## Docket No. TG-180253 - Vol. I

## In the Matter of Harold LeMay Enterprises, Inc.

August 24, 2018



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989** 

email: info@buellrealtime.com



1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of the )DOCKET TG-180253 Penalty Assessment Against )
5	HAROLD LEMAY ENTERPRISES, ) INC.
7	In the Amount of \$200 )
8	
9	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING, VOLUME I
10	Pages 1-84
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LAURA CHARTOFF
12	
13	August 24, 2018
14	9:33 a.m.
15	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
17	Olympia, Washington 98504
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue
22	Suite 1840
	Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 287-9066   Seattle
22 23 24	Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	
4	LAURA CHARTOFF Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	1300 Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
6	Olympia, Washington 98504
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
9	JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General
10	P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
11	(360) 664-1186 jcameron@utc.wa.gov
12	FOR RESPONDENT:
13 14	ERIK LAIHO Davis Grimm Payne & Marra 701 Fifth Avenue
15	Suite 4040 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 447-0182
16	elaiho@davisgrimmpayne.com
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18 19	SANDRA YEOMANS
20	ROBERT AUDERER
21	IAN MARSH
22	DONALD KENNEY
23	LARRY MEANY
24	* * * *
25	

1	EXAMINATION INDEX
2	SANDRA YEOMANS PAGE
3	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 8
4	By Mr. Laiho 19
5	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski
6	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 72
7	By Mr. Laiho
8	ROBERT AUDERER
9	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 26
10	By Mr. Laiho
11	by Will Laine
12	DONALD KENNEY
13	By Mr. Laiho
14	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 47
15	IAN MARSH
16	By Mr. Laiho 50
17	
18	LARRY MEANY
19	By Mr. Laiho
20	By Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski 67
21	EXHIBIT INDEX
22	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION PAGE
23	SY-1 Excerpts from North American Out-of-Service 6
24	Criteria Handbook
25	

1		EXHIBIT INDEX (Cont.)			
2	EXHIE	BITS FOR ADMISSION		PAGE	
3	SY-2	Vehicle Inspection Report for No.	3572	6	
4	SY-3	Vehicle Inspection Report for No.	1044	6	
5	SY-4	Images	3		
6	DK-1	Notebook of Documents		6	
7					
8					
9		* * * * *			
LO					
L1					
L2					
L3					
L4					
L5					
L6					
L7					
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23 24					
24 25					
2 2					

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; AUGUST 24, 2018
2	9:33 A.M.
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	000
5	
6	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Let's be on the record.
7	Good morning. Today is Friday, August 24th, 2018, and
8	the time is approximately 9:33 a.m.
9	This is Docket TG-180253, captioned In the
LO	Matter of the Penalty Assessment Against Harold LeMay
L1	Enterprises, Inc. in the Amount of \$200.
L2	We are here today because the Commission
L3	issued a penalty assessment in the amount of \$200 for
L4	two violations of Washington Administrative Code
L5	480-70-201 concerning vehicle and driver safety
L6	requirements. The Company requested a hearing to
L7	contest the violations and the Commission granted that
L8	request.
L9	My name is Laura Chartoff. I am the
20	administrative law judge presiding over today's brief
21	adjudicative proceeding.
22	Let's start by taking appearances from both
23	parties starting with Staff.
24	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer
25	Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General, appearing

1	on behalf of Staff. And my full appearance has already
2	been entered in the record.
3	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
4	MR. LAIHO: Erik Laiho, representing
5	respondent, Harold LeMay Enterprises, Inc.
6	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Is your microphone on?
7	MR. LAIHO: Erik Laiho, representing Harold
8	LeMay Enterprises, Inc., respondent.
9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
10	Okay. And regarding the exhibits, are both
11	parties willing to stipulate to the admission of the
12	prefiled exhibits?
13	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
14	MR. LAIHO: Yes, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So for the record,
16	Staff's Exhibits SY-1, SY-2, SY-3, and SY-4 are
17	admitted. And Company's Exhibit DK-1 is admitted.
18	(Exhibit Nos. SY-1, SY-2, SY-3, SY-4,
19	and DK-1 admitted.)
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Are there any
21	questions before we proceed?
22	Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you may
23	proceed with a brief opening statement if you want.
24	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
25	Honor.

1	I'll briefly summarize the allegations.
2	Staff conducted a destination check of LeMay on
3	March 20th, 2018, and Staff alleges that the stop lamps
4	were not working on the truck driven by Mr. Molinek.
5	This is a violation of 49 CFR 393.9(a).
6	Staff also alleges that the front tire on
7	the truck driven by Mr. Marsh contacted the vehicle when
8	the wheel was turned. This is a violation 49 CFR
9	396.3(a)(1).
10	Staff has two witnesses to present this
11	morning, and I would like to go ahead and call our first
12	witness, Ms. Sandra Yeomans, at this time.
13	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Mr. Laiho, would you
14	like to make a brief opening statement?
15	MR. LAIHO: I'd like to reserve for when I
16	do my case-in-chief, Your Honor, if that's okay.
17	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
18	Okay. Please proceed.
19	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Then Staff calls
20	Ms. Yeomans and asks that the Bench please swear in
21	Staff's first witness.
22	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
23	/////
24	/////
25	

## EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI 1 SANDRA YEOMANS, witness herein, having been 2 first duly sworn on oath, 3 was examined and testified 4 as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: 8 Q. Good morning, Ms. Yeomans. A. Good morning. 10 Q. Please state and spell your last name for the 11 record. 12 A. My name is Sandra Yeomans. Last name is 13 Y-e-o-m-a-n-s. 14 Q. Please state the name of your employer. 15 A. I work for Washington State Utilities and **Transportation Commission.** 16 17 Q. In what position are you employed with the 18 Commission? 19 A. Special investigator under motor carrier. 20 Q. And how long have you been employed in this 21 position? 22 A. A little over three years. 23 Q. And how long have you been employed by the 24 Commission? 25

A. Little over three years.

### **EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

Q.	Do you have prior experience with motor carrier
safety	/?

- A. Yes, I do. I worked eight years as a general manager of a motor coach company. I was in charge of compliance and worked with a mechanic on multiple occasions. I also was seven years as a transit driver that required inspecting vehicles both pre-, post-trip and making sure it was in safe operation. And also seven years as an assistant director with the school district where I overseen the compliance.
- Q. And when you talk about overseeing compliance, does that relate to vehicle safety at all?
  - A. That's exactly what it relates to.
- Q. Thank you.

Please describe any training that you have completed for conducting motor carrier safety inspections with the Commission.

A. I spent two years -- or two years, excuse me -two weeks with federal classroom training that is
specifically for training you to inspect vehicles and
making sure that they're in compliance. With that, you
have 32 inspections that you do with an already
certified inspector, you do another 32 on your own
before you get certification, and then you have to
accomplish 32 every year to keep that certification.

1	The i	nspections are level one, which is complete vehicle
2	and c	lriver qualifications.
3	Q.	Please briefly describe your responsibilities as
4	they	pertain to this matter.
5	A.	I was the investigator doing the inspections on
6	LeMa	ay vehicles.
7	Q.	Approximately how many motor vehicle inspections
8	do yo	ou personally do per year with the Commission?
9	A.	Well over a hundred.
10	Q.	Are you familiar with Harold LeMay Enterprises,
11	Inc.?	
12	A.	Yes, I am.
13	Q.	How did you become familiar with LeMay?
14	A.	I have done inquiries when they've had
15	accio	lents, and I've also was working with them for
16	the i	nspections. And I have done compliance review with
17	one o	of their companies.
18	Q.	Thank you.
19		All right. Let's talk about the inspection at
20	issue	in this case.
21	,	Were you present at a safety inspection of LeMay
22	that t	he Commission conducted March 20th, 2018?
23	A.	Yes, I was.
24	Q.	Would you characterize the inspection as a

destination check?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And what is that?
3	A.	That is where we show up to a location and we do
4	inspe	ections on vehicles that are in operation.
5	Q.	And what time of day approximately did the
6	desti	nation check begin?
7	A.	About 2 o'clock is when we actually started
8	inspe	ecting vehicles.
9	Q.	Have you read Mr. Meany's declaration?
10	A.	Yes, I have.
11	Q.	He states that Staff's inspection took place in
12	the L	eMay yard and notes that the Federal Motor Carrier
13	Safet	y Administrations Safety Measurement System lists
14	the v	iolations that Staff identified as roadside
15	violat	ions.
16		Can you please explain why this inspection might
17	be co	onsidered a roadside inspection even though it took
18	place	on LeMay's premises?
19	A.	Roadside inspection is when the vehicle is in
20	servi	ce versus an inspection that is done during a
21	com	oliance review.
22	Q.	All right. And this inspection was done, then,
23	while	the vehicles were in service?
24	A.	Correct, we were inspecting them as they came
25	off th	ne road from their routes that day.

1	Q.	And is it common that a destination check or
2	roads	side inspection of solid waste collection vehicles
3	is cor	nducted at a carrier's premises?
4	A.	That is the first time that we did it at a
5	carri	er's place of business. The dumps do not like us
6	stop	ping the trucks because they lose money.
7	Q.	And so are you saying that instead of performing
8	the in	spection at a dump or a transfer station, you
9	decid	led to do it at the LeMay yard?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	Who else from the Commission was present?
12	A.	Jason Sharp who is the supervisor, Wayne
13	Gilbe	ert, special investigator, Ed Steiner, special
14	inves	stigator, and Bobby Auderer who was training at the
15	time.	
16	Q.	During the destination check, did you inspect
17	vehic	le No. 3571 driven by Nathan Molinek?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	During the destination check, did you inspect
20	vehic	le No. 1044 driven by Ian Marsh?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	All right. Let's talk about the safety
23	requi	rements that are applicable to inspection.
24		Does the Commission follow federal regulations
25	gove	rning vehicle and driver safety?

