## VIA E-MAIL

Date Received: July 20, 1999

Docket No.: UE-990473

Company: Regulatory Review of Rules Relating to Electric Companies

## Distribution:

Chairwoman Showalter

Commissioner Gillis

Commissioner Hemstad

Marjorie Schaer, ALJ

Terry Stapleton, ALJ

Penny Hansen

Deborah Stephens

Jeffrey Showman

Dixie Linnenbrink

Sharyn Bate

Ken Elgin

David Dittemore

Doug Kilpatrick

Mert Lott

Mark Anderson

Thomas Schooley

Ken Hua

Roland Martin

Alan Buckley

Hank McIntosh

Graciela Etchart

Bob Cedarbaum

Vicki Elliott

Pam Smith

Tani Thurston

Mike Sommerville (RFPR: Evan Sheffels, Public Counsel)

For Records Center Use Only

Fax	/
RMS	//
FOB	V
PR	
MSL	ACTION OF
NOH	
Disk	
Fax on Line	1
Initial_	

## Mike Sommerville07/21/9901:27:32 PM

## RECEIVED ELECTRONICALLY 7-20-99 HARD CUPIES RECEIVED

Penny Hansen 07/20/99 04:27 PM

To: Penny Hansen/WUTC@WUTC

cc: Graciela Etchart/WUTC@WUTC, Jim Russell/WUTC@WUTC, Kathy Hunter/WUTC@WUTC,

Kippi Walker/WUTC@WUTC, Lisa Lloyd/WUTC@WUTC, Mike Sommerville/WUTC@WUTC,

Michele Hoff/WUTC@WUTC

Subject: Re: Received comments on proposed Gas/Elec customer notice rules.

Also, Bruce thought that the commission was going to impose a 60 day notice requirement - I explained that we do not have the legislative mandate for that but the company's obligation is 30 days prior. This is the second time he thought this - once I explained Bruce understood. Sorry - forgot this portion.

Penny Hansen



To: Graciela Etchart/WUTC@WUTC, Jim Russell/WUTC@WUTC

cc: Kathy Hunter/WUTC@WUTC, Kippi Walker/WUTC@WUTC, Lisa Lloyd/WUTC@WUTC, Mike

Sommerville/WUTC@WUTC, Michele Hoff/WUTC@WUTC

Subject: Received comments on proposed Gas/Elec customer notice rules.

Please add to the comment formal record. Thanks ph

Today I talked with Bruce Folsom of Avista. He had some clarifying questions about the intent of subsection 3 for prior customer notice for both gas/elec. proposed rules - I explained the intent and he was fine with the explanation. He also wanted to see if under subsection 5 a newsletter would work - as we discussed this I indicated that only if there is a bill message pointing customers to the important notice issues within the newsletter.

Also, under notice after commission action - he didn't understand staffs intent - the intent is to apply the newly approved price on the same bill along with a bill message explaining the increase in price.....

They are working on the informal comments and will file something with the commission prior to July 28. He asked for procedural update. I referred to Jim or Graciela.

That's all. thanks ph

99 JUL 20 PM 2: 23
STATE OF WASH.
UTIL. AND TRANSP.