the republic the republic what was it called Republic?	18 19	necessarily derived? A. Oh, yeah, they're never dry. They're going to
20	A. Paperboard.	20	A. On, yean, they re never dry. They re going to have some moisture in them until they go out into the
20 21	<ul> <li>A. Paperboard.</li> <li>Q. Republic Paperboard in Oklahoma, is it</li> </ul>	20	atmosphere and evaporate.
21	reasonable to say that the belt press functioned	21	Q. So Mr. Fassburg also asked you a question about
23	similarly as the Sebright press?	23	the Sebright press. I believe his question was, you
24	A. It is, yeah. It upsets our process there. It	24	know, what was it designed to do.
25	upsets just as we do here.	25	Can you tell me what the what the specific
-			

54 (Pages 234 to 237)

,	Page 238	Page 240
1	function of a Sebright press is?	1 contained in SR-16X, page 22.
2	A. Just squeezing water out of the rejects of the	2 <b>Do you recognize this photo?</b>
3	plastic and paper.	A. I do. It just shows all the piles out there,
4	Q. And do you do you know as of the time of the	4 and it shows a lot of full dumpsters, piles against the
5	operations manager what the what the Sebright press	5 wall, and then piles outside the building. And then in
б	moisture guarantee is, meaning what will it guarantee it	6 this case, it's covering a fire hydrant. And, yeah,
7	to reduce the moisture content?	7 I it was just yeah, we covered the fire hydrant,
8	A. I believe 40 percent, but I'm not positive of	8 but we didn't have any other place to go with rejects,
9	that number.	9 so, yeah, this was probably one of the worst situations
10	Q. Thank you.	10 out there that we had and completely unacceptable.
11	And Mr. Fassburg also asked you a question	11 Q. In your opinion, covering a fire hydrant, did
12	regarding permitting for solid waste activities.	12 that increase the risk or the risk for fire?
13	Just to clarify, we have a solid waste landfill	13 A. Well, it increased the risk, certainly, if we
14	on site, and does that landfill hold a solid waste	14 had a file. I don't see it for a fire, but certainly if
15	landfill fill permit?	15 we had a fire, we wouldn't have access to that
16	A. Yes.	16 particular fire hydrant to put it out.
17	Q. I would like to ask you some questions regarding	17 Q. Thank you.
18	the questions Mr. Fassburg asked you on safety.	18 With regard to environmental compliance issues
19	In your opinion, was fire a concern due to the	19 that Mr. Fassburg brought up, we have what's called a
20	piles of rejects around the facility?	20 Title V air permit; is that correct?
21	A. Yes.	21 A. That's correct.
22	Q. Did you discuss these concerns with your team,	<b>Q.</b> In our Title V permit, we have a condition that
23	your staff?	23 requires us to control fugitive emissions; is that
24	A. Yeah, we did have we did have discussions	24 correct?
25	around the all the risks out there between blowing	25 A. Correct.
	Page 239	Page 241
1	material, fire. We had windows that broke on Bobcats	1 Q. I would also like to give you exhibit from SR
2	when they would go up against the containers. We would	2 it's Exhibit SR-6. It's a Title V permit Title V
3	put in incident reports for those, so we definitely took	3 permit conditions.
4	those seriously, had discussions around them, and	4 Would you please read Condition 4 of the permit.
5	discussed the need to improve.	5 A. Sure. So this is for, excuse me, fugitive
6	Q. So why exactly do the piles of rejects around	6 emissions. "The permittee shall take reasonable
7	the building, around the OCC yard, why does that create	7 precautions to prevent the release of air contaminates
8	a fire risk?	8 from emissions from emission units engaged in
9	A. When they dry out, obviously, you've got a pile	9 material handling, construction, demolition, or any
10	of material out there, and you've got possible ignition	10 other operation that is a source of fugitive emissions.
11	source and with the Bobcat moving around out there, so	11 Reasonable precautions include but are not limited to
12	any time you've got that much flammable material in one	12 application of water as necessary to control fugitive
13	area, it's certainly a concern.	13 dust or the timely removal or coverage of material
14	Q. And if one of those piles would catch fire, what	14 piles.
15	could be the potential impact of that?	15 Q. In your opinion, were the piles timely removed?
16	A. It could be huge. I mean, it depending on	16 A. No.
17	how far it carried and how quickly we got it out. I	17 Q. Thank you.
18	mean, it's certainly that's probably the biggest	18 Mr. Fassburg also asked you questions
19 20	concern out there. And really what that's one of the things that koops us up at night is the rick of fire in	19 regarding a lot of questions regarding the loading of 20 the OCC rejects in the dumpsters provided by BDI
20 21	things that keeps us up at night, is the risk of fire in	20the OCC rejects in the dumpsters provided by BDI.21Do you remember those questions?
21 22	the mill, and so yeah, it is it is a big risk, and	21 <b>Do you remember those questions?</b>
44	there are other areas of risk as well, but we do our	
22	there are other areas of risk as well, but we do our	22 A. Yes.
23 24	best to mitigate them.	23 Q. Mr. Fassburg seems to suggest that it was PCA -
23 24 25		

55 (Pages 238 to 241)

,	Page 242		Page 244
1	any other options at the time to dispose of the rejects	1	needs.
2	in these dumpsters? Was there any other way to dispose	2	Q. So instead of shutting down, we did look at
3	of them, other than loading them into the dumpsters?	3	options to clean up that mess, and what did we do?
4	A. At that time, no. The dumpsters was the only	4	MR. FASSBURG: Objection; lead.
5	means to get them get the rejects off site.	5	MS. BLANCAFLOR: All right. I'll rephrase.
6	Q. And so if the dumpsters were full, where was PCA	6	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, this exceeds the
7	supposed to off-load the rejects?	7	scope of examination.
8		8	•
	<ul><li>A. Obviously, we just put them on the ground.</li><li>Q. And is it your recollection that oftentimes the</li></ul>	9	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Your Honor, may I respond?
9			JUDGE HOWARD: You may respond.
10	dumpsters were full for PCA to dump the rejects on the	10	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Mr. Fassburg asked,
11	ground?	11	directly asked Mr. Thorne if we were if we needed to
12	A. From communication I had with folks out there,	12	slow down production, and so my question is, is there
13	yes. That probably is a better question for Skylar and	13	things that we would do in lieu of slowing down
14	Brian, but that was communication that was given.	14	production. This is directly responsive to his
15	Q. Thank you.	15	questions on cross.
16	JUDGE HOWARD: I'm going to I recognize	16	JUDGE HOWARD: I would like the question
17	that Basin is not objecting to some of these questions.	17	rephrased, but I think it's within the scope of cross.
18	I do feel some concern that they are suggesting or	18	BY MS. BLANCAFLOR:
19	implying answers. I'm not necessarily going to take any	19	Q. So are there other options we would look at
20	particular action, but I do want I do want to note	20	instead of slowing down production?
21	that more open-ended questions on redirect are generally	21	A. Well, we did look at the other options. We
22	more persuasive to me as a fact finder.	22	looked at if someone could help us out, and that's when
23	MS. BLANCAFLOR: Thank you. All right.	23	we looked at Jammie's or Jammie's brought to us the
24	Thank you, Your Honor.	24	fact that they could haul rejects. And so, yeah, we did
25	BY MS. BLANCAFLOR:	25	look at other at other options for haulers and
1	Page 243 Q. Mr. Fassburg also questioned you on PCA's	1	Page 245 someone who can haul the rejects better.
2	ability to stop production.	2	Q. And did Jammie's solve your concerns with the
3	Do you remember those questions?	3	fire hazards?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yeah. Certainly mitigated them. To me, there's
5	Q. So if we were to slow production, what impact	5	always I mean, there's still risk out there. I'm not
6	does that have on our mill and downstream?	6	going to deny that. But they significantly lessened the
7	A. So if we had slowed the OCC plant down, we would	7	risk of fires out there.
8	have to slow our paper machines down, and the biggest	8	Q. Did they mitigate your concerns with safety?
9	risk there is not meeting our customer commitments, so	9	A. Yes.
10	that really is the bottom line, if we would have had to	10	Q. And what about environmental?
11	slow the OCC plant down.	11	A. Again, safety I think is eliminated.
12	Q. So before slowing production down, are there	12	Environmental is, again, I would say mitigated similar
13	other options PCA would do prior to that?	13	to the fire. There's always a chance of blowing debris
14	A. Yeah, I mean, to me, if we're going to look at	14	out there, but not nearly to the extent that there was.
15	all other options we have prior to slowing down. And,	15	MS. BLANCAFLOR: I have no further
16	again, like I said to Mr. Fassburg, we would have slowed	16	questions.
17	down had we considered the issue dire and that someone	17	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. WRRA indicated a
18	was going to get injured or we would have had an	18	cross a brief cross for this witness.
19	immediate fire, we would have taken that option. And I	19	Mr. Whittaker?
20	don't want to say that it's production and at all	20	MR. WHITTAKER: Yes, just a couple of
21	costs or at the cost of safety or at the cost of our	21	questions at the end of the day, Your Honor, thank you.
22	people, but that's what we do. We make paper, and then	22	JUDGE HOWARD: Go ahead.
23	we turn that paper our box plans, turn that into	23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	boxes. And so that's how we make our living, and we	24	BY MR. WHITTAKER:
25	need to do everything we can to to meet our customer	25	Q. So it's fair to say that prior to contracting
	· -		

56 (Pages 242 to 245)

,	Page 246		Page 248
1	with Jammie's for OCC rejects disposal, you were already	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	aware of the UTC's regulation of solid waste collection;	2	JUDGE HOWARD: So of course we are roughly
3	correct?	3	at a halfway point or something along those lines with
4	A. No. I was not.	4	our cross-examination of the witnesses. I will plan on
5	Q. You testified earlier that you were aware that	5	issuing a notice soon indicating that we will resume the
6	Basin was a regulated service provider for solid waste	6	hearing on November 7th, continuing, if necessary, on
7	collection in the area of the mill?	7	
8	A. I testified that Basin was our hauler, yes. I	8	December 8th, and I will adjust the post-hearing briefing deadlines for both initial and reply briefs
		9	
9	didn't know they were the only ones I didn't even		accordingly based on the amount of time being moved,
10	know they were the only ones that hauled garbage.	10	having heard no complaints from the parties earlier.
11	Q. So neither you or anyone from PCA contacted the	11	Are there any concerns or questions from the
12	UTC to verify that Basin Basin's claims?	12	parties before we adjourn for the day?
13	A. We once Basin told us we were hauling	13	All right. Hearing none, we are off the record.
14	illegally, yes, I we got ahold of our legal	14	Thank you all.
15	department and we brought we contacted the UTC. I	15	(Hearing adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
16	don't know who contacted the UTC for sure, but we had a	16	
17	UTC representative in the mill.	17	
18	Q. And so you didn't communicate with UTC before	18	
19	contracting with Jammie's to haul the waste?	19	
20	A. Correct. We didn't know it was illegal at that	20	
21	point. We didn't know that it was deemed illegal by BDI	21	
22	at that point.	22	
23	Q. And you didn't file a complaint with the UTC	23	
24	against a regulated company either?	24	
25	A. Say rephrase that. Be more specific.	25	
	Page 247		Page 249
1	Regulated company is?	1	CERTIFICATE
2	Q. With Basin Disposal, you didn't file a complaint	2	
3	with the UTC?	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	A. About Basin Disposal?	4	COUNTY OF KING
5	Q. Correct.	5	
6	A. We did not. We didn't know Basin fell under the	6	I, Rose Detloff, a Certified Court Reporter in
7	UTC until after after the fact.	7	and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that
8	Q. And you had no concerns that Jammie's could	8	the foregoing transcript of the evidentiary hearing on
9	legally provide the service of OCC reject disposal?	9	on November 15, 2022, is true and accurate to the best
10	A. Not at that time, no.	10	of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	Q. And you had no concerns because Jammie's	11	
12	represented that the company could haul those materials	12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13	for disposal?	13	and seal this 30th day of November, 2022.
14	A. The fact I guess that no concerns that Jammie's	14	
15	could haul the material? No.	15	Autor
16	Q. And that's because Jammie's came to you with a	16	mily frame Date of
17	proposal to haul the material?	17	ROSE DETLOFF, RMR, CRR, CCR #21036100
18	A. Yes.	18	
19	MR. WHITTAKER: No further questions, Your	19	
	Honor. Thank you.	20	
20	•	21	My commission expires:
20 21	JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any redirect?	1 21	
	JUDGE HOWARD: Do we have any redirect? MS. BLANCAFLOR: No, Your Honor.	22	DECEMBER 6, 2023
21	MS. BLANCAFLOR: No, Your Honor.	1	DECEMBER 6, 2023
21 22	MS. BLANCAFLOR: No, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Mr. Thorne, thank you	22	DECEMBER 6, 2023
21 22 23	MS. BLANCAFLOR: No, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Mr. Thorne, thank you for your testimony today. You are excused from the	22 23	DECEMBER 6, 2023
21 22 23 24	MS. BLANCAFLOR: No, Your Honor. JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Mr. Thorne, thank you	22 23 24	DECEMBER 6, 2023

57 (Pages 246 to 249)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 250	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF KING	
5		
6	I, Laura L. Ohman and Rose Detloff, Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporters in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify	
8	that the foregoing transcript of the evidentiary hearing on	
9	November 15, 2022, is true and accurate to the best of our	
10	knowledge, skill and ability.	
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hand and seal	
12	this 30th day of November, 2022.	
13	BUTCA	
14		
15	Europe College	
16	LAURA L. OHMAN, RPR, CCR 3186	
17		
18	My commission expires:	
19	MARCH 2023	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		4

58 (Page 250)

				Page 251
	1 < 1 1 0 1 < 5 -		51 00 50 0 50 5	
A	164:19 165:6	admitted 32:19	51:20 52:3 70:6	156:17 183:11
<b>a.m</b> 25:2,9 75:14,14	238:12	40:4 77:5,6	71:9,11 81:18,19	203:13 222:16
ability 37:16 69:2	activity 85:12	adopted 147:4	85:14,17 88:22	224:22 234:4
70:24 229:8,16,19	129:17 151:3,4	<b>adverse</b> 159:4	92:18 96:25	<b>answered</b> 135:3,6
243:2 249:10	160:25	advice 126:11	129:10 135:15	145:7,10 182:13
250:10	actual 120:21	127:18 177:25	141:20 149:2	182:13,16 233:9
<b>able</b> 28:14 29:9,13	229:13 230:2	<b>advise</b> 134:13	156:24 159:6	answering 195:4
30:9 32:7 34:20	add 51:17 142:19	advised 58:4,19	160:2 161:12	227:13
62:11 69:19 73:19	add-on 50:11	<b>affiliated</b> 138:18,25	164:10 174:18	answers 203:11
97:5 140:2 162:5	added 90:18	affiliates 138:16	195:9 209:17	224:8 242:19
182:8 202:17	addition 61:11	affirm 110:11	218:9 230:21	anticipate 40:17
203:13 232:4	163:25	afternoon 28:13	allowed 47:10 54:8	anybody 78:9
absolutely 216:18	additional 37:3	29:6,8 176:16	164:7 233:15	142:18
216:19 224:18	49:10,12 59:19	184:14	Allowing 71:4	anyway 146:15
225:14 229:25	60:2 63:14 64:8	afterthought 45:15	allows 97:12 102:4	191:24
236:19	68:11 80:25 83:1	agents 177:13,17	106:6 168:12,14	Apologies 200:21
<b>absorbent</b> 142:14	102:7,17 126:16	206:2,11	168:15	apologize 110:10
142:19	127:22	<b>ago</b> 41:9 54:16	<b>allude</b> 227:18	124:1 184:18
<b>abundant</b> 48:24	additionally 126:15	72:17 90:19	alternative 57:3	apparent 174:2
abundantly 50:14	address 31:23	129:23 196:13	alternatives 57:21	apparently 50:24
acceptable 87:5	34:20 49:9,19	agree 33:3 38:25	207:8	58:11 94:20
148:16 151:12	55:11 148:10,13	102:20 119:24	altogether 29:2	Appeals 51:7
	addressed 38:15,23	132:25 145:2,22	amend 126:15	appear 202:12
<b>accepted</b> 61:19,22 <b>access</b> 240:15	addressing 210:13	146:1,7 155:8	amendment 49:13	appearance 26:9
accident 225:6	adds 180:2	161:9 163:19	49:17	26:15,21
	adequate 35:9	177:4 182:25	<b>America</b> 24:2,3	appearances 25:19
accompanied 212:3	109:23	200:5,12	26:16,19	appeared 22:21
<b>accompanying</b> 76:22 186:12	adequately 140:2	agreed 33:18	amount 131:10	132:13
	adjourn 29:9 207:6	agreement 34:1	132:4 151:11	<b>appearing</b> 26:1,13
accumulated	248:12	143:24 144:6	155:22 168:3,5	26:18,25
196:18	adjourned 248:15	ahead 71:17 72:7	170:5 178:6 205:1	appears 114:20
accuracy 202:7	adjunct 54:1	77:12 173:16	248:9	118:22 119:11
accurate 35:4 70:7	179:22	176:7,17 179:6	amounts 183:1	154:5
70:7 135:5 203:23	adjust 208:20	181:21 202:20	analyses 137:14	apple 71:5
249:9 250:9	210:4 248:7	245:22	214:7	applicable 43:13
accusing 37:15	Administrative	ahold 246:14	analysis 49:21 57:4	application 22:4
acknowledge 43:1	22:17 23:2 25:18	air 157:15 158:4,19	analyze 60:25	25:12 27:17 49:4
62:15		161:1 240:20	analyzed 64:11	
acquired 231:25	admissibility 39:14 admission 27:23	241:7	and/or 35:1 78:2,22	49:6,11 52:15 55:3,10 56:4
action 29:5,11	31:24 32:8 37:1		81:5	,
54:16 55:18		align 53:5		63:21 64:19 75:1
242:20	39:10,17,20	allegation 38:8	answer 40:14 45:21	88:13,18 101:8
actions 54:18 233:6	admit 33:5 46:20	allegations 63:17	81:12 92:20 98:8	241:12
activities 70:21	227:24	163:3	127:14 135:6	applications
74:3 151:23	admits 37:10,11	<b>alleges</b> 54:12	138:12 139:16	104:22
163:14,15,21	50:5 53:18	<b>allow</b> 27:24,25	140:4 146:1	<b>applied</b> 55:8 85:6

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				<u> </u>
<b>apply</b> 33:20 54:12	articulate 35:24	assertion 38:6	178:25	220:6 226:10
98:3	88:6	assessed 64:10	authorized 128:23	background 27:5
applying 42:18	artificially 50:12	assigned 87:19	159:5 219:1,6	178:14 200:19
appreciate 35:22	as-needed 89:12	assist 50:17 121:18	authorizing 147:10	<b>bad</b> 68:22
35:23 75:18 145:9	aside 39:11,12	121:23 131:9	automatically	bark 156:12 157:1
159:24 184:19	asked 40:22 47:5	132:6 175:9,12	33:21	Barnett 23:7 26:1,1
198:6 209:15	50:17 56:15 57:14	assistance 126:10	availability 28:20	32:14 33:8 38:12
approach 28:13,19	61:9 62:10 66:24	179:9	29:21 30:14	barrier 198:14
139:16 155:24	74:17,18 81:17	assisted 175:8	available 30:21,25	199:4
approached 177:22	83:8,13,21 120:17	assisting 28:22	31:4 40:21 46:8	barriers 198:5,10
appropriate 32:20	135:2,3 139:14	97:20 116:20	112:15 138:11	198:15
143:8,9 152:4	140:2 143:1	153:20	139:2 142:14	based 34:18 36:19
approval 71:17	144:15 145:6,10	associated 156:14	148:21 149:5,6	39:6 49:21 51:15
approximately	146:11,14 148:22	association 23:19	158:21 159:13	52:22 55:21 80:18
196:3	161:21 166:13	26:22,25 27:1	203:6 208:7,13,25	80:20 105:18
<b>April</b> 59:11 118:9	167:17 169:17	73:3,7	209:14	107:21 136:17
118:14 140:23	170:10,25 171:2	<b>assume</b> 118:19	<b>Avenue</b> 23:21	140:4 143:2,19,21
149:15 154:2,11	171:22 173:19,21	193:25 219:3	average 228:16,19	200:3 216:17,18
223:15	174:24 182:13	assuming 74:14	avoid 72:16 156:13	226:19 228:21
Arbitrator 110:16	189:19 192:18,23	112:4 120:23	159:13 161:4	248:9
185:11 210:17	193:25 194:5	207:15	<b>aware</b> 27:4 30:20	basement 191:17
<b>area</b> 79:2 96:17	204:12 205:18	assumption 195:20	54:15 60:7 91:24	<b>bases</b> 160:1
97:22 112:5	228:9 234:16	atmosphere 237:21	92:17 99:3,19	basically 34:13
116:23 130:7,8	237:22 238:11,18	attempt 72:15	166:9 177:6,11	61:6 80:6 165:15
131:11 132:2,7	241:18 244:10,11	attempted 87:17	203:8 235:10	198:7 236:25
133:5,18 168:7,20	asking 34:22 55:19	88:19	246:2,5	237:1
169:9 175:4,4,24	55:20 70:13 79:14	attempting 203:17	awareness 92:19	Basin 22:9 23:12
179:1 181:8	80:18,22 81:12	204:15,20	awfully 129:4	25:14 26:4,7 30:2
191:17 192:13	84:25 86:7,8,10	attempts 60:2	awkward 206:25	30:12 32:1 33:9
235:11 236:10	89:22 92:9,17,19	63:21		33:18 34:5,12
239:13 246:7	92:22 94:21 115:7	attention 126:17	<u> </u>	35:10 36:6,13,21
areas 120:4,9,12	120:23 121:1,19	181:23	<b>baby</b> 31:20	36:25 37:7 39:7,9
175:6 239:22	129:7 130:8 135:8	Attorney 23:20	<b>back</b> 55:12 61:4	39:10 40:7 52:3
argue 56:6 152:4	137:12 138:13	54:23	67:4 75:15 88:25	52:11 54:18 55:17
argued 33:17	141:14 148:25	attorney-client	89:2 90:4 93:2	56:6,14,19 57:19
argues 62:17 73:23	156:21,25 159:20	35:1	98:10 105:9	60:20 63:10 64:8
arguing 36:13	161:13 166:5,7	August 61:5 117:22	107:18 110:6,24	64:17 71:22 74:9
<b>argument</b> 33:15,24	171:4 183:24	118:8 153:16,18	111:21 119:2,16	74:23,24 77:7,9
39:8	186:22 225:7	154:10 170:11,19	131:22 134:16	77:17 81:19
argumentative	228:9	175:24 201:9,12	147:25 148:8	109:12 111:21
94:24	asks 74:23 178:15	201:16,20,21	171:1 185:4 191:9	112:22 134:22
arguments 164:9	asphalt 86:21,25	202:4,10 203:24	191:17 193:24	135:7 136:25
arrange 31:18	87:6,7,10 189:1	211:21 233:18	194:4 196:13	137:2,7 138:11
arranging 235:7	assert 70:4	authority 22:7	199:7 200:24	139:2,7 141:6,8
arrive 165:23	asserted 42:12	25:13 73:24	201:13 202:19	141:10 151:4,8,17
			217:17 219:22	
	•	•	•	