1	A.	Yes, we do.
2	Q.	Does the Commission follow the North American
3	Unifo	rm Out-of-Service Criteria?
4	A.	Yes, we do.
5	Q.	Can you please explain what the North American
6	Unifo	rm Out-of-Service Criteria are?
7	A.	It is a publication that is updated every year
8	that	investigators use to determine if a violation is to
9	the p	oint that it puts the vehicle out of service.
10	Q.	All right. Please direct your attention to
11	Exhib	oit SY-1.
12		Is it Exhibit SY-1 excerpts from the publication
13	that y	ou just that you just testified about?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Thank you.
16		Let's talk about Mr. Molinek's vehicle.
17		What problem did you identify with vehicle
18	No. 3	571, Mr. Molinek's vehicle?
19	A.	3571 did not have brake lights that were
20	oper	able.
21	Q.	All right. And which federal regulation do you
22	unde	rstand to be at issue here?
23	A.	That would be 49 CFR 393.9(a).
24	Q.	All right. Thank you.
25		I'll ask you to refer again to Exhibit SY-1 and

1	turn	to	page	5.
---	------	----	------	----

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

- And can you please point out the sections that are applicable here?
- A. It would be 8(b)(1), anytime, day or night, did not have at least one operative stop lamp on the rear of a single unit vehicle.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

  And did the truck driven by Mr. Molinek have at least one operating stop lamp?
- 10 A. No, it did not.
  - Q. Did you place the vehicle out of service?
- 12 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Did LeMay fix the inoperable stop lamps while you were there?
- 15 A. Yes, they did. They replaced a fuse.
- Q. Did you reinspect the brake lights at that time?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Were they working?
- 19 **A. Yes.**
- Q. Was the truck returned to service then?
- 21 **A. Yes.**
- Q. And did you prepare a vehicle inspection report
- 23 for vehicle No. 3571?
- 24 **A.** Yes, I did.
- Q. Please direct your attention to Exhibit SY-2.

1	Is this the vehicle inspection report for
2	vehicle 3571 that you prepared?
3	A. Yeah, it is.
4	Q. And before this, had you ever placed a vehicle
5	out of service for this violation?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Thank you.
8	Now let's discuss Mr. Marsh's vehicle.
9	What problem did you identify with vehicle
10	No. 1044, Mr. Marsh's vehicle?
11	A. One problem that it had was the tire was rubbing
12	against a part of the vehicle.
13	Q. And which federal regulation do you understand
14	to be at issue here?
15	A. That would be 49 CFR 396.3(a)(1).
16	Q. All right. I'm going to ask you to refer again
17	to Exhibit SY-1, the Out-of-Service Handbook, and please
18	turn to page 7.
19	Can you please point out the section that is
20	applicable here?
21	A. That would be 11(8)(a), so mounted or inflated
22	that it comes in contact with any part of the vehicle.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	And I'm going to ask you, I believe it's
25	11(a)(8). That looks like what you just

1	A.	Right.
2	Q.	what you just read; is that right?
3	A.	Correct.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		And did the truck driven by Mr. Molinek have a
6	tire th	nat was so mounted or inflated that it came into
7	conta	act with a part of the vehicle?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Which wheel was at issue?
10	A.	It was front steer tire, left side.
11	Q.	During your inspection, did you ask the driver,
12	Mr. N	Marsh, to turn the front wheels?
13	A.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	Did you see the tire come into contact with the
15	vehic	ele when Mr. Marsh turned the wheels?
16	A.	Yes, I did.
17	Q.	Did the tire contact the vehicle only when the
18	whee	el was turned?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Do you have an opinion about whether the driver
21	from	his vantage point, behind the steering wheel, could
22	see t	he tire contacting the vehicle?
23	A.	He would not be able to see the tire. It's
24	he's	up above and there's a fender over the tire, so you

could only see where the contact -- the tire was making

1	conta	act if you were at that level and kind of crouching
2	down	1.
3	Q.	When you instructed Mr. Marsh to turn the wheel,
4	do yo	ou recall if anyone on the ground was in a position
5	to ob	serve the left front wheel contacting the vehicle?
6	A.	No, there was no one.
7	Q.	Did you prepare a vehicle inspection report for
8	vehic	le No. 1044?
9	A.	Yes, I did.
10	Q.	Please direct your attention to Exhibit SY-3.
11		Is this the vehicle inspection report for
12	vehic	le No. 1044 that you prepared?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	When the wheel was turned, what part of the
15	vehic	ele did it contact?
16	A.	Came in contact with the pitman arm.
17	Q.	Please refer to Exhibit SY-4.
18		Are these images the actual truck or pitman arm
19	that y	ou inspected during the inspection that we're
20	talkin	g about today?
21	A.	No.
22	Q.	Using these images, can you please explain what
23	the p	itman arm is and where it is located on the truck?
24	A.	The pitman arm is behind the front tires, and

25

its purpose is to -- it's part of the steering

	<b>EXAMINATIO</b>	N OF	YFOMANS	/ CAMERON	N-RULKOWSKI
--	-------------------	------	---------	-----------	-------------

	EXAMINATION OF TEOMANS / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI
1	components that allow the tires to move from right to
2	left.
3	Q. Was there anything about the condition of the
4	pitman arm on Mr. Marsh's truck that you observed that
5	is worth noting?
6	A. The pitman arm was worn, and to me, it looked
7	like it had a dent. Later I learned that that's the way
8	the pitman arm was built, but there was a large portion
9	that was rubbed away.
LO	Q. And when you say "a large portion that was
L1	rubbed away," do you mean metal or paint or something
L2	else?
L3	A. I'm assuming it is just paint.
L4	Q. Before this inspection, had you ever placed a
L5	vehicle out of service for the violation of a tire
L6	contacting the vehicle?
L7	A. Yes, I have.
L8	Q. All right. Now I want to ask you about the
L9	penalty.
20	What is the status of the \$200 penalty that the
21	Commission assessed for the violations that we've just
22	discussed?
23	A. It's been paid by LeMay.

Q. And what is your recommendation regarding the

**BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC** 

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1	A. That they are accurate and they should stand.
2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. I have
3	no further questions for Ms. Yeomans at this time, and I
4	would now like to call Mr. Auderer.
5	MR. LAIHO: Your Honor, I'd like to do cross
6	on Ms. Yeomans obviously. I can wait until after Mr
7	next witness or now.
8	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Typically we have we
9	allow cross at this time?
LO	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Absolutely, and
L1	I'm it may be I have a fairly short examination
L2	for Mr. Auderer, and it may be more convenient for you
L3	to be able to cross either one of them. But I'm happy
L4	to absolutely. Typically we do cross right after the
L5	witness.
L6	MR. LAIHO: I think that would be better
L7	just so I can so I'm not flipping back and forth my
L8	notes so much, Your Honor.
L9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
20	MR. LAIHO: Thank you.
21	
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. LAIHO:
24	Q. So, Inspector Yeomans, we met briefly off the
25	record. My name is Eric Laiho. I'm the counsel for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

<b>FXAMIN</b>	ΙΔΤΙΩΝΙ	OF YEC	SINAMO	/ I AIHO
1 // // // // // // // // // // // // //		(//     (	$M_{M} = M_{M} = M_{M}$	, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

respondent.	I just have a	couple	brief	questions	for
you regardin	g this inspec	tion.			

How many garbage trucks have you inspected before?

# A. I don't have an actual number, but well over 50 l'm sure.

- Q. Including this inspection?
- A. Including this inspection.
- Q. And do you recall how many vehicles were inspected during this inspection?
  - A. I believe there was 12.
- Q. Okay. And you testified before, this is the first time you did an inspection such as this at a carrier's place of business. What do you mean by that?
- A. Usually destination checks are done at the airport, Safeco Field, those type of places. To actually do one on the person's property, we had tried that to do inspections on vehicles, garbage truck vehicles, while they're in service.
- Q. And concerning -- we'll turn to Exhibit SY-4, page 1.

You testified this is not a picture of the pitman arm -- page 1, yeah. This is not the pitman arm that you inspected at that time?

#### A. Correct.

- Q. Do you know who took this picture?
  - A. I do not.

1

2

3

5

- Q. Do you know what truck or vehicle this is the
- 4 | pitman arm of?
  - A. I do not.
  - Q. What about this diagram underneath, what is this
- 7 | a diagram of?
- 8 A. It looks like steering components.
- 9 Q. Okay. And is this at all part of the vehicle
- 10 you inspected?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. And turning to page 2 of that same
- exhibit, is this the vehicle you inspected?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you know the arrow -- maybe this is
- 16 your other witness, do you know what this arrow is
- 17 | pointing towards?
- 18 A. Basically towards the general location of the
- 19 | pitman arm.
- 20 Q. Did you place that arrow there?
- 21 **A. No.**
- Q. Do you know who took this picture?
- 23 **A. No.**
- Q. Do you know when this picture was taken?
- 25 **A. No.**

1	Q. Do you know if this is the same type of truck
2	that was inspected by you?
3	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I'm going to object
4	at this point to relevance. We've clearly labeled the
5	exhibit as illustrative, and Staff is making no claims
6	that this is the actual vehicle. So if if the if
7	Counsel is trying to trying to is trying to
8	challenge the location of the pitman arm, then we can
9	continue, but otherwise, it's simply illustrative.
10	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Yeah, where are you going?
11	MR. LAIHO: I was just establishing, Your
12	Honor, this was not the vehicle that was inspected.
13	It's not even the same type of vehicle that was
14	inspected.
15	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. I think that's been
16	established so
17	MR. LAIHO: I just wanted to I know it's
18	been admitted, but a weight issue. That's all I had,
19	Your Honor.
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
21	BY MR. LAIHO:
22	Q. And, Inspector Yeomans, what part of the vehicle
23	did the tire touch during on Mr. Marsh's vehicle?
24	A. The pitman arm.
25	Q. Okay. And going to Mr. Molinek's vehicle

- regarding the brake light, did you affix a CVSA decal after the brake light was fixed?
  - A. I don't believe I did.
- 4 Q. But it was fixed during the time of the
- 5 | inspection and you --

