# Deposition of Docket No. TR-210814 - Vol. I

# City of Spokane Valley v. Union Pacific Railroad Company

January 13, 2022



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com

Certified
WBENG
Women's Business Entertrise

```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                            Page 3
                 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
                                                                               1
                                                                                              Remote Via Zoom; January 13, 2022
            UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
                                                                               2
                                                                                                     1:32 PM
                                                                               3
                                                                                                     --000--
       CITY OF SPOKANE VALLEY.
                                                                               4
                                                                                                 PROCEEDINGS
            Complainant,
                                                                               5
                                                                               6
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Let's be on the record in Dockets
                            DOCKET TR-210814
       VS.
                                                                               7
                                                                                      TR-210809 and TR-210814. Docket TR-210809 concerns a
       UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, )
                                                                               8
                                                                                      petition filed by the City of Spokane Valley to modify
            Respondent.
                                                                               9
                                                                                      warning devices at a highway-railroad grade crossing located
                                                                              10
                                                                                      at Barker Road, and Docket TR-210814 is a formal complaint
            VIRTUAL PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I
                                                                              11
                                                                                      filed by the City of Spokane Valley against Union Pacific
                                                                              12
                                                                                      Railroad.
                   PAGES 1-12
                                                                              13
                                                                                               Today is Thursday, January 13th, 2022, at
             ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON
                                                                              14
                                                                                      approximately 1:30 p.m. And we're here for a prehearing
                                                                              15
                                                                                      conference to discuss scheduling and other procedural
                  January 13, 2022
                                                                              16
                                                                                      issues, including Staff's motion to consolidate the two
                    1:32 PM
         Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
                                                                              17
                                                                                      dockets, and Union Pacific Railroad's motion to dismiss the
              621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast
                                                                              18
                                                                                      complaint and deny the petition on various grounds.
                Lacey, Washington 98503
                                                                              19
                                                                                               My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
                                                                              20
                                                                                      administrative law judge presiding over this case.
      REPORTED BY: April D. Biedermann, RSR, CCR No. 21028823
                                                                              21
                                                                                               So let's start by taking short appearances
       Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
                                                                                      beginning with Staff.
                                                                              22
       1325 - 4th Avenue, Suite 1840
       Seattle, Washington 98101
                                                                              23
                                                                                           MR. ROBERSON: Good afternoon, Judge Pearson. My
       (206) 287-9066 | Seattle
(360) 534-9066 | Olympia
                                                                              24
                                                                                     name is Jeff Roberson, R-O-B-E-R-S-O-N. I'm an Assistant
       (800) 846-6989 | National
                                                                              25
                                                                                      Attorney General representing Staff, and my contact
       www.buellrealtime.com
                                                              Page 2
                                                                                                                                            Page 4
              APPEARANCES
                                                                               1
                                                                                      information is on file.
 2
                                                                               2
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Great, thank you. And for the
      ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
 3
                                                                               3
                                                                                      City of Spokane Valley?
               RAYNE PEARSON
                                                                               4
                                                                                           MS. FOSTER: Good afternoon, Judge Pearson. Aziza
      FOR UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY:
                                                                               5
                                                                                      Foster, A-Z-I-Z-A, F-O-S-T-E-R, of Menke Jackson Beyer on
 6
               RACHEL T. REYNOLDS
               Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
                                                                               6
                                                                                      behalf of the City of Spokane Valley.
 7
               1111 3rd Avenue
                                                                               7
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Great, thank you. It sounds like
               Suite 2700
 8
               Seattle, Washington 98101-3224
                                                                               8
                                                                                     there was someone else on the line who's appearing for the
               (206) 436-2020
               rachel.reynolds@lewisbrisbois.com
                                                                               9
10
                                                                              10
                                                                                           MR. PLANT: Hi, Judge Pearson. This is Quinn
      FOR THE CITY OF SPOKANE VALLEY:
11
                                                                              11
                                                                                      Plant, Q-U-I-N-N.
               AZIZA FOSTER
                                                                              12
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Great. Okay, thank you.
12
               QUINN PLANT
               Menke Jackson Beyer, LLP
                                                                              13
                                                                                               Anyone else?
