```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                                                      Page 3
 1
               BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
                                                                                           1
                                                                                                        APPEARANCES (Continued)
 2
          UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
                                                                                           2
                                                                                               FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:
 3
                                                                                           3
                                                                                                    SIMON J. FFITCH
Attorney General of Washington
Public Counsel Section
800 5th Ayenue, Suite 2000, TB-14
Seattle, Washington 98104-3158
206.389,2055
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION.
 4
                                                                                           4
 5
                                                                                           5
             Complainant,
                                   ) Docket Nos.
                              UE-160228 &
UG-160229
 6
                                                                                           6
                                                                                                     simonf@atg.wa.gov
    AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a AVISTA) UTILITIES,
                                                                                           7
                                                                                           8
                                                                                               FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:
 9
             Respondent
                                                                                           9
                                                                                                    RONALD L. ROSEMAN
2011 Fourteenth Avenue East
Seattle, Washington 98112
206.324.8792
10
                                                                                         10
11
                                                                                         11
                                                                                                     ronaldroseman@comcast.net
              PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOL. I
12
                                                                                         12
                     Pages 1-29
13
                                                                                         13
                                                                                               FOR NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS:
14
          ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DENNIS J. MOSS
                                                                                         14
                                                                                                     CHAD STOKES
Cable, Huston, Benedict, Haagensen & Lloyd, LLP
1001 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Portland, Oregon 97204-1136
503.224.3092
15
                                                                                         15
16
                     9:34 a.m.
                                                                                         16
                   March 23, 2016
17
                                                                                                     cstokes@cablehuston.com
                                                                                         17
      Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18
                                                                                         18
19
                                                                                                                   * * * * *
                                                                                         19
20
     REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
                                                                                         20
     Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC.
21
    1325 Fourth Avenue
Suite 1840
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.287.9066 | Seattle
360.534.9066 | Olympia
800.846.6989 | National
                                                                                         21
22
                                                                                         22
23
                                                                                         23
                                                                                         24
     www.buellrealtime.com
25
                                                                                         25
                                                                            Page 2
                                                                                                                                                                     Page 4
                  APPEARANCES
                                                                                                       OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; MARCH 23, 2016
 1
                                                                                           1
                                                                                           2
                                                                                                                9:33 A.M.
     ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
                                                                                           3
 3
          DENNIS J. MOSS
Washington Utilities and
Transportation Commission
1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, Washington 98504
360.664.1164
dmoss@utc.wa.gov
 4
                                                                                           4
                                                                                                        JUDGE MOSS: All right. Let's be on the
 5
                                                                                           5
                                                                                              record.
 6
                                                                                           6
                                                                                                        Good morning, everyone. My name is Dennis
                                                                                           7
 7
                                                                                               Moss. I'm an administrative law judge for the
 8
                                                                                           8
                                                                                              Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission,
     FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND 
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:
                                                                                           9
                                                                                               known I believe to all of you as you are to me.
 9
          PATRICK OSHIE
Assistant Attorney General
BRETT P. SHEARER
Assistant Attorney General
P.O. Box 40128
Olympia, Washington 98504
360.664.1188
360.664.1187
10
                                                                                         10
                                                                                                        Sitting to my right, and that's from your
                                                                                               perspective, is our newest judge, although not all that
11
                                                                                         11
                                                                                              new anymore, but this is Administrative Law Judge Rayne
12
                                                                                         12
                                                                                         13
                                                                                               Pearson, and she will be co-presiding with me in this
13
          poshie@utc.wa.gov
bshearer@utc.wa.gov
14
                                                                                         14
                                                                                               case, and following it from beginning to end. I will
                                                                                              also be fully engaged from beginning to end, and for the
15
                                                                                         15
     FOR AVISTA CORPORATION:
                                                                                         16
                                                                                               postmortem as well. I'm sure.
16
          DAVID MEYER
1411 E. Mission Avenue
Spokane, Washington 99220
509,495,4316
                                                                                         17
                                                                                                        In addition to that personnel announcement,
17
                                                                                              I want to acknowledge the many, many years of wonderful
                                                                                         18
18
          david.meyer@avistacorp.com
                                                                                         19
19
                                                                                               support and work that Kippi Walker has given us. She
     FOR INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES:
20
                                                                                         20
                                                                                              has decided to separate from State service after -- I
          JESSE E. COWELL
Davison Van Cleve, PC
333 SW Taylor, Suite 400
Portland, Oregon 97204
503,241,7242
21
                                                                                         21
                                                                                              hesitate to say -- I think it's 31 years. Yeah, as
                                                                                         22
22
                                                                                              young as she is, that's hard to believe, isn't it? But
          503.241.7242
jec@dvclaw.com
23
                                                                                              that is this case. And so she will be spending more
24
                                                                                         24
                                                                                              time down in Southern California where her husband is
25
          (Continued...)
                                                                                         25
                                                                                              working.
```

