BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Qwest Corporation for Arbitration with Eschelon Telecom, Inc. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. UT-063061

EXHIBIT DD-24

TO THE

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DOUGLAS DENNEY

ON BEHALF OF ESCHELON TELECOM, INC.

APRIL 3, 2007

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

COMMISSIONERS: Jeff Hatch-Miller, Chairman William A. Mundell Mike Gleason Kristen K. Mayes

AND RESALE DISCOUNTS.

Gary Pierce

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATION INTO U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN WHOLESALE PRICING REQUIREMENTS FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS

Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194

COX ARIZONA TELCOM'S MOTION TO COMMENCE PHASE III OF THE OWEST UNE PRICING DOCKET

Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC (Cox) requests that the Arizona Corporation Commission. commence Phase III of this Docket in order to set certain Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rates as contemplated in Decision No. 64922 (June 12, 2002 (Phase II of the UNE Pricing Docket)). In support of this Motion, Cox states:

In Decision No. 64922, the Commission received evidence on and fully addressed 1. certain UNE issues and rates. However, there were a number of issues and rates for which there was simply insufficient evidence on which to base a decision. The Commission concluded that "We do not believe that it is appropriate to adopt prices for services for which there is not an adequate record." Decision No. 64922 at 80:23-24. Therefore, the Commission decided that:

"to the extent that issues are not addressed by the Decision, such issues are deferred to Phase III of this proceeding. . . . For new services proposed by Owest with a new rate that has not been reviewed and approved by the Commission, the interim rate shall be no more than the rate Owest has proposed. Such 'interim' rates shall be subject to a 'true-up' and refund once permanent rates are 1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

established in Phase III."

Id. at 81:1-9; see id. at 84:20-22 (related ordering paragraph).

- 2. Cox now requests that the Commission set non-recurring charge (NRC) rates for "on premises wire" subloops, both for dispatch and no-dispatch circumstances. Although the Commission specifically set a recurring charge of \$0.2955 per pair for "on premises wire" subloops [Decision No. 64922 at 60:1-2], it did not address the NRC for an "on premises wire" subloop.
- 3. In Qwest's June 28, 2002 Price List filing in compliance with Decision No. 64922, Qwest did not provide an "interim" NRC for either an "on premises wire" subloop or an intrabuilding cable subloop. In that filing, Qwest did provide NRCs for "2-wire distribution subloops" (\$20.86 for installation at the FDI and \$20.07 for disconnect at the FDI) and "4-wire distribution subloops" (\$56.77 for installation at the FDI and \$34.77 for disconnect at the FDI).
- 4. Qwest has set forth an NRC rate for an "intrabuilding cable" subloop in both its Arizona SGAT and the Subloop Amendment to the Arizona Cox/Owest Interconnection Agreement. However, those rates have not been addressed or adopted by this Commission and are expressly subject to modification upon a Commission order setting those rates. Moreover, Cox has never agreed that the NRC rates proposed by Owest are appropriate.
- 5. To Cox's knowledge, Qwest has never set forth an "on premises wire" subloop NRC rate for Arizona.
- Prompt resolution of the NRC rates for "on premises wire" subloops is important at this time in light of issues raised by Qwest in a complaint docket against Cox concerning use of "on premises wire" subloops at apartment complexes across Arizona. See Docket Nos. T-01051B-06-0045 et al. In that docket, Owest is seeking compensation from Cox for use of Owest's "on premises wire" subloops, including payment of non-recurring charges related to those subloops. However, the parties dispute the appropriate level of those NRC rates. And, given the true-up provision in Decision No. 64922, the true scope of Qwest's claim cannot be determined until the "on premises wire" subloops NRC rates are set in Phase III.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- For procedural clarity, the Commission may decide to conduct Phase III of the 7. Qwest UNE Pricing Docket in a new docket. Phase I was conducted in Docket Nos. E-1051-96-362 et al. and resulted in Decision No. 60635 (January 30, 1998). Phase II and Phase IIA were conducted in this docket and resulted in Decision Nos. 64922 and 65451 (December 12, 2002).
- Finally, the Commission should consider whether to conduct an initial Phase IIIA to 8. address only the UNE rates identified by Cox in this Motion, and reserving other unresolved UNE rates for a subsequent phase of Phase III. That process would result in a narrower proceeding that addresses UNE rates needing more immediate resolution. It also would: (i) allow the Commission to fully survey the CLEC community for additional UNE rates that might be considered in Phase IIIB, if any, and (ii) spare the Commission a potentially expansive, yet potentially unnecessary, proceeding on other UNE rates.

WHEREFORE Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC requests that the Commission commence Phase III of this Qwest UNE Pricing Docket to address and set rates for non-recurring charge rates for "on premises wire" subloops.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED on February 26, 2007.

COX ARIZONA TELCOM. L.L.C.