3

- A. Yes, it was fixed.
- 7 Q. Okay. Perfect.
  - And, Inspector Yeomans, going back to
- 9 Mr. Marsh's vehicle regarding the pitman arm, about how
- 10 | long -- were you there during the entire time that
- 11 Mr. Marsh's vehicle was inspected?
- 12 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. How long was that?
- A. I'm not sure exactly how long it was. I could
- look on the -- here and it would tell me that the -- it
- started at 2:17 and ended at 3:01.
- Q. So you were there during the entire time?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And to determine that this was an
- 20 out-of-service violation, is that a determination you
- 21 made very quickly?
- A. I made it at the point that the -- I saw the
- 23 tire touch the pitman arm.
- Q. And did you know it was an out-of-service
- 25 | violation at that time?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Okay. And did anyone from the UTC take a
3	pictu	re of the tire allegedly touching this pitman arm?
4	A.	No, that is not our normal practice.
5	Q.	Why not?
6	A.	There has never been a need.
7	Q.	Do you recall was when you noticed that the
8	tire a	llegedly touched the pitman arm, what were you
9	havin	g the driver conduct a certain test when that
10	happ	ened?
11	A.	I had him turn the steering wheel to see if it
12	touc	hed.
13	Q.	Is there a name for that test?
14	A.	I don't believe so.
15	Q.	Okay. And last question, Inspector Yeomans.
16		At what point during this 45-minute inspection
17	did y	ou see the tire make contact with the pitman arm?
18	A.	I would not be able to give exact time. We have
19	a rou	tine. It was after the front lights had been
20	chec	ked. It was a two-person team, so I would have been
21	insp	ecting the front lights and then gone down the side
22	and a	at that point. So I don't know exactly what time it
23	was.	
24	Q.	Would it have been within five minutes of the

inspection starting?

1	A. I do not know.
2	Q. What about ten minutes?
3	A. I do not know.
4	Q. But towards the beginning of your inspection?
5	A. It was towards the beginning of the inspection.
6	Q. Excellent.
7	MR. LAIHO: No further questions.
8	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
9	Any redirect?
LO	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
L1	
L2	EXAMINATION
L3	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
L4	Q. Ms. Yeomans, when you asked Mr. Marsh to turn
L5	the front wheel, is that a standard component of a
L6	vehicle inspection?
L7	A. If we see that there it something that would
L8	bring to your attention that there may be contact with
L9	the tire and the vehicle, then we would do that.
20	Q. And in this case, was that the case?
21	A. Yes. I had seen the pitman arm was very shiny
22	in one section of it, and that would be an indication
23	that possibly the tire could touch. So at that point, I
24	would do that test.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. No

	EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI	
1	further questions.	
2		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. You may call your
3	next	witness.
4	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your	
5	Hono	or. I call Robert Auderer.
6		
7	ROB	ERT AUDERER, witness herein, having been
8		first duly sworn on oath,
9	was examined and testified	
10	as follows:	
11		
12		EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:	
14	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Auderer.
15	A.	Good morning.
16	Q.	Go ahead and pull that close to you.
17	Please state your name and spell your last name	
18	for the record.	
19	A.	Robert Auderer, A-u-d-e-r-e-r.
20	Q.	Please state the name of your employer.
21	A.	Washington Utilities and Transportation
22	Com	mission.
23	Q.	In what position are you employed with the
24	Commission?	
25	Α.	I'm an investigator.

## **EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	Q.	How long have you been employed in this
2	position?	
3	A.	Approximately five months.
4	Q.	And how long have you been employed by the
5	Commission?	
6	A.	Approximately five months.
7	Q.	Do you have prior experience working with motor
8	carrier safety?	
9	A.	Yes, I do.
10	Q.	Can you please describe that experience?
11	A.	Prior to my employment with the State, I was a
12	polic	e officer and enforced the traffic code of the
13	state	of Washington which includes commercial motor
14	vehic	cles being operated.
15	Q.	All right. Please describe your
16	respo	onsibilities as they pertain to this matter.
17	A.	I was a trainee at the time and was brought to
18	the -	the event to act as an observer.
19	Q.	Are you familiar with Harold LeMay Enterprises,
20	Inc.?	
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	How did you become familiar with LeMay?
23	A.	I performed contract safety training for LeMay
24	through a previous employer prior to my employment with	
25	the State.	

## **EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	Q.	And when you talk about a previous employer,
2	this was not am I understanding this correctly that	
3	this is not LeMay, this was a third party?	
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	Have you read the declaration of Mr. Meany?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And are you the trainee referred to there?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	I want to discuss the violation associated with
10	the tire contacting the pitman arm.	
11	Did you read the declaration of Donald Kenney?	
12	A.	I did.
13	Q.	Did you meet Mr. Kenney?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Did you learn anything from him concerning the
16	tire v	iolation on vehicle No. 1044?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	All right. And could you please share with us
19	what	it is that you learned?
20	A.	After the violation was pointed out to me, I was
21	talkiı	ng to Mr. Kenney and was looking at the pitman arm,
22	and it had a what I at the time thought was a dent also	
23	towa	rds the bottom half of the pitman arm, and I was
24	corrected and advised that that's how that particular	
25	pitma	an arm was designed. And then I was advised that

### **EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	the typical reason for the damage that was present at	
2	the top of the pitman arm would be the lack of steering	
3	stop or a steering stop that was no longer on the	
4	vehicle or out of adjustment.	
5	Q. And did you see the pitman arm on vehicle	
6	No. 1044?	
7	A. Yes, I did.	
8	Q. And what did you observe?	
9	A. I observed missing material at the output shaft	
10	where the pitman arm is connected to the steering box.	
11	Q. And what's the significance of that?	
12	A. It appeared to me that there was metal missing	
13	from both the output shaft and the steering box and the	
14	pitman arm, and it was smooth and shiny as though it had	
15	been slowly eroded away by contact with something	
16	rotational on the vehicle.	
17	It was almost like a cross-section of metal was	
18	removed from the top of the pitman arm and the output	
19	shaft or the steering box to the point to where there	
20	were castellations that were missing and degraded as a	
21	result of the material being gone.	
22	Q. Can you explain the word "castellations"?	

A. It was either -- it's a series of grooves
that -- that are on maybe the output shaft or on the top
of a nut that allow for a pin to be placed through them

23

24

## **EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / LAIHO**

1	so it	will hold the mechanism in place. It's hard to
2	desc	ribe without being able to illustrate it, but the
3	mate	rial was damaged to the point where I don't know if
4	there	were grooves that had a pin in them that were
5	missi	ng or if it was a castellated nut that had been
6	damaged.	
7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: All right. Thank	
8	you. I have no further questions for Mr. Auderer.	
9		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
10	Cross?	
11	MR. LAIHO: Just a couple, Your Honor.	
12		
13		EXAMINATION
14	BY M	IR. LAIHO:
15	Q.	Mr. Auderer, at any time during the inspection,
16	did you see the tire of Mr. Marsh's vehicle make contact	
17	with any other part of Mr. Marsh's vehicle?	
18	A.	No, I didn't.
19	Q.	Didn't see it make contact with the pitman arm?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Mr. Auderer, did anyone from the UTC take a
22	pictu	re of the pitman arm during this inspection?
23	A.	Not to my knowledge.
24	Q.	Why not?
25	Δ	Because I don't have knowledge that somehody

## **EXAMINATION OF AUDERER / LAIHO**

1	took a picture.		
2	Q. Okay. And you don't know why they wouldn't take		
3	a picture?		
4	A. No, I was I'm I was new at the time.		
5	Q. Okay.		
6	MR. LAIHO: No further questions, Your		
7	Honor.		
8	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. So you may		
9	make an opening statement.		
10	MR. LAIHO: Thank you, Your Honor.		
11	So, Your Honor, LeMay Harold LeMay		
12	Enterprises, Inc., which I'll refer to as LeMay, filed a		
13	contest to the UTC's notice of penalties. We also		
14	just to make sure the record's clear, there was an		
15	amended notice of penalties that was issued about a week		
16	and a half ago. We filed a contest yesterday as well		
17	electronically just to make sure that was part of the		
18	record as well. Same arguments, same issues, it was		
19	just there was a change to the original notice of		
20	penalties, so we wanted to make sure it was clear for		
21	the record that we also contested the amended notice of		
22	penalties.		
23	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Yes.		
24	MR. LAIHO: So LeMay cooperated with the UTC		
25	for this March 20th inspection. We scheduled this. The		

2.0

Vehicles, and we were very open to that idea. We scheduled that. The inspection occurred on LeMay's property. Again, we take issue with the fact this is considered a roadside violation. This was very deep in a private property. It was done before the drivers completed their -- or were allowed to complete their post-trip safety inspections. This was after they completed a pretrip, but before they completed the post-trip inspections.

District general manager, Larry Meany, who will testify as well as maintenance manager, Donald Kenney. LeMay didn't cherry-pick the vehicles that were going to be inspected. They wanted to give the UTC a full look at a cross-section of their fleet. Didn't pick new vehicles, they didn't pick certain vehicles that they knew had just been -- or they did a cross-section. Again, they were very cooperative throughout this inspection with the UTC.

MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor. If Counsel is testifying, then he should be sworn in. This is a brief adjudicatory proceeding and he can present a statement, but I would ask that he be sworn in if he is making -- going to continue to make a -- make factual statements.

O

MR. LAIHO: You'll hear testimony about this, Your Honor, so for the opening statement, I'm previewing what the testimony will be.

JUDGE CHARTOFF: I'm going to allow it.

MR. LAIHO: So going to the alleged out-of-service violations, for the alleged out-of-service violation related to the brake light. At the time the vehicle was determined to be out of service, the brake light was already operational. It was fixed. And as you see from the exhibit, page 16 of the North American Standard Out-of-Service Criteria Handbook, which is page 3 on Exhibit 1 for the UTC, provides an out-of-service violation, if successfully repaired on site and reinspected by the same inspector, will qualify for a CVSA decal.