               807 North 39th Avenue
13
               Yakima, Washington 98902-6389
                                                                              14
                                                                                           MS. REYNOLDS: Not for the City, Your Honor. For
14
               (509) 575-0313
                                                                              15
                                                                                      the Railroad.
               zfoster@mibe.com
               gplant@mjbe.com
                                                                              16
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, yes. For the Railroad,
16
                                                                              17
                                                                                      please go ahead.
      FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
17
                                                                              18
                                                                                           MS. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. My name is Rachel
               JEFFREY ROBERSON
18
               Office of the Attorney General
                                                                              19
                                                                                      Reynolds, R-A-C-H-E-L, R-E-Y-N-O-L-D-S, with the law firm of
               PO Box 40128
                                                                              20
                                                                                      Lewis Brisbois representing Union Pacific Railroad today.
19
               Olympia, Washington 98504-0128
               (360) 664-1188
                                                                              21
                                                                                           JUDGE PEARSON: Great. Thank you. And is there
2.0
               jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov
                                                                              22
                                                                                      anyone else on the call who wishes to enter an appearance or
21
                * * * * *
                                                                              23
                                                                                      who is seeking to intervene in this proceeding? Okay.
22
                                                                              24
                                                                                      Hearing nothing.
2.3
24
                                                                              25
                                                                                               Let's first turn to Staff's motion to
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 7

Page 8

#### Page 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consolidate. Both the City and the Railroad filed responses joining with Staff's motion. So that motion is granted.

And I'll now turn to my ruling on Union Pacific Railroad's motion to dismiss the complaint and deny the petition.

I am denying both the motion to dismiss the complaint and the request to deny the petition, and I will briefly explain my reasoning. But my full decision will be set out in the prehearing conference order.

First, Staff and the City are correct that the Commission does not have the authority to determine whether the laws it administers are constitutional, which disposes of the Railroad's --

(Reporter clarification.)

JUDGE PEARSON: -- which disposes of Union Pacific's argument that the complaint seeks relief that violates the commerce clause, and that requiring Union Pacific to maintain the proposed crossing devices is arbitrary or unreasonable.

Staff also correctly observes that the ICCTA does not preempt RCW 81.53.295. With respect to the standard the Commission uses to evaluate a motion to dismiss, the City is correct that the Commission must accept the allegations set forth in the complaint as true. Because the City has alleged that the project at issue will be

Judge. We have not discussed scheduling today except for a substantive hearing on the motion, so I would appreciate that on behalf of the Railroad.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I have a small issue. Let's go ahead and be in recess. We can go off the record.

(Off the record from 1:38 to 2:02 p.m.)

JUDGE PEARSON: Let's be back on the record following a brief recess. And during the break, the parties talked about a procedure schedule.

Were you all able to reach an agreement? MR. ROBERSON: I think we're looking at a hearing date in the second week of May, but we wanted to check your

JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Did you have any particular 14 15 dates in mind?

MR. PLANT: I think from the City's side, we would prefer it was not on a Monday or a Friday. Just to put that out there.

JUDGE PEARSON: I have that same preference. So it looks like I'm available May 10th;

21 really only May 10th, because of our open meeting and some 22 other obligations that I have.

23 So, Tuesday, May 10th, would work for me if 24 that works for the parties. 25

MS. REYNOLDS: I believe that works for the

#### Page 6

partially paid for with federal funds, the Commission accepts that as true.

And finally, I disagree that the petition fails to show that the public safety necessitates a change to the existing warning devices. Accepting the facts in the petition as true, the City explained that the changes are necessary to accommodate traffic lanes and a multi-use path as well as a projected increase in traffic due to new development nearby. Again, I will expand on my decision in the prehearing conference order. And now we can move on to discovery.

Do the parties want the discovery rules to be available in this case?

MS. REYNOLDS: On behalf of the Railroad, yes. Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.

And from -- sorry. Go ahead.

MR. PLANT: Your Honor, on behalf of the City, we don't object if the Railroad wants the discovery rule.

MR. ROBERSON: Staff also has no objection. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. We will make

the discovery rules available to the parties.

So that brings us to the schedule. Do the parties need a recess to discuss scheduling?