טט	cket Nos. UE-160228 and UG-160229 (Consolidated)		vvu i C v. Avista Corporation, d/b/a Avista Utilities
	Page 5		Page 7
1	We have managed to hire a very capable woman	1	All right. And I will make some discuss
2	to replace Kippi, although, of course, no one could	2	a little bit later information that I'll need concerning
3	actually replace Kippi, but we're confident that she'll	3	the master service list and whatnot. We'll get to that
4	do as fine a job over time. And this is Paige Doyle,	4	presently.
5	who is sitting in the back of the room here. So you all	5	I have petitions to intervene that I have
6	will have many opportunities to interact with Ms. Doyle	6	seen, at least
7	over the coming months and years, I hope, and we'll	7	MR. STOKES (via the bridge line):
8	enjoy having her with us.	8	Your Honor
9	And let's see. I guess we'll I'll	9	JUDGE MOSS: Sorry. Is somebody on the
10	announce the proceeding, I suppose, first and then we'll	10	bridge line? Oh, Northwest Industrial Gas Users must be
11	take appearances.	11	on the bridge line.
12	The proceeding is Washington Utilities and	12	MR. STOKES: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
13	Transportation Commission against Avista Corporation	13	JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Brooks; is that right?
14	d/b/a Avista Utilities, Dockets UE-160228 and UG-160229,	14	MR. STOKES: No. This is Chad Stokes.
15	and these have previously been consolidated.	15	JUDGE MOSS: Oh, Mr. Stokes. How are you
16	So with that, we'll take appearances and	16	this morning?
17	we'll start with the Company.	17	MR. STOKES: I'm doing fine. Thank you.
18	MR. MEYER: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.	18	JUDGE MOSS: I'm sorry that I overlooked
19	Will the short form of appearance suffice? I provided	19	you. I didn't realize you'd be on the bridge line.
20	the particulars.  JUDGE MOSS: Yes. The short form of	20	THE WITNESS: I apologize for not being
21		21	•
22	appearance will suffice. Thank you for asking.  MR. MEYER: Thanks, because I'm not sure I		JUDGE MOSS: It's quite all right. Go ahead and enter your appearance, please.
23	could remember all of the phone numbers anyway.	23	MR. STOKES: Good morning. Chad Stokes for
24 25	JUDGE MOSS: I always wrote it down.		the Northwest Industrial Gas Users.
23	1 JUDGE MUSS. Talways Wicke it down.	1 4 3 1	the Northwest industrial Gas Osers.
1	Page 6		Page 8
1 2	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of	1	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need
2	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.	1 2	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.
2	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista. JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll	1	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.
2 3 4	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room.	1 2 3	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right. MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.
2	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell?	1 2 3 4	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very
2 3 4 5	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room.	1 2 3 4	Page 8 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right. MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 8 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right. MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Now, as I was mentioning, I do have
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 8 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right. MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Now, as I was mentioning, I do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 8 JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right. MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much. Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this morning. And if there's other attorneys that will be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.  Are there any objections?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this morning. And if there's other attorneys that will be joining, we will provide the necessary documentation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.  Are there any objections?  MR. MEYER: No objections, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this morning. And if there's other attorneys that will be joining, we will provide the necessary documentation. JUDGE MOSS: Don't overwhelm us, Counsel. I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.  Are there any objections?  MR. MEYER: No objections, your Honor, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this morning. And if there's other attorneys that will be joining, we will provide the necessary documentation. JUDGE MOSS: Don't overwhelm us, Counsel. I will	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information. Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.  Are there any objections?  MR. MEYER: No objections, your Honor.  MR. OSHIE: No objections, your Honor, from Commission Staff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 6 MR. MEYER: Okay. David Meyer on behalf of Avista.  JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We'll just go around the room. Mr. Cowell? MR. COWELL: Jesse Cowell on behalf of the of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Roseman? MR. ROSEMAN: Ronald Roseman on behalf of The Energy Project. MR. FFITCH: Good morning, your Honor Honors. Simon ffitch for the Public Counsel office. MR. SHEARER: Brett Shearer on behalf of Staff. MR. OSHIE: Patrick Oshie on behalf of Staff, and we'll be also joined on this case by Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski, so put an appearance for her in this morning. And if there's other attorneys that will be joining, we will provide the necessary documentation. JUDGE MOSS: Don't overwhelm us, Counsel. I will MR. OSHIE: We like company on the bench,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 8  JUDGE MOSS: All right. And you will need to furnish the reporter with your contact information.  Let's do that at the end, if that's all right.  MR. STOKES: Absolutely. Thank you.  JUDGE MOSS: All right. Thank you very much.  Now, as I was mentioning, I do have petitions to intervene from the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. I would have quickly realized my error in that Northwest Industrial Gas Users have also filed a petition to intervene, as has The Energy Project.  Are there any others who wish to enter a petition to intervene in this proceeding?  Hearing nothing in the hearing room or on the bridge line, I will safely assume, I believe, that it is limited to those three.  Are there any objections?  MR. MEYER: No objections, your Honor.  MR. OSHIE: No objections, your Honor, from Commission Staff.  MR. FFITCH: No objections.