Page	253

				Page 253
151:24 162:2	66.10 12 17 24	121.15 24 140.6 6	105.10 106.4 9	122.20 122.10
163:4 164:5	66:10,13,17,24	131:15,24 140:6,6 158:9 181:2 204:8	105:10 106:4,8	123:20 132:19 200:18 214:17
180:17 187:4	67:2,4,5,8 68:3,5 68:8,13,14,16,22	beginning 58:9	107:9,16 139:1 167:18 168:12	216:5 219:21
	69:16,17,24 70:4	67:13 75:19 77:11	217:3,4 235:22	<b>bite</b> 71:5
193:5,9,14,18,21		101:19 122:12	<b>best</b> 42:25 66:18,25	Blair 23:13 26:6
194:13 196:2,23	70:4,7,10,14 71:2		76:23 98:20 168:9	
207:13 209:13	71:4,4 113:12	124:16 131:22		77:16 98:9 101:17
210:8 212:9,15	114:21 121:13	139:11,14 158:6	170:8 186:13	112:20 113:11
223:22,25 224:8	133:2 136:7	179:9,16 190:3	209:19 212:3	125:5 187:4
226:1,2 228:2,5	137:20 138:13	191:7,9 204:14	239:23 249:9	212:14
228:10,16,19,24	139:15,16,17,23	214:20	250:9	blame 225:24
242:17 246:6,8,12	140:2,7 143:4,13	<b>behalf</b> 25:24 74:10	<b>better</b> 48:10,11	<b>blames</b> 196:16
246:13 247:2,4,6	143:15,24 144:6,9	160:19	61:18 98:6 121:9	<b>Blancaflor</b> 24:3
<b>Basin's</b> 27:17 35:6	144:15 146:4,7,11	<b>behavior</b> 47:15	123:9 161:17	26:17,18 30:24
35:23 36:1,2 40:6	148:9,20 149:3	<b>belief</b> 76:23 186:13	168:22 210:12	35:19 39:19 64:24
52:21 54:17 63:18	150:5,6,14 152:10	212:4	234:4 242:13	72:3,5,9 156:8
132:12 133:21	158:10 161:22,24	<b>believe</b> 26:10 34:23	245:1	173:13 207:25
138:25 142:8	162:15,18,25	35:3,14,15 50:25	beyond 29:18 37:1	208:5,15,24
151:24 246:12	163:19,20 169:11	55:25 58:14 59:1	85:4	210:10,20,22
<b>basis</b> 35:2 39:3	175:18 177:22	63:15 77:7 78:6	bfassburg@willi	211:4,23 212:1,6
55:4 82:13 89:12	196:16 197:6	78:16,19 92:16	23:17	230:12 234:10,12
91:23 95:18,20	200:13 204:15,19	93:7,16 95:2 97:9	<b>big</b> 45:5 93:7	235:2 242:23,25
102:9 107:10	204:24 213:17,18	98:15 100:17	108:19 133:15	244:5,8,10,18
117:1,3,4,18,19	213:24 214:4,4	114:19 115:15	168:3,20 218:6,8	245:15 247:22
134:7 141:3,3	222:2,3,21,24	117:9 122:15	227:25 228:1,5	<b>blank</b> 51:4
148:18 150:13	223:1,4,8,14	123:23 127:21	232:6 239:21	blasting 86:4
155:6 165:9,15	229:7,9 230:21	128:8 130:24	<b>bigger</b> 218:7	blatant 54:13
172:6,9 173:24	233:6 234:5,19,22	133:8,11 143:4	231:22 232:13,14	<b>blended</b> 102:5
181:7,7 224:24	234:22 235:3,8,13	146:23 154:9	232:14	<b>blocks</b> 198:10
228:4,18	241:20 246:21	163:7,23 164:14	<b>biggest</b> 239:18	<b>blow</b> 157:14
bathroom 147:22	<b>BDI's</b> 45:14 46:25	164:17,22,25	243:8	blowing 157:25
<b>BDI</b> 42:17,23 43:1	48:16 58:17 59:9	165:1 168:6,9	<b>bilges</b> 189:1	226:12 229:22
43:3 44:16,23	60:24 68:19 70:13	170:19 172:2,15	<b>bill</b> 146:23 147:11	238:25 245:13
46:14,15,18,18,22	112:5 122:22,24	176:12 182:12	<b>billed</b> 165:16,17	<b>blown</b> 157:23
46:23 47:2,4,7,10	123:3,7,17 132:16	183:6,21 184:1	<b>bills</b> 165:8,13	<b>blue</b> 150:9
47:11,14,15,18,21	132:21,23 138:16	192:12 202:15	<b>bin</b> 168:6	<b>blurry</b> 178:18
47:22,25 48:3,13	138:18 143:6	203:19 207:19	binding 126:23	<b>Bobcat</b> 104:12
48:19,25 49:21,22	144:6,12 145:1,18	231:13,16,17,19	<b>bins</b> 102:3 139:18	105:13 114:9,12
50:2,3,5,21,24	146:18 204:18	233:10 235:12	143:6,7,8,12,14	114:15,16 226:10
51:1,24 57:9,14	223:13 232:24	237:23 238:8	150:5 152:10,14	226:17 239:11
57:17,22 58:2,19	<b>bear</b> 196:14	believed 127:20	152:14,18,21,22	Bobcats 190:7
58:24,25 59:12,18	<b>bears</b> 194:24	179:21 230:1	216:6	239:1
60:7,8,15,25 61:4	becoming 156:18	believes 160:25	<b>bit</b> 91:7 93:3 96:7	<b>body</b> 179:16
61:8,21,25 62:7,8	<b>began</b> 47:3,15	<b>Bellevue</b> 23:9 29:15	107:11 110:22	<b>boiler</b> 220:12,16
62:8,9,11,17,23	56:19 57:13,18	<b>belt</b> 96:21,22,24	112:3 118:24	222:1
63:6 65:25 66:8	59:12 104:13	102:4,23 105:5,9	119:2,21 120:16	<b>Boise</b> 24:5

				Page 254
bottleneck 197:1,7	<b>Brian</b> 192:7 242:14	<b>bunker</b> 60:21,21	capability 220:19	227:25 239:13,18
<b>bottom</b> 69:23	brief 28:3,9,10	61:3,12 101:23	<b>capable</b> 65:4,18	240:13,14 245:4
243:10	57:20 72:15	170:21,22 190:22	capacity 57:15	certificate 42:13,18
Boulevard 24:4	166:14 176:16	197:15 198:3,3,4	107:1 187:12	42:19 43:13 46:12
box 86:1,1,8,15	187:5 204:11	199:5,11 201:6,20	188:17 216:17	49:16 51:2,2,4,22
87:3,5,18 88:8	205:14 212:16	202:12 203:25	Capitol 24:4	52:14 53:4 54:24
103:7,23 104:3,4	245:18	204:1,5,6,9 216:7	captioned 25:11	55:7 85:7 92:24
104:25 109:9	briefing 208:21	216:8,12 217:12	<b>car</b> 41:25	93:10,21 94:1,12
111:7,9 114:21	210:5 248:8	217:17,18 218:6,8	care 201:3	95:10,16 128:9,9
133:3 137:24	briefly 31:19 49:19	218:9,11,21,23	cared 161:5	178:5 213:13
145:3 170:7 177:5	<b>briefs</b> 63:24 248:8	223:19,19 232:24	cargo 98:5 198:7	certificates 46:1,8
198:5,7,21 217:13	brilliant 79:17	236:10	carried 239:17	<b>certified</b> 42:8 249:6
217:18 243:23	bringing 61:11	<b>burden</b> 33:21	<b>carrier</b> 53:16,17	250:6
boxes 58:24,25	232:22	burdens 52:11	92:23 94:8,12	<b>certify</b> 249:7 250:7
65:8,9 67:12,16	brings 232:17,18	<b>burn</b> 219:23 220:13	<b>carriers</b> 46:5	challenge 202:6
67:22,23 84:16,18	broader 85:1	<b>burner</b> 57:1 220:13	case 28:4 34:15	228:18
85:23,24 89:25	broke 239:1	<b>burning</b> 56:23	42:11,25 43:6,23	challenging 42:2
90:15,17,18 91:19	brought 36:20	220:7	44:23 46:19 48:4	chance 46:23 47:7
105:1 107:4,9,13	126:17 181:23	business 41:19	48:11,23 51:6	112:20 245:13
109:7 111:2,4	192:14 222:25,25	43:18 44:10,15	53:5 55:6 56:1,13	change 47:15 99:25
112:5,8 122:22,25	233:20 240:19	45:17 48:6 51:15	56:14 62:2 63:9	100:7 126:16
123:3,4,7,17	244:23 246:15	53:14 65:12 70:14	63:24 65:2,19	190:23,24
132:12,16,21	<b>buck</b> 212:23 224:16	70:25 77:20 78:3	66:4 71:3,3 85:2,4	changed 190:15
133:21 134:25	bucket 114:9,25	78:11,14,18,19	85:8 86:9 88:1,1	changing 132:5
141:24 142:2,8,20	<b>build</b> 60:21 61:12	88:3 90:6 104:23	130:2 134:22	149:4 161:15
144:7,12,21 145:1	198:23	151:11 158:12	160:4 161:10	175:17
145:18 150:16,17	<b>building</b> 41:12	183:23 184:2	164:9 201:4 210:3	character 74:7
150:18 154:13	97:21 117:25	Buttes 37:8	240:6	characteristics
164:25 168:18,21	120:20,24 121:2,4	<b>Duttes</b> 57.6	<b>cases</b> 42:6 66:6	179:14
168:21 214:12	120:20,21121:2,1	C	catastrophic 68:25	characterization
217:15 223:13	131:11 145:20	C 23:1 24:1 25:4	catch 41:8 230:21	100:1,4,6
224:10 232:24	150:4,9,21 197:12	43:13 46:1,8,12	239:14	characterized
233:3,4,6 243:24	197:20,22,24	51:22 128:8 249:1	<b>caused</b> 163:16	65:22
BRAD 24:12	199:8,8,10,14,25	249:1 250:1,1	caution 209:8	charge 202:12
brainstorm 66:18	227:4 231:6,8,11	calendar 30:13	CCR 22:24,24	203:24
brand-specific 97:9	231:14 239:7	209:3	249:17 250:16	<b>charged</b> 165:5
break 28:9,10,10	240:5	call 41:22 82:15	cease 54:18 64:19	charges 165:10
75:5,6,11,13,16	<b>buildings</b> 140:10	134:12 170:7	ceasing 54:25	charging 51:17
77:11 109:18	149:12	181:21,25 202:4	certain 32:11 40:15	CHARLIE 24:10
110:4,8 147:22,25	<b>built</b> 97:24 101:23	called 122:21	51:3 81:22 83:15	chase 60:25
148:2,5 166:12,19	131:10 140:22	235:19 240:19	164:17 213:1	cheaper 49:23
167:11 184:15,20	195:24 198:2,4	calls 82:14 129:4	223:23	check 51:4 173:13
184:22 185:2	202:5 227:4,6	152:2 164:3 223:8	certainly 38:18	176:5 208:3
202:21 206:25	<b>bump</b> 209:4	<b>cans</b> 48:7	112:17 167:9	checking 26:11
breaks 184:14	bunk 216:13	capabilities 80:14	208:11 209:14	chemical 41:17
		132:24		
	Ι	I	I	Ι

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Page 255
86:19	69:25 174:3 189:2	<b>coded</b> 202:16	75:18 80:9 87:18	63:11,12 64:17
<b>chest</b> 112:3 116:22	190:13	<b>Coie</b> 23:8 25:24	133:13 147:25	91:5 93:5 128:22
chips 45:7 156:4,9	<b>cleaning</b> 41:20,24	collaborating	170:5 171:1	commissioned
156:12 157:1	42:9,10 44:2,14	68:17	195:19 202:19	126:10
<b>choice</b> 63:22	50:11 69:6 74:2	collaboratively	comes 31:3 61:4	commissioning
<b>choices</b> 146:20	78:4,20 79:1 80:6	69:8	133:5 189:10	220:3
<b>choose</b> 71:9 103:6	86:24 91:17 93:6	<b>collect</b> 79:9,11	195:25 232:6	commitments
<b>chooses</b> 43:1	93:13,22 95:24	84:19 85:25	comfortable 67:9	243:9
choosing 73:13	111:12,14,17	128:24 131:6	<b>coming</b> 58:14	<b>common</b> 35:3
<b>chose</b> 57:25 102:25	112:2 116:15	179:1 192:5	130:20 132:2,4,7	53:16 92:23 94:8
circulated 32:3	117:3,7,18,25	<b>collected</b> 101:21	133:17 208:6	94:12
71:13	118:3,11,12,13	111:5 142:2	227:18,20	communicate 70:6
circumstances	119:5,12,17,22	190:13	<b>commenced</b> 58:1	172:22 173:4,9
126:16 158:3	120:9,13,22 121:6	collection 22:7	commencement	246:18
120.10 138.5	120.9,13,22 121.0	25:14 42:16,22	215:2	communicated
<b>cite</b> 37:19	188:1,2,17 189:1	46:2 51:10 52:14	<b>comments</b> 65:25	69:21 223:8 234:5
cited 38:5	189:1,22,24 190:2	52:25 53:3,10,11	commercial 41:21	communicating
<b>claim</b> 61:24 74:19	190:4,10 191:7,9	53:15 54:10 56:9	46:3	37:12
162:24 163:15	<b>cleanup</b> 41:20	56:11 61:17 62:3	commission 22:2	communication
claimed 74:6	42:10	62:6 63:13 64:2	22:18 25:18 28:21	59:13,14 174:16
claiming 55:1,2	clear 27:11 29:1	64:14,20 72:20,21	32:20,23 34:4	242:12,14
<b>claims</b> 46:22 54:3,7	42:7 50:14 51:7	73:1,16 74:8	36:14 39:3 42:6	communications
73:25 160:1		,		
	54:2 66:3,14	78:13,15,17,22	42:12,20 43:11	34:22,25 35:2
161:11 246:12	67:16 68:4 82:12	79:5 84:9 85:23	45:18,21,24,25	37:8 47:6 137:11
<b>clamp</b> 115:6	84:4 85:20,24	86:15 87:17 88:9	46:15 49:4,7,10	144:18 147:13
<b>clarification</b> 36:12	90:22 91:1,21	90:23 91:13,19	49:15 51:7,20	<b>compactor</b> 57:17
65:23,25 77:22	92:21 100:8,17	95:14 112:9	52:15,20 53:4	61:1,7,8,10,16
<b>clarify</b> 96:16	118:12 128:5	127:23 129:15	54:10,15,17,19	217:3 222:10
205:19 224:22	130:24 132:18	130:1,5,10 134:13	55:14,18,23 63:6	compactors 60:24
227:12,16 238:13	136:24 157:6	135:1 153:19	63:23 64:1,12	<b>companies</b> 41:21
<b>clarity</b> 89:11,15	163:3 165:13	159:16 163:7,10	71:9,11 73:8,10	42:3,5 93:6,20
113:22 130:15	187:5 202:6	163:11,24 164:1	73:24 74:25 82:6	95:24,25,25 214:2
<b>class</b> 42:3 43:12	203:16 221:10	164:14,20,23	82:16,18 85:10	215:8
46:1,4,8,12 51:22	226:22 232:15	165:11 178:3,10	88:14 91:8 92:9	<b>company</b> 22:7
128:8	234:22	188:19 189:10,15	93:9 94:4,7,21	25:14 41:12 42:9
<b>clean</b> 69:11 80:7	<b>cleared</b> 44:3	200:13 202:14	95:11 96:14 101:4	42:16,17 44:12
86:3 95:14,15	clearly 66:23 68:11	205:4 213:3,12,14	101:8 124:9,10,18	46:2,10 53:3,11
116:22 153:23	182:3 224:8	214:2 221:19	126:24 128:9	54:10 71:5 73:16
158:4,18 161:1	client 37:15	223:14 246:2,7	129:17 178:19,25	73:25 77:25 78:8
175:6 190:5,18	close 30:4 54:11	collects 54:6 83:25	179:8,16 183:9	78:12,15,17 87:18
191:10 230:8,22	59:12 220:3	combined 207:21	214:3 249:21	88:10 90:21 95:15
244:3	<b>closer</b> 41:8	combustible	250:18	95:23 128:23
<b>clean-up</b> 78:20	co-counsel 26:2	156:10 157:8	Commission's	129:15 133:13
156:4 181:17	<b>cobbled</b> 48:14	come 28:12 66:18	29:21 34:18 47:25	134:3,5,8,9,9,11
<b>cleaned</b> 44:3 69:14	<b>code</b> 165:13,14	67:4 69:19 70:8	48:2 53:6 56:10	134:13 135:1,17

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

<b></b>				1 age 200
135:18,19,21	121:6	198:21 199:11	169:25	136:7 137:1,5
163:7,11,24	composting 73:1	201:6,20 202:2,11	constantly 132:5	146:4,12 149:24
164:14,23 173:8	comprehensive	202:12	152:14,17 168:5	191:25 193:10,13
174:15 177:18	44:8	conference 34:1	169:21,22 170:6	193:20 194:13,17
178:25 189:10	compressed 209:17	200:19	229:22	195:7,18,20 196:8
213:12 246:24	concept 73:12	<b>confident</b> 124:11	constitute 76:11	196:22 222:7,10
247:1,12	183:16	124:18 202:1	79:11 186:1 211:9	231:21 232:1,7,10
company's 85:1	<b>concern</b> 157:15	confidential 40:11	constitutes 81:8	239:2
163:10	200:18 208:25	40:12,14 203:10	constraints 31:2	containment 86:1
compared 45:9	209:1 224:17	confidentiality	constructed 197:15	190:6 236:21
compartment 98:6	238:19 239:13,19	40:16	201:7 202:8,10	contains 203:19
compensation	242:18	<b>confirm</b> 35:16	construction 204:9	contaminant
53:15,19 56:12	concerned 36:3	203:23	241:9	164:20
72:22	85:15 113:5 158:8	confirmed 124:12	consult 179:15	contaminates 241:7
competent 65:15	161:5 206:23	124:20	consultants 215:7	contemplate 36:15
competition 50:3	<b>concerns</b> 109:24	conflicts 30:13	consultation 54:23	contended 48:19
competitor 42:17	110:3 157:16	46:25	consulted 58:3	contends 44:16
competitors 91:5,7	158:17 161:22,24	connected 190:10	<b>Cont</b> 24:1	53:8
91:10,18 92:5,7	162:17,24 173:22	consider 29:19	<b>contact</b> 66:13 87:17	<b>content</b> 58:23 59:7
92:23 93:14 95:6	173:22,23,25	36:18 52:20 54:19	192:8,10 235:13	59:8 63:3 101:22
95:8,10 184:1	174:6,11,14	55:14 57:21 78:8	contacted 57:13	104:1 105:8
competitors' 91:14	208:19 225:20	78:9 176:3 220:17	192:6 246:11,15	108:17 122:17
<b>Complainant</b> 22:10	226:23 227:3	228:5 230:20	246:16	126:25 127:8
complained 68:7	238:22 245:2,8	232:25	<b>contain</b> 58:16	146:12 148:11,13
68:13	247:8,11,14	considerable 48:23	contained 58:15	148:16 155:22
complaint 27:18	248:11	55:13 204:17	193:15 196:6	176:25 193:19
52:12,21 55:12	conclude 63:6	consideration	240:1	216:2,19 237:14
59:25 60:1 74:22	185:5	81:23 130:16	container 50:8	238:7
246:23 247:2	concluding 94:5	considered 55:9	62:19 74:14 103:4	contention 63:8
complaints 56:8	conclusion 51:19	56:20,23,24 57:3	104:5 105:23	193:18
248:10	71:8 79:15 82:14	57:4,6,9 86:16	108:9,12 112:9	contents 56:16
complete 35:8	129:5 152:2 164:3	93:17 213:20	137:18,25 139:18	58:13
207:19 227:13,14	178:15 195:3	220:9 221:18	141:6,8,10 143:7	<b>context</b> 45:4 51:9
completed 189:9	concrete 198:5,10	222:7,11 243:17	143:8 144:22	212:19
207:18	204:6	considering 27:17	145:3,25 146:8	<b>contexts</b> 51:11,12
completely 152:13	concurrently	158:9 220:8	158:23 163:10	continually 161:18
240:10	180:18	221:23 234:17	168:18,21,21	169:5
<b>complex</b> 65:7 70:18	condition 49:7	<b>consist</b> 198:3	191:23 193:25	continuation 207:6
compliance 65:6	132:16,21 133:3	consisted 198:21	194:23,25 195:15	208:3 209:25
240:18	240:22 241:4	consistent 100:21	196:11 198:8,12	<b>continue</b> 31:1,9,19
<b>comply</b> 62:18,23	conditions 74:12	155:9	214:8 217:7 222:5	34:4 51:20 55:4
69:2	155:14 173:22	consistently 224:24	222:8 232:18	56:7 87:23 97:16
<b>component</b> 128:14	226:19 230:18	consolidated 22:4	containers 44:24	110:19 161:2,2
171:12	241:3	25:9 27:16 66:6	59:2 102:3 121:13	168:16 184:15
components 115:21	<b>CONEX</b> 198:2,4,7	constant 158:7	121:14 134:13	197:24 233:15
1	•		•	•

				1 uge 201
continued 55:1	93:15 94:2,3 95:7	213:3 214:8,9,12	84:25 87:25 135:3	criticized 193:9
58:13,21 59:4	95:11 98:23,24	214:13,22,25	145:6 152:4 159:2	cross 31:25 32:2,6
68:14 74:9 122:24	99:10,23 100:9	218:2 220:13,16	159:4 212:14	33:6 38:23 39:7
123:6	103:4,5,7,15,24	221:20,24 223:9	<b>counsel's</b> 81:14	39:21 40:7,10
continues 44:6	103:25 104:7,8,15	223:10,15,16,24	<b>country</b> 65:10	77:8 167:5 176:13
61:21 69:15	104:19,23 105:3	224:13,17,21	<b>COUNTY</b> 249:4	205:11 244:15,17
continuing 28:18	105:15,16,19,24	225:13,14,17,18	250:4	245:18,18,23
248:6	107:16,17,22,23	225:23 227:1,2,5	couple 30:13 57:12	cross-exam 32:17
contract 49:14,17	108:1,6,7,13	228:4,25 229:1	92:2 119:19	32:22
53:17	109:2,3,7,14,15	230:3 231:3,6,17	150:12 166:12,14	cross-examination
contracting 245:25	111:13,20 115:8	232:2,19,20,24	191:14 192:18	27:25 28:8 34:7
246:19	116:12 117:11	233:7,19 234:24	202:24 203:12	34:14 35:15 75:20
contractor 45:15	119:7,13 123:25	236:8 240:20,21	205:14 208:22	75:24 77:3,14
65:4,16,17 70:11	124:5 126:24	240:24,25 246:3	222:9 233:10	110:8 148:5
70:16 71:10 133:7	127:16,17,23	246:20 247:5	245:20	176:18 185:6
134:23 200:8	128:15,19 130:8	correction 211:18	<b>course</b> 29:5,6,11	186:17 187:1
213:2,5 215:8	131:2,8,13,17,18	211:22 212:1	48:21 59:24 78:10	205:16 207:4,17
231:1	132:22 133:3,22	corrections 76:18	91:20 127:7 248:2	212:7,11 248:4
contributed 157:16	134:4 135:22	186:8 211:16	court 27:11 28:21	CRR 22:24 249:17
contributor 228:1	139:3,8,24,25	correctly 32:1 83:9	51:7 75:17 76:2	crucial 73:8
control 47:10 68:14	141:11 142:4,5	83:14 90:12,15	88:24 89:3 166:20	<b>cubic</b> 102:4
157:1 229:8	143:17,20,21	93:4 99:7 102:1	182:2 185:18	culminated 72:20
240:23 241:12	144:3,4,12,22,23	102:10 104:17	249:6	cumulative 230:19
controlled 157:9	145:4,18 146:9,10	106:10 107:20	coverage 241:13	<b>cure</b> 64:7
controlling 53:13	147:6 151:5,6,8	111:17 116:4,25	covered 144:21	curious 29:10
conversation	151:15,25 152:19	117:17 119:4	145:2,7 240:7	<b>current</b> 98:25
136:25 137:2,4	152:20 153:19	123:5 124:13,21	covering 240:6,11	234:19
146:25 147:17	154:16 155:6,9	124:22 132:14	<b>COVID</b> 65:12	currently 30:13
192:12	156:5,6,11 157:9	139:20 140:12	<b>cream</b> 73:12	42:17 59:16 96:9
conversations	157:19 158:15,24	146:5 149:16	create 58:11 86:5	96:11,15 97:8
137:7 138:3 140:5	159:16 160:13	150:10 151:14,16	108:5 161:3	106:8
143:4 162:1,15	162:3,4,8,15,21	152:15 155:16	225:12 239:7	customer 41:14
205:5	163:1,2 170:13	157:18 165:23	created 68:23	43:3 45:20 46:19
<b>convert</b> 67:22	177:1,2 178:3,12	corroborated 63:19	155:13 163:15	48:5,6 51:23 80:7
<b>convey</b> 174:14	179:2 180:19	corroborates 37:13	225:21 226:14	86:13,15 87:13
<b>conveyed</b> 174:5,10	181:14 183:3	<b>cost</b> 49:8 57:16	227:22 230:18	89:6 90:23 95:20
coordinated 228:2	186:12 187:10,11	61:11,18 137:13	233:5,13	102:25 103:6
228:10	187:18,19 191:23	137:14 192:20	creates 116:17	111:8,8 116:20
<b>copy</b> 170:14	193:7,11,12	214:7 243:21,21	226:9	117:5,24 129:22
<b>core</b> 41:12,19 52:17	194:21,22 196:19	cost-effective 50:2	creating 152:11	131:9 132:6
<b>Corporation</b> 24:2,3	197:12,13 198:8,9	50:6 103:9 160:5	158:18,19 229:5	133:16,25 134:1,7
26:16,19	198:12,13 200:6	costs 243:21	229:13	134:15 139:3,8
correct 37:25 38:3	200:10,11,14,15	<b>Council</b> 181:19	credence 183:16	146:23 147:1,13
76:23 78:5 84:16	201:20 205:24	<b>counsel</b> 26:4,24	critical 34:9 43:23	168:24 180:21
89:16 90:16,25	206:3,13,14 212:3	75:22 79:14 84:4	48:4,9 65:16	191:1,5 193:22