MS. REYNOLDS: I think that would be helpful,

1 Railroad, Judge. Thank you.

2 MR. ROBERSON: That works for Staff.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

4 MR. PLANT: I think that works for the City as 5

well.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. So working back from there.

Mr. Roberson, do you have other dates that you can read into the record?

MR. ROBERSON: We have not even considered them, Judge Pearson. We were mostly trying to figure out when an appropriate hearing time would be.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. So do you want to take another break? Or do you want to --

MR. ROBERSON: Another break would help. You're just going to want discovery cutoff at a settlement conference date?

JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah. And prefile testimony, response testimony, rebuttal and cross, answering, and then briefing afterwards.

MR. ROBERSON: All right. I can't speak for the parties, but I think Staff probably is not going to present testimony. And I believe the parties are contemplating live testimony, but I'll let them discuss that.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds good.

Page 9 Page 11 1 1 And then with respect to the hearing venue, at the time of the hearing. 2 2 at this stage it's going to be virtual via Zoom, both the So I will put that as a TBD placeholder in 3 3 evidentiary hearing and the public comment hearing. So we the procedural schedule attached to the order. 4 can always modify that at a later date, but I -- with a 4 All right. Is there anything else that we 5 5 May hearing date, I highly doubt that will be possible. So need to address while we're here today? 6 we will plan on hopefully conducting it via Zoom. 6 MR. ROBERSON: Not from Staff. 7 7 So I will -- we can go off the record. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 8 (Off the record from 2:05 to 2:20 p.m.) 8 MR. PLANT: Nothing. 9 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Let's be back on the record JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 following another brief recess. 10 Ms. Reynolds, I saw you shake your head no, 11 And Mr. Roberson, were the parties able to 11 correct? 12 agree on a schedule? 12 MS. REYNOLDS: Yes, thank you, Judge. For the 13 MR. ROBERSON: They were, Judge Pearson, pending 13 good of the order, nothing further from the Railroad. 14 the dates working for you. 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Great. Thank you so much. All JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. 15 right. I will issue a record reflecting what was discussed 15 16 MR. ROBERSON: So prefiled testimony from the 16 here today, and we are adjourned. Thank you. 17 City, opening testimony would be due March 22nd, 2022. 17 (Adjourned at 2:24 p.m.) Response testimony would be due April 12th, 2022. Any 18 18 19 cross-answering testimony or rebuttal testimony would be due 19 20 20 April 26th, 2022. There's a settlement conference scheduled 21 tentatively for April 22nd, and I think we've confirmed 21 22 that, but if we need to move it, we'll discuss it with you, 2.2 23 23 Judge Pearson. And then the last date as far as for 24 discovery would be May 4th, 2022. And the parties will try 24 25 to accelerate their answers to discovery, if possible, for 2.5 Page 10 Page 12 1 CERTIFICATE testimony near the discovery deadline. 