

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

In re Application No. D-078932 of)	
)	DOCKET NO. TC-001566
VALENTINETTI, STEVE & BRIAN)	
HARTLEY, D/B/A SEATTLE SUPER)	
SHUTTLE,)	
)	ORDER DENYING
for a Certificate of Public Convenience and)	RECONSIDERATION
Necessity to Operate Motor Vehicles in)	
Furnishing Passenger and Express Service as)	
an Auto Transportation Company)	
.....)	

1 **Proceedings.** This matter involves an application for authority to provide airporter service between the City of Seattle and the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport in Sea-Tac. An initial order proposed that the application be granted. Protestants sought administrative review. On review, the Commission reversed the result of the initial order and served a final order in which it denied the application.

2 A principal of the applicant has petitioned for reconsideration of the final order. He contests the Commission’s view of the evidence and its view of the law. Protestants answer the petition, supporting the result of the final order.

3 The applicant challenges the Commission’s findings of fact relating to the sufficiency of the supporting evidence and relating to the protestants’ presentation. We have reviewed the record and are satisfied that there is no substantial error of fact that affects in any way the result of the order. The applicant also in effect challenged conclusions of law. We have reviewed his challenges but find no error in the conclusions of law or the citations of authority in the order.

4 The applicant is appearing on behalf of his company. He is not an attorney. For the most part, he conducted himself well during the application process. Among his challenges to the final order, however, he includes arguments that are more personal than substantive. The Commission points out that such arguments are inappropriate and improper, that they will not be considered, and that they played no role in the result that we reach in this matter.

5 The Commission finds no error of fact or law in the final order served in this docket on February 15, 2002. Therefore, pursuant to WAC 480-09-810, the Commission denies the petition for reconsideration filed on behalf of the applicant.

ORDER

6 The Commission denies the Applicants' petition for reconsideration of the Commission final order in this docket.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective this _____ day of March, 2002.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

MARILYN SHOWALTER, Chairwoman

RICHARD HEMSTADT, Commissioner

PATRICK J. OSHIE, Commissioner