Page 11

Page 9

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

who participate regularly in these proceedings and have demonstrated their interest in this case, and that their participation will be in the public interest, and they accordingly will be granted the status of intervenors, full rights as parties.

I note, from reviewing the record in this proceeding thus far, that the Commission entered Order 2, a protective order, standard form of protective order, on March the 9th, 2016, so that's taken care of.

Are there any preliminary matters other than the schedule that counsel wish to bring to our attention?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. MEYER: No preliminary matters, but the usual invitation that we extend to the parties to prepare and serve their discovery as early as they can so we can get the process moving.

JUDGE MOSS: Sure. And as we work on the procedural schedule, I actually was working a little earlier this morning off of the prehearing conference order in the prior case, and I noticed that you all set a number of dates, and I'm assuming we're going to similarly set those dates here.

There was some tweaking to the discovery, as I recall, response times -- just looking through here, there's -- you set dates for exchange of

All right. Mr. Meyer is handing up what is represented to be an agreed-upon schedule, and I will take that representation and run with it.

MR. MEYER: May I just, for the record, read those dates so -- they haven't seen my chicken scratching, and I want to make sure that everyone is still --

JUDGE MOSS: Yeah. We want to make sure everybody's on the same page.

MR. MEYER: -- on the same page. We rehearsed it once, but let's do it again.

MR. FFITCH: Could we take a brief pause? I'm sorry. There's one thing I realized we did not discuss.

MR. MEYER: Sure.

(Brief pause in the proceedings.)

MR. FFITCH: We -- I guess, just to be transparent, I noticed that your schedule has a date -the Avista schedule has a date that we did not discuss on January 1st, and that's -- that's not something we're agreeing to as a target date. We understand you're requesting that, but that's not part of the agreement, from our perspective.

MR. OSHIE: And that is absolutely true for the Staff as well, your Honor. Staff doesn't agree to

Page 10

cross-examination exhibits and, of course, the hearing. In any event, I assume that we'll have similar detail in this procedural schedule.

And I'll just note for the record that both Avista and Staff have circulated to the assembled group and to the Bench proposed schedules that are a little bit off one from the other.

So what I would suggest that we do, before having any discussion about the procedural schedule, is that we recess briefly and allow the parties to see if they can reconcile these small differences and present an agreed schedule, which is always preferable, I think, because that gives everybody buy-in.

So why don't we have -- how much time do you think you'll need, 15 minutes?

MR. MEYER: Fifteen should be plenty. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And I'll be down in my office -- we'll be down in our offices, which are almost adjacent to one another, so just send somebody down and let us know and we'll be back.

MR. MEYER: Thank you.

JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. We're in recess.

(A break was taken from 9:42 a.m. to 10:17 a.m.)

JUDGE MOSS: Let's go back on the record.