				Page 258
243:9,25	118:3,17 119:8	<b>December</b> 66:12	delay 124:1 204:11	213:23
customer's 89:8	122:6 153:11	67:3 208:7,13,14	deliberately 225:3	determine 80:3
106:15 132:4	204:1 207:2,5	208:16,17,18	225:11	85:10 114:2 134:7
146:17 149:4	208:3 209:1	209:7,23,24	demolition 241:9	197:5,7 229:20
170:1	dated 170:11	219:25 248:7	demonstrate 56:19	determined 51:15
<b>customers</b> 41:13,15	201:16 202:10	249:22	63:10 64:6	136:13 139:23
41:21 42:5 46:6	211:20,21	<b>decide</b> 133:6 134:1	demonstrates 43:6	152:22 225:18
51:14 66:4,5	dates 34:1 113:5	213:15	53:21	determines 43:11
73:15 80:5,11,11	118:8,11 208:20	<b>decided</b> 215:6	demonstrating	49:16
83:8,13,16 85:17	208:25	decides 45:24	46:11	determining
86:23 87:21 89:15	Dave 23:14 26:6	decision 91:5 93:6	demonstrative	137:18 155:25
89:17,19 91:15,23	David 23:7 25:24	137:8 158:12	156:7	<b>Detloff</b> 22:24 249:6
91:25 92:2,5 94:6	Davis 124:2	160:24 161:14	<b>denied</b> 56:4	249:17 250:6
95:21 96:4 111:3	Dawn 24:3 26:18	181:19 213:4,6,10	deny 64:19 74:25	develop 226:6
136:9 151:13	dawnblancaflor	230:23	159:23 182:15	developed 101:23
172:10 183:8,12	24:6	decision-making	245:6	177:16 188:5
206:1,10,11	day 28:5,12,13 29:2	137:24 138:4	<b>department</b> 246:15	developing 72:23
230:25	29:8 30:13 45:6	205:2	depend 90:5 147:12	177:12 197:21
<b>customers'</b> 48:3	53:22,23 58:2,17	decisions 212:23	dependable 65:15	205:21
84:18	59:3,17,17 65:11	213:1,7 214:11	depending 102:15	<b>device</b> 115:8
cut 225:7 229:17	67:22 101:6	declaratory 92:8	213:5 231:8	219:11,13
<b>CV-07</b> 203:7		•	239:16	devices 105:2
CV-07 205:7	106:23,23 149:20	92:12 94:5,16,21		
D	149:25 157:20,21	decline 39:1	<b>depends</b> 116:19	<b>dewater</b> 87:4
<b>D</b> 25:4 76:3,5	209:8,22 245:21	decommissioned	117:20 213:4	191:18,19 216:22
daily 55:4 91:23	248:12 249:13	189:2	<b>derived</b> 237:18	236:19 237:9
102:9 107:10	250:12	<b>deemed</b> 65:12	<b>describe</b> 83:11	dewatered 190:7
117:1,2,4 141:3	day-to-day 90:6	246:21	84:22 96:14	195:19 217:4
172:9 224:24	175:23 214:11	<b>deems</b> 128:9	102:13 139:14	218:10,12
225:2	days 52:15 57:18	<b>defect</b> 64:7	170:8 175:1	<b>dewatering</b> 87:15
damage 158:11	60:13 65:11	defense 53:24	described 39:18	195:21,25 216:23
danger 108:5,11	107:24 119:11	<b>define</b> 80:2,23	120:6 157:16	217:12 219:11,13
109:1 160:16	157:12 172:20	82:23 225:5,8	159:13	222:14 236:5,11
225:16 231:13	209:3	defined 64:3	describing 231:5	236:24
	dbarnett@perki	defines 53:11	description 84:10	<b>Dietrich</b> 24:10,10
dangerous 78:2 86:17 100:13	23:10	definitely 106:15	deserves 39:6	30:7 49:20,24
161:1	deadline 113:23	135:23 155:7	<b>designed</b> 104:18,20	50:5,10 60:13
	210:3,5	161:16 168:2	237:24	Dietrich's 49:20
dangers 163:15	deadlines 208:21	169:3 180:2	<b>desist</b> 54:18 64:20	50:19
<b>DARRICK</b> 24:10	248:8	207:18 227:19	<b>despite</b> 30:7 55:22	<b>differ</b> 177:6
<b>data</b> 34:21 35:12	<b>deaf</b> 60:12,14	239:3	56:5 58:19 60:6	difference 154:12
35:15,19 36:14,16	<b>deal</b> 160:6	definition 53:10	74:8,11 193:15	different 29:2,19
196:2	dealing 42:2	54:9 78:12 79:15	detail 220:15	52:11,19,19 53:8
date 22:23 28:15	<b>debris</b> 237:2	79:21 80:18,20	222:12	57:5 67:18 68:1,9
29:2,20 31:3	245:13	81:10,17	detailed 88:21	68:10,15,18 69:21
34:17 49:4 112:15	decades 72:17	degrees 199:14	details 63:14 88:14	80:18 90:6 93:1
113:12,15,23,25				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				Fage 259
105:17,23,24	discuss 27:19,21,23	196:23 205:23	documents 99:22	122:22,24 123:3,7
108:8,21 114:3	27:23 91:4 238:22	206:1,3,9,12	113:6 136:18	122:22,24 123:3,7
118:15 119:20	<b>discussed</b> 51:6	212:15 214:12	162:11,13	132:21 133:3,21
133:7 134:18	142:4 174:8	217:7,13 221:24	doing 27:3 47:15	132:21 133:3,21
144:22 157:4	179:12 183:7	223:23 226:1	53:21,22 67:6,7	139:18 142:8,20
160:1 166:2	201:11 239:5	228:24 246:1	84:20 85:10,12	144:7,12,21 145:1
182:22 189:16	discussing 124:10	247:2,4,9,13	86:2 88:11 89:9	144.7,12,21 145.1
	124:17 125:12	<b>Disposal's</b> 162:2	111:10 117:24	145.5,18 150.10
191:14 216:5,24	discussions 136:15	disposals 101:23	133:16 138:23	
222:7,17,19 223:1 231:25 237:11	174:1 222:21	dispose 37:16 42:4	144:2,11 164:18	217:13,15 223:13 224:10 232:24
	238:24 239:4	56:22 57:2 78:1	178:7 190:4	
different-size 222:7				233:3,4,6
<b>differently</b> 65:22	dismiss 48:20	79:25 80:21 83:21	200:10 218:21	<b>dropboxes</b> 57:10
135:15 189:17	dismissal 50:19	84:13 87:6 106:7	224:20	57:17,23,25 58:1
difficult 180:16	<b>dispatch</b> 134:25	106:9 131:6 140:2	<b>dollar</b> 203:9,14,18	58:3,5,6,18,21,22
difficult-to-mana	dispatching 135:22	158:11 242:1,2	<b>Donna</b> 23:7 26:1	59:9
41:22	disposable 91:19	<b>disposed</b> 79:10	<b>double</b> 232:16	<b>dropping</b> 150:17
<b>digital</b> 112:19	disposal 22:9 23:12	80:25 81:2 83:4	double-handled	drove 50:3
<b>dire</b> 243:17	25:14 26:4,7 34:5	86:17 90:1 101:25	232:22	<b>dry</b> 58:8 61:3 102:4
direct 34:2 66:7	37:7 43:8,16,20	139:15 160:13	downstream 243:6	102:21 108:16
76:12,21 85:8	44:12,13 45:14,19	216:3	draft 101:5	109:9,12 130:12
100:15 145:14	46:9 48:8 50:1	disposes 42:9 78:10	drafted 32:24	131:16,25 132:9
155:12 186:11	52:11 53:15 57:3	83:17	<b>drag</b> 233:18	133:7,20,23
197:10 205:20	57:5,19,22 59:10	disposing 42:1	drains 118:1	134:24 142:2,11
211:10 212:2	62:6 67:10 68:12	43:25 50:17 66:14	dried 60:22 62:14	142:15 146:8
223:4	68:15,18 71:23	220:17	103:14 108:9	155:15,19 158:24
directed 123:9	74:10,12,20,23	dispositive 44:19	109:4 145:1,4	159:16 160:13
direction 76:16	77:17,25 78:8,25	56:1,2	158:3 160:12	167:23 170:3
186:6 211:14	82:25 83:11 84:1	disputed 34:10	216:9 217:5,20	177:4 180:7
directly 40:13	84:9 86:6 87:18	disputing 34:12	drilled 219:14	194:17 196:6
43:21 88:16 99:9	88:9 95:15,23	disruptive 159:20	drip 196:11 219:15	218:10,14 219:15
106:5 132:11	99:1,5,9,18	dissatisfaction	dripping 98:19	226:11 231:8
142:8 144:3	101:22 109:13	48:24	196:7	237:19 239:9
145:15,16,24	111:5,21,23	dissolving 174:4	<b>drive</b> 29:15	drying 141:10
182:16 192:2	128:13,24 133:22	distinct 42:21	driver 158:12	142:9 217:12
194:16 213:2	134:22 136:13,16	distraction 45:16	165:20,21	218:13
217:11,13 229:4,6	137:11,15,20	docket 32:18 76:8	drivers 59:19	dsteele@perkins
244:11,14	138:7,8,11 139:2	100:24 101:2,9	<b>drop</b> 84:16,18	23:11
disagree 108:14	139:7 145:25	dockets 22:3 25:10	85:22,24,24,25	<b>due</b> 34:5 64:14
discovered 58:2	151:4 160:11	25:11 185:23	86:8,15 87:18	140:8 143:14
discovery 34:8,14	171:11 177:14,19	doctrine 35:3	88:8 89:25 90:15	212:18 225:21
34:14,18 35:9	179:4 180:17,19	document 32:17	90:17 91:18 103:7	229:15 238:19
36:7,22 88:20	181:3,12 182:11	113:4 125:7,7	103:23 104:3,4,25	<b>duly</b> 110:15 185:10
136:14 138:12	184:3 187:4	127:3 203:7	105:1 107:3,13	210:16
162:8,12 183:19	188:19 189:4,6	documentation	109:7,9 111:2,4,7	<b>dump</b> 97:16,18,22
201:24	190:14 193:5,9	74:12	112:8 114:21	97:24 98:2 102:23
	1	I	I	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				0
102:25 121:18	<b>early</b> 66:12	172:12,24 173:3	equipment 47:22	63:20 64:5,9 77:2
122:3,5 131:9	ears 60:12,14	174:1,5,17 177:17	57:8 84:15 96:13	77:6
174:8 190:5,22	ease 216:13	224:20 225:3,17	96:15,19 105:17	<b>evident</b> 140:7
191:10,13 192:20	easier 232:7	225:25 229:13	114:14 115:13,18	evidentiary 22:15
227:9 228:24	easily 39:4	230:25 233:14	116:5,7,9,10	32:11 249:8 250:8
232:7 242:10	economic 51:9	employers 136:9	121:16 123:10	evolved 175:2,19
dumped 229:3	177:10	empty 106:7 192:1	138:11 139:1	exact 46:9 47:18
dumper 57:7	economy 65:14	enables 36:17	191:11 236:13	exactly 62:23 65:19
dumping 229:4	effect 167:22	encourage 31:15	237:9	82:17 86:7 202:4
231:5	230:19	209:18	equipped 46:21	202:5 220:8 239:6
dumpster 226:6,7	effective 132:3	ends 118:9	err 209:7	<b>exaggeration</b> 61:23
226:20	134:8 137:14	endured 72:25	especially 48:4	exaggerations
<b>dumpsters</b> 48:7	168:12 169:4,8	engaged 151:24	essential 65:12	63:18
195:23 218:17	effectively 92:15	195:22 241:8	essentially 90:10	examination 40:18
219:14,19 226:4	152:12	engages 46:18	220:14 236:10	167:15 206:24
219.14,19 220.4 227:7,9,23,25	efficient 50:2 61:19	165:6	establish 81:20	210:14 212:15
228:3,11,16,19	63:5 184:16	ensure 62:5 98:21	82:8	234:11 244:7
231:10,20 240:4	efficiently 31:16	101:24 107:1	established 43:7	245:23
241:20 242:2,3,4	103:11 106:6	163:8	79:20	examinations
241.20 242.2,3,4 242:6,10	effort 27:10 59:6	entail 188:24	estimate 87:21	207:21
dust 241:13	efforts 59:6 63:2	entering 26:9	89:25 147:7	examined 228:15
dwiley@williams	eight 59:17	entire 96:16 117:22	220:11	example 86:12,14
23:17	<b>either</b> 30:16 51:21	124:24 125:4		87:10
25:17			<b>estimated</b> 28:7 228:3	
<u> </u>	57:17 88:10 98:10	126:2 160:10		examples 87:16
E 23:1,1 24:1,1	137:7 143:16	entities 42:23	<b>estimates</b> 56:24 89:22	exceed 84:12
25:4,4 249:1,1	160:25 162:19	138:19 139:1		exceeds 244:6
250:1,1	170:23 200:7	169:12	evaporate 237:21	excellent 41:14
e-mail 28:17	223:9 225:16	environment	evening 28:18	exchanged 35:6
123:22 124:23,24	229:18 230:6	225:20,25 230:18	30:10,25 31:2,9	excluding 90:3
124:25 125:1,4,19	246:24	environmental	31:21	exclusive 51:8
125:20,21 126:2,5	ejected 115:25	22:5,12 23:6	event 27:19 40:2	excuse 36:9 77:6
126:9,23 127:1,8	eliminate 97:12	25:12,15,22,25	68:25	99:20 100:19
177:23 211:20	eliminated 245:11	35:5 41:10 52:12	eventually 174:7	234:13 241:5
e-mails 35:6 126:1	eliminating 174:8	69:2 76:5 83:12	198:2	<b>excused</b> 206:20
126:3 162:7	emission 241:8	83:25 84:9,16	everybody 93:21	247:24
earlier 28:17 36:20	emissions 229:15	157:15 163:25	113:22	excusing 55:20
	229:22,23 240:23	164:16 173:22	everyone's 75:18	execute 49:17
68:19 110:9,12,25	241:6,8,10	185:20 187:10	evidence 27:23	exempt 43:9,16
142:4 156:8	emphasize 47:25	215:7 240:18	37:13,15 38:13	45:23 54:20 92:10
167:17 169:17	employee 114:24	245:10,12	39:7 40:5,10 43:6	94:6,22 127:23
170:10 171:3	115:1	Environmental's	46:11 47:1,13	128:11 129:17
175:25 179:12	employees 41:12	75:21	48:15,22,24 49:1	171:9 180:8
201:11 206:8	65:10 68:23 90:20	environmentally	53:20 54:2 55:15	exemption 43:15
219:21 233:9,20	102:8 158:20	164:18	56:7,18 58:15	44:17 50:13 85:11
234:13 246:5	162:9,14,20	envisioned 72:18	59:2 62:11 63:16	124:19 127:15
248:10				
	-	-	-	-

[				1 490 201
128:23 171:4	explanation 110:23	218:7,16 221:9,12	36:24 37:3,21	Fassburg's 161:7
exemptions 124:11	exploration 85:16	232:17,23 233:16	38:3,4,7,17 39:12	167:5
exhibit 31:25 32:3	<b>explore</b> 164:7	238:20 241:25	52:5 71:22 75:8	<b>fast</b> 140:22 146:4
32:6,10,15,16,17	exploring 85:3 88:2	fact 43:3 44:20,25	77:13,15,17 79:16	160:14
	- 0	,	, ,	
32:22 33:6 37:11	exponentially	48:13 50:5 54:22	79:22 81:16 82:1	<b>faster</b> 145:24 146:8
37:23 38:5,23	41:15	55:23 58:19 60:6	82:20,21 84:5,7	fault 46:17 195:7
40:3 76:7 77:19	extend 29:18	63:7 67:25 74:8	85:5,18 88:5,7,24	February 67:3
77:24 100:16	extended 75:17	74:11 111:7	89:10 92:16,25	222:25 223:3
101:14 112:11	<b>extent</b> 36:6,13	133:20 144:2	95:4 98:11,13,14	feel 72:3 103:8
114:13 117:8,21	38:16 44:13 79:14	147:3 155:4 180:1	100:19,23 101:18	133:14,15,24
118:2,10 125:2,5	81:12 164:17	180:6 193:15	103:18,20,21	134:5,8,15 135:14
125:8,23 128:4	245:14	194:25 226:23	109:16 110:19,20	154:12 168:11
153:2 185:22	extra 209:15	227:3 242:22	110:21 112:23	169:3 179:15,19
199:2 203:9 211:6	<b>eye</b> 169:25	244:24 247:7,14	113:3,14,18,21	180:10,20 181:21
225:19 239:25		factor 49:1 55:13	114:8,11 117:11	210:12 231:23
241:1,2	F	55:14 93:7	117:14 124:5,7	242:18
exhibits 31:25,25	<b>F</b> 249:1 250:1	factors 48:18 55:8	125:8,13,14,17,22	feels 36:22
32:2,5,9,15 33:1,5	faced 230:9	143:16	127:4,9,12 128:2	<b>fell</b> 149:10 247:6
33:11 35:15 37:1	facilitated 175:8	facts 34:10 52:19	129:6,13 135:5,20	felt 127:18 129:20
39:11,15,18,20,21	<b>facilities</b> 73:19 79:8	52:23 53:1	136:23 141:14,20	146:20 168:22
40:3,10 76:11,13	79:12 83:20,24	<b>failed</b> 36:7,10,14	141:25 145:11,12	140.20 108.22
, , , ,	84:8,19 85:9,22		,	<b>fiber</b> 67:22
76:15,19,22 77:1	86:20,20 87:7	43:5 46:18 56:6	147:21 148:1,7,25	
77:5 100:22 186:1	89:9 111:3 172:21	62:18 63:10 64:5	149:7 152:5,9	field 172:25
186:2,5,9,12		failing 63:7	153:4,5,13 156:21	<b>figure</b> 80:10 89:20
211:9,10,13,16	183:1,23 187:13	failure 62:18,22	157:3 159:3,11,19	161:16 170:20
212:3	188:17,20 189:8	fair 46:23 47:12	159:24 160:8	figured 158:22
expand 183:22	215:14,19 221:7	160:9 220:14	161:19 164:4,13	159:15
184:2	235:17	236:1,17 245:25	166:11,16,18,25	figures 203:14,18
expanded 72:25	facility 67:15 86:2	faith 55:2	167:17 169:13,17	<b>file</b> 34:18 112:14,18
expectation 147:14	87:8,11 90:3 99:5	fall 47:17 74:20	170:10,25 171:22	112:19,19 113:17
expedition 87:24	99:18 109:2	falling 59:15	173:5,19 174:12	114:1,5 153:1
expended 48:23	111:15,17,18	falls 60:12,14	174:24 184:18	199:1 201:14
expensive 50:8	116:1 117:2,4	<b>false</b> 50:13	186:19 187:2,4	240:14 246:23
experience 51:15	118:16 121:25	familiar 57:10	194:2,11 195:5,13	247:2
143:2 188:8,12,14	128:25 129:2,16	79:20 95:3 146:18	201:2,5,18 202:23	<b>filed</b> 32:6 40:4
188:18 189:5	129:19 130:13	178:2 183:16	202:25 203:5,16	52:11,15 94:20
205:20 235:17	134:23 136:16	far 36:3 50:1,6	202:25 205:5,10	113:24
	137:11,20 140:6	92:13 99:19	207:14 209:13	<b>files</b> 112:14 153:21
<b>expert</b> 228:6 <b>expertise</b> 215:10	140:10 141:16	221:25 223:1	210:8 212:10,12	<b>fill</b> 86:25 139:19
-	145:24 149:13,14		,	
expires 249:21	155:5,9 156:11	226:11,12 239:17	212:14 228:7,14	238:15
250:18	182:8,9 188:11	<b>farmers</b> 220:23	230:14 234:6,16	<b>filled</b> 143:14 146:8
<b>explain</b> 45:13	,	fashion 70:3	234:25 237:22	193:13
236:22	190:11,19 193:10	Fassburg 23:13	238:11,18 240:19	<b>final</b> 127:14
explained 40:5	196:22 197:15	26:5,6 30:3 33:10	241:18,23 243:1	finally 51:1 60:20
82:10	200:4 204:6 216:1	35:11,14,22 36:9	243:16 244:4,6,10	158:6,7
	216:25 217:11			
	1			•

				1 490 202
<b>financial</b> 49:1,3,5,7	62:13 64:1 75:4	106:2 112:13	<b>Friday</b> 30:14,17,21	general 91:16
49:10 64:6 230:7	75:20,23,23 100:2	180:13 190:2	31:5 106:17	171:20 182:23
230:24	112:18 118:22	follows 46:13	208:18 209:1	236:18
find 30:12 37:24	120:17 121:15,18	110:16 185:11	front 100:24 154:8	General's 54:23
45:22 59:7 60:2	121:20 122:6	210:17	170:14	generally 28:19
60:10,17 61:25	132:1 140:14,16	footprint 66:21	front-load 104:4	46:5 80:9 82:13
64:2,4,12,16	140:18,21,25	forced 54:17 55:17	frustrated 45:13	85:25 87:14 89:17
74:24 80:15	141:5 142:9 143:6	160:24 230:19	frustration 68:16	94:10 116:20
112:24 117:8	150:13 171:2	foregoing 249:8	<b>fuel</b> 57:1 220:12,15	121:10,10 147:7
146:17 147:1	185:10 190:8	250:8	222:1	161:9 163:5 166:9
153:14 201:15	192:4,6 194:17	forgetting 99:21	fugitive 229:15,21	172:20 175:12
202:17,22 231:21	195:17 197:14,18	forgiveness 55:20	229:23 240:23	220:22 242:21
finder 242:22	197:18 203:12	form 49:4 101:5	241:5,10,12	generate 44:2 74:3
finding 36:19 51:22	207:9 208:6	formal 27:17 52:12	<b>full</b> 52:7 58:3 74:22	80:6 120:7 183:1
56:15 68:18	210:16 212:20	52:21 55:12	86:21 134:14	221:12 222:14
fine 33:8 109:19	213:25 214:18	formalities 194:6	150:5 154:13,14	generated 56:17
207:10	215:6 218:23	format 112:14	194:1,23 208:6	66:22 67:19 68:2
finger-pointing	226:9 233:18	113:16,25 114:4	227:7,23,25 240:4	68:6 74:4,5 93:12
46:19	firsthand 162:19	forth 219:22 220:6	242:6,10	93:22 107:7,21
finish 28:11,15	162:25	226:10	full-on 175:23	116:8,11 197:3
29:13,17,20 30:6	fishing 87:24	forward 59:23 66:2	fully 36:7,14,17	generates 67:23
30:15 179:7	<b>fit</b> 64:13,14 84:10	118:23 119:15	42:8 170:17	116:10 230:11
206:24 210:1	232:4,5	207:3 213:8,10	<b>function</b> 236:24	generating 120:8
finished 28:14	<b>fitness</b> 48:18 55:13	forward-thinking	238:1	140:6
finishing 30:4	64:6 85:7 88:17	72:18	functioned 235:22	generation 120:21
Finley 37:8	<b>five</b> 59:17	<b>found</b> 153:6,7	236:10	160:12,12
fire 68:24 155:15	five-minute 184:19	193:19	functioning 176:2	generator 51:13
155:19 156:1,3,13	<b>fix</b> 97:14	foundation 92:14	further 43:7 44:7	62:4,5 73:18
156:17,18,22	flagging 150:16	178:20 183:11	72:10 102:4 106:8	generators 73:14
157:1,8,16 158:19	flammable 239:12	founded 73:4	157:11 161:21	183:2
173:22 197:12	<b>flexible</b> 65:4 207:10	<b>four</b> 90:20 215:11	166:23,25 176:10	genuine 85:11
199:15 200:3	<b>flip</b> 118:7	<b>fourth</b> 23:8 48:20	205:6 206:15	genuinely 233:12
229:2,5,5,25	<b>floating</b> 237:1,2	48:21	234:6 245:15	geographic 179:1
233:25 238:19	flow 152:11 169:9	fraction 43:18	247:19	Georgia 215:17,18
239:1,8,14,20	236:25 237:1,3	171:17,18 180:24	<b>future</b> 31:11	217:25 218:1,2,5
240:6,7,11,12,14	flowing 168:6	181:2,9,10	G	218:13,19 219:2,5
240:15,16 243:19	169:5,22 170:6	frame 109:1 138:4		236:9,14,16 237:6
245:3,13	fluctuating 169:22	202:3 219:17	G 25:4 95:10	get-go 175:5
fires 229:24 245:7	<b>focus</b> 82:17 127:10	<b>franchise</b> 88:10,11	<b>gained</b> 136:14	getting 69:1 131:9
first 25:22 27:18,20	194:9	<b>frankly</b> 71:6	<b>gallons</b> 45:6 67:21 <b>game</b> 219:25	161:14 200:25
27:21 28:2,24	folks 228:23 242:12	209:15 215:8	garage 179:23	220:3 231:22
33:13 43:20 44:21	follow 41:4 110:24	free 39:7 40:7 72:3	garbage 179:4 garbage 42:22 45:9	giant 86:20 97:21
46:14 47:2 48:20	194:7	frequently 83:18	48:7 104:1 139:17	<b>give</b> 27:20 34:5
49:1 52:11 53:3	followed 139:16	83:22 88:15	143:6,6,8 246:10	35:16 37:18 39:6
55:19 58:2 62:9	following 27:25	157:13 187:13	gathered 172:11	72:12 82:18 86:12
	l	l	gathereu 172.11	