1 2 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Would you like to address 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 the need and timing for post-hearing briefs at the hearing 4 **COUNTY OF Thurston** 4 then, as opposed to setting that date now? 5 5 MR. ROBERSON: We did not discuss that. That's 6 I, April D. Biedermann, a Certified Court Reporter 6 generally something I think we cover at the hearing. But 7 in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that 7 that's my bad. Sorry. 8 the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of 8 JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. Usually we set it in 9 my knowledge, skill, and ability. 9 the schedule and what we discuss at the hearing is the 10 I do further certify that I am a disinterested 10 length. So I guess the question is whether you all -- I 11 person in this cause of action and that I am not a relative 11 mean, if I want post-hearing briefing, I can ask for it. 12 of the attorneys for any of the parties. 12 But if the parties feel strongly about it, I'm certainly 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 13 fine with addressing that at the hearing and deciding at 14 and seal this 2nd day of February, 2022. 14 that time if we want to do one or two rounds as well. 15 15 MR. ROBERSON: From Staff's perspective, I think 16 16 one round would be sufficient. Ten days to get the 17 17 transcript and then two weeks for the brief. But --18 18 MR. PLANT: From the City's perspective, we're April D. Biedermann, RSR, CCF 19 happy if you just want to address that at the hearing based 19 20 on how -- what information you feel would be most helpful to 20 21 you. 21 22 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank. All right. Well, 22 23 we'll say tentatively that we will anticipate that there 2.3 24 24 will be simultaneously post-hearing briefs, and then we can 25 25 set the deadline and discuss the need for responses, if any,

## Page 13

A	available 6:13,22	clarification 5:14	deny 3:18 5:4,7	firm 4:19	
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{Z}\cdot\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{Z}\cdot\mathbf{A}}$ 4:5	7:20	clause 5:17	denying 5:6	first 4:25 5:10	
ability 12:9	<b>Avenue</b> 1:22 2:7,13	comment 9:3	determine 5:11	<b>following</b> 7:8 9:10	
able 7:10 9:11	<b>Aziza</b> 2:11 4:4	commerce 5:17	development 6:9	foregoing 12:8	
accelerate 9:25		Commission 1:2,17	devices 3:9 5:18 6:5	formal 3:10	
	B	2:16 5:11,22,23	disagree 6:3	forth 5:24	
accept 5:23	back 7:7 8:6 9:9	6:1	discovery 6:11,12	Foster 2:11 4:4,5	
Accepting 6:5	<b>bad</b> 10:7	COMPANY 1:7	6:19,22 8:16 9:24	Friday 7:17	
accepts 6:2 accommodate 6:7	<b>Barker</b> 3:10	2:5	9:25 10:1	full 5:8	
	<b>based</b> 10:19	Complainant 1:5	discuss 3:15 6:24	<b>funds</b> 6:1	