Page 12

the January 1st requested date. If the Commission needs the time, it needs the time, and that's how the Staff views it. We think the important date is the suspension date, and that is on the schedule January 21 so...

JUDGE MOSS: All right. And I'll have a question about that as well. I have read Mr. Morris's testimony, at least, and I was -- my recollection may be wrong, but I thought that he made some comment there about you all were going to seek an 18-month period, you were going to try to make rates effective in the summer period instead of in the middle of the winter, which, I will tell you, sounded like a good idea to me.

Now, not to prejudge anything, and I may be the only one who's involved in this case who even knew that, but I just wanted to ask about that.

MR. MEYER: Yes. That's very much part of the design of the case is to get off that annual winter-to-winter cycle, and so this is the case to do the two-step increase January 1, '17, and then again January 1, '18, for the next six months, to then get the rates established through those two steps into the middle of 2018.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. So that's still in the works; it's just --

MR. MEYER: Oh, very much so.

## Page 13 Page 15 1 JUDGE MOSS: So the idea, then, would be to 1 us. Whether we could achieve something that would allow 2 have an order that would allow for an initial increase for January 1st, that -- a lot depends on how the case on January 1st followed by one or two increases? goes, and we'll just have to see how that plays out over 4 MR. MEYER: Well, there would be just one 4 5 5 more increase the following January. There is also, of course, the prospect for 6 JUDGE MOSS: And that would be the 18-month 6 settlement, partial, full, what have you, that can 7 7 affect these things. So we don't need to get too far period? 8 8 ahead of ourselves on that. We can put these dates in MR. MEYER: That would be the last six 9 the schedule if parties wish to have them memorialized, months. or even if just one party wishes to have them 10 JUDGE MOSS: Oh, through June 18th. 10 11 11 MR. MEYER: Yes. memorialized, with the understanding of what I just JUDGE MOSS: Okay. All right. Now I'm said, that they are nothing more than aspirational, 12 clear. 13 placeholder-type dates. I'm not going to commit the 13 14 Commission to them. 14 MR. MEYER: Okay. JUDGE MOSS: All right. 15 MR. MEYER: Well, I think the Avista 15 MR. MEYER: But to your point, the target 16 preference -- and maybe the only party -- but the Avista 16 effective date is that, it's a target date. The preference would be to have the target effective date --17 17 Company's target effective. suspension period -- and we should talk about the 18 18 19 precise date to use there. There's a one-day difference 19 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Then we'll say, 20 between the way we calculated it, and I think the other 20 "Avista requested effective date." How about that? parties, so maybe some clarification around that. 21 MR. MEYER: Yes. 21 JUDGE MOSS: A leap year problem perhaps. 22 JUDGE MOSS: And then the suspension date 22 MR. MEYER: Well, it was kind of -- yeah, I will -- like I said, I'll sit down with my calendar and 23 23 my abacus and I'll figure it out. wish it were that easy. And we should be accurate on 24 24 25 whatever that date is. MR. MEYER: So if it pleases the Bench, 25 Page 14 Page 16 The reason we put in our date of the 21st is would you like me on the record to restate these dates? 1 1 it was calculated off the proposed effective date of the 2 JUDGE MOSS: Sure. Why don't you do that, tariffs as they were filed. 3 3 just to make sure we don't have any confusion. JUDGE MOSS: Um-hmm. I believe that's the 4 MR. MEYER: I'll start from the top and work way the rule reads --5 down. 6 MR. MEYER: Yeah. 6 The first date was just the date the general rate cases were filed. That was February 19th of 2016. 7 JUDGE MOSS: -- or the statute actually. 7 8 MR. MEYER: So -- but people see it 8 Of course, the next entry is today's date, differently. If you want to push that up a date to the the Prehearing Conference date, or March 23rd. 9 10 10 20th, we're open to discussion. The Public Notice Report would be JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Well, I'll just say this 11 April 29th, 2016. 11 about that. These dates at the end here are nothing 12 Avista would circulate the Joint Issues List 12 13 more than aspirational. I always say that. We'll get on June 17th of 2016. 14 the order out when we get the order out within the 14 The first round of settlement conferences 15 would be July 13-14 of 2016. 15 requirements of the law. 16 It's not up to me to tie the commissioners' 16 The next date is for Staff, Public Counsel hands or my own in terms of an upfront commitment to a 17 and Intervenor response testimony and exhibits. That 17 would be August 17th. specific date. So we'll -- we'll calculate the 18 18 suspension date ourselves, and I think we can all rely 19 The next entry would be for settlement 19 on that. 20 conferences on August 24th and 25th. 20 21 But it's also not my practice to get orders 21 The Joint Issues List would be filed on out at that late a time. I would prefer -- in fact, I 22 August 31, 2016 -- August 31, 2016. always try to get them out at least days in advance of 23 Company rebuttal, as well as Staff, Public 23 24 the suspension date, if not further than that. So I 24 Counsel, Intervenor, cross-answering testimony and don't see the suspension date as posing a problem for exhibits, September 19th.