That decal was not provided. It wasn't provided for the UTC at the time that this was repaired. Moreover, the brake light must have been out of operation only for a shorter period of time. You'll hear testimony that this would have been something that was inspected and replaced if this was during the post -- pretrip safety inspection, certainly the post-trip.

Regarding the alleged out-of-service violation related to the tires and the pitman arm,

you'll see again this is Exhibit 1 for the UTC, page 7,
 page 59 of the North American Standard Out-of-Service
 Criteria Handbook, provides that an out-of-service
 condition exists only if the tire can be made to contact

another component at the time of the inspection.

Your Honor, this is really the crux here.

These words matter, and you're going to hear testimony that that did not happen at the time of the inspection here. This is a fatal flaw. This is really the reason why we're here. This -- these out-of-service violations affect LeMay's CSA scores. This is something that is a big deal, as you'll see, for LeMay.

And it's just -- we're going to basically present three witnesses who will testify that this didn't happen. Besides district general manager, Larry Meany, and maintenance manager, Don Kenney, we'll present the time -- the driver of one of the trucks, Ian Marsh, who was there during the inspection as well.

And, Your Honor, I just want to make this very clear as well, we're not here to call anyone a liar. We're not here to -- what we're here to do is really just -- I think you know having done these many, many times before is two witnesses can see things differently, and I will present what my witnesses saw or didn't see. And as you see, the UTC will present their

1 witnesses who will state what they saw. 2 So this isn't meant to be any sort of name 3 calling or anything like that. I just want to make sure 4 that we're presenting our side of the case. So LeMay 5 has pride in its safety-conscious reputation, and we 6 want to make sure we defend that, so that's why we're 7 here. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. I have a question, a 9 legal question. So you've mentioned that this is not a 10 roadside -- does not qualify as a roadside inspection. 11 Do you have a definition, a legal definition, that 12 you're referring to or what is your basis for that? 13 MR. LAIHO: The basis for that -- I don't 14 have a legal definition, Your Honor. It's something 15 that -- it just pops up in the FMCSA database. So the 16 fact that -- I mean, this was on private property. So 17 this wasn't something at a scale house or anything like 18 that. This was on the private property. 19 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. You can call your 20 first witness. 21 MR. LAIHO: First witness is Donald Kenney. 22 ///// 23 ///// 24 ///// 25 /////

## EXAMINATION OF KENNEY / LAIHO 1 DONALD KENNEY, witness herein, having been 2 first duly sworn on oath, 3 was examined and testified 4 as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. LAIHO: 8 Q. Good morning, Mr. Kenney. A. Good morning. 10 Q. Can you please spell your full name for the 11 record. 12 A. It's Donald Kenney, D-o-n-a-l-d, K-e-n-n-e-y. 13 Q. And are you currently employed? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Where are you currently employed? A. Murrey's Disposal. 16 17 Q. And what's your job title at Murrey's Disposal? 18 A. Maintenance manager. 19 Q. When did you start working for Murrey's 20 Disposal? 21 A. I took that position on July 30th of this year. 22 Q. Very recently. 23 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you work for any company before 24

Murrey's Disposal?

		vani, triort of regimen, patients
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Which company did you work for before Murrey's
3	Dispo	osal?
4	A.	Harold LeMay Enterprises.
5	Q.	When did you start working for Harold LeMay
6	Ente	rprises?
7	A.	In July of 2010.
8	Q.	And when I refer to "LeMay," just for the
9	recor	d, and I'll be referring to Harold LeMay
10	Ente	rprises, your previous employer; is that understood?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	And what was your job title when you were
13	work	ing for LeMay?
14	A.	Maintenance manager as well.
15	Q.	And are you a certified mechanic?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	When did you first become a certificate
18	mechanic?	
19	A.	I took two years of classes at Highline
20	Com	munity College in 1995.
21		MR. LAIHO: Can everyone hear Mr. Kenney?
22	BY M	IR. LAIHO:
23	Q.	And what were your job duties as maintenance
24	manager for LeMay?	

A. In a nutshell, it's really to make sure that

- 1 | we're adhering to all policies, be it company or
- 2 Department of Motor Vehicles.
  - Q. And do you have experience attending Utilities
- 4 | and Transportation Commission, UTC, inspections of LeMay
- 5 | vehicles?

- 6 A. Yes, because of this one.
- Q. Okay. So were you present for a UTC inspection on March 20th, 2018, for LeMay?
- 9 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. What time of day did the inspection occur?
- 11 A. 2:00 p.m.
- 12 Q. And on that day, when did LeMay drivers begin
- 13 | their routes?
- A. They break them up in groups. The early groups
- start at 4:00 a.m. through the last group leaving at
- 16 | 6:00 in the morning.
- Q. And at the time of the UTC inspection, were
- LeMay drivers required to complete a pretrip inspection
- 19 before their route each day?
- 20 **A. Yes.**
- 21 Q. At the time of this UTC inspection, were LeMay
- 22 drivers required to complete a post-trip inspection
- <sup>23</sup> after their route each day?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. At the time of this UTC inspection, had drivers

	EXA	MINATION OF KENNEY / LAIHO			
1	conducted their pretrip safety inspections?				
2	A.	Their pretrip, yes.			
3	Q.	At the time of the UTC inspection, had drivers			
4	cond	ucted their post-trip safety inspections?			
5	A.	No, we were stopping them prior to where they			
6	cond	luct their post-trip.			
7	Q.	And where did this March 20th, 2018 inspection			
8	occu	r?			
9	A.	On Harold LeMay property.			
LO	Q.	And how far in Harold LeMay's property was this			
L1	inspection?				
L2	A.	I would guess that it's about a hundred yards			
L3	off th	ne street.			
L4	Q.	Okay. And describe the location where the UTC			
L5	inspe	ection occurred.			
L6	A.	It's a side portion of our yard where we store			
L7	some	e containers, but it's out of the way of truck			
L8	traffi	c.			
L9	Q.	And do you recall how many people were present			
20	from	the UTC during this inspection?			
21	A.	Five.			
22	Q.	Do you recall any of their names?			
23	A.	Mrs. Yeomans, Wayne Gilbert, those are the two			

Q. And did any inspector identify themselves as a

off the top of my head that I remember.

24

1	traine	ee?	
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Okay. And who was present for LeMay during this	
4	UTC	inspection most of the time?	
5	A.	Myself, Larry Meany, some of our supervisor	
6	staff,	Chris Twiggs from our maintenance shop.	
7	Q.	And how were vehicles selected to be inspected	
8	by the	e UTC?	
9	A.	As they were coming in and as the inspectors	
10	were	ready for a next one, the next truck that came in	
11	is the	e one that we had them inspect. So it was a mix of	
12	what	ever was coming in.	
13	Q.	Okay. And about how many LeMay trucks were	
14	inspe	ected during that March 20th inspection?	
15	A.	12.	
16	Q.	So, Mr. Kenney, did Inspector Yeomans inspect a	
17	truck driven by Mr. Nathan Molinek during this		
18	inspe	ection?	
19	A.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And what type of truck is Mr. Molinek's truck?	
21	A.	We call it an ASL. It's an automated side	
22	loade	er.	
23	Q.	And did Inspector Yeomans say she had found any	
24	alleged out-of-service violations on Mr. Molinek's		
25	truck?		

	EXAI	MINATION OF KENNEY / LAIHO
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	What was that?
3	A.	Brake lamps inoperable.
4	Q.	And what could be the cause of a brake light not
5	being	g operational?
6	A.	Typically the first thing we check is the fuse
7	for th	ne power.
8	Q.	And did you do that in this time?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	And so what occurred?
11	A.	We immediately checked it when it was noted that
12	they	weren't operational and replaced the fuse, which
13	made	e them operational over the course of a minute or
14	two.	
15	Q.	And who fixed this for LeMay?
16	A.	Chris Twiggs from our shop.
17	Q.	And after the brake light was fixed, what did
18	Inspe	ector Yeomans do?
19	A.	The vehicle was placed out of service.
20	Q.	So the brake light was already operational at
21	the ti	me the vehicle was placed out of service?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Would the brake light have been not operational
24	at the	e time Mr. Molinek's truck left LeMay's yard in the

25

morning?

1	A.	No, he would have checked it on his pretrip
2	insp	ection before leaving the yard.
3	Q.	And he's required to do that?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Is that just a LeMay requirement?
6	A.	No, that's a federal law.
7	Q.	During this inspection, did the UTC issue any
8	addit	ional alleged out-of-service violations?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	What was this other alleged violation?
11	A.	On lan Marsh's unit, 1044, for pitman arm.
12	Q.	What type of truck is Mr. Marsh's truck?
13	A.	It is a rear loader.
14	Q.	And what is it a garbage truck?
15	A.	Yes, it's a garbage truck.
16	Q.	Were you present when this vehicle was
17	inspe	ected?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	And who from the UTC inspected this vehicle?
20	A.	Ms. Yeomans and the inspector that was assisting
21	her.	
22	Q.	Okay. And you don't recall the name of that
23	other	inspector?
24	A.	I don't.
25	Q.	Okay. Did Inspector Yeomans do anything to

<b>FXAMINA</b>	TION OF	KENINIEV	/ I AIHO
	11() 1 () -		/ I AII I

L	inspect the tires or steering components of Mr. Marsh's
2	truck?