accurate 12:8	beginning 3:22	complaint 3:10,18	8:24 9:22 10:5,9	further 11:13 12:10	
action 12:11	<b>behalf</b> 4:6 6:14,18	5:4,7,16,24	10:25		
address 10:2,19	7:3	concerns 3:7	discussed 7:1 11:15	G	
11:5	believe 7:25 8:23	conducting 9:6	disinterested 12:10	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:4	
addressing 10:13	<b>best</b> 12:8	conference 1:10	dismiss 3:17 5:4,6	<b>General</b> 2:18 3:25	
adjourned 11:16	<b>Beyer</b> 2:12 4:5	3:15 5:9 6:10	5:23	generally 10:6	
11:17	Biedermann 1:20	8:17 9:20	disposes 5:13,15	go 4:17 6:17 7:5,5	
administers 5:12	12:6,18	confirmed 9:21	<b>Docket</b> 1:6 3:7,10	9:7	
administrative	Bisgaard 2:6	considered 8:10	dockets 3:6,17	going 8:16,22 9:2	
1:12 2:2 3:20	Box 2:18	consolidate 3:16	doubt 9:5	good 3:23 4:4,18	
<b>afternoon</b> 3:23 4:4	break 7:8 8:14,15	5:1	due 6:8 9:17,18,19	8:25 11:13	
4:18	brief 7:8 9:10 10:17	constitutional 5:12	<b>uue</b> 0.8 9.17,18,19	grade 3:9	
<b>agree</b> 9:12	<b>briefing</b> 8:20 10:11	contact 3:25	$\mathbf{E}$	granted 5:2	
agreement 7:10	briefly 5:8		E 2:1,1 3:4,4 12:1,1	Great 4:2,7,12,21	
ahead 4:17 6:17 7:5	briefs 10:3,24	contemplating 8:23	enter 4:22	8:6 9:15 11:14	
allegations 5:24	brings 6:23	correct 5:10,23	evaluate 5:22	grounds 3:18	
alleged 5:25	<b>Brisbois</b> 2:6 4:20	11:11	evidentiary 9:3	guess 10:10	
answering 8:19	Buell 1:21	correctly 5:20 COUNTY 12:4	existing 6:5	<b>Sucss</b> 10.10	
answers 9:25	Duch 1.21		expand 6:9	Н	
anticipate 10:23	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	Court 12:6	explain 5:8	hand 12:13	
appearance 4:22	C 2:1 3:4 12:1,1	cover 10:6	explained 6:6	<b>happy</b> 10:19	
appearances 3:21	call 4:22	cross 8:19	capitalieu 0.0	head 11:10	
appearing 4:8	case 3:20 6:13	cross-answering	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	hearing 4:24 7:2,11	
appreciate 7:2	cause 12:11	9:19	<b>F</b> 12:1	8:12 9:1,3,3,5	
appropriate 8:12	CCR 1:20 12:18	crossing 3:9 5:18	F-O-S-T-E-R 4:5	10:3,6,9,13,19	
approximately 3:14	certainly 10:12	cutoff 8:16	facts 6:5	11:1	
<b>April</b> 1:20 9:18,20	Certified 12:6	D	fails 6:4	help 8:15	
9:21 12:6,18	certify 12:7,10	<b>D</b> 1:20 3:4 12:6,18	far 9:23	helpful 6:25 10:20	
arbitrary 5:19	change 6:4	date 7:12 8:17 9:4	February 12:14	hereunto 12:13	
argument 5:16	changes 6:6	9:5,23 10:4	federal 6:1	Hi 4:10	
Assistant 3:24	check 7:12	dates 7:15 8:8 9:14	feel 10:12,20	highly 9:5	
attached 11:3	City 1:4 2:10 3:8	day 12:14	<b>figure</b> 8:11	highway-railroad	
<b>Attorney</b> 2:18 3:25	3:11 4:3,6,9,14	days 10:16	file 4:1	3:9	
attorneys 12:12	5:1,10,23,25 6:6	<b>days</b> 10:16 <b>deadline</b> 10:1,25	<b>filed</b> 3:8,11 5:1	<b>Honor</b> 4:14 6:15,18	
authority 5:11	6:18 8:4 9:17	deciding 10:1,23	finally 6:3	hopefully 9:6	
availability 7:13	City's 7:16 10:18	<b>decision</b> 5:8 6:9	fine 10:13		
	-1-7 = 7.10 10.10	<b>uccisiuli</b> 3.0 0.7			
RUELL DEALTIME DEPORTING LLC					

# Page 14

	•	<u> </u>	1	. ago 11
Ī	looking 7:11	opening 9:17	preempt 5:21	<b>reach</b> 7:10
ICCTA 5:20	looks 7:20	opposed 10:4	prefer 7:17	read 8:9
including 3:16	<b>Loop</b> 1:17	order 5:9 6:10 11:3	preference 7:19	really 7:21