Page 17 Page 19 1 Public Comment hearing date to be 1 were here earlier, and they're comfortable with the 2 2 determined. Commission picking a date in the fall timeframe, and 3 Discovery deadline would be September 26th. taking the approach that the Commission has taken for And of course, there are a series of 4 Avista hearings in several cases in the recent past, 5 footnotes that talk about response times that lever off 5 which we support, which is trying to have two hearings. 6 some of these dates, and nothing has changed in that 6 I think typically it's become a daytime 7 regard in terms of what has been passed out. hearing in Spokane Valley at some location, and an 7 evening hearing in the center of the city, often at City 8 The deadline for filing of cross-exhibits is 9 Hall, I think, has worked out. 9 October 6th. 10 So we think that's been a good approach, and 10 Evidentiary hearings, October 11th through 11 the 13th. we would just defer to the Commission on picking Post-hearing briefs and updated issue lists, 12 something in those general parameters that works for the 12 November 7th. 13 Commission and its Staff. 13 The Company's requested effective date of 14 JUDGE MOSS: All right. 14 MR. MEYER: And as always, if the Company January 1, 2017. 15 15 The suspension date of -- is what will be 16 can assist in lining up venues --16 17 JUDGE MOSS: All right. 17 determined. 18 MR. MEYER: -- please call on us. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And do we have 18 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. 19 confirmation that the October 11 through 13 dates are 19 20 available for the commissioners' calendars? 20 Mr. Cowell, you have something? MR. MEYER: Yes, as per Staff's inquiry this 21 MR. COWELL: Maybe you were gonna get to 21 22 this, your Honor, but different judges sometimes have morning. 22 23 preferences, would like e-mail service lists or courtesy MR. OSHIE: We have -- excuse me, Counsel. 23 We had confirmation that the 12th, 13th and 14th dates 24 lists. Do you have any specifications --24 25 were available, not the 11th, 12th and 13th, so --JUDGE MOSS: You're right. I'm going to get 25 Page 18 Page 20 MR. MEYER: If there's -- okay. That's a 1 to that. 1 2 good correction. So either set of dates. We prefer to Anything else? start on the 11th. 3 MR. OSHIE: Your Honor, on behalf of Staff, 3 JUDGE MOSS: If it has to slip a day or --4 this is Pat Oshie. The -- there's an issue that Staff 4 would like to bring to the Bench's attention with regard 5 MR. MEYER: Yeah, yeah. 6 JUDGE MOSS: I'll check on that. All right. to how cases are developed by all the parties in the 7 case. And in Staff's mind, the most -- use of a common I believe we have it down and it's memorialized for the transcript. So fine. That will be baseline will make the analysis of the case more our procedural schedule, and that will be reflected in effective. It will make it perhaps better 9 10 10 the order. understandable. Mr. ffitch, is your pen up in the air 11 The Company begins its case with a per books 11 analysis; it then makes adjustments from that. Staff 12 because you wish to say something or --12 13 MR. FFITCH: Yes, your Honor. looks at the case, they do their own per books analysis, 14 JUDGE MOSS: -- just happenstance? and then they perform their own analysis with the 15 MR. FFITCH: No. I was waiting for my adjustments. So there we have a common baseline that moment. Staff believes is a common baseline. If the per books 16 17 don't match up, Staff will point that out to the 17 JUDGE MOSS: Here's your moment. MR. FFITCH: Just a couple things, 18 Commission. 18 19 19 your Honor, on the schedule. Now, it's Staff's request that the other 20 The Public Notice Report, we'll consult with parties also use the same baseline, and it's Counsel's 20 the Company and with the Commissions' Public Affairs 21 understanding here, based on my conversations with 21 22 Staff on the form of the Notice as per standard Staff, that if a party uses the adjustments made by the procedure. Company and builds an analysis from that adjustment, 23 24 And then with regards to the Public Comment then there's some confusion as to how they got there and

25 hearing, I've spoken to the Public Affairs Staff, they

it's hard to compare that with the baseline, the per

Page 21

1

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

books baseline that both the Company and Staff uses.

