Service Date: October 7, 2026

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant, v. PUGET SOUND ENERGY, Respondent.	DOCKETS UE-260005 & UG-260006 (*Consolidated*) ORDER 08 UE-260113 ORDER 06
In the Matter of the Petition of PUGET SOUND ENERGY For an Accounting Order Authorizing the Deferred Accounting Treatment for FERC Interest Associated with Mandatory Transmission Network Upgrades	GRANTING MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE REVISED TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

BACKGROUND

1 On February 27, 2026, Puget Sound Energy (PSE or Company) filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) revisions in Docket UE-260005 to its currently effective electric service tariff, Tariff WN U-60 Tariff G, and in Docket UG-260006 to its natural gas service tariff, Tariff WN U-2. The purpose of these filings is to increase rates and charges for electric and natural gas service provided to customers in the state of Washington. In this filing, PSE proposes a Three-Year Rate Plan, which would begin with new base rates effective in 2027 (Rate Year 1), 2028 (Rate Year 2), and 2029 (Rate Year 3).

2 On July 28, 2026, both Commission staff (Staff) and the Public Counsel Unit of the Washington Attorney General's Office (Public Counsel) filed response testimony in this matter.

3 On August 26, 2026, Public Counsel filed a Motion to File Revised Testimony Under WAC 480-07-460 (Public Counsel's Motion). Public Counsel requests that the Commission grant leave to file revisions to witness Rothschild's response testimony filed on July 28, 2026. Public Counsel argues that no party will be prejudiced by its proposed revisions because they do not impact the witness's analysis or conclusion and are being provided 20 days in advance of the deadline for filing rebuttal and cross-answering testimony.[1]

4 On September 3, 2026, Staff filed a Motion for Leave to Revise Testimony and Exhibits (Staff's Motion). Staff requests that the Commission grant leave to file revisions to the response testimony and exhibits of five witnesses, Sorrells, Halliday, Erdahl, Johnson, and Lien. Staff explains that, after filing response testimony, it became aware of calculation errors regarding the revenue requirement and various adjustments, which further impact Staff's cost of service, rate spread, and rate design recommendations, as well as other errors that do not impact the revenue requirement. Staff states that it made several corrections to witness Sorrells' testimony, which in turn required updates to witnesses Johnson and Lien's testimonies based on the changes to Staff's recommended revenue requirement.[2] Staff also notes that it corrected citations to and references in witness Halliday's testimony and exhibits and made three revisions to witness Erdahl's exhibits concerning the inclusion and categorization of various accounts.[3] Staff asserts that its proposed revisions are in the public interest because they reflect Staff's actual analysis and recommendations, establish a clear record for the Commission's review, and are not the result of a changed position or policy relative to Staff's original filings.[4] Staff further contends that it filed its proposed revisions as soon as practicable after discovering the need to do so.[5]

5 No party filed a response to either Public Counsel's Motion or Staff's Motion.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

Motion for Leave to Revise

6 WAC 480-07-460(1)(a)(i) requires a party to seek leave from the presiding officer by written motion in order to file revised pre-filed testimony or exhibits that include

[1] Public Counsel's Motion at 1 ¶ 2.

[2] Staff's Motion at 2-3 ¶¶ 4-7.

[3] Staff's Motion at 4 ¶¶ 8-9.

[4] Staff's Motion at 4-5 ¶ 10.

[5] Staff's Motion at 4-5 ¶ 10.

substantive changes. The party seeking leave to file substantive revisions must file the motion to make substantive changes as soon as practicable upon discovering the need to make those changes.[6] The Commission will grant a motion to revise testimony upon a showing a good cause, including whether the revisions will assist in the development of a complete record, and considering the prejudice to other parties.

7 The Commission finds that Public Counsel has demonstrated good cause to allow its requested revisions. The proposed revisions do not change Public Counsel's theory of the case, and no party has opposed the revisions, suggesting that the revisions will not result in prejudice. The Commission also finds that Public Counsel's proposed revisions will present a clearer record for the Commission's review in this matter. Therefore, the Commission should grant Public Counsel's Motion.

8 The Commission further finds that Staff has demonstrated good cause to allow its requested revisions. As with Public Counsel, the proposed revisions do not change Staff's theory of the case, and no party has objected to the revisions, indicating that the revisions will not result in prejudice. The Commission also agrees that Staff's proposed revisions will present a clearer record for the Commission's review in this case. Therefore, the Commission should grant Staff's Motion.

ORDER

9 (1) The Commission grants Public Counsel Unit of the Washington Attorney General's Office's Motion to File Revised Testimony Under WAC 480-07-460.

10 (2) The Commission grants Commission staff's Motion for Leave to Revise Testimony and Exhibits.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, October 7, 2026.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

/s/ *Harry Fukano*
HARRY FUKANO
Administrative Law Judge

/s/ *Bijan Hughes*
BIJAN HUGHES
Administrative Law Judge

[6] WAC 480-07-460(1)(b).

NOTICE TO PARTIES: This is an Interlocutory Order of the Commission. Administrative review may be available through a petition for review, filed within 10 days of the service of this Order pursuant to WAC 480-07-810.