



PO Box 21987 Albuquerque, NM 87154 1-888-678-5447

www.againc.net

Date: September 18, 2019

To: Rob Ede

Hahn and Associates Inc.

From: Jeanne Peterson

Project Manager, AQA

**Subject**: Data Validation

Gasco Mult 802 Decommissioning

Apex Laboratories, LLC Work Order A9E0902

#### **SUMMARY**

Level II (i.e., EPA Stage 2A) data validation was performed on the data for one solid sample prepared and analyzed using approved procedures for methods SW846 8260C (VOCs), SW846 8270D SIM (PAHs), NWTPH-Gx (gasoline range organics [GRO]), NWTPH-Dx (diesel and oil), SW846 6020A (metals by ICPMS), and D7511-12 (total cyanide). Data were reported for all requested analytes.

The analytical data were evaluated in accordance with the *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review* (October 1999) and the *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review* (February 1994) (NFG, collectively), and the applicable methods.

In general, the data are valid as reported. No data were rejected. Other qualifiers were applied to the data as specified in the Data Qualifiers section below.

See attached data validation spreadsheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation.



### **SAMPLES**

The sample included in this validation is listed below.

Sample ID	APEX Sample ID	Analysis	Matrix
2708-190524-014	A9E0902-01	VOCs, PAHs, GRO, DRO, Total Metals, Total CN	Solid

# **DATA QUALIFIERS** (see following sections for detailed explanations)

Sample ID	Method	Analyte	Qualifier	Qualifier Code	Reason for Qualification
	8260C	Trichlorofluoromethane	UJ	10	Low laboratory control sample recovery
		Barium	J	8, 9	Low matrix spike recovery and poor duplicate precision
2708-190524-014	6020A	Aluminum Arsenic Beryllium Cadmium Iron Nickel Vanadium Zinc	J	9	Poor duplicate precision

### **DISCUSSION**

# Sample Shipping/Receiving

All COC, analysis request, and sample receipt documentation was complete and correct with the following exception.

The sample receipt section of the COCs was not completed; the information was documented on the Cooler Receipt Form.



The sample collection time was listed as 12:50 on the COC but was 11:20 on the sample containers.

Extra analyses were requested by email dated 05/30/2019.

### **Holding Times and Preservation**

The sample was properly preserved and analyzed within the prescribed holding times.

### **Blanks**

No target analytes were detected in the method blanks. Field blanks were not collected with the sample in this work order.

### **Surrogates**

All surrogate recoveries were within laboratory QC acceptance criteria with the following exceptions.

#### Method 8270D SIM

The surrogates were diluted out of samples 2708-190524-014 (100X) and 2708-190524-014 (1000X). No sample results were qualified.

#### Method NWTPH-Dx

The surrogate was diluted out of sample 2708-190524-014 (50X). No sample results were qualified.

### <u>Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)</u>

The LCS/LCSD analyses met laboratory QC acceptance criteria with the following exception.

#### Method 8260C

The LCS recovery was < the lower acceptance limit but  $\geq 30\%$  for trichlorofluoromethane. The associated sample result was a non-detect and, therefore, was **qualified UJ**.

### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

The MS/MSD analyses met laboratory QC acceptance criteria with the following exceptions.





#### Method 8260C

It should be noted that the MS analysis was performed on a non-project sample.

#### Methods 8270D SIM, NWTPH-Gx, and NWTPH-Dx

An MS analysis was not performed with the sample in this work order; therefore, matrix-specific accuracy data were not available.

#### Method 6020A

The MS recovery was < the lower acceptance limit but  $\geq 10\%$  for barium. The associated sample result was a detect and, therefore, was **qualified J**.

The MS recoveries were outside of the acceptance limits for iron and manganese. The parent sample concentration was >4X the spike amount; therefore, no sample results were qualified based on professional judgment.

### **Laboratory Duplicate**

The laboratory duplicate analyses (LCS/LCSD, MS/MSD, and/or sample/duplicate) were within laboratory QC acceptance criteria with the following exceptions.