				Page 263
110:23 152:25	161:9,12 164:10	145:7 160:18	188:10,23,25	219:1,24 220:1,21
192:18 203:11,12	169:14,23,24	196:18 226:8,9,15	189:6,14 193:6	223:18 228:3
205:14 241:1	170:1,3,4 171:2	226:24 228:25	194:21 216:13	230:21 244:24
<b>given</b> 31:13 41:5	173:8 175:7,11	229:3,5,12 232:2	218:15 220:24	245:1 246:19
43:3 63:22 87:16	178:13,16 182:11	242:8,11	221:10 223:23	247:12,15,17
116:24 164:8	182:15 185:5	grounds 34:12	241:9	hauled 44:17 88:9
167:5 179:1	194:3 196:10	192:2	hands 62:8	90:15 106:14,25
187:13 195:10	204:11 207:1,19	growing 68:22 69:9	Hang 158:25	107:14 109:13
242:14	213:23 215:4	174:4 192:15	happen 200:8	132:15,20 133:1
<b>giving</b> 47:7	218:14 219:23,23	225:21	happened 171:23	134:14 141:11
<b>go</b> 29:22 30:11	220:1,5 225:6,12	grown 41:14 90:21	173:1	142:4,11 145:13
31:14,19 41:1	226:5,19 227:16	guarantee 238:6,6	happening 111:24	146:2 149:25
57:13,25 71:17	227:17 228:7	guess 106:16 127:1	151:21 172:23	191:12 193:15
72:6,7,7 77:12	237:11,19 242:16	148:15 181:20	173:3 199:21	195:1 204:21
80:13,13 86:22,24	242:19 243:14,18	220:25 221:25	happens 37:11	206:1 226:3 227:8
87:1,8,13,14	245:6	222:10 247:14	happy 29:19 41:1	228:11,16 246:10
89:20 90:4 99:18	good 25:6,23 26:5	guide 215:9	49:8 59:18 98:11	hauler 63:22 88:11
119:2 131:22	26:17,23 31:9	guidelines 180:13	Harbor 95:22	234:20 235:10
150:2 167:9	41:9 45:25 55:1	guys 59:20 60:10	Harbors 95:14,15	246:8
173:16 175:5,6,17	75:9 76:1 77:16	60:16 113:12	harm 224:21	haulers 244:25
176:7,17 179:6	89:5 109:19,21	226:16 234:3	225:12,13	hauling 43:8,17,20
183:3 193:24	125:18 156:16		harming 158:19	44:12,13,25 46:5
194:4 202:20	188:5 201:3 208:3	<u> </u>	hate 88:25	50:17,20 66:15,16
217:16 220:15	213:22	half 209:8	haul 44:23 46:21	66:19 67:5,11
234:15 236:20	goose 60:25	halfway 248:3	46:23 51:3 58:5	68:5 69:22 70:2
237:20 239:2	governs 72:21	<b>HAMMOND</b> 24:12	58:24 60:4,21	70:21 81:1 85:9
240:8 245:22	grant 79:19 82:13	hand 110:13 185:8	61:1,13 62:19	88:7 89:16 91:2
goes 28:6 82:25	95:1 136:21 145:8	249:12 250:11	66:25 70:23 71:12	93:19 100:2
199:7 200:23	152:3 169:14	handle 80:11 90:2	80:16 83:2,17	133:14 135:19
220:23	173:6 178:16	143:25 146:21	86:9 87:5 91:22	137:25 138:23
going 27:4,22 29:1	194:3 228:12	207:4 220:5,9	91:22 93:21 97:5	145:3 165:17
29:18 30:11 39:1	granted 46:12	221:2,4,11,16	97:19 105:23	169:1,7,11 170:18
58:5,7 63:25 64:1	128:8 152:8 226:8	223:2	106:6,18 107:25	171:15,17 177:6
64:4,16,18 65:20	granting 36:23	handled 190:25	108:1 123:11	177:21 179:21
66:2,21 67:16,17	grasp 50:21	216:1 218:16	132:1 133:1,4,23	180:5,18,23 181:2
68:7 74:19 75:20	grave 160:16	222:2,5 232:17	134:9 135:1 137:8	181:6,10 182:19
79:19 81:18,19,20	229:13 230:2	handles 223:25	145:15 148:19	183:4 191:8
84:24 85:14 88:2	great 30:18 117:13	224:2,4	164:25 165:1	193:10 226:2
92:11,18 95:1	158:17 210:12	handling 67:10	166:6 167:18,23	228:20 233:4
107:18 110:24	225:16 230:1	68:12 69:22 70:2	168:3,7,9,15,18	234:17,23 235:3,7
112:23 127:2	greater 154:1	74:2 80:25 102:8	168:23,23 170:4	246:13
133:6 136:21	229:23,25	104:10 109:11	177:14,18 179:9	hauls 44:18 59:16
141:20 145:8	green 189:3	143:3,10 150:15	179:17 181:14	68:6 78:9 84:9
152:3 158:25	<b>GREG</b> 24:12	154:25 176:25	196:6 204:15,20	96:3 189:11 206:9
159:6,23,25 160:2	ground 82:8 114:9	180:7 181:16	205:23 206:3,12	223:20
		182:11 186:20		
	•		•	•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Pa	age	2	64
		_	

				Faye 204
<b>hazard</b> 68:24	223:25 224:1,5	30:3,24 32:14	31:6,9,12 33:2,9	hundreds 55:16,20
155:15,20 156:1	held 214:23	33:10 35:19 36:9	35:11,18,21 36:11	64:15 73:25 74:19
156:13,17,18,22	hello 176:20	36:24 38:12 39:19	36:25 37:18 38:1	180:1
157:1,8 197:12	help 47:2,4 49:2	40:25 41:7,9	38:4,10,25 39:16	<b>hurt</b> 161:14
hazardous 78:2	50:23 60:17 62:9	51:25 52:5,9 55:9	39:22 40:1 41:3	hydrant 240:6,7,11
86:16 100:9	62:10 63:2 69:11	64:24 65:20 66:11	52:1 64:22 71:19	240:16
hazards 152:12	69:13 70:13 73:5	69:23 70:4 71:8	72:1,11 73:7	hydroblasting 42:1
159:13 245:3	132:6 158:13	71:14,25 72:9,14	74:24 75:1,2,10	86:23
head 120:15	175:10,19 193:23	75:8,25 77:1	75:15 77:4 79:16	hypothetical
heads-up 203:12	198:25 215:9	81:16 82:1 84:24	79:17 81:18 82:12	107:12
health 73:5 160:16	244:22	85:5 87:23 92:11	84:6 85:14 88:5	hypothetically
161:4,7	<b>helpful</b> 71:14	94:23 110:1,20	88:22 92:16 95:1	109:6 168:1
hear 25:22 26:4	helping 41:13 70:9	117:11 124:6	100:17,20 109:20	
29:4 30:2 31:7	70:12,14	129:3,6 135:2,12	110:2,6,18 113:18	I
32:13 33:9 36:4	hereunto 249:12	141:12 145:5	117:9,13 124:3	<b>Idaho</b> 24:5
65:20,23,25 66:8	250:11	152:1 156:19,21	125:12,16,18	idea 120:11 142:10
88:14 124:15	herring 44:18	158:25 159:3,19	129:10 135:10,13	ideas 46:22 47:5
138:17 140:16	hesitant 36:18	161:6 164:2,4	136:21 141:17,19	66:18 192:19
149:3 172:9 182:3	<b>hey</b> 60:10	166:11,19 167:1,4	145:8 147:24	223:1
206:5	hiccups 175:8,9	174:20 176:4,10	148:4 149:2 152:3	identification 76:7
heard 38:20 65:21	<b>high</b> 150:8 176:24	176:16 178:13	156:24 159:6,23	185:22 211:6
68:19 143:22	<b>higher</b> 66:6 226:12	183:10 184:6,9,19	161:9 164:8	identified 32:17
151:20 187:3	higher-level 176:21	185:16 186:16,21	166:14,21 167:2,9	40:3 48:19 91:11
212:13 248:10	highway 53:16	195:2,5 200:21	167:13 169:14	233:13
hearing 22:15 25:9	highways 53:19	201:2 205:10	173:6,12 174:18	identify 27:9 29:8
25:19,21 26:9	56:12 62:20	206:17 207:14,25	174:21 176:7,12	86:13 207:5
27:3,11,13,15,22	hire 133:7 215:7	208:5,24 209:9,12	176:17,20 178:16	ignition 239:10
28:5,5,15,23 29:9	hired 133:13	209:13 210:7,22	182:15 184:7,10	<b>ignore</b> 51:23
29:13,20 30:4,6	197:14	211:23 212:6	184:21,25 185:4	<b>ignores</b> 49:25
30:25 31:11,14,18	hiring 59:19	228:9 234:10	185:13 186:18,23	<b>II</b> 22:16
33:15,22,25 34:17	hit 72:5	242:24 244:6,8	194:3,9 195:9	<b>illegal</b> 177:24
39:5 40:6,16	hog 57:1 220:12,15	245:21 247:20,22	200:16,23 202:23	246:20,21
54:22 63:15 64:11	222:1	hope 71:1 212:15	203:2,8 205:8,15	illegally 246:14
65:1 71:13,18	hold 29:6 44:11	hopefully 52:6,7	206:16,18 207:12	image 153:2 199:1
72:1 75:10 110:2	95:10 238:14	89:23 200:23	207:23 208:2,10	imagine 95:16
200:17 207:1,6	holder 51:2	209:5	208:16 209:5,23	98:20 105:1 108:2
209:1,25 248:6,13	<b>holding</b> 42:18	hoping 82:17,17	210:9,12,19	213:1 224:15
248:15 249:8	holes 219:15,20	host 50:15	211:25 212:8	immediate 225:6
250:8	holiday 208:12	hour 207:15,20	228:12 234:8	225:12,13 233:17
hearings 200:24	home 30:8,9 80:15	hours 28:8 65:11	242:16 244:9,16	233:25,25 243:19
209:16	honest 221:2	106:20	245:17,22 247:21	immediately 49:17
heat 218:14	honestly 213:16	Howard 22:17 23:3	247:23 248:2	69:19 106:14,21
heavily 51:1	220:4	25:6,17 26:3,8,14	huge 154:12 239:16	161:16
heavy 44:22,24	Honor 25:23 26:6	26:20 27:2 29:4	<b>human</b> 160:16	<b>impact</b> 65:14 66:7
45:1 168:22	26:17,23 28:25	29:22 30:1,18	161:4	93:13,20 183:7
				239:15 243:5
	-	-	-	-

impacts 91:5 92:1	Incorporated	40:12,14 49:3,5	223:4	involving 82:15
93:5 183:12	25:13,15,16	49:10,13,14 57:14	interactions 65:22	irrelevant 85:4
230:24	incorrect 37:24	57:15 76:23 88:19	interest 35:3 52:6	88:4,16
implemented 47:19	incorrectly 37:22	88:21 126:17	68:17 72:15 85:2	Irrespective 134:22
49:25 50:6,7	<b>increase</b> 59:4,16	139:5 162:5	209:19	<b>issue</b> 27:8 31:23
170:17	181:1,4,5 234:1	172:22 173:9	interfering 37:16	36:22 38:24 40:18
implored 61:25	240:12	186:13 212:4	interior 121:6	42:2 47:3 52:18
<b>implying</b> 151:17,19	increased 59:4	informed 28:16	internal 30:22	52:22 55:6 56:1
242:19	240:13	214:4	internally 219:20	58:4 60:4 61:7,8
important 45:3	increasing 59:15	infraction 62:22	interpretation 36:2	70:17 82:15 85:2
55:14 85:10	incredibly 44:21	inherent 224:23,25	82:5,6 182:1,7	95:7 108:3 175:11
improperly 37:15	46:18	inherently 226:5	183:6	210:4,13 226:11
improve 239:5	incumbent 46:10	<b>inhouse</b> 215:10	intertwine 52:17	227:25 243:17
improving 234:2	51:2,16 200:5,7,9	initial 67:2 77:18	intervened 65:19	issued 36:1 52:14
in-house 26:24	independent 48:21	100:21 123:21	intervenor 33:19	147:5 202:13
inappropriate	indicate 99:22	124:25 181:25	intervenors 34:3	issues 52:10,17,19
38:21 145:3	indicated 40:7,21	248:8	introduce 75:23	56:3,13 62:2,3,4
incident 239:3	52:9 56:10 77:7	initially 56:23	185:14 210:20	68:23 73:7 88:1,1
incidental 42:4,10	176:13 205:11	90:17,19 97:20	investigated 42:11	136:4 143:22
43:17 50:13 54:1	245:17	122:5 128:20	<b>invite</b> 36:21	148:10,13 160:5
54:4 55:4 74:1,20	indicating 248:5	134:16 175:14,19	<b>invited</b> 57:19 66:17	172:9 216:11,11
78:3 84:20 93:22	indication 209:2	177:20 179:20	<b>invoice</b> 117:22,23	216:16 233:4
128:13 129:21	industrial 41:19,20	213:6,9,16,16	118:8 119:4	234:4 240:18
130:1,6,11,18,23	42:4,8 44:1,14	<b>initiated</b> 192:11	202:17	issuing 248:5
130:25 133:9,12	45:4,12,19 48:9	injured 243:18	invoices 34:24	item 81:23
133:15 171:4,11	51:13 69:6 73:19	injury 233:25	117:7,17 118:3,10	
171:16 178:7	74:5 78:4,20 79:1	234:1	118:17 119:19	J
179:22 180:10	86:23 91:17 93:6	<b>ink</b> 150:9	165:11,14 202:13	<b>J</b> 185:19,20
182:19	93:13,14 95:24	<b>inside</b> 87:2 111:12	202:22	<b>J-a-m-i-e</b> 76:5
incineration 57:2	111:3,14 128:25	111:17,25 114:21	<b>involve</b> 79:14	<b>Jammie</b> 24:8 28:2
<b>include</b> 36:16 49:14	129:2,16 143:3	116:8,15 117:1	187:21 189:6	29:14 32:18 37:5
73:1 83:3,6 84:23	182:9 183:1 188:1	<i>,</i>	206:25	41:9 75:4 76:3
93:10 103:7	188:9,23,25 189:5	141:5,7 155:4	involved 43:24	110:9,15 148:6
128:18 153:10	204:17	195:23 198:23	111:23 137:10,23	167:14
164:19 165:10	industries 79:1	199:10	138:6,8 142:22,23	Jammie's 22:5,12
198:19 209:8	94:9	insisted 60:23	144:8,23 187:25	23:6 25:12,15,22
241:11	industry 41:16,17	installed 204:5	213:7 214:7,10,14	25:24 27:17 28:24
included 33:19	ineffective 133:25	<b>instance</b> 148:17	214:15 215:3,4,13	29:10 32:1,5,13
129:24	inexperience	instances 84:13	215:15,16,20,22	33:17 34:22 35:5
includes 46:4	204:17	89:16 206:2,10	222:21 226:18	36:4,7 38:7,10
including 32:5,9	inflammatory	<b>insurance</b> 94:10	involvement	39:1 40:25 41:3
40:4 41:16 50:16	37:14	intended 126:11	137:17 149:15	41:10,14,19,23
130:15 143:6	informal 54:21	<b>intention</b> 175:16,17	193:5 204:15	42:2,7,8,11,13,15
incomplete 34:16	126:9	interacting 101:8	involves 52:10	42:20,21,25 43:2
49:6	information 35:8	interaction 222:24	70:18 215:1	43:5,8,12,15,17
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		43:20,24,25 44:4
	I	I	I	l · · ·

				1 aye 200
44:5,8,9,11,12,16	172:15 174:25	jeopardized 69:1	205:8,15 206:16	KING 249:4 250:4
44:18,25 45:19,22	175:1,21 176:22	<b>Jersey</b> 198:5,10,15	206:18 207:12,23	kinks 59:22
46:11,24 47:16,19	177:13 178:1	job 47:15,16 71:7	208:2,10,16 209:5	knew 214:13,13
47:20 48:14,17,25	180:18,20 181:14	80:3 117:24	209:23 210:9,12	215:4
49:2,6,8,11,13,16	185:20 186:16	172:23	210:19 211:25	knife 225:7
49:22,23,25 50:14	187:10,14 188:24	<b>JS-22X</b> 100:16	212:8 228:12	know 29:7,14,20
51:19,20 52:12,15	189:22 192:4	judge 22:17 23:2	234:8 242:16	30:7 31:4 40:17
52:24 53:5,8,18	197:14,17,24	25:6,18 26:3,8,14	244:9,16 245:17	67:14 81:9.15
53:24 54:3,11,15	201:8 202:13	26:20 27:2 29:4	245:22 247:21,23	89:6,6 90:17
54:19 55:7,10,16	204:14 205:22,22	29:22 30:1,18	248:2	91:14,24 92:4,7
56:3,5,8 60:4,6,8	205:25 206:9	31:6,8,12 33:2,9	judgments 51:16	92:17,22 93:1
61:23,24 62:17	207:9 209:10	33:21 35:11,18,21	judicial 32:21	94:16,18,19 95:19
63:9,17,21 64:2,5	210:6 214:5	36:11,25 37:18	<b>July</b> 60:19,20	101:7,10 109:17
64:7,13,19 65:23	218:21 223:18,19	38:1,4,10,25	122:12 131:22	113:9 115:3,7
67:6 69:5,9,12,14	224:2 231:24	39:16,22 40:1	201:9	118:23 121:24
69:15,18,19,20,25	232:15 233:18,20	41:3 52:1 64:22	jump 173:21	122:9 123:19
70:8 71:11,25	232:13 233:10,20	71:19 72:1,11	<b>June</b> 60:14 101:6	129:25 130:20
73:23 74:3,4,6,25	245:2 246:1,19	73:7 74:23 75:1,2	122:12 131:22	132:23 138:13,15
75:21,22 76:4	247:8,11,14,16	75:10,15 77:4	136:2 214:19	132:25 138:15,15
77:1,24,25 78:3	Jammie's' 50:4,10	79:16,17 81:18	junk 115:14 219:14	139:4,4,6 140:22
78:19,21 83:12,17	Jammie's 50.4,10	82:12 84:6 85:14	jurisdiction 53:6	142:18 144:18,20
83:24 84:9,15	149:15 190:2	88:5,22 92:16	56:11 70:21	144:21 149:5,19
,	193:4 232:12	,		144.21 149.3,19
85:3,6,8 86:14		95:1 100:17,20	jury 39:4	
88:7,17 90:9 91:6	January 61:21	109:16,20 110:2,6	justified 51:11	150:1 151:1,7
93:14 96:11,20	126:5	110:18 113:18	justify 54:12	152:21 154:13
98:4,16,20,25	January-ish 177:23	117:9,13 124:3	K	155:21 160:14,14
99:4,8,11 100:2	<b>Jason</b> 203:24	125:12,16,18 129:10 135:10,13	<b>K-u-r-t</b> 211:2	160:19 162:20
101:23 102:3,8,17	<b>JDS</b> 113:19 125:23	· · · · ·	Kastner 23:14 26:7	163:12,13,17
104:11,13 105:4	<b>JDS-1</b> 128:4	136:21 141:17,18	Kathryn 24:11	172:12 175:5
106:6,8 111:6,11	<b>JDS-15</b> 125:13,15	141:19 145:8	37:12 123:23	177:24 178:17 182:25 183:13
111:22,24 112:8	<b>JDS-16</b> 125:2,9,15	147:21,24 148:4	<b>Kathy</b> 26:12	
114:14,15,24	<b>JDS-17</b> 37:23 39:2	149:2 152:3	keep 88:2 106:3	184:4 187:8
115:1,11 116:4,7	<b>JDS-19</b> 38:5	156:24 159:6,23	107:5 143:5 168:4	200:16 201:21
116:15,25 117:17	<b>JDS-1T</b> 76:8 77:19	161:9 164:8	187:5 199:19	202:2,16 204:8
120:17 121:5,5,7	77:24 101:14	166:14,21 167:2,9	212:15	205:1 212:19
121:15,16 122:3	139:11 148:8	167:13 169:14	keeping 30:9 31:21	216:14 219:3,13
122:10,13,20	<b>JDS-20</b> 117:10,21	173:6,12 174:18	140:8 169:9	220:3,25 221:1
123:5,16 124:11	118:2	174:21 176:7,12	keeps 239:20	222:6,16,20
124:18 127:15	<b>JDS-21</b> 76:8 77:2	176:17,20 178:16	-	224:11,15 226:5
128:7,10,12	<b>JDS-22X</b> 32:18	182:15 184:7,10	<b>key</b> 52:10 <b>kind</b> 41:8 86:1	228:17 237:24
131:19 142:19	100:18,19	184:21,24,25	87:19 99:5 112:1	238:4 246:9,10,16
145:14,16 153:18	JDS-24X 112:12	185:4,13 186:18	87:19 99:5 112:1 116:18 139:6	246:20,21 247:6
158:13 160:22,24	114:13 153:2	186:23 194:3,9	155:24 169:4	knowing 169:23
165:5,8 168:18	<b>JDS-IT</b> 124:4	195:9 200:16,23	155:24 169:4 184:14 215:8	170:1,2,2,2,3
170:17 171:8,10	<b>JDS1-T</b> 77:1	202:23 203:2,8	221:6	179:17
			221.0	
	•		•	