- A. The only inspection I saw for the steering components was the rock test where they check for free play in the linkage of the steering components.
  - Q. What -- describe the rock test for me.
- A. Engine off, in this case, since it's a conventional hood up, they ask the driver to grab the steering wheel and move it without moving the tires to check for how many inches of free travel they got versus -- and they're also looking for failed components moving like it should.
- Q. Okay. And just for the record, when you were indicating that the driver was rocking the steering wheel, what position of the clock would the driver be rocking the steering wheel? Like between 11:00 and 1:00 or 12:00 and 2:00 or what would...
- A. In that particular truck sensor was no worn components. It doesn't really move that far. So the worse it is, the further you get. So I -- if I did this, I apologize. It was this.
- 22 Q. So between, what, 11:00 and 1:00 or...
  - A. Approximately, yeah. It was very minor, so it was not out of compliance for that portion.
    - Q. And during this inspection, did you see the tire

EY	A MAINIA		$\cap$ E	KENNEY	/ / [	VIHO
$\Gamma \wedge$	AIVIIINA	<del>-</del> 1110714	UL		' / I	AIDU

	EXAI	MINATION OF KENNEY / LAIHO
1	make	contact with any part of Mr. Marsh's vehicle?
2	A.	I did not.
3	Q.	Did during this inspection of Mr. Marsh's
4	vehic	le, did Inspector Yeomans make any comments
5	conc	erning the pitman arm?
6	A.	She noticed that there was a shiny spot on the
7	pitma	an arm.
8	Q.	Did Inspector Yeomans tell you she saw the tire
9	make	contact with any portion of Mr. Marsh's vehicle?
LO	A.	No.
L1	Q.	Did the UTC inspectors find that this was an
L2	out-o	f-service violation immediately?
L3	A.	No.
L4	Q.	Why do you say that?
L5	A.	They congregated, they Ms. Yeomans and I
L6	belie	ve it was Mr. Gilbert were looking over the regs to
L7	dete	mine exactly which which one it was that so
L8	they	could state which violation it was.
L9	Q.	About how long did that process take?
20	A.	It seemed like a long time because we were
21	curio	ous as to the outcome, and if if I had to
22	spec	ulate, at least five minutes.
23	Q.	Did you witness Inspector Yeomans or anyone else
24	from	the UTC take a picture of this alleged violation?

A. No, I did not.

1	Q.	And after Mr. Molinek's truck had the fuse	
2	popped back in, were there was his truck inspected by		
3	anyo	ne from LeMay?	
4	A.	From excuse me?	
5	Q.	From LeMay?	
6	A.	From LeMay?	
7	Q.	Mm-hmm.	
8	A.	Myself, I can't attest to who else exactly.	
9	Myse	elf for sure and verified that they did come back on	
10	so		
11	Q.	Okay. Was there a	
12	A.	Larry may have been present with me there. I	
13	don't	t I don't want to speculate so	
14	Q.	Were there any other problems with the brake	
15	lights	beyond just the fuse?	
16	A.	With that truck	
17	Q.	Yes.	
18	A.	no.	
19	Q.	I'm sorry.	
20	A.	With that truck, no.	
21	Q.	Okay. And do you have any explanation for why	
22	the p	itman arm might appear shiny for that particular	
23	truck	?	
24	A.	One scenario could be because this truck does	

25

spend a lot of time off road on our rural -- rural

	EXAMINATION OF REINIET / EATHO
1	routes on dirt roads, that when it's in a full turn
2	position hitting potholes, that these components flex
3	and may allow it to reach when it normally wouldn't in a
4	static stop-to-stop turn on a hard surface.
5	Q. Can you tell the what the issue was without
6	seeing the tire make contact with the vehicle at the
7	time of the inspection?
8	A. You can speculate, but unless you actually make
9	it happen, it's still speculation.
10	Q. Okay. And I'll have you turn which I'll get
11	you a copy here.
12	MR. LAIHO: So what I handed the witness was
13	Staff Exhibits SY-1 through SY-4.
14	BY MR. LAIHO:
15	Q. Turning to SY-4, page 2, and just I know
16	we've done this. I just want to make sure it's clear
17	for the record, this do you recognize the vehicle in
18	that picture?
19	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. What vehicle is that?
21	A. 2017.
22	Q. And what type of vehicle is that?

- A. That is a front load garbage truck.
- Q. What sort of vehicle was Mr. Marsh's vehicle?
  - A. A rear load garbage truck.

## **EXAMINATION OF KENNEY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	Q. And do is that vehicle that's in that		
2	picture, is that still part of LeMay's fleet at this		
3	time?		
4	A. No, that was scrapped in 2014.		
5	Q. So it's no longer part of LeMay's fleet?		
6	A. No.		
7	MR. LAIHO: Reserve for redirect, Your		
8	Honor.		
9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Cross?		
LO	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.		
L1	Thank you.		
L2			
L3	EXAMINATION		
L4	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:		
L5	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kenney.		
L6	A. Morning.		
L7	Q. Now, you testified that, following Ms. Yeomans'		
L8	inspection, that Commission safety staff congregated and		
L9	appeared to be discussing discussing something for		
20	what seemed like a long time to you; have I		
21	characterized that correctly?		
22	A. Sounds right.		
23	Q. All right. And did you hear what Commission		
24	Staff was discussing?		
25	A No I did not		

## **EXAMINATION OF KENNEY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	Q. Now, I I understand from the testimony today
2	or from the presentation today that that the trucks
3	start their trips somewhere between 4:00 a.m. and 6:00
4	a.m.; is that correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. So the brake lights on truck No. 1044, they
7	could have been inoperable all day starting at sometime
8	shortly after the time the truck left in the morning,
9	correct?
10	MR. LAIHO: Objection. Calls for
11	speculation.
12	A. Well, there was no problem with
13	MR. LAIHO: Just hold on. Wait until the
14	judge rules.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
16	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
17	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And I'm sorry, I
18	have the wrong truck number.
19	JUDGE CHARTOFF: I'm I'm going to allow
20	it because yeah, his testimony already speculated
21	that it might have when it might have occurred so
22	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
23	Honor. And I'll correct my question to reflect vehicle
24	No. 3571, the vehicle driven by Mr. Molinek.
25	A. Yeah, I responded to vehicle 1044, though.

## **EXAMINATION OF KENNEY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	There was no there was no brake light problem with
2	that truck.
3	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
4	Q. So I have the wrong number?
5	All right. Vehicle No. 3571, the vehicle driven
6	by Mr. Molinek, and I would ask again that, given that
7	the trucks started their trips between 4:00 and 6:00
8	a.m., isn't it possible that the that the the
9	brake lights on that truck could have been inoperable
10	all day starting shortly after the time that they began
11	service in the morning?
12	A. In my opinion, it's very unlikely.
13	Q. At any rate, you do agree that the stoplights
14	were inoperable at the time of the inspection, right?
15	A. Correct.
16	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. That's
17	all the questions that I have.
18	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Redirect?
19	MR. LAIHO: No redirect, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Mr. Laiho, you may
21	call your next witness.
22	MR. LAIHO: Respondent calls Ian Marsh, Your
23	Honor.
24	/////
25	////

## EXAMINATION OF MARSH / LAIHO 1 IAN MARSH, witness herein, having been 2 first duly sworn on oath, 3 was examined and testified 4 as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. LAIHO: 8 Q. Good morning, Mr. Marsh. 9 A. Good morning. 10 Q. Can you please spell your name for the record. 11 A. My name is Ian Marsh. It's I-a-n, M-a-r-s-h. 12 Q. Okay. Are you currently employed? 13 A. Yes, I am. 14 Q. Where are you employed? 15 A. At LeMay or Harold LeMay, Incorporated. 16 Q. And what is your job title? 17 A. I am a garbage man. 18 Q. Specifically do you drive any vehicles? 19 A. I drive a rear load garbage truck. 20 Q. And when did you start working as a driver for 21 LeMay? 22 A. In 2011. 23 Q. Do you have a commercial driver's license? 24 A. Yes, I do. 25

Q. How long have you had a commercial driver's

licer	15e'
	licer

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

- A. Since 2010.
- Q. And what were your job duties as a driver for
- 4 LeMay?
  - A. I clock in, I start at a 5:00, grab my paperwork or any work orders, go through the morning meeting, go out pretrip, go out on my route, pick up all the cans and work orders, dump, come back, fuel, and then I have post-trip and finish up all my paperwork.
  - Q. And when do you typically begin your route each day?
- 12 A. I start at 5:00 a.m.
- Q. Okay. And on March 20th, 2018, when would you
- 14 | have began your route?
- 15 A. 5:00 a.m.
- Q. As a driver for LeMay, was your truck inspected
- 17 by the UTC on March 20th, 2018?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And were you driving your rear loader garbage
- 20 | truck that day?
- 21 **A. Yes, I was.**
- 22 Q. And how long had you driven that truck?
- A. At the time of the inspection, about three and a half years.
- Q. And what time of day did the inspection occur?

1	A.	Around 2:00, 2:15-ish.
2	Q.	And on March 20th, 2018, had you completed a
3	pretri	p safe inspection?
4	A.	Yes, I did.
5	Q.	At the time of the inspection, had you completed
6	your	post-trip safety inspection?
7	A.	No, I did not.
8	Q.	Would you have been were you required to
9	comp	lete a post-trip inspection that day?
10	A.	Yes, I was.
11	Q.	On the day of the inspection, do you recall how
12	many	UTC inspectors were present in inspecting your
13	truck	on that day?
14	A.	There was two inspectors inspecting my truck
15	that day, but I know there was more in the yard, but I	
16	do n	ot recall the number.
17	Q.	Okay. Do you recall the names of the UTC
18	inspe	ectors that inspected your truck?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Do you recall what sex the inspectors were?
21	A.	There was a female and a male.
22	Q.	Okay. Describe for me what happened when you
23	were	first approached by an inspector.
24	A.	It was the female inspector, and she came up and
25	aske	d for all my paperwork, like the registrations and

- the insurance, my driver's license and the VCRs and stuff like that.
  - Q. Did you provide those documents?
- 4 A. I -- yes, I did.

- 5 Q. And during the inspection of your truck, did the
- 6 UTC inspectors make you conduct any tests related to
- 7 | your tires or steering?
- 8 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. What -- what tests or tests was that?
- 10 A. It was the rock test on the steering wheel.
- Q. Okay. And before conducting this test, was your engine on or off?
- 13 A. It was off.
- 14 Q. Okay. And describe the test for me.
- A. They asked me to rock the steering wheel left
- and right, so I grabbed the steering wheel roughly about
- 17 | 10:00 and 2:00-ish and pulled to the left and to the
- right as hard as I could and for as long as they wanted
- 19 | me to do it.
- Q. Could you turn the steering wheel very far?
- 21 A. No, I could not.
- Q. Why not?
- A. Because the engine was off.
- Q. Did you have power steering at that time?
- 25 A. No, I did not.

