

May 16, 2002

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REPLY
(May 23, 2002)

RE: David and Janis Stevens; Paul Carrick; Alan and Jim Wiemeyer; Chris and Cecily Flavell; Stan and Kay Miller; Michael and Colleen Stover; Richard and Paula Russell; Ben G. Marcin; Ronald and Victoria Montgomery; Charles and Michelle Clark; Paul Schulte; Sue Perrault; and Jorg Reinholt v. Rosario Utilities, LLC, Docket No. UW-011320

TO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

On May 15, 2002, Complainants filed a motion requesting permission to reply to Respondent's and Intervenor's Response to Complainant's Counter-Motion for Summary Determination of the Affiliated Interest Claim. Complainants allege that good cause exists for allowing a reply because Respondent and Intervenor raise new legal issues surrounding the affiliated interest transaction, standing, and what remedies exist in the event of a violation of the affiliated interest statute.

The Commission grants Complainants' Motion to Reply to the Response described above. Complainants must limit their Reply to the new arguments presented in Respondent's and Intervenor's Response.

NOTICE IS GIVEN that Complainants' Motion to Reply is granted.
Complainants' Reply must be filed by 5:00 p.m., Thursday, May 23, 2002.

Sincerely,

KAREN M. CAILLÉ
Administrative Law Judge