	1	1	1	
knowledge 91:16	language 125:19,20	learned 183:18	life 225:2	<b>LLP</b> 23:8
95:5,8 96:2	126:8	lease 139:2	limitations 51:5	load 58:13,21 104:1
122:23 123:10	large 41:20 51:12	leave 58:7 208:12	200:17	104:25 105:1,5,10
136:4,6,8,11,14	53:23 65:7 69:1	leaving 151:11	<b>limited</b> 34:12 40:22	105:14 106:6,13
136:17 138:10	70:18 97:4 140:8	<b>led</b> 163:14	51:22 241:11	108:18 122:22,24
139:22 141:9	140:24 143:13	leeway 82:19	line 38:2 69:23	123:4,7,11 134:9
142:1,13 143:20	149:11 156:12	left 75:19 199:24	87:24 90:8 101:19	134:25 143:14
143:23 144:5,14	168:6,15,23 169:4	207:15	109:17 116:23	144:6 145:20
150:19,23 156:22	170:5 179:10	legal 55:22 74:17	124:9,16 127:11	148:17 159:16
157:7 158:2,5	182:25 188:2	79:15,15,20 81:12	128:6 139:14	165:24 166:6
161:23 162:18,25	larger 45:5 156:9	81:22 82:4,14	142:25 143:12	170:4,7 191:11
171:23 172:6,11	204:5 232:18,23	92:15 98:3,7,18	146:3,16 148:9	200:1,2 218:20
173:24 204:23	largest 73:13,18	126:11 129:4	149:9 151:10	232:1,4,24
221:6 223:7	183:2	152:2 163:8,17	155:12 157:12	loaded 97:3 98:1,21
249:10 250:10	Lastly 128:7	164:3 177:5	158:6 161:18	98:22 99:8,11,13
knows 48:10,11	late 30:10 31:21	178:14,14,15,18	171:7 178:18	99:15,17 101:25
98:8	122:8,12 131:22	195:3 246:14	204:14 243:10	102:16,23 103:14
<b>KT-1</b> 211:7	219:24 233:18	legally 58:5,8 62:5	lined 107:13	103:23 104:6,11
<b>KT-1T</b> 211:6,23	late-filed 64:8	62:25 83:2 133:1	lines 37:6,22 42:1	106:17 107:14
225:19	Laura 22:24 250:6	168:23 177:14,18	44:3 84:11 91:11	108:10,12,13,24
Kurt 24:9 207:3,19	250:16	205:23 247:9	101:15 120:1	109:5 112:5
210:14,16 211:1	law 22:17 23:2,20	legislature 72:18	175:6 248:3	121:13,14 122:5
	25:18 54:13,25	178:9	linking 55:12	123:2,6,10 132:15
L	55:5,16 62:19	length 167:5	liquid 78:1 79:25	132:21 142:7
L 23:7 250:6,16	81:8,13 85:13	lengthy 85:16	80:22 97:1 100:11	145:15,18,24
label 112:19 114:5	99:23 182:7	159:1	liquor 189:3	177:4 191:22,24
labor-intensive	189:16	lessees 53:12	list 31:25 32:3,15	194:16 195:18
102:7	Lawton 215:17	lessened 245:6	40:3 41:5	197:23 214:12
Lacey 22:19 23:22	lawyer 81:14	let's 25:7,19 27:13	<b>listen</b> 66:10	216:10 218:8
25:1	laying 114:9	27:18 28:4,10	listening 60:23	226:24
lack 98:6 212:19	layman 198:7	31:24 40:20 66:3	172:12	loader 96:21 97:8
ladder 114:7	lead 68:25 244:4	66:14 96:18	literal 112:25	97:10,12,14
laid 178:20	leading 159:4	109:22,22 110:3,6	literally 45:7	104:11,12,18,20
landfill 37:9,17	169:13 173:5	113:8 117:8	202:25	104:22 105:3,6,10
44:19 50:23 57:3	174:12 234:25	118:23 119:2,15	little 41:8,11 80:17	105:24 131:21
57:5 79:10 81:3	leak 58:5 98:23	147:24,24 167:9	93:1,3 96:7	148:18,21 170:3
83:4 86:18 87:12	104:6	171:24 174:18	107:11 108:21	170:23 231:22,25
87:14,14 90:2	leaking 97:1,25	184:11,21 185:4	110:22 118:24	232:6,14,17,18,23
99:2,9 106:14,18	98:5,16 101:25	200:16 210:14	119:2 120:16	232:23
106:19 219:24	105:9 109:5	215:24	123:20 132:19	loaders 104:24
220:1,18,19,20,22	143:15 217:21	letter 54:18 126:9	134:18 160:7	139:6
221:13 223:21	leaks 74:14	level 102:6 176:24	198:22,24 214:17	loading 50:12,20
230:22 238:13,14	leaning 28:19	212:25 229:21	216:5 219:21	102:13,14,15
238:15	leans 51:1	license 70:23	226:7	106:8 122:3,10,14
landfilling 220:7	learn 182:21	<b>lieu</b> 244:13	living 243:24	122:22 131:13
landfills 106:5				
	I	I	I	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Page 200
124.10 125.10 00	222.12222.16	161.2 11 201.2		100.24 24 200.4
134:12 135:18,22 143:25 144:12,16	222:12 233:16 235:8 244:22,23	161:2,11 201:3 manage 43:22	<b>massive</b> 45:4,12 48:9 70:1	199:24,24 200:4 206:9 214:11
143.23 144.12,10	looking 58:22	66:25 71:12 80:10	<b>match</b> 50:3	217:11 247:12
165:7 166:4,8	59:22 62:7 89:19	93:18 149:4	material 45:1 60:21	matter 22:3 25:12
193:5 194:13,20	90:6 96:16 114:8	161:17 169:25	61:3 66:7 67:24	70:15,20,22 93:8
,				104:5 107:2
200:10 216:12 218:17 219:1	117:9 125:15,19	177:12,16 178:10 205:21	74:19 79:9 80:9	
	153:10 159:25		83:3 87:2,3,10,11	108:12,24 132:24
222:22 224:4,10	172:13 203:19	<b>managed</b> 45:15	93:11 98:16,19	177:25 179:25
226:4,25 231:21	235:6,7	<b>management</b> 48:8	99:23 100:5	180:8
232:12 233:6	looks 61:8 119:22	50:12,20 62:4	102:16,21 103:3	matters 48:1 71:1
241:19 242:3	119:22,25 199:3,6	63:7,13 66:16	103:12,25 105:7	212:17
loads 194:23	Loop 22:19	68:15,18 93:19	106:1 108:4,10,15	May-ish 142:24
195:15 223:20	losing 70:13	142:22 153:20	108:15,24 109:4,8	McPherson 24:11
local 135:1	lot 45:10 80:10,11	158:13 164:24	109:13 112:4	26:12,12 37:12
located 51:13	82:4 86:3,4,19	169:1 175:22	116:22 120:24	54:22 123:23
217:24	89:18 103:17	178:2 180:21	121:2,3,8,12,23	126:2
<b>lodge</b> 163:3	107:7 119:23	189:15 190:4	121:23,24 122:1	McPherson's
logical 207:22	158:1 200:19	manager 185:20	122:10,16 123:11	124:23
logistical 134:3	202:20 240:4	187:9,12 211:2	123:15 132:1,4,7	mean 28:2 37:10
logistics 28:22	241:19	212:22 214:6,15	132:10,15,17	78:7 81:9 82:9
long 27:22 28:6	LOVAAS 24:12	214:18,21 230:6	133:2,4,24 134:6	86:7 89:12,18,19
31:14 47:14 73:10	lowed 233:17	230:25 238:5	135:17,18,19	90:5,19 91:24
88:2 97:18 104:5	lunch 28:9 77:11	managers 172:25	142:11,14,15,20	103:16 104:2
108:10 109:12	110:7	manages 176:22	144:16 145:16,19	106:13 107:6
128:25 129:17		managing 53:13	146:2 148:16,18	108:14,19 111:9
141:9 142:10	<u> </u>	66:13 91:1 122:16	150:15 151:7	112:2 126:20
155:18,25 162:23	<b>machinery</b> 115:10	122:21 129:21	156:10 157:8	130:7 134:9
196:7 200:3	machines 243:8	130:4 133:16	163:8 165:15,24	137:19 138:22
long-haul 61:2	main 31:10 215:4	134:6 150:6,14	166:6 168:3,5	140:22 146:17
<b>long-term</b> 60:16	maintain 38:9	175:14,23	170:4,6 181:15	155:10 160:9
73:5,8	39:13 40:15 64:9	manner 90:10	182:11 190:18,21	162:9 169:19,22
<b>Longview</b> 29:14	73:5 132:7 168:7	133:4	190:25 191:13,19	170:7 174:2,7
41:10	168:13 169:24	manufactured	193:20 196:3,10	175:7,16 176:1
<b>look</b> 61:1,6 69:5	232:9 233:1	50:11	206:1 217:5	181:6 212:22
71:15 90:5 112:11	maintaining 38:5	manufacturing	226:20 232:16,22	217:15 219:21
114:1,19 125:6	169:8	65:7 70:19	239:1,10,12 241:9	226:17 239:16,18
131:23 152:24	maintains 128:10	March 34:23 47:9	241:13 247:15,17	243:14 245:5
153:22 155:11	168:14 171:8	61:22 118:14	materials 45:8	<b>meaning</b> 238:6
170:2 181:18	maintenance 73:9	119:5,9,12,18	58:22 74:13 79:11	means 84:4 103:3
198:17,25 202:21	83:1	136:2,7,25 138:1	83:22,25 84:10,14	224:1 242:5
222:4 234:1,14,18	<b>major</b> 63:8 212:23	223:15 250:19	90:1 102:5,8	meant 92:5 123:17
243:14 244:2,19	230:23	marked 40:11,12	111:4 123:6	mechanical 105:2
244:21,25	majority 78:24	76:7 100:16	159:16 165:8	mechanism 46:1
looked 60:6,8	116:11	185:22 203:10	177:9 194:15,24	meet 44:16 46:17
128:20 171:21	making 108:16,16	211:6	196:18 197:1,17	47:7 60:10 243:25
	122:18 148:15			
	1			1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 200
meeting 66:23 67:3	68:2,7,24 79:4	minuscule 44:9	165:17 166:3	101:11 119:15
67:3 222:25 223:3	93:12 96:17 97:19	<b>minute</b> 135:11	181:7	120:16 123:20
243:9	107:2 111:22,25	166:12 176:5	mode 222:14	moved 33:14 59:1,2
meetings 30:16,22	114:17 116:8,15	minutes 28:8 40:22	modes 222:17	107:4 108:5,8,11
47:6 144:8,23	116:15 120:19	52:8 77:7 166:14	modified 96:25	108:25 109:7,9
meets 38:8 43:12	128:19 129:1	167:7,10 202:24	97:24	145:17 195:8
49:11 60:20	130:17 139:17,18	203:1	<b>moisture</b> 56:16	197:1 200:6 214:5
members 208:8	142:8 143:3 147:6	miscellaneous	63:3 97:15 101:22	248:9
mentioned 55:15	147:11 154:19	119:23	102:6 104:1 105:8	moving 36:15 52:6
205:25 206:8,8	156:5,10 157:2	mischaracterizes	108:17 122:17	142:25 149:10
211:19	158:1 160:10,20	127:25 136:19	148:11,13,16	199:20 213:7,10
merely 34:7	161:8 168:10,15	148:23 156:20	155:22 168:13	239:11
mess 41:25 69:10	169:23 171:19,23	159:18	176:25 216:11,15	multifaceted 70:19
69:11,15 70:9	172:7,8 173:9	mischaracterizing	216:19 237:14,20	<b>multiple</b> 80:5 84:16
122:18 154:1,1,10	174:7,25 175:2	141:13	238:6,7	87:7 91:22 95:20
154:10 155:9	176:2 180:25	misdemeanor	<b>moment</b> 35:17	96:12 107:3 135:3
158:7 174:2	181:1,15 182:9	62:21	37:18 129:23	192:23 222:4
232:10,11 244:3	187:17,20,25	misleading 198:23	173:13 196:13	multitude 79:1
messes 233:2,5	188:2,4,17 189:22	misrepresents	205:14	municipal 70:17
messy 153:23 155:1	190:1 191:3,6	94:24	<b>Monday</b> 106:18	73:2,21 74:1
155:3	192:7 193:7	missing 220:15	money 161:2	79:11,21 81:3
met 71:4 124:11,18	194:16 211:2,2	misstatements	230:11	83:4 86:17 88:10
metadata 201:25	212:21,22 213:2	61:23 63:19	month 83:22	90:2 99:2 177:7
metals 224:1	214:6,18 215:6	mistake 71:2 143:7	117:22	<b>mute</b> 27:5
method 57:23,24	216:17,19 217:6	mitigate 239:23	monthly 117:18	<b>muted</b> 79:16
61:13 67:10 68:5	217:10 218:4,5,6	245:8	months 47:3,10,17	173:14
68:9,11 69:22	218:16 219:10,11	mitigated 229:18	54:14,16 57:13	myriad 54:7 127:21
139:23 221:23	220:21 221:19	245:4,12	59:12 60:14 68:21	128:14,17 129:23
226:2,3	223:13 224:12,17	mitigation 156:3	69:24 71:2 197:24	171:12
methods 67:5	224:19,23 229:22	mix 86:21 105:6	monthslong 47:20	
Michael 22:17 23:3	230:3,7 235:4	122:16 131:16,24	morning 25:6,23	N
25:17	237:7 239:21	132:9 133:7,14,20		N 23:1 24:1 25:4
microphone 27:5	243:6 246:7,17	134:8,24 142:14	65:176:177:16	name 25:17 26:18
midafternoon	<b>mill's</b> 69:1	148:18 165:24	209:21	76:1,2,3 77:16
28:10	millions 45:6 67:21	166:5,8 170:8	motion 33:13,17	86:13 113:17
middle 110:8	mills 189:2 215:11	mixed 104:10 105:7	34:18 36:1,16,19	116:4 185:17,18
midmorning 28:9	215:24	108:17,23 123:5	36:21,23 38:14	187:4 210:24,25
75:16	mind 88:25 168:25	123:11 168:14	48:20	212:14
miles 51:13	169:6,10 171:15	mixes 223:19	<b>motions</b> 33:22	native 112:14
mill 44:9 45:4,8,10	171:20	mixing 101:24	motivated 70:14	113:16,25 114:4
48:5 52:24 54:2,8	mindful 27:7 31:15	108:15 130:12	motor 114:9 165:22	153:2,14 199:1
56:17,20 57:8,11	31:20	131:7,20 134:4,5	mounding 134:17	201:14
57:19,20,20 58:9	mine 203:22	134:12 135:17,22	mountains 45:7	nature 66:22 67:23
58:10,14 59:11,15	<b>minimum</b> 62:22	142:9 144:16	move 30:16 33:23	77:20 95:13 96:2
65:11 66:17 67:20	minor 214:10	148:21 165:6,10	58:25 89:23 96:6	near 52:24 79:4
				102:9 187:17
	1	1	1	

				Tage 270
199:13 207:20	48:12 49:10,13	notes 166:12 167:6	136:22 141:12	96:20 97:3,12,19
nearly 47:18 53:21	52:20 60:23 65:5	167:7 176:5	148:23 152:1,8	98:1,21 99:1,4,7
150:8 172:21	65:19 70:16,25	234:14	156:19 159:24	99:12 100:1
232:13 245:14	71:4,4,6,10 82:24	<b>notice</b> 32:18,21,21	169:13,15 173:5,6	101:13,21,24,24
necessarily 231:7	82:25 88:14 93:21	32:23 33:7 100:24	174:12,19 178:17	101:13,21,24,24
236:23 237:18	106:16,25 108:4	101:2,9 210:4	182:16 183:10	105:14,19,23
242:19	117:5 132:4 134:7	248:5	194:2,4 195:2	106:3,7,9 107:1
necessary 45:19,25	136:13 164:15,23	<b>noticed</b> 69:9	228:12 230:12	107:15 108:3
50:25 128:10	170:1 213:13	<b>notion</b> 47:11	234:25 235:1	109:6 111:12,14
146:23 148:12	244:1	November 22:23	244:4	111:18,22,24
209:5 224:20	neglected 110:9	25:1,8 32:6,9 40:4	<b>objections</b> 32:11,12	112:9 114:17,25
236:23 241:12	neighborhood	208:9 248:6 249:9	32:15,25 37:2	115:4,9,16,25
248:6	51:10	249:13 250:9,12	39:9,11,14 40:6	116:8,11,14 117:1
necessitates 74:7	<b>neither</b> 246:11	<b>number</b> 35:12 55:8	71:20,22 75:11	117:2,3,25 118:12
need 27:8 31:4,18	<b>never</b> 42:11 46:23	56:2,21 57:5	82:13 88:20	117.2,3,25 118.12
35:23 36:4 40:13	47:11 50:24 69:17	90:15 112:12,21	159:20 209:21	120:20 121:16,21
40:15 43:23 47:8	93:25 94:11 100:8	114:5 117:8 125:9	210:9	122:21 128:10,13
48:22,25 50:22	100:11,13 127:18	152:25 153:1,1	<b>objective</b> 63:20	128:19 130:7,12
51:23 55:10 65:3	175:16 177:20	161:11 163:3	obligation 163:8	131:1,4,6,11,16
80:25 82:8 86:5	178:8 182:22	203:9 228:3,10,15	obligations 69:3	131:20,25 132:9
89:8,20 112:16	199:10 237:19	228:19 238:9	observation 152:18	133:8,11,20
129:12 139:3,7	new 67:22 75:17	numbers 76:8	162:19 195:7	134:24 136:1,12
141:21 145:9	91:2 126:21 175:3	112:14 113:7,16	observations 140:4	137:25 138:6
147:14,21 164:17	175:7	112:14 113:7,10	143:3 228:22	139:15,19 140:2,5
164:25 170:4	newborn 30:8	numerous 68:7	observe 81:21	140:6,8,9,10,10
174:3 179:15	31:20	95:24	112:6 194:12	140:14 141:5,7,9
181:21 184:15	news 45:25	JJ.24	observers 27:6	140:14 141:3,7,5
191:19 192:14	<b>night</b> 29:16 106:17	0	observing 26:13	142:10,11,13,14
191.19 192.14	239:20	<b>O</b> 25:4	<b>obtain</b> 54:24 214:2	143:25 144:25
202:24,24,25	nine 28:8	<b>O-w-e-n</b> 185:20	<b>obtained</b> 53:4	145:4,13,15,23
202:24,24,25	noise 27:5 200:19	<b>000-</b> 25:3	obviously 192:14	146:9 148:10,10
209:15 222:18	nonresponsive	object 27:8 38:21	202:9 239:9 242:8	140:9 148:10,10
209.13 222.18 225:5,8 236:4	68:16 194:2 228:8	79:13 81:11 84:3	OC 171:8	149:11,12,12,13
239:5 243:25	nonscheduled 46:7	84:24 87:23 88:15	OCC 43:2,8,22,25	150:4,6,8,14,20
<b>needed</b> 42:13 43:12	noon 77:11 109:18	92:11 94:23 98:7	44:1,4,7 45:22	150:24 151:18,22
46:10 49:16 50:22	normal 28:5 45:9	127:24 129:3	47:4 48:8 50:1,16	150:24 151:18,22
40.10 49.10 50.22 50:23 62:14 68:9	98:2 139:17 143:8	145:5 159:1,17	50:19 51:21 56:16	155:5,13,14,18
68:10,11 75:17	normally 28:9 33:7	161:6 164:2	56:23,25 57:2,16	157:13,22 158:3,8
94:1,9,11 105:17	36:15	178:13 228:7	58:13,15 59:7	157.15,22 158.5,8
117:4 166:13	Northeast 23:8	objecting 159:4	60:3,4 62:14 63:4	160:11,12,18
175:6 178:5,8	Nos 185:23 211:6	242:17	66:14,19,25 67:18	167:18 169:1
209:4 232:4	note 30:20 37:22	<b>objection</b> 33:11,12	67:21,25 68:5,22	171:11,16 175:1,3
209.4 252.4 244:11	40:9 77:10 201:19	34:24 37:4,4	69:10,17 70:2	176:22 177:1,6,9
needless 225:15	242:20	38:13,17,19 64:9	71:12 74:10,11	177:14,18 180:18
needs 46:17 48:11	<b>noted</b> 145:6	72:2 79:19 80:19	93:12 96:17,18,19	181:2 187:22
<b>necus</b> +0.17 +0.11	<b>HUCU</b> 145.0	85:20 95:2 136:19	75.12 70.17,10,19	101.2 107.22
	I			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Page 271
188:12,14,16,19	officially 141:7	220:17 221:15	53:13 65:8 98:4	<b>opt</b> 73:20
190:1,9 191:8,22	oftentimes 242:9	222:18 224:7,12	106:19 115:18	option 29:19 50:6,8
192:5 193:6,14,16	<b>oh</b> 36:11 141:16	227:15 230:16	118:24 127:15	57:3 60:23 67:9
195:15 197:11	237:19	234:6,15 247:23	169:12 221:22	67:14 103:1
204:15,18,20	<b>Ohman</b> 22:24	<b>Oklahoma</b> 215:17	226:16	158:10 213:17,18
205:3,4,23 214:20	250:6,16	215:25 218:16	operation 45:4,12	222:3 243:19
215:2,6,9,11,12	oily 41:25	235:21	48:9 55:1 65:8,16	options 56:21 57:5
215:15,20,22	<b>OJS-1T</b> 185:23	old 67:22	70:19 91:2 107:8	66:18 67:5,14
216:1,16,22 217:6	<b>OJS-6</b> 185:23	on-call 46:6	241:10	68:15,18 69:5
217:19,24 218:4,9	<b>OJS-7X</b> 199:2	on-staff 166:2	operational 55:13	158:20 159:12
220:12 221:18,22	okay 27:14 29:22	once 47:9 61:19	85:7 88:17 175:10	213:6,10 222:5,8
221:24 222:15,23	29:23 31:6,12	83:18,22 99:7,11	operationally	234:17,18 242:1
223:12,23 224:9	35:18 38:3 39:9	99:13,15,17	64:13	243:13,15 244:3
225:21 226:14,23	78:18 79:3 92:21	102:16,20 103:14	operations 46:4	244:19,21,25
228:24 229:2,4,9	93:24 94:19 96:6	102:10,20 103:14	56:20 57:13 58:10	oral 36:19,23
229:12,17 230:9	96:24 97:3,16	103:23 167:23	59:12 65:6 70:18	147:17
230:20,22 231:5	98:12 100:8,15	177:4 180:7 214:4	71:15 93:13 138:1	orange 115:6
230:20,22 231:3	101:7 103:19	217:5 246:13	146:18 160:7	order 28:1 41:1,4,5
235:17 236:4,17	109:16 111:11	one-stop 166:10	172:24 175:11,24	64:19 92:8,13
236:23 237:9,14	113:8 114:10,16	ones 65:18 129:25	185:19 187:9,12	94:5,17,21 147:9
230:23 237:9,14	114:19 115:2,10	150:15 246:9,10	205:19 214:15,21	164:15,22 206:21
243:7,11 246:1	114.19 115.2,10	ongoing 54:13	205.19 214.15,21 237:7 238:5	216:2 230:8
243.7,11 240.1 247:9	119:15 120:5,10	192:13	<b>operator</b> 165:16,19	ordered 57:25
occasion 187:16	,	onsite 43:21 50:11	-	
	125:16,25 126:12		165:20,21,22,22	ordering 112:17 orders 147:4
occasions 55:17	127:9 128:22	50:15,23 68:12	<b>opine</b> 130:17	
occupied 152:13 occur 89:7 102:13	134:19,21 135:13	70:1 73:20 74:2,4	<b>opinion</b> 54:19,21	originally 62:25
	141:19 144:20,25	222:22,22	66:24 117:23	ought 202:17
occurred 38:9	145:11 146:3	onwards 194:5	127:13 128:22	outage 188:2
143:20 192:8	147:20,23 148:1	<b>open</b> 113:6	130:24 132:23	<b>outcome</b> 66:6
194:13 196:17	153:17 163:13	open-ended 242:21	134:10 143:7,19	163:20
197:1,8	164:11 166:11,16	opened 112:25	143:21 153:24	outdoors 192:2
occurring 109:1	166:18 167:2	137:15 219:18	154:6 168:22	outside 74:21 87:25
occurs 88:15	174:21 176:12,17	opening 27:24,24	180:9 191:20	92:13 95:9 108:5
102:14,18,21	179:5,8 180:15	40:20,24 52:4	238:19 240:11	128:18 131:1
OCR 151:2	184:10,21 185:4	64:23 65:21 72:12	241:15,25	138:11 155:4
off-load 242:7	186:23 190:15	209:20	opinions 126:9,16	240:5
offense 62:21	196:1,16,21 197:5	operate 22:7 25:13	126:23 164:5,7	overall 43:18 44:10
offer 68:14	197:10 199:13	39:3 65:11 66:7	<b>opportunity</b> 34:6,8	44:14 73:11,11
offered 37:5 69:11	201:1,11 202:19	114:15 115:22	43:4 52:3 62:12	102:5 134:2
126:10 207:16	203:2,6,20,22	116:4,7,9 220:20	63:2,23 64:25	overlapping 52:18
offering 63:1 66:9	204:4,13 205:11	221:8	183:22 184:2	overly 209:16
offers 64:7 77:1,2	205:15 206:18	operated 69:7	opposed 84:1	overruled 40:6
186:16	210:12 214:17	115:10 215:9	102:23 114:5	overwhelming
office 54:23 172:20	215:14 216:14	operates 114:14	120:21 122:14	46:12 48:14
official 32:21,23	217:10 219:4,9	<b>operating</b> 47:3 53:2	<b>opposite</b> 198:14	<b>Owen</b> 24:9 29:14

				1 aye 212
121:9 184:13,23	211:18,24 230:15	223:12 229:3,16	68:17,20,20,21	195:14,21 196:14
185:6,10,19	240:1	passion 56:5	69:4,6,8,11,16,18	196:17 197:3,6,14
owner 76:4 172:15	pages 118:7 164:5	<b>Pause</b> 89:14 90:7	69:20,21 70:6,6,8	197:25 198:2
172:18	paper 41:16 181:15	90:21 91:25 94:13	70:12,13,14,15,16	199:23 200:1,5,7
owning 53:13	182:9 217:6 224:3	94:25 95:18 96:1	70:20,25 71:3,3,6	200:14 201:7,22
owns 84:16	226:6 237:2 238:3	105:21 106:24	71:8,9,10 72:9	200:14 201:7,22 201:23,23 202:14
<b>UWIIS</b> 04.10	243:8,22,23	107:10 118:21	74:6,10 79:12	201:23,23 202:14 204:7 205:1 207:3
P	Paperboard 215:16	121:11 123:4	83:16,21 90:25	207:17,24 208:4
<b>P</b> 23:1,1 24:1,1	235:20,21 236:2	126:21 127:3,19	91:1,2,13 93:12	210:10 211:2
25:4	237:5	131:23 132:24	96:8,11 97:20	210:10 211:2
<b>p.m</b> 109:22 110:3,5	paragraph 230:17	134:17 141:4	100:2 101:5,7	220:20,24 221:22
110:5,7 148:3,3	paramount 224:17	142:6,24 144:10	104:13 107:21,25	223:8,11 224:6,9
153:16 167:12,12	paraphrasing	142.0,24 144.10	104.13 107.21,25	225:2,10,15,21
185:3,3,5 248:15	53:17 59:24	140:21 147:2,15	108:3,20 109:0,14	226:13,24 227:4
<b>Pacific</b> 215:17	part 42:3 44:9,14	160:7,15 161:18	115:11,12,17,18	228:3,4,20 229:7
218:2 236:9,14	48:9 93:4 108:19	160:7,13 161:18	116:11 117:18	229:10,11 230:2
237:6	115:4,9,20 137:13	166:17 170:24	118:12 120:23	230:19,22,24
<b>Pacific's</b> 218:5	138:17 141:3	172:13 176:9	121:1,3,8,14,15	230:19,22,24
Packaging 24:2,3	142:22 154:24	180:14 183:5,25	121:1,5,8,14,15	233:6 234:23
26:15,18	204:18 205:5	198:24 203:4	122:20,24 123:6,9	241:23,24,25
packed 103:17	204.18 205.5	paused 110:8	122:20,24 123:0,9	241.23,24,23 242:6,10 243:13
page 37:6,19,22,24	participants 22:21	PCA 26:16 28:16	128:8 131:17,20	242.0,10 243.13 246:11
37:25 38:1 77:23	particular 38:17	30:23 31:4 32:1	132:10,21 133:6	<b>PCA's</b> 43:22 44:1
79:24 84:11 90:8	40:19 57:6 114:13	33:16,19 34:15,21	133:13 136:7,13	45:16 46:17,17
91:9,11 101:14,19	117:24 164:8	35:9 36:10,11,13	136:16 137:4,8,9	47:7 48:1,9 59:21
104:9 106:2	179:19 187:20	39:17,19 43:3,4,8	137:11,20,21,25	63:22 64:25 65:3
107:18 112:21,25	188:11 240:16	43:21 44:8 45:13	137.11,20,21,25	68:16 69:23 70:3
113:8,15,19 114:3	242:20			
114:5,13,19,20,22		45:22 46:22,24	140:5 142:14,19 143:4,24 144:2,6	71:1,12,15 74:5 79:4 90:3 97:19
115:2,8 117:21	<b>parties</b> 25:20 27:4 28:7,14,17,20	47:1,2,3,10,14,21 47:21 48:5,10,13	143:4,24 144:2,6	128:19 134:23
118:8,9,22 119:1	, , , ,		, ,	128:19 134:23
119:3 123:24,25	30:9,15,20 31:15 32:4,7,7,10,24	48:15,18,22,23 49:14,16,22 50:3	145:16 147:3,11 151:3,8 153:19	158:19 162:2
124:2,3,14,16	33:4 35:7 40:21			
126:7 128:3,6	40:23 47:6 64:11	50:7,8,15,17,22 51:21,24 52:24	155:15 156:4,8,13 156:15 157:9,16	177:12,17 187:17 193:10 196:2,22
139:11,12 140:1,1		-	158:8,20 159:14	200:4 204:6
142:25 146:3	65:23 66:3 71:15 71:17,21 72:2	53:5,20 56:5,8,17 56:19,23 57:4,13	160:3,9,10 161:4	200:4 204:6 205:21 229:9
148:8 149:9,10	207:7,21 208:19		161:8,22,24 162:7	205:21 229:9 243:1
150:2 151:10	210:2 229:6	57:16,19,22,25	162:15,17,25	<b>PDF</b> 112:21 113:11
152:25 153:1,3,6	248:10,12	58:4,11,19,21 59:3,3,14 60:1,2,4	163:4,18 165:5,8	<b>people</b> 109:24,24
152:25 155:1,5,6	parties' 28:1 31:10	60:6,7,9,15,20,23	170:18 174:10,14	184:17 188:5
155:11 159:22	100:21 208:22	61:22 62:4,15,17	170:18 174:10,14	225:1 243:22
161:11,21 171:2,7	partway 206:25	62:25 63:2,8,9,17	176:23 177:14,19	percent 58:16
173:11,20 188:8	party 36:15 42:12	64:23 65:2,5,7,19	188:6 190:7,11	196:3,6 198:16
189:21 197:10	62:5 162:1,14	65:19 66:5,9,9,23	191:10 193:14,20	230:4 238:8
198:18 200:22	200:9,12 218:20	67:4,7,8,13 68:7	191:10 193:14,20	percentage 116:16
203:22,23 204:13	200.7,12 210.20	07.7,7,0,15 00.7	177.21,23,27	percentage 110.10
,,				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				raye 213
196:10	141:1,8,15,23	pieces 57:8	219:10,16,18	129:9 130:22
perform 47:18 70:5	157:24 174:2	<b>pile</b> 154:14,15	248:4	152:7 159:9
70:5,16 74:18	215:19,22 231:9	155:19,22 156:17	planned 208:12	162:22 164:11
95:19 96:3 102:9	personnel 166:2	157:1,7 160:18	planning 57:12	176:17 182:4
147:10 181:16	172:25	199:10,17,24,25	136:12 138:6	185:7,14 187:8
182:10 193:5	perspective 29:25	200:6 227:21	204:8 205:1 215:1	194:9 203:11,22
231:1	48:3	230:9 231:14	219:9 221:17	210:20,24,25
performance 70:23	persuasive 242:22	239:9	plans 25:20 27:13	210:20,24,25
performed 189:24	persuasive 242.22 pertain 82:7	<b>piled</b> 45:8 140:9,14	27:19,21 28:4	241:4
194:21	<b>petition</b> 92:8 94:16	149:11,14 150:3	193:1 220:9	plugged 42:1
performing 78:3	94:20	151:7 197:11,17	243:23	pneumatic 56:25
90:9 200:12	<b>phase</b> 33:20 34:3	197:20 241:24	<b>plant</b> 44:1,4,5 47:3	220:10
performs 44:13	37:5	<b>piles</b> 68:13,22,25	47:6,9 50:16	<b>point</b> 32:16 33:16
74:2 78:20	phases 58:9	70:1 97:21 121:19	66:20 67:21 69:10	39:7 40:8 47:20
period 35:7	<b>phone</b> 27:3 68:8	131:10 134:17	69:17 99:4 108:6	97:18 103:3,22,25
<b>Perkins</b> 23:8 25:24	162:1 181:25	140:8,24 149:11	111:12 115:17	109:21 122:25
<b>permission</b> 54:17	<b>phonetic</b> 116:3	150:8 152:13	117:1 118:12,13	109:21 122:23
55:18,19 214:3	183:17 203:25	155:13,14 156:9	117:1118:12,13	125:8 125:18 160:11 170:22
<b>permit</b> 92:24 94:12	206:22	,		199:17 200:25
-		156:12,14 158:7 158:18 163:14	131:1,4 132:11	207:5 217:19
158:4,19 174:22	<b>photo</b> 170:14 240:2		136:2,12 137:15	
178:6 220:24	photograph 149:18	170:2 174:9	145:15 154:25	246:21,22 248:3
221:4 229:15	149:20 152:25	175:19 197:21,23	155:1,4,4 187:22	<b>pointed</b> 48:3
238:15 240:20,22	153:8,9,15 154:2	199:13,19 225:21	195:23 205:3	<b>pointing</b> 128:1
241:2,3,4	154:9,10 170:11	225:24 226:7,11	214:21 215:2,7,9	points 49:19 54:2
<b>permits</b> 94:8 161:2	198:20 201:15,19	227:4,7,22 230:22	217:24 219:18	180:17
221:6,10	201:22,25	231:6,10,10,11,19	220:2,3 221:22	policy 51:9 82:15
permittee 241:6	phrased 135:14	238:20 239:6,14	222:23 224:10	93:5 178:10,18
permitting 238:12	<b>pick</b> 31:3 87:18	240:3,4,5 241:14	229:9 230:20	<b>poor</b> 83:10 108:22
person 42:12 53:12	146:7 184:22	241:15	233:24 235:17	197:6,6
114:20,21 140:25	<b>picking</b> 48:7 73:13	piling 109:1 229:12	236:4,9,14,16,23	poorly 98:13
200:24 205:19	146:4	pin 202:7	237:3 243:7,11	portfolio 66:5
212:23,25 215:4	<b>pickup</b> 170:7	place 47:23 55:19	plants 41:18 215:11	<b>portion</b> 133:16
218:25 224:12,15	<b>picture</b> 114:6,20	62:14 133:21	215:12,15 217:6	138:8 160:7
personal 96:2	115:3 149:13,22	172:7 217:7,12	236:17 237:7,17	171:19 180:24
134:10 136:4,6,11	150:3 153:22	226:9 240:8	<b>plastic</b> 224:3 226:6	<b>portions</b> 39:2,13
137:17 138:10	154:5 198:25	placed 58:17 59:9	238:3	pose 159:8
141:2 142:1,13	199:3 201:12,13	88:8 111:4 133:2	<b>plastics</b> 236:20	<b>posed</b> 194:10 231:4
143:19,23 144:5	<b>pictures</b> 114:2,3	145:1 158:23	237:2	<b>position</b> 32:13 39:1
144:14 150:19	140:19,21 154:8	163:9 193:20	<b>play</b> 71:17 175:15	55:24 65:1 68:19
161:23 162:18	154:17,21 198:17	223:13	played 72:8	128:10 171:8
171:23 205:20	198:19	<b>plaint</b> 223:24	please 27:8 37:18	214:6 230:6 231:1
223:7	<b>piece</b> 44:7 45:11	<b>plan</b> 40:11 56:19	40:17 72:3 76:1	positions 35:24
personally 112:7	105:17 114:13	66:13 77:10 110:3	77:21 110:13	53:5
136:1 137:13,23	115:10,13,18	177:12,16 197:6	112:11 118:5	<b>positive</b> 222:17
139:22 140:19	116:5 236:13	205:21 207:11	125:23 128:3	238:8
				•