1	Q.	Why	not?
	<b>—</b> .		

2

3

4

5

- A. Because the engine was off.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And it was disengaged.
- Q. How far -- how many -- how far could you turn
- 6 | your steering wheel?
  - A. Maybe a couple of inches. That was it.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Back and forth.
- Q. Okay. And how long did you rock the steering
- 11 wheel for this test?
- A. It seemed like forever because my shoulders were getting sore because I was doing it for like two or three minutes. The gentleman was doing it at the time, and he just kept having me do it over and over again.
- Q. Okay. Did the lady inspector, did she tell you to do this test?
- 19 **A. No.**
- Q. Okay. Where was the lady inspector during this
- 21 | time?
- A. If I remember right, she was standing near the truck.
- Q. And was the lady inspector there the entire time
- your truck was being inspected?

1	A.	No, she was not.
2	Q.	Okay. About how long was she present inspecting
3	your	vehicle?
4	A.	She was there for at least the first 15 minutes
5	for s	ure, and then I don't know where she went to, and
6	the g	entleman kind of kept going with the inspection,
7	and t	then she came back later.
8	Q.	Okay. And the gentleman was the one who
9	instru	ucted you to do the rock your steering wheel test?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	During the time you were doing that rock your
12	steer	ing wheel test, were you able to see down to your
13	tires?	
14	A.	I could see the top of my tires with the hood
15	open	ı, yeah.
16	Q.	So you could see because your hood was open?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Why was your hood open?
19	A.	They instructed me to have it open during that
20	section of the inspection.	
21	Q.	At any time during the inspection of your
22	vehic	ele, did the tire make contact with any other part
23	of yo	ur vehicle?
24	A.	I did not see them make contact, no.
25	Q.	Would they have?

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Why not?
3	A.	There's no power steering. You can barely move
4	them	<b>.</b>
5	Q.	Did you ever feel the tire make contact with any
6	other	part of your vehicle during the inspection?
7	A.	No, I did not.
8	Q.	Did any inspector tell you they saw the tire
9	make	contact with another portion of your vehicle during
LO	the in	spection?
L1	A.	No.
L2		MR. LAIHO: Reserve for redirect, Your
L3	Hono	r.
L4		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Any cross?
L5		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I don't have any
L6	cross	for Mr. Marsh, but I will be asking to call
L7	recal	Ms. Yeomans when we're when we're finished
L8	with t	he with the Company's presentation.
L9		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay.
20		So okay. You're you can call your
21	next	witness.
22		MR. LAIHO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Marsh.
23		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24		MR. LAIHO: LeMay calls Larry Meany, Your
25	Hono	r.

	EXAMINATION OF MEANY / LAIHO		
1	LARRY MEANY, witness herein, having been		
2	first duly sworn on oath,		
3	was examined and testified		
4	as follows:		
5			
6	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. Please be		
7	seated.		
8	And before he gets started, we've been going		
9	for about an hour. Does anybody need a break?		
10	MS. YEOMANS: I would like a break.		
11	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Is it okay if we do a		
12	five-minute		
13	MR. LAIHO: Certainly, Your Honor.		
14	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Let's do a		
15	five-minute break. We're off the record.		
16	(A break was taken from		
17	10:36 a.m. to 10:45 a.m.)		
18	JUDGE CHARTOFF: We are back on the record		
19	at 10:45.		
20	Okay.		
21			
22	EXAMINATION		
23	BY MR. LAIHO:		
24	Q. Mr. Meany, you still are under oath.		
25	Can you please spell your name for the record.		

- 1 A. My name is Larry Meany, L-a-r-r-y, M-e-a-n-y.
- 2 Q. Are you currently employed?
  - A. Yes, I am.
  - Q. And where are you employed?
- 5 A. Employed at Waste Connections in McKinney,
- 6 Texas.

3

4

- Q. And how long have you been employed there?
- 8 A. Since Memorial Day.
- Q. Of this year?
- 10 **A. May 20th, yes.**
- 11 Q. Did you work for any other companies before
- 12 | Waste Connections in McKinney, Texas?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. Which company did you work for?
- 15 A. Harold LeMay Enterprises, Incorporated.
- Q. And when did you work for Harold LeMay
- 17 | Enterprises, Inc.?
- 18 A. From 2002, November of 2002 until May 20th.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so when I refer to "LeMay," I'll be
- referring to Harold LeMay Enterprises, Inc.; is that
- 21 | understood?
- 22 A. That's fine.
- Q. And what sort of work does LeMay perform?
- A. We perform commercial and residential refuse and
- <sup>25</sup> recycling collection.

1	Q.	And what was your job title when you worked for
2	LeMa	ay?
3	A.	I was the district general manager.
4	Q.	How long did you work for LeMay?
5	A.	Since November of 2002.
6	Q.	And what were your job duties as district
7	gene	ral manager?
8	A.	General manager in oversight of safety and
9	oper	ations as well as financial and administrative
10	oper	ations.
11	Q.	When you worked for LeMay, did you have any
12	expe	rience attending Utilities and Transportation
13	Com	mission inspections of LeMay vehicles?
14	A.	Yes, I did.
15	Q.	Were you present for a UTC inspection of LeMay
16	vehicles on March 20th, 2018?	
17	A.	Yes, I was.
18	Q.	Was this a surprise inspection or a scheduled
19	inspection?	
20	Α.	No, this was a scheduled inspection.
21	Q.	How was it scheduled?
22	A.	Wayne Gilbert had called to schedule an
23	insp	ection stating that he it had been a while since
24	the Commission had been to see our vehicles and he'd	
25	like t	o come in and do an inspection of our vehicles.

1	Q.	And do you know who Mr. Gilbert works for?
2	A.	The Washington Utilities and Transportation
3	Com	mission.
4	Q.	And do you recall what time of day this March
5	20th	inspection occurred?
6	A.	It was after lunchtime. I believe it was around
7	1:30	or 2 o'clock. I don't recall the exact time
8	they	they came to our facility.
9	Q.	At the time of the UTC inspection, were LeMay
LO	drive	rs required to complete pretrip safety inspection
L1	of the	eir vehicles?
L2	A.	Yes, they were.
L3	Q.	At the time of the UTC inspection, were LeMay
L4	drive	rs required to complete post-trip safety
L5	inspe	ections of their vehicles?
L6	A.	Yes.
L7	Q.	At the time of the UTC inspection, had drivers
L8	comp	pleted their post-trip safety inspections?
L9	A.	No, they had not.
20	Q.	Where at what location did the March 20th UTC
21	inspe	ection occur?
22	A.	This one occurred in our yard in Fredrickson,
23	Was	hington, well off of the road through in a storage
24	area	where we store commercial containers that's out of
25	the v	vay of the traffic, and it's a safe area and clean

	EXAMINATION OF MEANY / LAIHO		
1	area	for the inspection to take place.	
2	Q.	Is this outside or inside LeMay's gate?	
3	A.	Well inside.	
4	Q.	Do you recall who was or how many UTC	
5	inspe	ectors were present for this inspection?	
6	A.	I believe there was five.	
7	Q.	Do you recall the names of any of those	
8	inspe	ectors?	
9	A.	Not all of them. Sandi Yeomans and Wayne	
10	Gilbert, I recall they were the initial inspectors		
11	onsite. And they would contact [inaudible] I don't		
12	recal	If the other names at this time.	
13	Q.	And who was present for LeMay during most of the	
14	inspection?		
15	A.	There was myself and Donald Kenney.	
16	Q.	And how were vehicles selected to be inspected	
17	by th	e UTC?	
18	A.	Don or I selected them at random as they came in	
19	our g	gate. We wanted to provide a good cross-section of	
20	the t	ypes of vehicle collection vehicles that are	
21	used	, and as they came in the gate, we had them go into	
22	that	area to to be inspected.	
23	Q.	Okay.	

24

25

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Excuse me. Mr. Meany,

could you speak a little -- I'm having a little trouble

- 1 hearing you.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I apologize.
- 3 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
  - BY MR. LAIHO:

- 6 Q. Mr. Meany, do you recall an alleged
- 7 out-of-service violation related to a nonoperational
- 8 | brake light during this inspection?
- A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. How did you become aware of this alleged
- 11 | violation?
- A. Don Kenney had waved me over to let me know that there was a violation found with the brake lights.
- 14 Q. Okay. What happened after that?
- 15 A. We made a decision immediately to have our
- 16 mechanic who was on -- there at the time of the
- 17 inspection immediately check the fuse panel. Just a
- 18 common practice. He replaced a fuse and the brake
- 19 | lights became immediately operational.
- Q. Okay. And after the brake light was
- 21 operational, was the vehicle declared to be out of
- 22 | service by Inspector Yeomans?
- 23 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. During this March 20th inspection, did the UTC
- 25 issue any additional alleged out-of-service violations

