1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
9	In the Matter of the Application of:	Docket No. TG-120033	
10 11 12	WASTE MANAGEMENT OF WASHINGTON, INC. D/B/A WM HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS OF WASHINGTON	WASTE MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE	
12	For an Extension of Certificate G-237 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity	PETITIONS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW	
14 15	to Operate Motor Vehicles in Furnishing Solid Waste Collection Service		
16	1. The Applicant Waste Management	of Washington, Inc. ("Waste Management")	

agrees that Mr. Van Kirk's request for an extension of time to take care of his family during the 18 last month of his wife's pregnancy is reasonable and appropriate. As Mr. Van Kirk stated, Waste 19 Management has agreed to an extension of at least one week and will readily agree to an additional 20 extension if his family situation warrants more time beyond a one-week continuance. Waste Management does not, however, agree that the other bases offered by Protestants warrant any 22 further delay beyond the extension discussed above, and therefore Waste Management objects to 23 Protestants' request.

24 2. Waste Management filed its application for authority to provide statewide regulated 25 biomedical waste services on December 29, 2011. Over a year of unusually intensive 26 administrative litigation followed. Additional delay will interfere with Waste Management's 27 ability to provide the competitive service which the Presiding Officer has ruled to be in the public 28 interest.

WASTE MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – Page 1

17

21

3. Protestants justify their request for an <u>additional</u> two-week continuance – until March 28, 2013 – based on the vacation plans of Stericycle's other attorney Steve Johnson, and based on the WRRA Protestants' plan to bring on a second attorney in addition to their seasoned attorney James Sells. Neither of these reasons warrants allowing any further delay in resolving this long-standing application.

4. Mr. Johnson's vacation is scheduled to begin on March 9, three days after the current March 6 filing deadline, and still more than a week away. Although Stericycle contends that this vacation will make Mr. Johnson unavailable to review and revise Mr. Van Kirk's draft petition for administrative review, Stericycle does not suggest that Mr. Johnson has been unavailable this week or is unavailable **now** to prepare, or at least help prepare, the petition himself. Of course, Mr. Johnson is a very experienced UTC lawyer and has been involved in every step of this Application proceeding, including every minute of the hearing. He is more than qualified to prepare Stericycle's petition without assistance from anyone else. Moreover, the lengthy post-trial briefs Stericycle submitted last month dealt in significant depth with the issue of whether the public's desire for competition justified the Commission's serving that public interest. Mr. Johnson has, in fact, "actively participated in preparing every brief filed in this application proceeding." Van Kirk Decl. ¶ 7. Stericycle and Mr. Johnson are exceptionally well-versed and familiar with the issue Stericycle will address in its petition for administrative review.

5. Alternatively, Mr. Van Kirk himself is fully capable of preparing and filing the petition for administrative review. He was "the attorney for Stericycle who was primarily responsible for representing Stericycle at the hearing on this matter and for drafting Stericycle's post-hearing brief," which addressed the same issues which will be raised in the administrative appeal. Joint Mot. ¶ 7. Once Mr. Van Kirk returns from taking care of his family matters, he should have ample time to prepare Stericycle's petition. A further two-week delay to allow for Mr. Johnson's final review is unreasonable, unnecessary and unwarranted.

6. The WRRA Protestants' request also is unreasonable. The WRRA Protestants are not substituting counsel. They are merely adding counsel to their team which does not warrant any additional delay. Mr. Sells is a highly-experienced UTC lawyer who has been involved in nearly

WASTE MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – Page 2

every regulated biomedical waste proceeding before the Commission. No reason is offered as to why he is incapable of timely preparing a petition for administrative review on an issue which he briefed in detail last month in the WRRA Protestants' post-hearing briefs. Moreover, the hearing record in this matter is not voluminous and is capable of being read by his new co-counsel in less than a day. Finally, it is worth noting that the WRRA Protestants requested an extension until March 18, 2013 to bring their new co-counsel up to speed. Joint Mot. ¶ 4. Waste Management's agreement to continue the filing deadline until March 13 should be more than enough time to accomplish that goal.

7. Waste Management requests that the Commission allow Protestants one additional week, until March 13, 2013, to complete their petitions for administrative review. If Mr. Van Kirk's family situation continues past March 6, 2013, Waste Management suggests that he notify the Commission and the parties. Under those circumstances, Waste Management would agree to a further extension.

DATED this 28th day of February, 2013.

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

By

Polly L. McNeill, WSBA #17437 Jessica L. Goldman, WSBA #21856 pollym@summitlaw.com jessicag@summitlaw.com

Attorneys for Waste Management of Washington, Inc.

WASTE MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - Page 3

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC 315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

1

2

3

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I have this day served this document upon all parties of record in this		
3	proceeding, by the method indicated below, pursuant to WAC 480-07-150.		
4	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW	☐ Via Legal Messenger □ Via Facsimile	
5	PO Box 47250	☑ Via Federal Express	
6	Olympia, WA 98504-7250 360-664-1160	🗹 Via Email	
7	records@utc.wa.gov		
8	Gregory J. Kopta Administrative Law Judge	□ Via Legal Messenger □ Via Facsimile	
9	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission gkopta@utc.wa.gov	□ Via U.S. Mail ☑ Via Email	
10	Steven W. Smith	□ Via Legal Messenger	
11	Assistant Attorney General PO Box 40128	□ Via Facsimile □ Via U.S. Mail	
12	Olympia, WA 98504 (360) 664-1225	🗹 Via Email	
13	ssmith@utc.wa.gov		
14	kgross@utc.wa.gov Stephen B. Johnson	□ Via Legal Messenger	
15	Jared Van Kirk Garvey Schubert Barer	□ Via Facsimile □ Via U.S. Mail	
16	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 1800	☑ Via Email	
17	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 464-3939		
18	sjohnson@gsblaw.com jvankirk@gsblaw.com		
19	vowen@gsblaw.com		
20	dbarrientes@gsblaw.com Attorneys for Stericycle of Washington, Inc.		
21	James K. Sells 3110 Judson Street	□ Via Legal Messenger □ Via Facsimile	
22	Gig Harbor, WA 98335	□ Via U.S. Mail ☑ Via Email	
23	(360) 981-0168 jamessells@comcast.net		
24	cheryls@rsulaw.com Attorney for Protestant WRRA, Rubatino, Consolidated,		
25	Murrey's, and Pullman		
26	DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 28th day of February, 2013.		
27	Q. LA		
28	Deanna L. S	Schow	
	WASTE MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – Page 1	SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC 315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTILE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001	

£