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Faye 214
possibility 28:18	100:3 197:12	71:18 80:24 82:25	27:18 42:19 52:7	produced 34:15,17
possible 31:17	199:14,18	86:6 93:19 99:5	52:10 76:13 88:6	35:5 111:9 113:23
72:16 167:8	presentation 41:4,5	102:13,14 131:6	156:8 178:19	118:21 162:7
207:16 233:1	presented 38:13	132:8 136:15	183:22 184:2	201:23
239:10	46:9 56:18 62:2	137:15 138:7	186:3 206:20	produces 107:6
possibly 27:22	68:23 101:5	143:25 147:7	211:11 247:25	producing 58:20
124:3 207:2	presents 53:24	149:15 163:9	proceedings 166:17	216:17
post-hearing	212:6	164:19 165:6	176:9 203:4	<b>product</b> 86:3
208:21 210:5	president 76:4	178:1 182:11	process 34:5 47:19	168:13
248:7	172:15,18	188:11 190:4	50:1 67:23,24	production 57:19
potential 91:4	presiding 25:18	191:8 193:4	80:24 82:25 93:17	59:4,5,15 74:5
158:4 229:14	press 57:7 71:17	215:12 220:6	93:22 98:25	89:14 107:21
239:15	216:4,20,21,24	243:13,15 245:25	101:24 102:15	113:19 140:6
potentially 156:10	217:2,3,4,14,15	<b>prioritize</b> 30:22	107:6 108:19	155:7 158:10,21
161:1 230:24	219:12,19 235:22	privilege 35:1	115:4,5,20,22	159:15 160:6,15
practice 73:13	235:23 236:14,15	privileged 35:2	116:19,20 123:16	160:18 161:3,15
pre-collection	236:18 237:23	privileges 51:3	132:2 133:5,17	161:15,16 168:17
63:13	238:1,5	proactively 60:9	134:2 137:24	173:23 197:2
pre-trial 204:13	<b>Pressure</b> 120:4	probably 32:20	142:9 145:14	199:8 215:20
precautions 241:7	presumably 201:23	77:10 112:13	155:1,3 158:22	229:9,17 230:20
241:11	219:6	126:12 200:1	169:4 175:3,7,13	230:23 231:15,17
precedent 54:11	pretrial 76:12,21	205:19 212:16	190:15 191:10	233:16 243:2,5,12
55:23 73:10	77:5,19,23 186:2	234:4 239:18	195:21 214:20	243:20 244:12,14
<b>prefer</b> 30:6 41:2	186:11 188:9	240:9 242:13	216:22,23,25	243.20 244.12,14 244:20
preference 29:16	211:9 212:2	problem 32:19	210:22,23,23	<b>productions</b> 160:11
30:12	pretty 107:6 140:24	41:22 43:2,4 47:5	224:2 226:25	products 46:5
preferences 71:3	219:24	47:11 58:11 63:3	227:21 235:24	65:13
preferred 29:5,10	prevent 97:25 98:4	68:10,20 69:9	processed 78:1	<b>profile</b> 80:16
63:22	108:11 109:1	70:12 97:15 142:3	80:1,2,4,22,23	profitable 73:14
prefiled 32:4,8	156:18 241:7	143:1 145:22	99:16 101:13	progress 209:1
39:18 40:2	previous 89:4	143.1 143.22	111:6 163:9	- 0
	<b>1</b>		194:17 218:4	prohibition 103:22
prehearing 33:25	previously 33:14	192:15 193:21,23		prohibitions 98:3
prejudiced 39:4	34:20 205:18	<b>problems</b> 41:13	220:22	prohibits 53:2
preliminary 209:20	212:14	43:1 47:21 60:3	processes 138:4	<b>project</b> 116:24
<b>premise</b> 55:21	<b>price</b> 57:15 63:5	62:1 69:24 140:7	149:4 161:17	117:19,20 147:1
161:10	<b>priced</b> 57:24	146:17 152:11	223:20	<b>projected</b> 58:16
preparation 208:23	pricing 57:14	169:10 172:10	processing 80:14	projects 84:20,23
prepare 177:1 192:16	<b>pride</b> 164:22	175:9 196:17	101:20 102:7,12	111:10 117:19
	<b>primary</b> 78:3,11,14	233:13	102:17,21 108:15	promoted 214:18
prepared 29:12	78:19 111:21	procedural 33:18	109:11 115:9	promptly 226:3
76:15 101:4,22	158:12	34:19 36:2 203:13	154:25 168:16	proof 52:11
143:16 186:5	principle 41:13	<b>proceed</b> 31:16 41:6	189:9 200:10	proper 62:6 80:15
211:13	printed 112:21	77:9 81:24 186:19	218:17 224:4	properly 105:7
present 24:7 34:9	<b>prior</b> 33:22 42:10	212:9	<b>produce</b> 65:13	116:21 168:14
52:18 64:5,25	50:20 69:16 71:13	proceeding 27:16	118:2 169:23,24	properties 114:1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	_	-		1 490 270
proposal 60:24	189:8 192:5,20	151:17 153:18	84:12 120:7,11	243:1
61:4 192:17 207:8	193:1 204:24	174:8 175:21	168:15 179:10	questioning 85:17
211:21 247:17	213:13 219:7	180:11,20 197:18	quantity 107:19,20	questions 49:7,9
proposals 61:21,22	222:22 235:3	197:25 200:13	108:1 109:11	77:18,20,21 82:2
147:4 192:23	247:9	223:14 232:16	168:23 179:17	84:25 85:8 92:3
193:2	provided 42:22	233:19 234:23	question 27:14 29:1	101:12 109:17
propose 28:14 61:9	46:15 48:24 49:3	235:6,10	38:18 40:14 45:18	113:4 139:10
61:16	49:21,22 50:24	<b>public</b> 51:9 53:16	45:21 48:17 52:23	150:12 159:20
proposed 28:1 32:5	69:6,18 74:24	53:19 56:12 58:6	62:18 66:15,16	161:7 165:3
47:18 61:15	86:14 99:20,22	62:20 98:5,23	79:14 80:17 81:14	166:23,25 170:25
206:21 207:11	112:22 119:5,8,12	102:22 133:1	81:19 82:14,22	171:22 173:20
proposes 60:20	121:18,22 123:21	pull 113:2 201:24	83:10 84:5 85:15	174:24 176:11,14
proposing 61:5,11	130:17 131:5,7,8	<b>pulp</b> 41:16 188:16	88:22,23 89:1,2,4	176:15,21 178:18
67:9 207:2	132:8 146:15	189:2	89:23 90:3 92:6	178:18 183:12
<b>protect</b> 224:20	147:5 154:22	<b>pump</b> 131:9	92:12,14,15,18,20	187:5,7 202:20
prove 147:16	164:4 168:11	<b>pumping</b> 41:25	92:22 93:1,16	203:17 204:12
provide 40:23 42:5	180:25 190:10	pup 192:20	94:14 98:8,9	205:6,12,14
44:6 46:3,10,14	195:6 196:2	<b>purchase</b> 147:4,9	103:17 105:20	206:15 212:18
47:22 48:18 49:2	241:20	purchased 95:23	107:11 108:21,22	233:11 234:7
49:9,13 51:16	provider 51:8 74:8	<b>purely</b> 142:3	110:25 116:18	238:17,18 241:18
52:16 54:9 56:6	74:16 246:6	147:17	118:5 121:9 123:9	241:19,21 242:17
60:8 61:5,17,18	providers 73:5,21	purposes 113:3	127:4 129:4,11,12	242:21 243:3
62:10 63:7,10	178:11	<b>pushed</b> 112:5 190:6	130:19,21 132:18	244:15 245:16,21
64:14,17,23 65:24	provides 38:6	190:7	134:18 135:4,6,8	247:19 248:11
65:24 66:1,2,2	43:21 44:8 51:3	<b>pushes</b> 120:24	135:14,15 137:22	quick 107:4 108:25
69:15 70:24 71:10	52:24 53:9 54:1,7	121:3	141:14,20 148:25	202:21 234:14
78:11,21,25 79:9	55:22 78:13 82:4	<b>pushing</b> 122:1	149:2 152:7 154:7	quickly 46:24
79:24 83:8 86:8	95:14 104:12	put 45:3 85:25 87:3	156:15,22,24	58:24 101:21
86:11,23 87:20,22	111:11 128:14,17	111:8 131:21	157:4 159:1,4,7,8	106:25 108:11
88:21 89:18 90:23	128:25 129:15,18	134:15 167:7	159:10,21 161:8	145:17 193:10
90:24 91:11,13	171:12 187:14	190:14 201:25	161:12 162:11,22	239:17
92:9 94:9,10	189:22	202:3 205:2 216:8	164:10,12 174:21	quite 35:6 112:3
97:22 112:8 117:3	providing 41:19	202:3 203:2 210:0	177:15 178:14,20	119:21 140:22
120:17 127:22	44:1,4 46:6 50:2	240:16 242:8	182:3,12,14,17	178:20
128:7 130:4,5,18	50:15 51:20,24	putting 58:20	189:19 193:24	quote 46:2 51:9,10
130:25 131:4,19	52:13 62:24 63:5	132:11 167:6	194:4,10 195:4,9	220:11
130:23 131:4,19	64:2,20 65:4,18	152.11 107.0	195:11 196:13	220.11
134:24 146:14	70:1 85:22 94:2	Q	199:4 206:5	R
147:7 148:21	96:9 104:13 105:4	qualified 128:7	211:19 212:20	<b>R</b> 23:1 24:1 25:4
156:3 163:23,24	111:7,21 112:2	qualifies 52:25	221:20 222:18,20	249:1 250:1
164:6,15,16,24	119:18,20 121:20	55:7	227:13 228:9	railroad 41:25
166:3 171:18,20	122:7,14 127:21	qualify 50:12	230:9,13 235:16	railroads 41:17
176:24 177:3	129:20,25 131:13	quality 157:15	237:22,23 238:11	raise 27:8 34:11
178:8 180:17,18	131:16 132:6	quantification	242:13 244:12,16	110:13 161:22
181:11 182:8,20	137:1,5 147:8	116:16	questioned 94:11	185:7
101.11 102.0,20	15/.1,5 17/.0	quantities 84:1,2,4	Yuusuunu /4.11	<b>raised</b> 28:18 161:24
	l	······································	l	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Page 276
162:17	realize 110:25	recollection 242:9	<b>refers</b> 211:19	72:19,24 73:17
ramp 199:7	realized 70:11	recommended	refineries 41:18	82:16 92:10
range 118:3,18	really 50:22 52:22	42:20	reflect 56:7 63:16	128:11 171:9
136:2	52:22 56:14 89:7	recommending	151:24 153:15	180:8 213:12
Ratchford 206:22	90:5 106:23 112:1	180:13	reflecting 32:4	214:1 246:2
211:20	117:20 138:22	reconvene 75:6	49:18	regulations 43:14
rate 165:18 183:12	142:21 146:19	184:22	reflects 34:1 56:7	53:7 179:3 182:21
197:2	147:12 169:6	record 25:7 27:11	59:2 204:17	219:5
rates 70:22 183:7	174:3 182:22	33:16 35:23 50:14	refusal 88:21	regulatorily 64:13
<b>raw</b> 204:15	213:20,21,22,22	75:12,15 110:7	refuse 23:19 26:22	regulatory 73:6,11
<b>RCW</b> 43:13 48:19	234:14 239:19	166:22 167:10	26:25 62:19 73:3	74:21 85:7 179:16
52:13 53:2,11,25	243:10	185:1,5 201:1,3	refused 62:15	reject 45:22 109:6
64:15 72:20 88:12	reason 56:3 67:17	203:3 210:25	66:10	128:11 138:6
re-ask 141:21	103:23 105:3	248:13	refuses 46:20	142:22 149:12,14
182:4 230:13	106:25 109:10	recovery 87:11	regard 53:1 235:16	160:12 247:9
reach 105:5,13	122:13 123:2,13	recyclables 73:1	235:18 240:18	rejected 46:22 47:1
179:5,8	127:20 134:3,11	recycled 79:9	regarding 136:25	224:3
reached 47:2 66:12	134:23 145:2	recycling 23:19	137:5,8 138:4	rejecting 60:24
124:8 127:17	154:23 190:23,24	26:22,25 73:3,4	139:22 214:11	<b>rejects</b> 43:2,8,16,20
150:8 177:24	227:6	<b>red</b> 44:18	228:19 238:12,17	43:25 44:2,7,9,17
179:23 180:12	reasonable 235:13	redacted 203:18	241:19,19	44:18,21,24 45:3
<b>reaches</b> 60:9	235:22 237:6	redirect 167:3,13	regardless 231:11	45:10,11,14 47:4
reaching 123:22	241:6,11	167:15 176:11	regards 94:8 144:9	47:17 48:8 50:1
read 78:5 89:2,3	reasoning 33:3	180:15,23 184:8	regenerated 143:11	50:16,18,20 51:21
90:12 98:10 102:1	reasons 43:19	205:9 206:16	regionally 65:9	56:16,24 57:1,2
102:10 104:15	44:20 51:11 143:5	234:9,11 242:21	<b>regular</b> 78:10	57:16 58:14,15
106:10 124:13,21	recall 32:1 122:6	247:21	91:21 95:18,20	59:7 60:3,5 61:13
124:22,24 125:4	122:13 167:19,24	reduce 58:23 59:6	134:6 141:2	62:14 63:4 66:14
126:2,3,5,8,12,14	170:12 171:5,6,25	59:8 101:22	148:18 155:6	66:19,22 67:1,18
126:19,20 127:5,7	172:4 192:10	176:25 193:19	181:6,7 228:4	67:25 68:5,11,22
127:8 128:15	216:11	216:2 224:24	regularity 88:12	69:22 70:2 71:12
139:20 140:12	recalls 141:21	238:7	regulated 42:6,22	74:10,11 93:12
143:17 146:5	receive 32:2 85:6	reduced 102:6	45:1 72:24 73:4,9	96:18,19,20 97:3
149:16 150:10	124:23	reducing 63:4	73:16,20 74:16	97:5,13,19 98:1
151:14 152:15	received 31:25	<b>refer</b> 40:13 91:9	85:12 88:11 93:17	98:21 99:2,4,8,12
155:16 157:18	34:24 56:24 59:13	112:14,18 201:13	127:19,20 177:21	100:1 101:13,21
158:15 162:21	88:20 123:23	203:10 230:15	178:11,11,24	101:24,25 104:10
241:4	126:1 147:9	<b>reference</b> 112:16	179:18,20 180:4	104:19,21,25
reading 88:25	receivers 53:12	113:17	181:24 182:20	105:14,19,24
119:4 126:25	receptacle 190:14	referred 35:12	183:8 189:16	106:3,7,9 107:1
ready 41:6 70:5	191:12	referring 113:19	246:6,24 247:1	107:15 108:3
134:14 208:1	recognize 153:8	125:1 126:4	regulates 178:25	111:18,22,24
real 62:18 106:4,12	184:17 240:2	128:18 148:8	<b>regulation</b> 43:9,11	112:9 114:17,25
107:3 145:22	242:16	154:3 203:14,18	43:17 45:18,23,24	115:4,25 116:8,11
169:18,19,21	recognized 73:10	226:3	54:16 63:12 72:19	116:14,17 120:7,8

Page	277

				1 490 211
120:9,12,18,20	240:8 241:20,24	121:12 145:23	reply 33:23 248:8	177:5
121:17,21 122:21	240.8 241.20,24 242:1,5,7,10	190:11 194:16	reported 22:24	requires 107:16
128:13 130:12	244:24 245:1	196:22 224:2	223:6 228:22	143:10 240:23
131:6,16,20,25	244.24 245.1 246:1	241:15	reporter 27:11	resembling 91:12
132:9 133:8,12,20	rejects' 43:22	removes 190:1,18	28:21 75:17 76:2	reservations 92:18
	•	,		
134:25 137:25	relaid 162:20	removing 97:21	88:24 89:3 166:20	reserve 32:11
139:15,19 140:3,7	<b>relate</b> 56:9,13	114:25 120:8,12	182:2 185:18	126:15 209:3,15
140:8,9,14 141:5	77:20 78:22,24	120:20 121:2,19	249:6	residential 46:3
141:7,9 142:7,15	79:5	121:23,24 132:10	Reporters 250:7	48:6 78:10
143:5,11,11,13,14	related 76:12	144:16 150:17	<b>reports</b> 239:3	resolve 47:10 60:3
143:25 144:25	162:25 186:2	191:8 224:9	represent 77:17	resolved 43:2
145:4,13,23 146:9	211:10	rendered 127:14	118:4,10 187:4	resolving 63:3
148:10,11 149:11	<b>relates</b> 226:23	rendering 152:12	206:2,11	resources 48:23
150:3,4,6,8,14,20	relating 120:18	<b>renew</b> 33:13	representative	148:9,12
150:24 151:2,22	relationship 60:16	<b>renewal</b> 38:14	246:17	respect 34:11,15
152:13 154:24	168:25 188:5	renewals 94:10	representatives	52:21 54:20 55:10
155:5,13,14,18	relatively 54:3	repeat 82:22 88:23	162:2,3	63:4,17 79:3,8
157:13,22 158:3,8	187:5	105:20 118:5	represented 177:13	82:3,5,6 88:14
158:11,14,22	<b>release</b> 241:7	129:8,12 130:19	177:17 205:22	108:3 111:2
160:18 167:18,23	relevance 81:24	130:22 152:7	206:12 247:12	115:21 131:3,4
168:10,19 169:1	84:25 85:15	154:7 159:9	representing 26:7	162:6,24 163:4,6
171:9,11,16 175:1	relevant 81:20,22	162:22 164:11	<b>republic</b> 215:16	193:6 195:6
175:4,14 176:22	82:18 88:6,13,16	177:15	235:18,18,19,21	196:17 199:15
177:1,6,9,14,18	reliability 70:24	repeatedly 61:24	236:1 237:5	201:6 218:15
180:18 188:12,15	reliable 65:3	61:25 64:7 135:4	request 34:21	221:18 222:3
188:16,19 190:1,9	rely 172:22,24	repeating 60:15	35:12,16,20 36:8	228:10
191:8,22 192:5	remain 175:10	repeats 60:13	36:14,16 60:12,13	respectfully 51:19
193:6,14,16	remarkable 49:24	repercussions	60:15 68:14	71:8,11 74:23
195:16,18,19	remarked 100:18	230:8	138:12 196:2	respectively 25:11
197:11 204:15,18	remember 192:8	repetition 72:16	209:2	respond 34:6 36:7
204:20 205:4,23	236:15 241:21	rephase 98:11	requested 35:8	36:10,14 38:11
216:1,5,16,22	243:3	rephrase 83:10	49:3 62:25 63:8	71:6 85:5 88:21
217:6,19 218:4,9	remind 217:23	84:5 85:19 92:5	65:5 69:16,18	244:8,9
218:13,15 219:23	removal 150:21	97:17 103:18,20	147:15,19	Respondent 22:13
220:6,12 221:18	154:24 188:18	123:14 133:10	requesting 209:6	response 33:17,19
221:19,24 222:15	191:2,6 205:3	134:20 136:5	requests 35:6 51:19	34:2,3,13,16,24
223:2,12,23,25	222:23 241:13	137:19 146:13	71:9,11	35:4,5,10 36:4
224:1,5,9 225:22	remove 111:18	157:5 163:16	require 54:24	37:5 49:20 59:18
226:4,14,24	114:17 115:25	178:23 182:5	73:24 74:11	59:21 76:12,22
, ,				79:18 111:16
227:20 228:25	121:7,22 145:15	183:15 192:21	required 49:15	
229:3,4,9,12,17	146:12 175:19	212:20 230:13	93:11 214:2	117:6,7 167:21
230:9,22 231:6	217:6	244:5 246:25	231:14	196:2
232:1 236:4	removed 107:2,2	rephrased 244:17	requirement 73:14	responses 33:23
237:10,14,17	111:24 112:4	<b>replaces</b> 104:12	requirements	35:9 36:17 60:19
238:2,20 239:6	115:13,16 116:14	replies 33:22	43:12 49:12 62:23	responsibilities