#### Methods 8260C and NWTPH-Gx

It should be noted that the laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on a non-project sample.

#### Method 6020A

The duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPDs) and/or absolute differences were >the acceptance limit for aluminum, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, iron, nickel, vanadium, and zinc. The associated sample results were detects and therefore, were **qualified J**.

#### **Field Duplicate**

A field duplicate was not collected with the sample in this data package.

#### **Reporting Limits**

All reporting limits (RLs) were properly reported. Sample 2708-190524-014 was diluted 10000X for VOCs and GRO, 1000X for fluoranthene, naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene and 100X for all remaining PAH target analytes, 50X for DRO, and 10X for total metals and total cyanide. Reporting limits were adjusted accordingly.





## Other QC

#### Method 8270D SIM

The laboratory noted that peak separation of structural isomers was insufficient for accurate quantification of benzo(b)fluoranthene and benzo(k)fluoranthene for sample 2708-190524-014. Because this could not be verified with a Level II data package, the sample results were not qualified by the validator; however, the end user of the results should be aware that the results were considered to be estimated.

#### Method NWTPH-Dx

The laboratory noted that no fuel pattern was detected for sample 2708-190524-014. The diesel result represents carbon range C12 to C24, and the oil result represents >C24 to C40. Because this could not be verified with a Level II data package, the sample results were not qualified by the validator; however, the end user of the results should be aware that the results were considered to be estimated.

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.

# Hahn Data Validation Summary Worksheet

SDG#: A9E0902	Laboratory	Apex	Validator: Jeanne Peterson	Validation Date: 08/28/2019					
Site: Mult 802 Decommissioning	COC#: 1			Validation Level: 🛛 II 🔲 III					
Matrix: Solid	# of Sample	es: 1	Tracking docs present: See sample	receipt and log-in documentation					
COCs present: Yes	COCs signe	ed: Yes	COCs dated: Yes	Sample Container Integrity: OK					
Analyses:  VOCs SVOCs P.  Other: VPH/EPH	AHs 🛛 GRO 🖾 DRO	Pests PC	CBs Metals Gen Chem	☑ Cyanide					
Requested Analyses Not Reported									
		Requested Ana	lyses Not Reported						
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Requested Anal	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
Client Sample ID None	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
•	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
•	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
•	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
•	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					
•	Lab Sample ID	1 -	lyses Not Reported	Comments					

	Hold Time/Preservation Outliers							
Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Analysis	Pres.	Collection Date	Preparation Date	Analysis Date	Analysis <2X HT	Analysis ≥2X HT
None								

Comments: Samples collected 05/24/2019;

Temp and containers not completed on COC; documented on Cooler Receipt Form.

Sample collection time 12:50 on COC but 11:20 on sample containers.

Extra analyses were requested by email dated 05/30/2019.

# Hahn Level III GCMS Worksheet

Method: 826	50C	Matrix:	Solid		Lab Sam	ple ID: A	A9E090	02-01						
3														
il	TIC	Cs Required?	☐ Yes [	No No				(lab	limits)		(lab lin	nits)		
		Calib	ration			- T.V.	(1037)				N/C/	TAD		
	<b>RF</b> ≥0.05	RSD/r <sup>2</sup> ≤30% ≥0.990	ICV <sup>1</sup> %D ±25%	%D	Blai	Not Me	ethod	LCS %R	MS %R	MSD %R	MS/ MSD RPD	DUP	TB	
					✓	]	NA	76	✓	NA	NA	✓	NA	
						$\rightarrow$					+			
													$\Rightarrow$	
			Surrogate	e Reco	very Outli	iers (meth	od/lab	limits)						
DBFM		1,4-DCB	Tol-d8		4-BFB	Sa	mple I	D	DBFM	ſ	1,4-DCB	I	ol-d8	4-BFB
1														
		IS Outliers			es (-50% to	+100%	of CCV	)						
Area	RT	Area					_		RT		Area	RT	Area	RT
. 11 011	11.1	71100		7 %					111				21100	101
	3 il	RF ≥0.05	TICs Required?    Calib   RF   ≥0.05   ≤30%   ≥0.990     DBFM   1,4-DCB	TICs Required?	TICs Required?	TICs Required? ☐ Yes ☒ No    Calibration	TICs Required? ☐ Yes ☒ No    Calibration	TICs Required?	TICs Required?	TICs Required? ☐ Yes ☒ No	TICs Required?	TICs Required?   Yes   No	TICs Required?   Yes   No	TICs Required?