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

So Mr. Schooley's here. He can probably explain it better than I, the effect of having sort of mismatched baselines. But this is a matter that Staff has brought to the attention of at least one party, and it's just -- it's a question for the Bench in the sense that -- I mean, Staff is not asking that the Commission order this. And there may be some further questions about what Staff does and how there can be some alignment in all the cases.

But I think we just want to put it on the table and see if there's further inquiry from the Bench or from other parties as to, you know, having a commonly-understood baseline for the analysis of the cases.

JUDGE MOSS: Well, we can discuss this further if there's a need to do so. I appreciate you bringing it up, Mr. Oshie.

The -- in point of fact, I work very closely with the policy and accounting advisors in these cases, and I understand the problem of not having this common baseline. And in fact, I have torn out a considerable amount of my own hair from time to time when we're trying to make these apples-to-apples comparisons.

So I will say, before I hear from anybody

Page 23 you had mentioned, it might be most conducive to resolve

2 in a general proceeding or a stakeholder conference. But your -- the preferences are duly noted and -- but in terms of -- and I know we're not making that final 5

resolution. We would like to see it maybe handled most 6 efficiently in the general process. 7

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And my recollection may be confused with the passage of time, but I do seem to recall cases in the past when we have actually ordered this to be done, and toward the end of a case. So to the extent it causes some inconvenience along the way, or some extra work by an expert witness, it might be worth making that investment up front, explaining to the expert that this needs to be done -- rather than having to respond to a bench request late in the proceeding that will require the same thing anyway.

I think it's been some time since I have issued such a bench request, but that is something that can be done. If we find ourselves, as the Commissions' advisors, unable to fully analyze the case in a way that makes us comfortable, we have to figure out ways to deal with that, and that's always our goal.

And there may still be misunderstandings, as happens -- certainly these cases are very complicated. There are a vast number of entries. But we certainly

Page 22

make every effort to have everybody, as the overused expression, on the same page.

3 Okay. Anything -- Mr. Roseman, did you want 4 anything else?

MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, I would just echo Mr. Cowell' comments. We're also in that other proceeding where it's a live issue, so this is helpful. I guess we'll see how it bubbles up in that other proceeding.

JUDGE MOSS: Yeah. And you all may wish to have a little conference among yourselves, an informal gathering that's other than a settlement conference, just to talk about this sort of thing. And I think if everybody understands the practical situation, maybe that can -- maybe there can be some meeting of the minds on this. And certainly you could even involve your witnesses in such a technical conversation and come to some understanding of something that will work. That would certainly be my hope.

But perhaps before I retire, I'll see the day when everybody does it the same way and it will be, oh, this is so simple. So -- but yeah, it can be -- it can be a difficult issue sometimes.

MR. MEYER: Your Honor --JUDGE MOSS: Go ahead, Mr. Meyer.

else, that I certainly encourage that. If you will take the same approach that the -- well, I'll back up half a step and say, of course the Company puts on a full case; typically Staff puts on a full case; sometimes, oftentimes, Public Counsel will put on a full case; and in those three, it is particularly important to be able to draw the comparisons, as I said, in an apples-to-apples basis.

As to other parties, they typically are focused on discrete issues. And again, if we have the ability to look at the various proposals on a particular issue and say, okay, they're all -- they're all measured the same way, it does help a lot.

And so I encourage it. Staff is not asking me to order it. You know, at some point we may address this in our procedural rules rulemaking. It would probably be a good idea to at least have some discussion about that at a stakeholder conference. But that's what I have to say about it.

Now, I'm happy to hear from other parties who may wish to chime in on this issue so that they have a record of their position as well.