				Page 278
144:15 187:21	113:20 114:10	<b>Rod</b> 23:20 26:24	238:18 243:21	81:13 82:4,22
212:21 231:2	120:14 124:2	rod@-wrra.org	245:8,11	84:8 85:21 90:8
responsibility 62:8	125:10 126:4,15	23:23	sat 141:10	92:12,20 98:8,15
163:6,18 194:25	134:15 147:16,18	roll-off 67:12,16	satisfaction 46:15	100:24 103:22
195:15 196:14	170:15 184:7	roof 86:22	48:1,2 51:17	110:9,10,15,22
224:9 226:13	185:7,13 186:18	roofing 86:20	63:11 64:18 74:25	113:9 114:12
233:8	194:18 200:20	<b>room</b> 200:20	190:25	117:15 124:8
responsible 56:15	205:8 207:12,23	<b>rope</b> 225:7	satisfactory 55:25	125:6,20,21 128:3
62:5 160:21	208:2,10 210:5	<b>Rose</b> 22:24 249:6	56:6 151:25	129:7,14 136:24
163:20 164:18	211:25 213:18	249:17 250:6	163:23 164:6,15	145:13 148:6,8
224:13 229:4,7	217:8 219:17,20	rotate 199:23	164:22	152:6 154:23
241:24	225:16 230:11	rotated 200:6	satisfied 48:21	160:21 161:8
responsive 65:3	231:2 233:21	roughly 248:2	satisfying 191:4	165:5 167:14,17
69:20 70:8,10	234:8,13 242:23	row 119:12	saw 47:16 67:5	171:15 173:19
244:14	244:5 245:17	<b>RPR</b> 22:24 250:16	156:7 173:3	174:24 176:20
restriction 90:1	248:13	rule 38:20 94:21	193:21	183:11 184:11,13
restrictions 29:24	rights 34:5	128:23 129:17	saying 59:14 72:17	184:23 185:6,7,10
83:5 99:1	<b>Rincon</b> 215:17	rules 34:19 36:15	116:4 123:7	185:17,19,21
result 62:21 150:21	<b>risk</b> 73:11,11	82:6,8 194:7	174:10 190:12,13	186:16 187:3
150:24 151:3,4,23	158:18 161:3,4	ruling 36:1,3 95:6	191:4 196:5	189:19 194:6,12
resulted 189:11	199:14,18 200:3	135:11 174:19	199:12,23 200:1	195:3,5 201:12
results 237:11	224:21,23,24	<b>run</b> 29:2 50:23 65:5	202:2 216:14	203:6,8,17 206:18
resume 207:1 248:5	225:1,3,5,8,8,12	70:25 160:15	says 37:22 60:9	206:19
resuming 148:5	225:13,15,16,25	running 28:22 44:5	149:1 203:22	Scott's 81:21 85:1
<b>return</b> 106:7	226:12 229:2,5,13	47:7,9 116:19,21	<b>scale</b> 45:6	88:3 94:24 127:25
109:22	229:14,14,20,20	120:1	scatter 184:14	141:13 159:18
returning 75:16	229:23,25 230:1	rushed 209:16	scattered 140:10	scrambled 113:24
110:3,7 148:4	231:19 233:14,25	rushing 210:2	149:13 150:4,20	screen 201:25
revealed 63:15	234:1 239:8,20,21	<b>RYAN</b> 24:11	150:24 151:2,11	screens 195:24
reveals 50:21	239:22 240:12,12	~	151:18,22 155:5	seal 249:13 250:11
revenue 181:1,4	240:13 243:9	S	scenario 46:9	Seattle 23:16
review 162:6	245:5,7	<b>S</b> 23:1,7 24:1 25:4	172:11	Sebright 57:7,17
166:12	risks 226:14 229:18	<b>S-c-o-t-t</b> 76:5	<b>schedule</b> 31:10	60:24 61:1 115:22
reviewed 162:11	231:4,5 238:25	185:20	33:18 36:2	115:23 116:1
reviewing 136:17	<b>RMR</b> 22:24 249:17	<b>safe</b> 62:6 65:15	scheduled 59:17	195:24 216:4,20
reward 51:23 71:5	road 74:15 87:6	95:22 98:15,18	schedules 34:19	216:21 217:2
<b>rid</b> 131:9 237:4	108:19 122:19	105:8	<b>scheme</b> 168:3	219:12,19 222:9
right 26:14 28:19	138:23	safely 62:24 65:6	scientific 155:24	222:13 227:19
30:1,18 31:6,24	<b>roadmap</b> 27:20	97:5 102:22	scope 87:25 244:7	232:5,5 235:23
33:2,20 36:19	28:3	103:14 104:6	244:17	236:14 237:23
38:25 52:1 64:22	roadway 217:21	108:18,24 122:18	Scott 24:8,9 28:2	238:1,5
71:19 72:1,11	roadways 58:6 97:6	163:9	29:14 32:18,22	<b>sec</b> 206:4
75:2,10 96:22	98:5,17,23 102:22	<b>safer</b> 230:10	37:5 41:10 49:8	second 43:24 45:3
101:11 110:2,13	104:6 133:2	safety 68:23 152:11	75:4 76:1,3,6 77:2	48:17 50:19 62:13
111:19 112:12	rocks 226:10	158:17 160:17,21	77:16 79:24 80:17	64:4 155:13
		161:4,7 224:13,16		

Page	270
I aye	213

				1 uge 275
secondhand 220:23	serious 233:13,21	43:21 44:2,4,6,8	sets 52:19 114:3	simple 65:2 198:4
sector 65:13 93:14	233:22,23,23	45:9,22 50:11,15	setting 39:11 194:7	simple 05.2 190.4 simpler 113:4
sector 05.13 75.14 sedamater 116:3	seriously 58:12	50:20 53:9 54:1,3	200:18	simpler 115.4 simply 47:12 50:22
see 26:10 29:6	222:11 239:4	54:5,8,9,20 55:22	seven 59:17 65:11	58:23 66:11 70:15
31:22 60:17 71:15	serve 73:15 83:20	56:13 65:4 66:1	seven 39.17 05.11 shake 47:12	73:19
117:8 118:13,14	served 34:21	68:3 69:6,16,18	share 72:5	<b>single</b> 53:22 90:22 95:20 138:12
125:15 126:12,18	113:16	70:16,25 71:10	shingles 86:22	
133:23 138:23,23	serves 44:14	74:4 78:13,20,21	ships 189:2	<b>singular</b> 216:18
140:14,16,18,21	service 41:14 45:2	78:24 79:1,4 85:1	shipyards 41:17	sir 151:19
140:25 141:1,5,7	45:14 46:7,14	85:16,21 86:5,9	<b>shopping</b> 166:10	sit 155:25 218:13
141:24 150:3	47:22 48:16,18,22	86:10 87:20 89:18	<b>short</b> 30:5 69:25	site 66:17,20 69:7
162:12 170:23	49:2,8,22 50:4,21	90:10,21,24 91:15	148:4	70:3 97:10 111:5
171:13 172:9,9	51:8,16,21,22,24	92:9 94:1,5,9,22	Shorthand 250:6	111:6 112:6
173:8 176:5 180:3	52:14,16,23,25	111:11,12 112:2	<b>shortly</b> 68:8 140:5	121:10,10 136:3,8
198:20 201:19	53:8,25 54:24	117:3,8,18 119:5	<b>shot</b> 184:14	136:10 140:17,20
202:21 203:24	55:25 56:6 58:1,2	119:9,17,20,23	<b>shovel</b> 145:20	141:2,2,15,24
209:6 240:14	61:5,10,19 62:10	120:6 127:22,22	<b>show</b> 58:15 62:11	142:5 152:20
seeing 38:1	62:24 63:5,7,10	128:14,17,18	72:3 81:24 166:5	157:14,23,25
seek 42:15,16 54:16	63:11,13,18 64:3	129:1,16,18,20,24	239:25	162:9,14 164:24
55:18	64:14,17,20 65:18	129:24,25 130:3,4	<b>showed</b> 66:21	166:8 169:1,7,25
seeking 54:19	65:24 70:2 73:5	130:16,18,25	68:16 156:8	170:18,23 172:3
seen 71:18 101:2,3	73:21 74:16,24	131:3,5,7 139:17	<b>shown</b> 113:25	172:13 174:17
101:6 153:9,25	78:11 79:9 86:14	146:14 147:5	<b>shows</b> 165:16 240:3	175:12,14 176:22
154:18,19,21,23	86:22 87:22 91:12	163:25 164:16	240:4	176:24 180:16
selected 57:22	91:13 95:14,19	165:14 168:16	<b>shut</b> 86:24 160:5,10	181:16 182:10
105:18 144:21	104:14 111:22	169:1,2,7,7	230:3,7 233:24	187:13,15,16
204:23	120:18 122:7	170:18 171:12,18	shutting 244:2	189:9,23 192:13
selecting 70:15	128:8,11 131:5,13	171:20 174:25	<b>side</b> 31:2 189:6	194:12 220:13
137:24 213:2	131:16,20 132:6,9	175:1 176:25	197:22 198:14	221:25 222:2,4
self-serving 46:16	133:8,20 134:24	177:3 178:7	199:5 209:7	238:14 242:5
63:21	147:8 151:17,25	180:11,16,25	sides 80:12	sited 152:21,22
sending 60:25	163:4,24 164:1,6	181:11 182:20	signature 127:1	sites 172:23
senior 212:25	164:15,23 166:3	183:7 187:14,24	significant 55:6	sitting 142:2
sense 46:25 51:9	171:9 175:22	188:2,3 189:16,22	59:6 68:24 120:7	155:19 194:1
82:3	178:11 180:20	189:24 190:2,10	157:15 179:12	199:20 200:4
sent 54:18 61:6,14	182:8 187:24	193:6 202:14	230:24 231:13,16	situation 128:21
sentence 81:6	192:5,6,17 197:6	213:3,14 215:2,7	231:18,20,23	134:16 147:12
126:22	197:18,19,25	234:23 235:3,11	significantly 73:18	168:5,20 180:11
<b>separate</b> 42:21	200:13,14 204:24	servicing 178:1	158:8 245:6	182:22 235:9
80:13 87:8 169:6	205:2 219:7	serving 41:15	similar 72:23 87:22	situations 83:15
169:12 180:16	223:15 232:16	set 113:11,14	90:24 178:24	240:9
separately 166:3	233:19 246:6	166:20 170:21,22	218:21 236:7,14	six 59:11,17
September 61:16	247:9	175:22 203:25	245:12	Sixth 23:21
211:20,21,22	services 41:20 42:4	204:2 249:12	similarly 95:5	size 97:9 137:18,25
sequence 82:2	42:10,14,21,22	250:11	235:23	155:21
Sequence 02.2	12.10,11,21,22	<i>20</i> 0.11	233.23	100.01
	l		l	I

				<u>_</u>
skill 249:10 250:10	79:5,11,21,25	<b>soon</b> 59:1 167:8	specific 33:24	<b>SR</b> 241:1
skimming 73:12	80:2,4,4,22,23	200:24 248:5	38:23 39:13 46:5	SR-16X 240:1
skip 110:22 118:23	81:3,10 83:4,17	sorry 27:14 36:11	46:6 63:25 80:18	SR-23X 32:6,10
skipping 143:12	84:19 85:6,9,23	79:17 88:10 92:4	91:23 96:3 103:2	40:4
212:16	85:25 86:9,17,25	119:16 122:9,20	103:4 109:17	<b>SR-6</b> 241:2
<b>Skylar</b> 206:22	87:17 88:8,9 90:2	123:25 125:16	132:19 135:7	stacked 198:11
211:20 222:20	90:23 91:12,19,22	129:2 130:19	137:22 139:3,7	staff 26:9,10 42:20
242:13	93:10 95:14 96:10	133:10 135:10,12	147:5 158:5 162:6	49:4 123:22 124:9
slash 126:9	99:2 103:9,12	135:24 136:5	194:7,10 203:11	124:11,12,18,19
sliding 41:8	115:14,16 127:23	138:17 141:16,17	203:14 237:25	126:10 150:7
slightly 75:16	128:24 129:1,15	141:18,22 154:7	246:25	238:23
135:14 215:3	130:1,5,10 134:12	154:21 163:16	specifically 33:16	Staff's 126:23
<b>slow</b> 160:10,14	135:1 137:9	165:19 173:12,16	37:4,6 40:12	<b>stakes</b> 66:5
206:4 230:7	153:18 163:7,9,11	174:20 179:6	66:24 96:17	standard 48:2
231:15,16,17	163:24,25 164:14	182:2 191:5 201:8	104:19,21 108:4,4	standards 230:19
243:5,8,11 244:12	164:23 165:11	204:11 217:17,23	113:17 117:25	stands 36:3
slowed 159:15	176:24 177:7	sort 67:4 105:6	130:8 148:12	start 25:19 40:18
233:15 243:7,16	178:2,10,24 179:1	169:11 175:1,21	201:7	43:15 50:7 77:19
slowing 158:10,21	181:16 182:10	181:16 182:10	specifics 89:20	96:8,18 110:24
161:15 230:20,23	184:3 189:10,11	219:10 236:18,24	specified 80:7	113:8 118:8,22
243:12,15 244:13	189:14,15 191:23	sought 54:21 55:2	speculates 37:7	122:10 131:19
244:20	200:13 202:13	92:8,23 95:6	speculating 37:10	135:25 136:12
sludge 220:21	213:2,5,12,13	sounds 59:21 75:9	speculation 37:13	167:8 174:8
slumps 117:25	214:1 219:1	source 239:11	speculative 38:8	175:13,13,17
small 43:18 44:7	220:24 221:10	241:10	spell 76:2 185:18	183:4 209:21
48:8 84:2,4 168:3	235:11 238:12,13	sources 73:2	210:25	212:20 215:6,24
199:17 232:4	238:14 246:2,6	South 24:4	spelling 76:5	started 41:10 47:7
<b>smaller</b> 104:12	solution 60:8 61:25	Southeast 22:19	spend 108:14	47:9,18 60:4 70:1
171:19 183:8	68:10 132:3 147:1	23:21	172:19	70:9 90:19 100:2
smarter 160:17	160:5 168:11,12	speak 91:14 146:19	spending 29:15	121:15,20 122:7,9
<b>SMITH</b> 24:11	170:20 193:19	160:19	181:7	122:13,15,20,21
<b>sold</b> 57:7	231:21	speaking 27:6,9	<b>spigot</b> 229:17	131:12 138:1
<b>solid</b> 22:7 25:13	solutions 56:15	163:6 168:1	<b>spilled</b> 226:25	175:2 180:4 192:4
42:13,16,18,19	58:23 60:2,18	speaks 127:3	spilling 226:21	197:18,25 220:5
44:11 46:2,3 51:3	66:9 146:17	special 74:7,8,11	232:1	221:22 233:19
51:10 52:13,25	233:17	78:2 81:5,8,12	spoke 192:7	starting 77:18
53:3,10,11,14,20	solve 41:13 42:25	82:23,24 99:20,21	<b>spoken</b> 93:24 94:7	101:15 118:8
54:4,5,9 56:9,12	43:4 47:4 68:21	99:23 101:20	<b>spot</b> 84:18 85:22	128:6 142:25
56:21 59:9 61:17	70:12 193:23	104:9,18 106:2	91:18 111:2	146:3 151:10
62:3,6 63:7,12	245:2	107:15,19 109:10	<b>spots</b> 191:14,16	155:12 157:12
64:2,14,20 67:11	solved 47:20 69:23	109:11 123:15	<b>spotted</b> 86:15	176:21 229:24
70:17 72:19,20,21	somebody 161:14	179:13 180:7	spring 171:24	startup 67:15 68:4
72:24 73:2,16,17	225:13	specialized 46:4	Square 22:19	68:8 69:17
73:21,24 74:1	somebody's 183:19	143:10	squeezed 217:1	state 53:16,19 76:1
78:1,13,14,17,22	<b>somewhat</b> 222:10	specially 97:24	squeezing 238:2	81:13 85:13 98:10

Page 281
----------

				1 aye 201
99:23 101:15	153:3,12 156:19	<b>stream</b> 43:22 45:20	suitable 148:19	surrounding
104:10 111:4	158:25 159:17	46:21 51:14 67:18	Suite 23:9,15,21	235:16
146:4 148:9 149:9	161:6 164:2 167:4	68:1,20 109:6	24:4	suspect 207:20
150:2 151:10	167:16 169:16	133:17 143:5	summer 199:22	suspension 210:2
152:10 155:11	173:7,12,16,17,18	streams 67:19 68:2	super 146:18	suspicions 39:5
171:7 172:14	174:13,20,23	68:6	superimposed	sustainability 73:9
185:17 188:8,22	176:4,8,10 178:13	Street 23:8,15	113:12,15	swear 110:9,11
204:14 209:18	182:6,12 183:10	<b>strike</b> 33:13,14,17	supervision 76:16	switched 48:14
210:24 230:17	184:9 185:14,16	36:1 38:14 39:2	186:6 211:14	sworn 110:15
249:3,7 250:3,7	185:21 186:1,5,8	struggling 69:24	supplemental 64:8	185:10 210:16
<b>stated</b> 106:2 145:19	186:11,15 195:2	stuff 111:8	supplied 117:6	system 39:4 56:25
167:22 172:2	198:7 200:21	subject 34:25 63:12	136:7	59:7 61:15 72:19
statement 40:24	201:16 203:20	162:6 212:17	support 38:6 63:21	72:23,25 73:6,9
49:24 52:4 64:23	205:10 206:4,7,17	submit 45:20 46:11	74:22	73:12,20 74:21
72:13 78:7 150:13	207:10 209:9	48:10 51:12	supported 47:12	115:9 178:11
159:2	210:6	submitted 49:5	supports 37:13	213:11 214:1
statements 27:24	<b>Steele</b> 23:7 25:23	64:10	38:7 55:23	219:5 220:10
40:20 65:21	25:24 28:25 29:12	Subpart 43:9	<b>supposed</b> 67:6	236:21
209:20	29:24 37:19 40:25	subsequent 131:12	242:7	systems 59:22
states 41:16 49:20	41:7 52:2 71:25	substantial 183:1	sure 28:20 31:14	160:15
101:20 126:8,9,15	75:9	substantially 181:5	33:15 38:20 77:22	
stating 177:23	stem 192:19 231:5	substantive 168:16	79:7 80:19 82:1	T
station 87:12	step 62:9 102:7	succeeded 43:5	85:19 86:7 88:7	<b>T</b> 249:1,1 250:1,1
107:15 138:20,24	steps 40:15 163:19	success 65:16	91:7 94:15 105:11	T-h-o-r-n-e 211:3
204:16	203:13	successfully 47:19	105:20,22 108:16	take 28:9 32:21
status 51:1	Stericycle 51:6	69:7	108:16 112:12	33:7 40:15 47:10
statute 56:10 64:3	<b>stipulate</b> 32:8 33:4	succession 107:4	118:6,7 120:2	52:7 55:17 58:6
statutes 82:5	37:1 39:10,14,17	sufficient 48:15	122:17 124:1	58:12 62:8 63:2
stay 30:25 100:20	39:24	64:5 107:25	125:18 126:3,20	75:5 87:4,7 99:4
203:2	stipulates 39:20	sufficiently 103:14	127:11 132:17,18	107:9 109:18
stealing 183:17	stock 112:3 119:22	108:9 109:4	135:21 146:1	142:10 144:15
steel 41:17 75:25	stop 119:16 120:3	144:25 155:19	148:15,19 159:2	147:21,24 149:22
76:6,11,15,18,21	160:17,25 161:3	158:23 196:5	166:13 168:13	152:24 155:18
76:25 77:4 79:13	161:16,22 170:7	216:9 217:5,20	173:2 174:18	166:12 184:21
81:11 84:3,24	227:12 243:2	suggest 28:10 160:9	177:16 182:5	202:21 203:12
87:23 88:15 92:11	stopped 229:18	195:17 209:24	183:11 189:20	207:20 208:22
94:23 98:7,12	stopping 109:21	241:23	194:8 195:3 197:9	210:14 217:17
101:17 103:16,19	stops 212:23	suggested 49:5	200:6 201:3 202:5	223:6 226:13
110:1 112:20	224:16	234:18	202:6 204:12	241:6 242:19
113:2,11 114:6,10	store 168:21	suggesting 36:6	206:6 216:13,15	taken 22:23 75:13
125:5,10,17 127:2	stored 86:6 152:10	193:14,22 194:24	217:9,19 219:17	87:11 99:9 110:4
127:6,24 129:3,9	straight 107:14	195:14 196:14,15	224:7,19,25	114:2 148:2
135:2 136:19	132:11 216:6,6	216:16 242:18	225:10 226:16	149:21 153:16
141:12 145:5	217:14,16,17,18	suggestion 142:19	232:15 233:10	154:9 167:11
148:23 152:1,8	226:20	suggests 50:10	241:5 246:16	185:2 201:12,21
110.20 102.1,0			211.0 210.10	201:22,23 217:11
	I	I		,

				1 uge 202
225:15 243:19	terms 28:3 35:25	163:5 169:18,20	238:10 239:24	<b>thinks</b> 37:7,11
takes 28:5 59:20	37:8 49:18 63:3	169:21 171:3,7	240:17 241:17	third 64:12 157:12
106:5 223:19	78:18 80:20 81:22	172:14 173:20	242:15,23,24	218:20
talk 25:20 27:10,13	82:9,11 109:10	183:19 184:11	245:21 247:20,23	<b>Thorne</b> 24:9 207:3
28:4 92:1 104:9	120:21 134:2	186:2,12 188:9	248:1,14	207:4,19 210:14
107:18 130:23	145:23 162:17	189:20 194:20	Thanksgiving	210:16,24 211:1,5
169:18 222:8	198:7 207:15,21	195:6,10 196:16	208:11	212:7,13 234:13
225:19	212:16	197:11 198:18	thing 67:6 69:4,12	234:16 244:11
talked 66:22 178:4	terrible 153:25	199:1,9 202:7	69:14 230:10,11	247:23
219:21	territory 73:15	204:13 206:19,25	things 63:25 66:21	thought 30:5
talking 40:9 100:4	<b>test</b> 72:25	207:17 211:10	168:4 189:1 214:7	151:20 225:11
115:6 136:9	testified 77:23	212:2 225:19	225:1 239:20	233:12,22,23
137:20 168:4	78:19 90:9 95:2,9	226:1 228:18	244:13	thoughts 66:25
191:2 219:17	110:16 124:8,10	230:5,15 231:12	think 29:16 32:16	207:7
234:3	128:6 129:23	247:24	32:20 34:9 37:24	<b>threat</b> 70:13 230:2
tall 105:14	156:23 178:1	TG-220215 22:4	38:12,15,21 56:2	three 107:13
tank 41:25 80:8,9	180:15,23 185:11	25:10 76:8 185:23	59:14 63:15 71:14	208:20
86:25 89:13 112:3	189:21 197:10	TG-220243 22:3	72:5 75:4 82:8	<b>Thursday</b> 30:14,16
190:4,5	210:17 246:5,8	25:10 76:9 185:24	85:9 87:25 88:3,4	30:21 31:5
tanks 44:3 86:3,20	testify 34:3 124:17	thank 26:3,8,14,20	89:23 92:13 93:20	tide 192:19
87:1,2 189:1	testifying 33:19	27:2 30:1,18 31:6	94:24,25 95:9	tied 43:21
tariff 70:22	testimony 32:4,8	31:8 33:2 35:11	98:13 101:16	time 25:9 31:1,16
tariffs 47:23	33:12,14 34:2,2,6	35:21 36:11,25	109:8,16,20	34:16,17 35:7
task 48:6	34:13,16 37:5,14	39:16,22,25 40:1	113:10,12,23	36:5,23 38:19,22
team 208:7,8	38:14,17,22,22	41:5,7 51:25 52:1	120:3 124:5 125:6	64:10 67:8 69:25
238:22	39:13,15,18 40:2	52:5 64:21,22,24	125:18,19 127:6	72:10,15,25 76:19
<b>technical</b> 126:10	46:16,25 48:15	64:25 71:12,19	127:24 135:7	76:20 81:9 83:15
179:9	49:20 64:8 76:12	72:9,11,14 75:1,2	145:2,6,7,10	85:3 87:15 102:6
technically 135:16	76:22 77:19,24	75:8,25 76:25	152:2,4 159:3	106:4,12,23 107:3
138:22 168:7	79:24 80:20 81:17	77:4,13 82:20	160:4 161:6 164:6	108:15,25 109:23
telephone 223:8	82:4,4,5,7,10,23	84:6 100:20	165:3 167:21,21	109:24 112:8
tell 107:8 116:21	84:11 90:8,14	109:20 110:18,20	177:22 178:17	113:13,15 121:5
120:14 124:14	91:4,9 92:13 93:5	117:12 120:10	180:22,24 181:19	121:13,20,25
199:6 213:25	93:9 94:25 101:14	124:6 134:21	182:13 183:3	122:15,25 123:3,8
237:25	101:20 110:12	147:20 148:1	193:21 198:22,23	123:18 126:14,19
telling 50:8 232:11	111:16 117:6,7	149:8 161:20	203:20 207:18,22	126:20 127:13
232:21	123:21,21 127:25	166:16,18 167:2	208:20 209:16,19	131:6,19,21,25
temporary 170:22	128:4 129:7,14	173:15 176:8,20	209:25 213:15	132:8 136:3 137:5
ten 57:18 59:2	130:3,9,10 136:20	184:6,7,10,24,25	215:25 216:21	138:1,4 140:17
ten-75:5	139:11 141:13	185:13,16 186:15	218:2 219:25	141:24 142:5,16
<b>ten-minute</b> 75:6,11	148:14,20,24	186:18,21 201:2	222:16 226:18	142:20,21 145:14
147:25 184:22	149:1 154:4	204:4 205:15	227:17 229:6	146:25 147:23
tender 75:22,23	155:12 156:5,20	206:15,19 210:19	232:3 233:20,23	149:6,20 150:16
term 81:13 95:3	157:11 159:14,18	210:22 211:4,25	244:17 245:11	165:8,10,15,16
98:6 99:21 169:19	159:25 161:10	210:22 211:4,25	thinking 220:5	166:4,7 168:15
20.0 22.21 102.12	107.20 101.10	212.0,10 20 1.7		100.1,7 100.15
	I	l		l

