

**Douglas B Rupp**

---

**From:** Kim [bheron@premier1.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 14, 2006 1:59 PM  
**To:** Douglas B Rupp  
**Subject:** Re: RUPP . Index Galena Review

I work with contractors that bore all the time instead of attach because attaching is such a big deal. We had to go through a lot to attach our waterline to the big bridge when they re did the lines.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Douglas B Rupp](#)  
**To:** 'Kim'  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 14, 2006 11:30 AM  
**Subject:** RE: RUPP . Index Galena Review

OK, with your permission I'll add that explanation as a footnote to the 2 year figure.

One other question, Keith Binney suggests in his testimony that the FS might require boring under the creeks rather than attaching a conduit to the bridge. Is that a realistic consideration along I-G Rd ? He says that had to be done on SR 522 near Clearview. I've attached is testimony for reference. See page Binney-7.

---

**From:** Kim [mailto:bheron@premier1.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 14, 2006 6:27 AM  
**To:** Douglas B Rupp  
**Subject:** Re: RUPP . Index Galena Review

one issue with some enviro issues is that you need specific seasons and conditions ... so at times (not typical) you do not have the overlapping study periods to allow those things to exist..... so your waiting for the next one to roll around.

Kim