Mr. Cowell, anything from you? MR. COWELL: Your Honor, I guess this is a live issue in another case right now, and I think, as

**BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC** 

206 287 9066

Page 24

Page 25 Page 27 1 MR. MEYER: May I just address one other 1 of as the courtesy e-mail recipients, that is to say, 2 everybody else. So if you have co-counsel, if you have matter very quickly? 3 JUDGE MOSS: Sure. We're here for your 3 experts -- I noticed that the list was fairly extensive convenience. 4 in the prior Avista case. 5 I looked at that order this morning, and I'm 5 MR. MEYER: Okay. We've settled on a 6 procedural conference. And at the outset, I encouraged 6 fine with that. But if I'm going to produce such a 7 detailed and extensive list, I will need to have 7 all the parties to get their discovery to us so we can get the ball rolling on this, and hope that happens. 8 communications from each of you so that I don't miss it. 8 9 9 The first settlement conference in the And sometimes addresses change and phone numbers change, agreed-upon schedule is nearly five months after we and I'll be sure to catch anything like that. 10 10 11 MR. MEYER: When would you like that by? filed this case. Our hope is that all parties will attend that conference in good faith with sufficient 12 JUDGE MOSS: When would you like a 12 information in hand and positions, settlement positions 13 prehearing conference order by? 13 MR. MEYER: What is today? Today is the developed, so we can make meaningful progress at that 14 14 very first settlement conference. 15 23rd. I would think that by Friday of this week we'll 15 have everything to you. And is that an acceptable date? JUDGE MOSS: All right. 16 16 MR. MEYER: I don't know if you have any 17 JUDGE MOSS: Yeah. Friday's fine. And I 17 can get an order out early next week. There's no real further thoughts. 18 18 push on that, I don't think, is there? I usually get my 19 JUDGE MOSS: Well, clearly there's little 20 advantage in having a settlement conference if people orders out either the same day or the next day, but aren't prepared to participate fully and in good faith, because I'm doing this step, I'm certainly willing to 21 give a little bit more time. You all have to travel so I certainly would encourage that. 22 22 back to Spokane and so forth, so why don't we just make 23 In fact, when I mediate cases, or disputes, 23 it Friday, and I'll get the order out on probably 24 24 it's a requirement that you be prepared and negotiate in Monday. 25 good faith. And if you don't, that will bring our 25 Page 26 Page 28 mediation session to an abrupt halt. So clearly, I 1 Mr. Roseman? 1 2 think that is part of the pattern that's anticipated in MR. ROSEMAN: I just have a question about settlement negotiations, so I would certainly encourage 3 that. We have a very small number, and they're the ones 3 that are listed in our petition to intervene. Do you 4 that. 5 Again, there's little I can do to compel it, 5 want a separate one? not -- but I have done what I can do in encouraging it, 6 JUDGE MOSS: I can take your representation 7 7 I think. that that's the extent of it and use that source instead 8 MR. MEYER: Thank you. That's all. of an e-mail, a separate e-mail, if that's your 9 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Anything else? 9 preference. 10 10 You all have me in a good mood. You can MR. ROSEMAN: That is my preference. just go with the flow here. 11 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. I will do that. 11 MR. ROSEMAN: Thank you. MR. OSHIE: Nothing more from Staff, 12 12 13 JUDGE MOSS: I think I can be mindful of 13 your Honor. 14 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. 14 that without even taking a note. MR. FFITCH: We just have the electronic 15 All right. Anything else? 15 service --16 Well, thank you all for being here today. I 16 JUDGE MOSS: Oh, yes. Thank you very much 17 appreciate the fact that you were able to work out the 17 for reminding me, Mr. ffitch. I am slipping in my 18 schedule, and I look forward to working with all of you 18 middle years, or perhaps they are my elder years, I hate in this case and bringing it to a successful conclusion. 19 19 20 to admit it. MR. MEYER: Thank you. 20 21 Okay. Yes. I would like to get from each 21 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you all. of you an e-mail identifying lead counsel, that will be 22 (Hearing concluded at 10:39 a.m.) the person who actually gets service from the 23 23 24 Commission; a client contact, who is also a person who 24 -000gets service from the Commission; and then what I think 25

		The state of the s
	Page 29	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )	
4	COUNTY OF KING )	
5		
6		
7	I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter	
8	in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify	
9	that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to	
10	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
12	and seal this 31st day of March 2016.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		