increase 6:8		11:13	prefile 8:18	Realtime 1:21
	M		prefiled 9:16	reasoning 5:8
information 4:1	maintain 5:18	P	prehearing 1:10	rebuttal 8:19 9:19
10:20	<b>March</b> 9:17	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:4	3:14 5:9 6:10	recess 6:24 7:5,8
<b>intervene</b> 4:23	mean 10:11	<b>p.m</b> 3:14 7:6 9:8	present 8:22	9:10
issue 5:25 7:4 11:15	meeting 7:21	11:17	presiding 3:20	record 3:6 7:5,6,7
issues 3:16	Menke 2:12 4:5	Pacific 1:7 2:5 3:11	2	8:9 9:7,8,9 11:15
- J	mind 7:15	3:17 4:20 5:4,18	probably 8:22	7 7
-	modify 3:8 9:4	Pacific's 5:16	procedural 3:15	reflecting 11:15
Jackson 2:12 4:5	Monday 7:17	PAGES 1:11	11:3	relative 12:11
<b>January</b> 1:15 3:1	motion 3:16,17	paid 6:1	procedure 7:9	relief 5:16
3:13	4:25 5:2,2,4,6,22	1 -	proceeding 4:23	Remote 3:1
<b>Jeff</b> 3:24		partially 6:1	project 5:25	REPORTED 1:20
jeff.roberson@ut	7:2	particular 7:14	projected 6:8	<b>Reporter</b> 5:14 12:6
2:20	move 6:10 9:22	parties 6:12,22,24	proposed 5:18	Reporting 1:21
JEFFREY 2:17	multi-use 6:7	7:8,24 8:22,23	<b>public</b> 6:4 9:3	representing 3:25
joining 5:2		9:11,24 10:12	<b>put</b> 7:17 11:2	4:20
judge 1:12 2:2 3:6	N	12:12		request 5:7
3:20,23 4:2,4,7,10	N 2:1 3:4	<b>path</b> 6:7	Q	requiring 5:17
4:12,16,21 5:15	name 3:19,24 4:18	<b>Pearson</b> 1:12 2:3	<b>Q-U-I-N-N</b> 4:11	respect 5:21 9:1
6:16,21 7:1,4,7,14	National 1:24	3:6,19,23 4:2,4,7	qplant@mjbe.com	Respondent 1:8
7:19 8:1,3,6,11,13	<b>near</b> 10:1	4:10,12,16,21	2:15	response 8:19 9:18
8:18,25 9:9,13,15	nearby 6:9	5:15 6:16,21 7:4,7	question 10:10	responses 5:1 10:25
9:23 10:2,8,22	necessary 6:7	7:14,19 8:3,6,11	<b>Quinn</b> 2:12 4:10	<b>Reynolds</b> 2:6 4:14
11:7,9,12,14	necessitates 6:4	8:13,18,25 9:9,13		4:18,19 6:14,25
11.7,9,12,14	need 6:24 9:22 10:3	9:15,23 10:2,8,22	R	7:25 11:10,12
K	10:25 11:5	11:7,9,14	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:4 12:1	right 8:21 10:22
knowledge 12:9	new 6:8	<b>pending</b> 9:13	<b>R-A-C-H-E-L</b> 4:19	_
Knowledge 12.7	<b>North</b> 2:13	person 12:11	R-E-Y-N-O-L-D-S	11:4,15 <b>P</b> = 42:10
$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$		perspective 10:15	4:19	Road 3:10
Lacey 1:18	0	10:18	R-O-B-E-R-S-O-N	<b>Roberson</b> 2:17 3:23
lanes 6:7	O 3:4	petition 3:8,18 5:5	3:24	3:24 6:20 7:11
law 1:12 2:2 3:20	<b>o0o</b> 3:3	_	Rachel 2:6 4:18	8:2,8,10,15,21
4:19	<b>object</b> 6:19	5:7 6:3,6	rachel.reynolds	9:11,13,16 10:5
laws 5:12	objection 6:20	placeholder 11:2	2:9	10:15 11:6
	obligations 7:22	<b>plan</b> 9:6	Railroad 1:7 2:5	round 10:16
length 10:10	observes 5:20	Plant 2:12 4:10,11		<b>rounds</b> 10:14
let's 3:6,21 4:25 7:5	Office 2:18	6:18 7:16 8:4	3:12 4:15,16,20	<b>RSR</b> 1:20 12:18
7:7 9:9		10:18 11:8	5:1 6:14,19 7:3	<b>rule</b> 6:19
Lewis 2:6 4:20	<b>okay</b> 4:12,16,23 6:21 7:4 8:3,6,13	please 4:17	8:1 11:13	rules 6:12,22
line 4:8	, ,	<b>PM</b> 1:16 3:2	<b>Railroad's</b> 3:17 5:4	ruling 5:3
live 8:23	8:13,25 9:15 10:2	<b>PO</b> 2:18	5:13	
<b>LLC</b> 1:21	10:8,22 11:7,9	possible 9:5,25	<b>Rayne</b> 1:12 2:3	S
<b>LLP</b> 2:6,12	<b>Olympia</b> 1:23 2:19	post-hearing 10:3	3:19	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:4