Comments: HTs OK.

MB, LCS,  $\,$  -01, unknown Dup1, unknown MS

Sample -01 diluted 10000X

# Hahn Level III GCMS Worksheet

SDG: A9E0902	Method: 8	nod: 8270D SIM Matrix: Solid				I	Lab Sampl	e IDs:	A9E0	902-01							
Seq/Batch #s:/905146	5		•			,											
Tuning: Pass Fai	il	Т	ICs Req	uired?	Yes 🛚	No			(lab lin	nits)		Лo	ab limits)				
			Cali	bration			5X				LCS/				Lab		
Analyte (outliers)		<b>RF</b> ≥0.05	RSD/r <sup>2</sup> ≤30%	ICV %D ±25%	CCV %D ±25%	Method Blank	/		CS 6R	LCSD %R	D RPD	MS %R	MSD %R	MS/D RPD	Dup RPD		
None										NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
					Surroga	ite Reco	very Outli	iers (lai	b limits	•)							
Sample ID	Nitrobenz	zene-dá	5	2-Fluoro			Phenol-de			Terphenyl-	d14	2-Flu	uorophen	ol	2,4,6-Tr	ibromop	henol
-01 100X	N.				DO		NA			[121] DO	)		NA			NA	
-01 1000X		NA DO				NA			DO			NA			NA		
Dup 1000X	N.	A DO				NA			DO			NA			NA		
		IS Outlie															
Sample ID	Acen-d1	.0	RT	Chry-d12	RT	Pe	er-d12	RT	'	Dibenz-d1	14 F	RT	Area	RT	`	Area	RT
NA																	

Comments: HTs OK. DO = Diluted out

MB, LCS, -01, A9E0902-01 Dup

 $Sample \ -01 \ diluted \ 1000X \ for \ fluoranthene, \ naphthalene, \ phenanthrene, \ and \ pyrene \ and \ 100X \ for \ all \ other \ target \ analytes.$ 

Sample -01: The benzo(b)fluoranthene and benzo(k)fluoranthene results are estimated; peak separation for structural isomers is insufficient for accurate quantification.

# Hahn Level III NWTPH-GX Worksheet

SDG: A9E0902		Matri	x: Solid	1	Lab Sample IDs: A9E0902-01													
Seq./Batch #s:/9	9051463																	
Tuning: Pass	☐ Fa	il									(	lab limits	s) (lab li	imits)				
				(	Calibra	ation											7.1	
Analy (outlier			≥0	r <sup>2</sup> .990 20%	%	ICV/CCV %D ±20% RT Windows		vs	Method Blank	I	5X Blank	LCS %R	MS %R		SD oR	MS/D RPD	Lab Dup1 RPD	
													NA	N.	A	NA	\	
							Surrogat	e Ou	tliers (50	-150	%)							
Sample ID		Surr	ogate	%R		Sam	iple ID		Surroga	te	%R		Sample	ID		Surrog	ate	%R
None																		
				IS Outliers (														_
Area	RT		Area	R	Т	Ar	ea	RT	Γ	Ar	ea	RT	Area	ı	RT		Area	RT
NA													+					

Comments: HT OK

MB, LCS, -01, unknown Dup Sample -01 diluted 10000X

# Hahn Level III NWTPH-DX Worksheet

SDG: A9E0902	Matrix: Solid	Lab Sample IDs: A9E0902-01
Seq./Batch #s:/9051469	9	

(lab limits) (lab limits)

		Calibration				I CC/					
Analyte (outliers)	r <sup>2</sup> ≥0.990 ±20%	ICV/CCV %D ±15%	RT Windows	Method Blank	5X Blank	LCS/ LCSD %R	MS %R	MSD %R	MS/D RPD	LCSD %R	LAB RPD
None							NA	NA	NA	NA	

# Surrogate Outliers (50-150%)

Sample ID	Surrogate	%R	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R
-01 (50X)	o-Terphenyl	DO						
Dup (50X)	o-Terphenyl	DO						

Comments: HTs OK. DO = Diluted out

MB, LCS, -01, A9E0902-01 Dup

Sample -01 diluted 50X

Sample -01: F17 No fuel pattern was detected. The diesel result represents carbon range C12 to C24, and the oil result represents >C24 to C40.