1	related to LeMay vehicles?	
2	A. Yes, they did.	
3	Q. Do you recall what other violation there was?	
4	A. There was a violation with one of our rear	
5	loader collection vehicles concerning a pitman arm part	
6	of the steering linkage.	
7	Q. Were you present when this vehicle was	
8	inspected?	
9	A. I was in the area, yes.	
10	Q. Okay. Do you recall who inspected this vehicle?	
11	A. I recall Inspector Yeomans being in the area and	
12	looking into the hood. I don't recall the other	
13	inspector.	
14	Q. Okay. Were you during when you were or	
15	did you watch any portion of this inspection of	
16	Mr. Marsh's vehicle?	
17	A. Not directly, no.	
18	Q. Okay. And did Inspector Yeomans at any time	
19	tell you anything about this inspection?	
20	A. After it had taken some time, the inspection was	
21	going on for a lengthy amount of time compared to the	
22	other ones, and we inquired if there was an issue. And	
23	she indicated there was a problem with the steering	
24	linkage on the pitman arm was shiny at that point. And	

there was some -- quite a bit of deliberation between

1	inspectors, and I don't recall which ones. I know				
2	Inspector Yeomans was there, quite a bit of deliberation				
3	back and forth I'm assuming about that that				
4	violation.				
5	Q. And about how long did UTC inspectors discuss				
6	this violation?				
7	A. It was definitely greater than five minutes, but				
8	I could not tell you the exact time.				
9	Q. Mr. Meany, do you have any explanation as to why				
10	the pitman arm might be shiny at that time?				
11	A. It's just an assumption, but these collection				
12	vehicles operate in all types of different terrain,				
13	off-road, on-road, in parking lots, and there's a lot of				
14	articulation and undulation in the ground, articulation				
15	in the trucks, and it is possible for the tire to hit				
16	the pitman arm from time to time during that type of				
17	operation. So that's purely speculation, but it could				
18	happen.				
19	Q. Did you see the tire make contact with any				
20	portion of Mr. Marsh's vehicle during this inspection?				
21	A. No, I did not.				
22	Q. Okay. And how did you react to those two				

Q. Okay. Why is that?

24

25

A. I was a little frustrated.

#### EXAMINATION OF MEANY / LAIHO

Α.	The intent, that I assumed, of the inspection
when	the initial call was made was similar to the rest
of ou	r other regulators that come onto our site
regul	arly. Where, you know, hey, we just want to come
in. W	e haven't seen you for a number of years. We want
to co	me in and take a look at your trucks.

We were very cooperative and open to do that just like we are with everybody. It was a little bit of a cavalier approach from my -- in my opinion about the brake lights, you know, as will -- will the truck be put back in service. And Inspector Yeomans made a comment to the effect of well, you know the drill. And I did get a little frustrated with that. So I kind of walked away at that point.

Q. Following this March 20th, 2018 inspection, did you receive any email from the UTC related to the inspection?

#### A. I did.

Q. Okay. And, Mr. Meany, if you'll please turn to the notebook in front of you that includes respondent's Exhibit No. 1. Please turn to 10 in the upper right-hand corner.

Do you recognize this document?

#### A. I do.

Q. What is this document?

1	A.	This is an email, follow-up email, I received				
2	from Mr. Gilbert concerning the inspection, thanking us					
3	for the time and the drivers for acting professionally					
4	and	t was a good training exercise, you know, for the				
5	inspection vehicles.					
6	Q.	Q. Mr. Meany, did this did these alleged				
7	out-of-service violations affect LeMay's business in any					
8	way?					
9	A.	Yes, they did.				
10	Q.	How so?				
11	A.	A. These scores have a negative impact on the				
12	reputation. Our first operating value is safety. We					
13	take that very, very seriously. And the negative					
14	scores, SCA scores, affect our operation or reputation					
15	of ou	ır company.				
16	Q.	Is LeMay a safety-conscious company?				
17	A.	Absolutely.				
18	Q.	Why do you say that?				
19	A.	It's our first operating value and we embrace				
20	that	value. It's not just something on a wall. It's				
21	actu	ally engrained and embraced in our culture.				
22	Q.	And, Mr. Meany, did you receive any UTC notice				
23	of pe	nalties related to this inspection?				
24	Α.	Yes. we did.				

25

Q. And did you file any documented response to

# **EXAMINATION OF MEANY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	that?	
2	A.	Yes, I did.
3	Q.	Okay. So just I guess we've already
4	stipul	ated to admissibility of it, but if you'll just
5	look 1	through pages 1 through 20 there briefly and let me
6	know	if that's the appeal you just testified about.
7	A.	Yes, this looks familiar.
8	Q.	Okay. This is the document?
9	A.	Yes, it is.
LO	Q.	Okay. And did you receive any amended UTC
L1	notice	e of penalties related to this inspection?
L2	A.	Yes, we did.
L3	Q.	And did you also file an appeal for that?
L4	A.	Yes, we did.
L5		MR. LAIHO: Reserve for redirect, Your
L6	Hono	r.
L7		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
L8		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your
L9	Hono	r.
20		
21		EXAMINATION
22	BY M	IS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
23	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Meany.
24	A.	Good morning.
25	Q.	I believe I have just one question for you and

## EXAMINATION OF MEANY / CAMERON-RUI KOWSKI

		WINATION OF WILANT / CAMERON-ROLROWORD				
1	that is	s, did LeMay replace the pitman arm on Mr. Marsh's				
2	vehic	le?				
3	A.	Yes, we did.				
4	Q.	And when did that occur?				
5	A. I don't have a date for that, but it was before					
6	we put the truck back in service, but I don't I don't					
7	have the date for the replacement.					
8	Q. Would Mr. Kenney know approximately when the					
9	date	was?				
10	A.	You would have to ask him that question. I				
11	woul	dn't I wouldn't know that.				
12		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I				
13	ask N	Ir. Kenney approximately when the vehicle was placed				
14	back	in service to see if he knows?				
15		MR. LAIHO: I can I can do one I can				
16	stipul	ate to it. I could look at the date that it was				
17	signe	d, that Mr. Kenney certified that the it was				
18	signe	d back in service. I can look at that right now				
19	and I	'll stipulate to that on the record that that's				
20	when	it was repaired.				
21		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.				
22		MR. LAIHO: So for the record, Your Honor,				
23	the d	ate that it was certified, it had been corrected,				
24	was I	March 26th, 2018.				
25		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. I find I				

## **EXAMINATION OF MEANY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	do have one one more	question for Mr. Meany.
---	----------------------	-------------------------

#### BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:

Q. Mr. Meany, if this inspection had been a terminal inspection as opposed to a destination check, the Company would have received the same out-of-service violation from Staff, correct?

#### A. I would assume so.

Q. In other words, the fact that it was one type of inspection or another wouldn't have changed the -- how Staff recorded the violations and how they were then ultimately recorded in the electronic safety systems, right?

## A. I don't necessarily agree with that, no.

Q. However, you do agree, however, that regardless of the kind of inspection it is, the -- when there is a brake light that's inoperable, it will be recorded as an out-of-service violation and when the -- a tire is found to be contacting the vehicle, it will also be recorded as an out-of-service violation, correct?

## A. Again, I would not necessarily agree with that.

Q. If this had been a terminal check and Staff had found that the brake lights on a vehicle were inoperable or that a tire was contacting the vehicle, the vehicle still would have been placed out of service, correct?

# A. Could you define "terminal check"? I'm a little

correct?

#### **EXAMINATION OF MEANY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

	uncla	ar ac	to	what	that	means
ı	uncie	ai as	· LO	wnai	. urat	means.

- Q. All right. If this had not been a roadside inspection, if it had been an inspection with a different type of -- if it had been a terminal inspection, then -- and Staff had discovered that the brake lights on the vehicle were inoperable or if Staff had discovered that a tire was contacting the vehicle, the vehicle still would have been placed out of service,
- A. Again, no. I'm sorry, I don't agree with that.

  This was well into our private property where these trucks, you know, went through a gate. They went over a speed bump. They're -- they were well inside, you know, defined private property. This was not in any way, from my opinion, a roadside inspection.

So when you're saying a terminal inspection, I guess I'm still a little bit unclear as to what that means. What a terminal -- what the difference between a terminal inspection is and what the inspection is inside our yard. I'm assuming that means the same thing, so perhaps I'm incorrect there and I apologize.

Q. If the -- if -- regardless of where the inspection had occurred, you would still expect that if there was an -- if there was a violation that was in the out-of-service criteria, that Staff would still place

## **EXAMINATION OF MEANY / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	the v	ehicle out of service, correct?				
2	A.	No, I would not agree with that. I'm sorry.				
3	Q.	Mr. Meany, are in your experience, have you				
4	expe	rienced safety inspections being conducted on LeMay				
5	prem	ises?				
6	A. Not from the Utilities Commission, no, ma'am.					
7	Q. I'm sorry?					
8	A. Not from the Utilities Commission, no. Not on					
9	LeMay property.					
10		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have no further				
11	questions.					
12		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Redirect?				
13		MR. LAIHO: No, your Honor.				
14		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. All right. You are				
15	finish	ed.				
16		Okay. Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, you would like				
17	to ca	ll recall Ms. Yeomans?				
18		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I would, Your Honor.				
19		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. And, Ms. Yeomans,				
20	you a	are still under oath.				
21		THE WITNESS: Yes.				
22		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.				
23	/////					
24	/////					
25	/////					

## **EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI:
3	Q. All right. Ms. Yeomans, I'm going to see if we
4	can clear up a few things.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. In your prior testimony, you had characterized
7	this inspection as a destination check. If what
8	other type of inspections are there?
9	A. We have terminal inspections, which are usually
10	done in the process of doing a compliance investigation.
11	We may have one in regards to an accident. Mostly they
12	are done at a company's location or they are done on
13	someone else's premises such as Safeco Field, which is
14	private property, or SeaTac, somewhere like that.
15	Q. Is it unusual that a carrier would undergo a
16	safety inspection at its own premises?
17	A. This one was unique so that we would have the
18	opportunity to stop garbage trucks in the process of
19	while they were in service.
20	Q. All right. I'm going to talk about the were
21	you here for did you hear the testimony of Mr. Kenney
22	and Mr. Meany?
23	A. Yes, I did.
24	Q. All right. Do you recall that Mr. Kenney
25	testified about a rock test?