located 3:9	<b>open</b> 7:21	10:11,24	<b>RCW</b> 5:21	safety 6:4
		<b>Í</b>	1	
	DUEL	I DEALTIME DEDODTIN	10.11.0	

## Page 15

saw 11:10	T	Utilities 1:2,17	[—— <u> </u>	<b>621</b> 1:17
schedule 6:23 7:9	-	Ounties 1.2,17		<b>664-1188</b> 2:19
9:12 10:9 11:3	<b>T</b> 2:6 12:1,1	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	1	004-1100 2.19
scheduled 9:20	take 8:14	Valley 1:4 2:10 3:8	1-12 1:11	7
scheduling 3:15	talked 7:9	3:11 4:3,6	<b>1:30</b> 3:14	
6:24 7:1	<b>TBD</b> 11:2	various 3:18	<b>1:32</b> 1:16 3:2	8
seal 12:14	Ten 10:16	venue 9:1	<b>1:38</b> 7:6	<b>800</b> 1:24
Seattle 1:22,23 2:8	tentatively 9:21	violates 5:17	1.36 7.0 10th 7:20,21,23	<b>807</b> 2:13
second 7:12	10:23	virtual 1:10 9:2	1111 2:7	<b>81.53.295</b> 5:21
seeking 4:23	testimony 8:18,19	<b>VOLUME</b> 1:10	1111 2.7 12th 9:18	<b>846-6989</b> 1:24
seeks 5:16	8:23,24 9:16,17	vs 1:6	<b>13</b> 1:15 3:1	
set 5:9,24 10:8,25	9:18,19,19 10:1		<b>13</b> 1.13 3.1 <b>1325</b> 1:22	9
12:13	thank 4:2,7,12,21	W	13th 3:13	<b>98101</b> 1:22
setting 10:4	6:16,21 8:1 10:22	want 6:12 8:13,14	<b>1840</b> 1:22	<b>98101-3224</b> 2:8
settlement 8:16	11:9,12,14,16	8:16 10:11,14,19	1070 1.22	<b>98503</b> 1:18
9:20	think 6:25 7:11,16	wanted 7:12	2	<b>98504-0128</b> 2:19
shake 11:10	8:4,22 9:21 10:6	<b>wants</b> 6:19	<b>2:02</b> 7:6	<b>98902-6389</b> 2:13
share 11.10 short 3:21	10:15	<b>warning</b> 3:9 6:5	<b>2:05</b> 9:8	
show 6:4	Thursday 3:13	Washington 1:1,17	<b>2:20</b> 9:8	
side 7:16	Thurston 12:4	1:18,22 2:8,13,19	<b>2:24</b> 11:17	
simultaneously	time 8:12 10:14	12:3,7	<b>2022</b> 1:15 3:1,13	
10:24	11:1	<b>we'll</b> 9:22 10:23	9:17,18,20,24	
skill 12:9	timing 10:3	we're 3:14 7:11	12:14	
small 7:4	today 3:13 4:20 7:1	10:18 11:5	<b>206</b> 1:23 2:8	
Smith 2:6	11:5,16	we've 9:21	<b>21028823</b> 1:20	
sorry 6:17 10:7	TR-210809 3:7,7	week 7:12	<b>22nd</b> 9:17,21	
sounds 4:7 8:25	<b>TR-210814</b> 1:6 3:7	weeks 10:17	<b>26th</b> 9:20	
Southeast 1:17	3:10	<b>WHEREOF</b> 12:13	<b>2700</b> 2:7	
speak 8:21	traffic 6:7,8	wishes 4:22	<b>287-9066</b> 1:23	
<b>Spokane</b> 1:4 2:10	<b>transcript</b> 10:17 12:8	<b>WITNESS</b> 12:13	<b>2nd</b> 12:14	
3:8,11 4:3,6		Woodland 1:17		
Square 1:17	Transportation 1:2	work 7:23	3	
Staff 2:16 3:22,25	1:17	<b>working</b> 8:6 9:14	<b>360</b> 1:23 2:19	
5:10,20 6:20 8:2	true 5:24 6:2,6 12:8 try 9:24	works 7:24,25 8:2,4	<b>39th</b> 2:13	
8:22 11:6	trying 8:11	www.buellrealti	<b>3rd</b> 2:7	
Staff's 3:16 4:25	Tuesday 7:23	1:25	4	
5:2 10:15	turn 4:25 5:3		4	
stage 9:2	two 3:16 10:14,17	X	<b>40128</b> 2:18	
standard 5:22	<b>two</b> 3.10 10.14,1/	<u> </u>	<b>436-2020</b> 2:8	
start 3:21	U	<b>Yakima</b> 2:13	<b>4th</b> 1:22 9:24	
State 12:3,7	<b>Union</b> 1:7 2:5 3:11	Yeah 8:18	5	
strongly 10:12	3:17 4:20 5:3,15	1 Can 0.10	<b>509</b> 2:14	
substantive 7:2	5:17	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>534-9066</b> 1:23	
sufficient 10:16	unreasonable 5:19	zfoster@mjbe.com	<b>575-0313</b> 2:14	
<b>Suite</b> 1:22 2:7	uses 5:22	2:14	313-0313 4.14	
<b>Sure</b> 7:14	Usually 10:8	<b>Zoom</b> 3:1 9:2,6	6	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		I