Revised 9/2010

# **Hahn Level III Metals Worksheet**

SDG: A9E090	2			Matrix: Solid				La	b Sample	IDs: A9	E0902-	01						
Method: 6020	lΑ		Seq/I	Batch #:	/9051	429		<b>'</b>										
ICPMS Mass Cal	l: 🔲 P	ass [	] Fail 🛚	NA IC	PMS %	RSD:	Pass [	☐ Fail 🗵	NA		(80-	-120%)		(75-125%	6)			
		(	90-110%)	Cali	bration				ICS		10X		Dup			MS/		Ser.
Analyte (outliers)	r	ICV	CCV <sup>1</sup>	CRI	ICB	CCB ug/L	5X CCB	ICS A <idl<sup>1</idl<sup>	AB %R <sup>1</sup> ±20%	MB ug/L	MB ug/L	LCS %R	RPD ≤40%	MS %R	MSD %R	MSD RPD ≤40%	PS %R	Dil. %D ≤10%
Al										✓	NA	✓	42	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
As										✓	NA	✓	^	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ba										✓	NA	✓	60	63	NA	NA	NA	NA
Be										✓	NA	✓	60^	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Cd										✓	NA	✓	60^	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Cr										✓	NA	✓	53#	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fe										✓	NA	✓	68	-455*	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ni										<b>✓</b>	NA	<b>✓</b>	95^	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
V										✓	NA	✓	48	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Zn										<b>✓</b>	NA	<b>✓</b>	42	✓	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mn										✓	NA	✓	✓	63*	NA	NA	NA	NA
I	S Outli	iers	(Sample:	s 60-125%	6; CCV/C	CCV/CCB 80-120%)					IS Outli	iers	(Samples	60-125%; C	CCV/CCB	80-120%)		
Sample ID	Li6 %	6R	Sc45 %R	Ge74	4 %R	Rh103 %	6R T	Г <b>b1</b> 59 %R	CCV/C	CB ID	Li6 %	6R S	c45 %R	Ge74 %	R R	h103 %R	Tb1	59 %R
NA									NA									

Comments: HTs OK.

MB, LCS, -01, A9E0902-01 Dup, A9E0902-01 MS

Sample -01 diluted 10X

<sup>\*</sup>Parent sample conc >4X spike amount

<sup>#</sup>Parent and/or dup sample conc <5\*RL and abs diff <RL; OK

<sup>^</sup>Parent and/or dup sample conc <5\*RL and abs diff >RL; qualify

# Hahn Level III Cyanide Worksheet

SDGs: A9E0902	Matrix: Solid	Lab Sample IDs: A9E0902-01
Method/Seq/Batch #s: D7511-12 (Total CN)//9051383		

									(	80-120%)	(≤20%)	(75-1	<i>(≤47%)</i>			
Analyte (outliers)	(85-115%)			Calibration						LCS/	LCCD	MC	MCD	MS/	Door	
	<b>r</b> ≥0.995	ICV	CCV	Dist. ICV	ICB (ug/L)	CCB (ug/L)	5X CB (mg/L)	MB	5X MB	D %R	LCSD RPD	MS %R	MSD %R	MSD RPD	Dup RPD	
None											NA				NA	
																<u> </u>
																<u> </u>

Comments: HTs OK.

Tot CN: MB, LCS1, -01, A9E0902-01 MS/MSD

\*Parent sample conc >4X spike amount Sample -01 diluted 10X for total CN

Revised 9/2010