## **EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / CAMERON-RULKOWSKI**

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And was it during the rock test that you
3	obse	rved the tire contact the vehicle?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	During your inspection, was the key in the
6	ignitio	on?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And would this have allowed the tires to turn?
9	A.	We leave the key in the on or accessory position
10	beca	use most vehicles these days are power steering, and
11	to do	the rock test or the turn test, you would have to
12	have	it in that position in order for the tires to turn
13	prop	erly, be able to turn them.
14	Q.	All righty. And Mr. Laiho talked about a CVSA
15	deca	I and referenced Exhibit SY-1, page 3.
16		Are you required to issue a CVSA decal?
17	A.	No, we're not.
18	Q.	And if you didn't issue one, would there be any
19	probl	em for a carrier that had no CVSA decal?
20	A.	No. The CVSA decal is basically so that other
21	insp	ectors know a particular vehicle was inspected in a
22	certa	in period of time.
23	Q.	All right. Thank you.
24		Back to the type of inspection, if this had
25	if r4	egardless of where the inspection took place

	EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / LAIHO
1	when you discover when you discovered the inoperable
2	brake lights and when you discovered the tire touching
3	the vehicle, would you have placed these vehicles out of
4	service?
5	A. Yeah, the location does not matter as to whether
6	a vehicle is out of service or not. It matters if it
7	meets the criteria in the out-of-service criteria, yes.
8	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. Those
9	are all my questions for Ms. Yeomans.
10	MR. LAIHO: Couple questions, Your Honor.
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. LAIHO:
14	Q. So, Inspector Yeomans, with the vehicle
15	related or Mr. Marsh's vehicle, the one concerning
16	the pitman arm, you talked about how the key in the
17	ignition, is it your opinion that this allowed the tires
18	to turn as if there was power steering?
19	A. When it's on accessory, it makes it easier for
20	the driver to turn the wheel.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. Versus completely off.
23	Q. And do you know what year vehicle Mr. Marsh's
24	vehicle was?

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

25

## **EXAMINATION OF YEOMANS / LAIHO**

1	Q.	Okay. Do you know if it had access to power
2	steeri	ing with the engine off?
3	A.	I do not.
4	Q.	So you don't know if the fact that the truck is
5	turne	d off it does not have access to power steering?
6	A.	Usual practice is to turn it to the auxiliary
7	posit	ion to make sure that all lights work and the
8	steer	ing is able to be used.
9	Q.	Okay. You don't know if this truck had access
10	to po	wer steering at that time?
11	A.	I do not.
12		MR. LAIHO: No further questions, Your
13	Hono	r.
14		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Ms. Cameron-Rulkowski, any
15	redire	ect?
16		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: No redirect. Thank
17	you, `	Your Honor.
18		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So would the parties
19	like to	make closing statements?
20		MR. LAIHO: I can make a brief one, Your
21	Hono	r.
22		MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: We can go ahead and
23	do th	at. I think that it this is the case where if
24	one p	party makes a closing statement, then the other is
25	going	to want to make a closing statement.

1	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Staff doesn't
3	necessarily need to do a closing statement, but if the
4	Company wishes to, then we will as well.
5	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. So would you
6	like to go first?
7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Sure.
8	In Staff's view, this is a straightforward
9	matter of two vehicles' safety rule violations. Staff
10	conducted a destination check of LeMay vehicles on March
11	20th of this year, and a destination check, as
12	Ms. Yeomans testified, is an inspection where the
13	vehicles and drivers are actually operating at the time
14	of the inspection.
15	As we heard from LeMay, the post-trip
16	inspections had not yet taken place at the time of the
17	inspection, and this is consistent with the destination
18	check, which is supposed to be a check of the vehicles
19	while they're operating. And as Ms. Yeomans testified,
20	the actual location of the inspection is is not
21	material.
22	During the inspection, Staff placed two
23	vehicles out of service for violations of the
24	Commission's Vehicle and Driver Safety Rule, WAC
25	480-70-201. In WAC 480-70-201, the Commission adopts

1	federal vehicle safety rules including 49 CFR parts 393
2	and 396. Also, pursuant to WAC 480-70-201, the
3	Commission follows the North American Uniform
4	Out-of-Service Criteria and will place out of service
5	any motor vehicle having safety defects identified in
6	those criteria.
7	The criteria are published in a handbook,
8	and the Commission has adopted the April 2018 edition of
9	the handbook in WAC 480-70-999. And relevant excerpts
10	from the April 2018 edition of the handbook are in
11	Exhibit SY-1.
12	The safety defects that Staff identified on
13	the two LeMay vehicles respectively were, one,
14	inoperable brake lights, and two, tire on the front
15	steering axle making contact with the vehicle.
16	Inoperable brake lights is a violation of 49 CFR section
17	393.9(a), which provides that all required lamps be
18	capable of being operated at all times. And having a
19	tire contacting the pitman arm is a violation of 49 CFR
20	section 396.3, which requires parts and accessories
21	including axles and attaching parts, wheels and rims and
22	steering systems, to be in safe and proper operating
23	condition at all times.

Both of the defects that Staff identified,

inoperable stop lamps and the tire contacting the

24

25

vehicle, are defects included in the Out-of-Service
 Criteria. This means that Staff must place a vehicle
 out of service when they discover either of these
 defects in a vehicle.

Staff properly placed each vehicle out of service. Even though the Company repaired the brake lights on the spot following the inspection, it is uncontested that the brake lights were inoperable during the inspection. Inoperable brake lights are an out-of-service defect, and Ms. Yeomans followed proper procedure when she followed through and recorded the vehicle as out of service.

Regarding the tire contacting the vehicle,
LeMay suggests that Ms. Yeomans did not follow proper
procedure to identify the violation. Ms. Yeomans
testified that no one else was around while she was
conducting the test during which she saw the tire
contacting the pitman arm.

And so in this case, we simply can't rely on the Company's witnesses because they did not see what Ms. Yeomans saw. She observed the tire actually contacting the pitman arm when she asked the driver to turn the wheel. And as we cleared up, this was not during the rock test.

Ms. Yeomans -- the wear on the pitman arm

1	that both Ms. Yeomans and Mr. Auderer testified to is
2	further evidence that the tire was contacting the pitman
3	arm. Also, we heard also, we learned from the
4	from the Company that the pitman arm actually was
5	replaced soon after the inspection took place, and this
6	is further indication that that there was a problem
7	associated or involving the pitman arm.
8	In sum, Staff has supported the violations
9	alleged in this proceeding and they should stand. That
LO	concludes Staff's presentation.
L1	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
L2	MR. LAIHO: Thank you, Your Honor.
L3	So the context of this, Your Honor, is the
L4	respondent, LeMay, was very cooperative before, during,
L5	and after the inspection. After the inspection, even
L6	received an email saying that their staff were
L7	cooperative. They were cooperative with the UTC
L8	throughout this process. They scheduled it, UTC got
L9	some experience with these types of vehicles.
20	As far as the two alleged out-of-service
21	violations with the brake light, that was fixed on the
22	spot, still declared out of service, didn't get a
23	sticker, even though they're supposed to get a sticker.
24	As far as the pitman arm violation, again,

this comes down to testimony. We have witnesses testify

25

2.0

that there could be other explanations for why the
pitman arm was shiny. Trucks sometimes go off roads,
they go down gravel roads. That's what happened -that's -- and, yes, the pitman arm was replaced, but
that doesn't necessarily mean it's because the tire made
contact with the pitman arm. There's no evidence of
that.

Also, during -- there's quite a bit of -besides direct testimony, there was one person there who
was there the entire time while Mr. Marsh's truck was
being inspected, and that was Mr. Marsh. He was there,
he had clear access to see where the tires were during
any of the tests. The only test you -- that were -where the steering wheel was being moved was this rock
test. Testified to that. Didn't see the tire make
contact with any component of the vehicle. Couldn't
have because they didn't have power steering. We have
no evidence that, in fact, it did have power steering
with the engine off.

In addition, at the time of the inspection, all of LeMay's witnesses are -- Mr. Meany and Mr. Kenney testified at no point did any UTC inspector say that the tire made contact with the vehicle. They do recall that Ms. Yeomans said that the paint was worn on the pitman arm. If there was a situation where there was contact

with the tire and the pitman arm, believe that would have been what would be mentioned, not the paint. In addition, they could have taken pictures to demonstrate this. Did not do so.

These are all -- in addition to the direct evidence, there's also circumstantial evidence of this, and the words of the handbook matter as adopted by the WAC. And it says an out-of-service violation condition exists only if the tire can be made to contact the other portion of the vehicle at the time of the inspection.

If you do not have that witness, you do not have that testimony, do not have that evidence, an out-of-service condition does not exist and we're left to speculation. We're left to assumptions, and that's what -- that's why we're here, Your Honor.

And, again, this is something where two witnesses can see something different, Your Honor. I certainly understand that as an attorney. My witnesses saw what they saw and the UTC had their witnesses as well.

So, again, intention here is just to demonstrate our case, present the evidence that's out there. We're certainly not trying to call out or question anybody's integrity or anything like that.

That's what we're here for, Your Honor. Thank you.

1	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
2	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, I have
3	one final note, if I may. Counsel for LeMay discussed
4	taking pictures, and as Ms. Yeomans testified, Staff has
5	not seen a need to take pictures.
6	MR. LAIHO: So I guess, Your Honor, this is
7	out of order. We've had our closing statements.
8	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I would ask for
9	rebuttal of the closing statement.
LO	JUDGE CHARTOFF: I I understand that
L1	it it is not or let me ask.
L2	Is it I believe you stated that it wasn't
L3	UTC's practice to take photos?
L4	MS. YEOMANS: Correct.
L5	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So is is there
L6	anything do you need to say anything further?
L7	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: All I wanted to say
L8	is that Staff treats all of the carriers the same.
L9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. So before we
20	adjourn, I would like to request that the parties waive
21	the requirement to issue an order in ten days because I
22	would like to have the transcript available to me when
23	I'm writing my decision, and it usually takes seven to
24	ten days to receive the transcript. And I would
25	anticipate that I would issue an order within five days

1	from the day the transcript is received. Does either
2	party have an objection to that waiving that
3	requirement?
4	MR. LAIHO: No, Your Honor.
5	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: No objection from
6	Staff, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. Does
8	either party have anything further before we go off the
9	record?
10	MR. LAIHO: No, Your Honor.
11	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not from Staff, Your
12	Honor.
13	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you all for
14	coming here today. We are off the record.
15	(Adjourned at 11:17 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	
6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
LO	
L1	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6 L7	
L 7	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	