By:

Michael W. Patten

ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC

One Arizona Center

400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

(602) 256-6100

1	ORIGINAL and 13 copies of the	
2	foregoing filed February 26 , 2007, with:	
3	Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
4	1200 West Washington Street	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
6	COPIES of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed	
7	February 26⁴ , 2007, to:	
8	Dwight Nodes, Esq. Assistant Chief ALJ, Hearing Division	
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street	
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
11	Maureen Scott, Esq. Legal Division	
12	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street	
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Ernest Johnson, Esq. Director, Utilities Division	
15	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street	
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
17	Norman Curtright QWEST	
18	20 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012	
19	Michael Grant, Esq.	
20	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY 2575 East Camelback Road	
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225	
22	Thomas H. Campbell, Esq. LEWIS & ROCA	
23	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
24	Scott S. Wakefield, Esq.	
25	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE 1110 West Washington, Suite 220	
26	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
27		

Jeffrey W. Crockett, Esq. SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P. One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
Joan S. Burke, Esq. OSBORN MALEDON 2929 North Central, Suite 2100 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 B. May Jeffet
- January and January

Exhibit A Arizona*

				Recurring	Recurring, per Mile	Non- Recurring	REC	REC per Mile	
		9.2.6.3.1 9.2.6.3.2	First Each Additional			\$194.07 \$124.27			Ī
	9.2.6.4		Installation without Cooperative Testing / Project Coordinated						Ī
		Installation 9.2.6.4.1	First			\$93.49			1
		9.2.6.4.2	Each Additional			\$73.14			1
	9.2.6.5		ation With Cooperative Testing						-
		9.2.6.5.1 9.2.6.5.2	First Each Additional			\$169.69 \$124.27			1
9.2.7	OC - 3 12	, 48 Loop Insta	llation Charges	See 9.2.3.5					1
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9.2.7.1	Basic Installa				\$87.93			1
		9.2.7.1.2	Each Additional			\$67.58			1
	9.2.7.2		ation with Performance Testing						
		9.2.7.2.1 9.2.7.2.2	First Each Additional			\$169.69 \$124.27			-
	9.2.7.3	Coordinated	Installation with Cooperative Testing						1
		9.2.7.3.1 9.2.7.3.2	First Each Additional			\$194.07 \$124.27			_
	0074					Ψ124.21			1
	9.2.7.4	9.2.7.4.1	Installation without Cooperative Testing First			\$93.49			1
		9.2.7.4.2	Each Additional			\$73.14			
	9.2.7.5	Basic Installa 9.2.7.5.1	ation with Cooperative Testing First			\$169.69			1
	-	9.2.7.5.2	Each Additional			\$124.27			1
9.2.8	Private Lir	ne to Unbundled	Loop Conversion			\$40.32			1
9.3 Subloop		atribution I ass							1
9.3.1	9.3.1.1	stribution Loop First Loop							1
		9.3.1.1.1 9.3.1.1.2	Installation Disconnect			\$20.86 \$20.07			-
	9.3.1.2	Each Addition	nal Loop Installation			\$20.86			1
	9.3.1.3	9.3.1.2.2	Disconnect Additional 2-Wire Distribution Loop			\$20.07			1
	9.3.1.3	9.3.1.3.1	Zone 1	\$4.33			Α		1
		9.3.1.3.2 9.3.1.3.3	Zone 2 Zone 3	\$9.39 \$25.41			A		1
9.3.2	4-Wire No	nloaded Distribu	ution Loop						-
	9.3.2.1	First Loop 9.3.2.1.1	Installation			\$56.77			
	9.3.2.2	9.3.2.1.2 Each Addition	Disconnect			\$34.77			1
	0.0.2.2	9.3.2.2.1	Installation			\$56.77			1
	9.3.2.3		Disconnect Additional 4-Wire Distribution Loop			\$34.77			,
		9.3.2.3.1 9.3.2.3.2	Zone 1 Zone 2	\$5.63 \$12.21			A		-
	9.3.2.4	9.3.2.3.3 4-Wire Disco	Zone 3 onnect at the FDI	\$33.03		\$34.77	A		-
9.3.3	Intra-Build	ing Cable Loop	ner Pair	\$0.2955			A		1
	9.3.3.1 9.3.3.2	No Dispatch		¥1.200		\$57.28 \$23.89			1
	9.3.3.3	Dispatch Fire	st			\$101.49			1
	9.3.3.4	Dispatch Ea				\$33.75			1
	9.3.3.5		s Wire, per Pair	\$0.2955			Α		
9.3.4	Loop Feed 9.3.4.1		e Feeder Loop						1
		9.3.4.1.1	First Loop 9.3.4.1.1.1 Installation			\$17.81			1
		02442	9.3.4.1.1.2 Disconnect			\$13.88			1
		9.3.4.1.2	Each Additional 9.3.4.1.2.1 Installation			\$17.81			
		9.3.4.1.3	9.3.4.1.2.2 Disconnect First & Each Additional DS1 Capable Feeder Loop			\$13.88			إ
			9.3.4.1.3.1 Zone 1 9.3.4.1.3.2 Zone 2	\$57.51 \$57.98			A A		1
			9.3.4.1.3.3 Zone 3	\$66.16			A		1
	9.3.4.2	2-Wire Loop		\$1.03			A		1
		9.3.4.2.1 9.3.4.2.2	Zone 1 Zone 2	\$1.42			Α		1
		9.3.4.2.3	Zone 3	\$5.24			A		
	9.3.4.3	4-Wire Loop 9.3.4.3.1	Feeder Zone 1	\$1.34			A		1
		9.3.4.3.2 9.3.4.3.3	Zone 2 Zone 3	\$1.85 \$6.81			A A		1
0.25	MTE Ta			ψ0.51					1
9.3.5	9.3.5.1	ninal Subloop Ad	cess Left Blank	-				-	4

Exhibit Page No. 6 of 6