r				1 age 200
169:18,19,21	184:11 187:6	168:12 232:12	111:23 166:8	170:20 182:21
170:17 172:3,7,19	196:22 205:25	transcript 33:25	222:2,4	202:6,7 219:4
176:2 178:6 181:7	206:9,19,23	249:8 250:8	trap 219:14	<b>tube</b> 56:25 220:10
181:22 186:9	200.9,19,25 207:15,18 209:20	<b>transfer</b> 87:11	traveling 74:15	<b>Tuesday</b> 25:8
190:16 192:24	212:15 214:24	107:14 121:21	treat 81:1 86:21	208:15
				· -
193:2 199:19,25	231:12 247:24	138:20,24 204:16	treated 86:6 99:12	<b>turn</b> 28:24 30:23
202:3 205:7	today's 25:19,21	transferred 122:18	99:14	31:24 40:20 75:3
206:23 209:15,17	209:1	transferring	treatment 83:1	75:20 115:2 119:1
211:17 213:21	told 93:25 213:16	221:25	treats 87:8	125:11,24 126:7
214:14 215:25	246:13	transition 145:9	<b>trial</b> 39:4	128:3 163:14
217:16 219:17	<b>tolerate</b> 47:14	transitioned 46:24	tried 48:13 66:8	171:3 184:11
220:2,4 222:12	tomorrow 28:17	transport 56:21,25	70:5 71:1	198:18 203:22
223:14 224:8	133:6	57:16 73:21 74:9	truck 41:11 50:22	207:9 229:16
226:17 227:19	ton 84:12,14	74:12 77:25 79:25	87:3 90:20 97:22	243:23,23
230:21 235:8,14	tonnage 180:3	80:21 87:5 93:11	97:24 98:2 102:23	turned 62:12
238:4 239:12	tons 44:25 58:17	97:1,22 98:16,19	102:25 119:21,21	<b>turning</b> 140:1
242:1,4 247:10	73:25 74:19 84:1	103:9,11,13 105:8	121:18 165:21	200:22
248:9	91:22 180:1	106:9,19,21	170:5 174:8 188:2	<b>two</b> 34:24 35:7
timely 70:3 241:13	top 120:14 198:11	108:18 121:16	191:18,25 192:20	44:20 52:10,10,19
241:15	topic 89:24	128:24 148:17	218:8,21,24	52:19 57:4,12
<b>times</b> 44:23 68:8	<b>topics</b> 110:24	165:24 177:1	223:20 232:13	59:12 106:8 114:2
81:4 100:1 106:16	total 28:7 116:16	220:12 221:24	trucks 96:12 97:17	118:11 169:11,12
135:24 136:1	totally 103:10	transportation	97:18 122:4,5	208:8 209:3
150:7 192:1	206:5	22:2,18 43:16	192:1	215:12,19,24
227:17,24 236:6,7	tour 57:20,20 66:20	54:4 56:11 57:21	<b>true</b> 44:18 48:2,4	229:6
timestamp 112:16	toured 66:19	57:23 61:18 62:3	66:11 76:22 79:3	two-part 116:18
112:22 113:25	tower 115:14	72:21 78:23,25	131:5 172:16,17	twofold 33:12
153:11	track 98:9	79:6 86:6 90:24	186:12 212:3	<b>type</b> 57:6 96:19
<b>tiny</b> 45:11,11	tractor 139:1	95:22 96:20 106:3	225:22 249:9	97:8 108:9 121:16
171:17,18 180:24	233:19	109:12 120:18	250:9	138:10 143:9
181:2,9,10	tractor-trailer	128:12 129:16	<b>trust</b> 47:21	144:22 175:7
tired 159:19	60:22 61:2,6,12	130:11 133:11	trusted 47:4	178:20 204:24
<b>title</b> 76:2,4 185:17	traditional 46:3	134:4 142:23	trustees 53:12	214:8 216:24
210:25 214:23	traffic 152:11	158:24 165:7	<b>try</b> 29:13,17,20	220:20
240:20,22 241:2,2	trailer 102:4,24	171:10 179:4	31:16 50:3 67:13	<b>types</b> 42:9 80:5
today 25:8 26:10	105:5,5,9,15	180:10 181:18	70:4 81:19,20	83:22,25 84:22
26:13 27:1,12,22	106:7,13,17 107:3	188:19 205:4	85:19 106:21	85:21 86:10 96:12
28:2,4,11 29:2,3	107:16 167:18	221:20	110:23 112:17,23	96:14 104:24
29:13,17,18 30:7	177:5 198:12	transported 58:8	113:24 157:4	105:2 119:17
31:10,14,17 40:7	233:19	74:13 96:11,12	176:16 187:5	typical 48:6 67:10
40:16 41:12 43:7	trailers 96:21,22,24	102:22 103:12	203:11 212:19	70:17 150:6 165:2
44:6 49:9 52:7	97:4 102:17	106:4 180:6 189:3	trying 29:8 68:21	typically 172:19,24
56:18 65:20 69:15	105:10,13 106:5,9	217:20	81:16 91:8 130:9	
71:1 73:8 77:18	107:10 123:12	transporting 53:14	130:20 132:3,5	
81:23 110:22	139:1,2 145:16	53:20 62:20 73:25	134:19 168:4	ultimately 48:13
				56:1,3 57:1 63:1
1	1	I	8	•

Pa	qe	28	4

				1 aye 204
63:16 64:18 68:24	156:16 159:7,21	<b>urge</b> 34:4 81:24	varies 87:13 89:8	violation 52:13
79:10 83:3 111:18	179:24 182:18	urged 58:23	106:15,23 107:21	53:25 54:13 85:12
223:12 224:13	196:9,21,25	use 31:15 57:6,9	112:1 155:7	158:4 229:15
<b>unable</b> 46:10	204:19 223:11,22	60:23 61:12 97:16	187:15 188:3	violations 54:25
	204.19 223.11,22 224:25	97:18 100:21	216:19 237:10	55:21 64:15 88:12
<b>unacceptable</b> 51:24				
230:18 240:10	understood 79:23	104:22 105:25	variety 44:1 188:25	VIRTUAL 22:15
<b>unavailable</b> 28:16	82:8 126:18,22	107:16 113:6	189:4,21	visibly 68:4
<b>unaware</b> 136:15	127:11,13 213:11	137:18 139:24	<b>various</b> 42:9 111:3	<b>visual</b> 228:22
<b>uncollected</b> 140:9	undertook 151:3,4	143:6 167:22	121:6 180:17	<b>voice</b> 71:1
149:11 150:5	undisputed 52:23	168:18 191:11	233:13	<b>volume</b> 22:16 44:17
151:12 152:14	53:1,21 54:3	207:3 216:20	vary 106:16 116:23	58:10 97:4 101:21
155:14 157:13	55:15	219:6,18 220:10	155:21 213:4	106:3 143:10
158:8	<b>uneven</b> 226:9	220:23 223:22	216:16 237:7,15	179:13,25
underlying 195:10	Unfortunately	<b>useless</b> 152:12	varying 199:14	volumes 53:23
undermined 73:18	112:25 208:5	<b>uses</b> 45:6 67:21	vast 116:10 131:10	volunteering 63:1
understand 36:24	<b>Union</b> 23:15	82:9 106:8 139:17	<b>vehicle</b> 103:13	
55:9 77:21 78:7	<b>unique</b> 41:22 45:19	Usually 191:17	105:18	$\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{G}}$
81:17 82:10 83:9	48:5 51:14 53:9	<b>UTC</b> 93:25 123:22	<b>vehicles</b> 53:13,18	WAC 43:9 49:12
83:14 84:15 91:7	91:2 97:11 103:2	127:17 177:25	98:4,19,22 99:8	49:15 124:12,19
93:4 94:14 99:7	105:19	178:4 179:23	99:11,13,15,17	128:12
104:17 106:13	United 41:16	180:12 181:24	123:6	wait 106:18 115:6
107:20 111:13,16	<b>units</b> 241:8	182:21 221:1	vendor 220:11	119:25 135:10
113:18 116:25	<b>unload</b> 191:18	246:12,15,16,17	verify 246:12	waiting 141:10
117:17 119:4	unnecessary 45:16	246:18,23 247:3,7	version 153:10,14	142:2
123:5 130:9,20,21	unplug 116:22	UTC's 70:20 178:2	203:18	walk 147:18
132:14 144:2,11	175:6	246:2	versions 135:3	wall 154:15 240:5
144:13 150:7	unplugged 120:2	<b>Utilities</b> 22:2,18	<b>versus</b> 25:15	<b>Wallula</b> 52:24 65:8
151:16 157:5	unrealistic 226:18	181:18	105:18 107:3	79:4 157:13,20,21
158:7 163:5	unreasonable	utility 72:24 178:24	144:22 214:8	187:17 211:2
165:23 172:8	31:22	<b>utilize</b> 96:21 102:25	vessel 80:8	219:10 236:7
178:9,23 179:3	unregulated 51:21	103:10 105:6,10	viability 73:6	237:6
187:7,9,16 189:14	0	·	<b>video</b> 71:13,16,21	want 27:19,21,22
189:20 190:9	129:1,18	V	72:4,8 156:7	29:7 31:23 33:15
193:4,8 194:15	<b>unsafe</b> 155:14	<b>v</b> 22:11 240:20,22	200:17	33:21 40:9 59:20
196:1 208:11	173:21 225:20,25	241:2,2	videoconference	61:10 77:17 80:19
212:18 221:20	unsatisfactory	vacations 208:6	22:21	89:3,5,6 92:2,21
224:7 228:2	48:16 151:17	vacuum 41:11 86:1	videoing 120:1	94:15 105:11
231:12	unsatisfied 191:5	87:2,3,4 90:18,20	view 35:25 82:24	112:24 124:1
understanding	unsupported 63:19	116:23 119:20,21	183:21 184:1	125:6 129:25
38:18 75:18 81:7	unwanted 45:16	119:23 188:2	viewed 158:9	131:22 160:4
81:21 90:14 93:2	upset 89:13,13	191:10,25 192:1	views 39:5 73:7	163:5 168:2 171:3
95:12,13,17 96:10	176:3 227:21,21	vacuuming 190:5	<b>vigorous</b> 88:20	173:13,20 176:6
114:16,18 116:13	upsets 175:5,25	vague 84:3 103:16	violate 55:5 161:1	184:16,17 189:20
120:19 123:1	235:24,25 236:2,7	129:4 159:2	violated 55:16	193:24 194:4
132:10 151:9	<b>upsetting</b> 50:9	<b>value</b> 177:10	violating 158:18	208:2 224:19
102.10 101.7		values 203:9		227:12 242:20,20

Page	285
. age	200

				Page 265
243:20	67:23 68:1,2,6,20	180:6,22 181:2,16	ways 149:5	175:18 216:6,8,12
wanted 62:8 69:16	70:17,21 72:19,20	180.0,22 181.2,10	we'll 25:20 27:19	216:13 217:15
103:10 105:25	72:22,24 73:2,4	181.24 182.10,19	31:16,22 40:15	218:6,7,10,23,23
109:18 113:24	73:16,17,18,22,24	183.2,4 184.3	86:25 167:7,13	231:24 234:2
168:8 176:21	74:1,2,3,4,5,7,13	188.10,23,23	184:22 203:2	weren't 67:17
197:5 200:24	77:24 78:1,2,2,8	189:15 191:23	209:7,8	162:14 172:2
205:18	78:10,13,15,17,22	200:13 202:13	we're 29:7,19 31:22	102.14 172.2 195:8
wants 88:15 230:6		200.13 202.13 204:17 205:21	39:4 41:1 58:7	
230:25	78:25 79:5,12,21	204:17 205:21 206:3,12 213:3,5		western 41:15
	80:1,2,4,6,7,10,12	213:12,14 214:2	59:18,19,21 61:11	wet 44:21,24,24,25
warranted 38:19	80:15,22,23 81:3		63:25 64:1,4,16	58:22 62:20 67:24
wash 62:8 87:1	81:5,8,10,13	215:22 219:1	64:18 75:20 84:20	74:14 98:1 102:4
washing 120:4	82:23,24 83:4,17	220:24 221:10,12	85:20 87:15 88:2	108:16 130:12
Washington 22:1,8	83:22,25 84:19	230:21 234:17,19	92:19 110:7 112:2	131:16,24 132:2,9
22:18,19 23:9,16	85:2,6,9,23,25	234:23 235:3,10	112:2 116:19	132:15,17,20,25
23:19,22 25:1,14	86:1,5,9,16,18	235:11 238:12,13	117:9 120:8,8,9	133:7,20 134:24
26:21,25 41:11	87:17 88:1,8,9	238:14 246:2,6,19	133:16 147:2	141:10 142:3
42:3 52:25 54:25	90:2,23 91:1,12	wastes 83:11	148:4,5,17 167:5	143:12,13,14
55:5,16 73:3,17	91:19,22 93:10,16	water 45:6 58:3,5	170:3,4 181:19	145:19 146:2
73:19 81:7 97:6	93:17,19,21 95:14	58:13,16,17,20,20	184:17 185:5	170:2 180:2
181:15 182:9	95:22,25 96:10	58:23 59:6,8	191:7 200:16	191:21,22 193:13
187:17 189:16	97:23 99:2,20,23	67:21 86:4 87:4,7	203:19 207:1,10	193:16 194:23
213:11 214:1	100:4,6,9,11,13	87:8 97:4,12,25	207:10,25 210:1	195:15,18,20
249:3,7 250:3,7	102:15 103:9,13	98:17,23 143:15	213:15 219:17	204:20 227:18,20
Washington's	103:13 106:5	146:12 193:19	225:5 228:6	227:24
72:24 73:6	107:5,7 109:6	196:3,6,9,10	243:14	wetness 60:3
wasn't 46:21 58:10	115:14,16 127:19	216:2,17 217:1,21	we've 38:23 79:19	whatsoever 38:6
59:3 62:9 68:7,9	127:21,23 128:24	219:15 222:15	104:21 109:8	68:17
107:25 147:19	129:1,15,21 130:1	237:1,4 238:2	111:10 145:2,7	wheel 96:21 97:10
196:7 202:9 215:3	130:5,10 133:14	241:12	184:13 189:2	97:12,14 104:11
221:3 222:6 226:8	133:17 134:6,12	<b>way</b> 37:14 38:9	201:11	104:18,20,21
227:14 231:7	135:1 136:13	59:7 60:7 62:25	Wednesday 208:17	105:10
wast 78:2	137:9 143:3,9	66:25 68:2 90:16	week 30:15 31:5	WHEREOF
waste 22:7 25:13	144:9 146:21	90:24 91:12 94:19	44:19 53:22,23	249:12 250:11
37:16 41:22,25	149:12,14 151:11	96:24,25 97:25	65:11 83:18	Whitaker 23:20
42:1,2,4,8,9,13,16	151:18 153:19	98:22 99:12,14,16	106:24 207:2	26:23,24 31:8
42:18,19 43:22	155:23 156:4	103:9,11 108:5,23	208:6,7,8,9	39:24 71:24 72:12
44:3,12,13 45:20	163:7,10,11,24,25	115:23 132:2,15	weekly 74:20	72:14
46:2,4,5,9,21,23	164:14,23,24	132:20 137:14,23	weeks 59:11 60:1	Whittaker 176:13
51:3,10,14 52:13	165:1,2,11 169:9	138:7 146:20	199:20,21 208:22	176:15,19 178:22
52:25 53:3,10,11	169:25 170:8	149:18 152:14,19	weigh 81:23	182:5,24 183:14
53:14,20 54:4,5	175:18 176:25	161:17 163:1	weight 39:6 57:15	183:20 184:5
54:10 56:9,12,21	177:7,12,17,21	168:9 169:8,22	143:15	205:12,13,17
59:9 61:17 62:3,6	178:3,10,24 179:1	170:8 221:19	well-documented	206:6 209:11
63:7,12 64:2,14	179:10,13,17,19	222:13 227:22	44:22	210:11 245:19,20
64:20 67:11,18,19	179:25 180:2,4,4	232:21 242:2	went 66:20 136:12	245:24 247:19
			•	•

				Tage 200
<b>wide</b> 189:4	witnesses 28:1 34:9	210:11	214:4 216:18	<b>11th</b> 32:6,9 40:4
wife 30:8	75:21 206:22	worried 231:7,9,9	218:3,7,12 219:8	<b>12</b> 128:6 139:14
wild 60:25	207:16 214:24	worry 203:15	220:4,14 222:20	149:10 150:2
Wiley 23:14 26:6	248:4	worrying 200:25	226:11,17 228:21	154:4 198:18
Wilhelm 192:7,16	wondering 88:2	worse 153:25	235:24 237:11,13	<b>12:08</b> 110:5
,	6		,	
192:18 207:20	98:9	154:18,20,21,24	237:19 238:24	<b>12th</b> 119:9
214:25	wood 45:7,7 156:4	worst 62:21 240:9	239:21 240:6,7,9	<b>13</b> 151:10
Williams 23:14	156:9	wouldn't 62:7	243:14 244:24	<b>14</b> 84:11 158:6
26:6	Woodland 22:19	94:19 104:5,6	245:4	171:8 204:13
willing 31:3 33:4,5	word 175:25	147:10,16 161:3	<b>year</b> 87:21 89:16	<b>15</b> 22:23 25:1 28:8
39:10 61:17 62:9	worded 98:13	162:18 168:2	90:2,5,16	40:22 52:8 89:9
66:1 67:13 70:5	words 180:24	191:22 225:11	years 41:9 50:15	89:15 125:23
Winchell 203:24	222:14	232:3 240:15	57:12 69:7 90:11	155:12 161:21
windows 239:1	work 31:10 47:23	wrap 30:5 165:4	90:16,19 93:25	173:20 249:9
windy 157:12,20,21	48:12 50:16 59:20	written 37:21 59:13	94:13	250:9
wish 32:10	60:15,17 66:9	59:13,25 61:4	<b>Yup</b> 160:23	<b>15-minute</b> 52:4
wishes 52:4	67:15,16,17 68:7	147:3 192:16,23		75:5
withdraw 235:1	68:21 69:20 71:2	193:2	Z	<b>150</b> 77:7
witness 28:2 31:20	86:2,19 89:8	WRRA 23:20	<b>Zoom</b> 27:4	15th 25:8 118:9
38:18 40:11,13,19	91:20 93:22 94:12	26:22 31:7 32:2		203:24
41:5 75:4,23 76:3	96:8 113:4 118:15	39:23,24 71:24	0	<b>16</b> 37:6,22 204:14
76:10,14,17,20,24	124:10,17 146:16	74:22 176:12	0001.jpeg 112:19	<b>1961</b> 72:18
77:8 88:23 89:5	146:22,22 147:3	205:11 209:11	<b>0051</b> 201:14	<b>1G</b> 43:9
92:21 110:10	147:10,10,15,19	210:11 245:17	<b>0051.jpeg</b> 153:2,15	<b>1st</b> 201:9
113:10 127:7,10	161:18 171:17		<b>0111.jpeg</b> 199:2	
129:8 135:9,12,16	172:8 178:6 181:1	X	1	2
141:15,18,21,23	188:4,6,22 189:10			<b>2</b> 43:10 91:11
149:3 156:25	189:12,23 192:13	Y	1 109:22 110:3	<b>2:00</b> 148:3
159:9 160:3	204:7 207:12,23	yard 69:10 102:4	117:21 118:8	<b>2:10</b> 147:25 148:3
161:13 164:11	208:17,18 209:10	140:11,15 150:5	<b>1-T</b> 211:7	<b>2:42</b> 167:12
166:24 176:13,14	209:23 224:24	150:20 151:2,18	<b>1:02</b> 110:5	<b>2:45</b> 167:12
178:21 182:13,16	205:25 224:24	151:23 152:11,12	<b>1:03</b> 110:7	20 89:9,15 90:11,16
182:18 183:13,18	work-product 35:1	153:23 157:14,23	<b>1:10</b> 153:16	90:19 94:13
184:12,16,24	worked 43:25	194:1 239:7	<b>10</b> 37:6,22 38:1	<b>20-yard</b> 57:9 67:11
184.12,10,24	62:13 188:9,16	<b>yards</b> 107:8	139:11,12 157:12	103:23 104:3
	workers 47:23	yeah 29:24 39:12	<b>10:45</b> 75:3,14	107:8,13 139:18
186:4,7,10,14,20 186:21 194:8		79:7 81:4 86:19	<b>10:55</b> 75:7	168:6
	working 59:22	93:20 101:16	<b>100</b> 198:16 230:4	<b>2019</b> 56:20
195:11 201:17	60:11 68:9 111:10	103:5 104:3,3	<b>101</b> 24:4	<b>2019</b> 50:20 <b>2020</b> 66:12 118:9
205:12 206:21,24	121:15 147:2	105:25 107:6	<b>10885</b> 23:8	<b>2020</b> 00.12 110.9 <b>2021</b> 47:9,17 60:9
207:3 210:21	175:4 187:20,21	108:7 112:23	<b>10th</b> 118:14	119:6,18 136:2,7
211:1 212:5	188:12,14 190:8	118:15 127:17	<b>11</b> 37:6,22 38:2	136:25 138:1,7
222:19 228:13	192:4 200:17	129:9 154:18	84:11 140:1	149:15 153:16
245:18 248:1	204:16	163:22 181:6	142:25 146:3	170:11 171:24
249:12 250:11	works 67:25 109:21	184:18 200:23	148:8 149:9	
witness's 39:5	110:1 210:6,8,10	202:25 208:16	<b>11:16</b> 75:14	187:17 214:19
		202.23 200.10		223:3,15
	-	-	-	-

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

<b>2022</b> 22:23 25:1,8	<b>33</b> 123:24	208:17 209:7,10
34:23 118:9 249:9	360-943-8859	209:24 210:1
249:13 250:9,12	23:22	248:6
<b>2023</b> 249:22 250:19	<b>3rd</b> 34:23	
<b>205</b> 23:21	· · · · ·	8
206-628-6600	4	<b>8</b> 197:10 203:23,24
23:16	<b>4</b> 77:23 79:24 84:11	211:18,24
<b>208-805-1288</b> 24:5	189:21 241:4	<b>800</b> 24:4
<b>20th</b> 126:5	<b>4:45</b> 29:7 248:15	<b>81.77</b> 72:20
<b>21</b> 146:3 192:9	<b>40</b> 58:16 196:3,6	81.77.010 53:11
199:22 201:10	238:8	<b>81.77.040</b> 43:13
<b>21036100</b> 22:24	<b>400</b> 65:10	48:19 52:13 53:2
249:17	<b>4100</b> 23:15	53:25 64:15 88:13
<b>21</b> 5:17 <b>21st</b> 60:9 208:9	<b>4160</b> 23:21	<b>83702</b> 24:5
<b>21st</b> 00.9 208.9 <b>22</b> 58:17 240:1	425-635-1400	<b>86</b> 102:3
<b>23</b> 41:9	23:10	86-cubic-yard
	<b>480-70-011</b> 43:9	106:4
<b>24</b> 65:11 101:15,15	<b>480-70-091</b> 49:12	8th 209:23,24
101:17,19,19	480-70-001 4).12 480-70-111(g)	248:7
107:18	124:12,19 128:12	240.7
<b>25</b> 35:16 106:2	124.12,19 128.12	9
148:9		<b>9</b> 119:1
<b>26</b> 113:8,19 114:13	<b>480-70-146</b> 49:15	<b>9:33</b> 25:2,9
118:9	5	<b>98004</b> 23:9
<b>26th</b> 59:11 149:15		
154:2,11	<b>5</b> 35:20 90:9 91:9	<b>98101</b> 23:16
<b>27</b> 114:19	91:11,11 119:3	<b>98503</b> 22:19 23:22
<b>28</b> 115:2	<b>5-yard</b> 97:10	<b>9th</b> 208:18
<b>28th</b> 208:9	104:11,18	
<b>2nd</b> 153:16,18	<b>5:00</b> 29:23 30:4,11	
154:10 170:11	31:1,19	
201:12,16,20,21	<b>50-foot</b> 107:3	
202:10	<b>5th</b> 119:5 208:7	
3	6	
<b>3</b> 90:8 126:7 128:3	<b>6</b> 90:8 249:22	
128:6 151:10	<b>601</b> 23:15	
155:12 171:2,7	<b>621</b> 22:19	
211:7	<b>6th</b> 119:5 208:13	
<b>3:10</b> 185:3	208:16 209:7,9	
<b>3:20</b> 184:22		
<b>3:20</b> 184.22 <b>3:21</b> 185:3,5	7	
<b>30</b> 90:4	<b>7</b> 97:10 124:9,16	
	142:25 230:15	
<b>30-yard</b> 57:9 104:4	<b>700</b> 23:9	
<b>30th</b> 249:13 250:12	<b>76</b> 153:1,4	
<b>31</b> 124:3,16	<b>7th</b> 119:6 208:14	
<b>3186</b> 22:24 250:16		
	1	1