			RECORPTOR
1			05 JUN -3 ANU: 34
2			00 00% 50 00%
3			
4	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
5			
6	CITY OF KENNEWICK,	NO. TR-040664	
7	Petitioner,	REPORT ON STATUS	
8	VS.	RECOMMENDATION	S
9	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD,		
10	·		
11	Respondent.		
12			
13	1. <u>Status.</u> Union Pacific Railroad Company is continuing to seek BNSF Railway's		
14	consent to the relocation of Union Pacific's interchange operation with the Tri-City & Olympia		
15	Railway (TCRY) from Kennewick to Wallula Junction. This would allow Center Parkway to be		
16	extended between Gage Boulevard and Tapteal Drive without interfering with UP/TCRY		
17	interchange operations. Although BNSF told UP several months ago that it would likely consent		
18	to allowing the TCRY to operate over joint UP/BNSF tracks to reach Wallula, it has not yet		
19	given its written consent. Neither has BNSF said that it will not consent, even when asked that		
20	question directly. Moving the interchange to Wallula is the only option Union Pacific is aware		
21	of that would allow the cars to be in interchanged at a location far from any houses, where the		

2. Recommendations. It is Union Pacific's preference that it be given additional time to secure BNSF's consent. My primary contact in BNSF's law department is on vacation until mid-June. I have written to him about this matter and I am hopeful I will have a better idea by

noise of refrigerator cars is unwelcome. Union Pacific has not given up on the Wallula option

and is trying to determine the reason for BNSF's lack of response on this issue.

Page 1 - REPORT ON STATUS; RECOMMENDATIONS

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	the end of June whether BNSF will or will not give its consent to the relocation of the UP/TCRY		
2	interchange to Wallula. Union Pacific recommends that this proceeding remain in abeyance for		
3	an additional 35 days to reassess whether or not a relocation to Wallula is likely. Union Pacific		
4	understands the city of Kennewick is concerned about potentially losing funding for its proposed		
5	road if no progress is shown on moving forward with the project. Union Pacific suggests that the		
6	parties agree to a new schedule for the administrative proceeding right after the 4th of July		
7	weekend if no progress with BNSF takes place by that date. Union Pacific suggests that the issue		
8	of whether or when to stipulate to new dates for discovery cutoff, pre-filing testimony, etc., be		
9	discussed at the pre-hearing conference on July 2, 1005.		
10	3. Notice. A copy of this report is being served by fax on all parties.		
11	DATED this 1st day of June, 2005.		
12	KILMER VOORHEES & LAURICK, P.C.		
13	~ 0.00		
14	Contract WSRA 29016		
15	Of Attorneys for Respondent V:\Clients\UPRR\Kennewick\status report.doc		
16	**************************************		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

Page 2 - REPORT ON STATUS; RECOMMENDATIONS

22

23

24

25

26

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I certify that on June 1, 2005, the foregoing REPORT ON STATUS;		
3	RECOMMENDATIONS was served on the following by fax to the following telephone		
4	numbers:		
5	C. Robert Wallis – 360-664-2654		
6	Jonathan Thompson — 360-586-5522		
7	Sally Johnston – 360-586-5522		
8	John Ziobro and Tyler Morris – 509-585-4424		
9	and the second		
10			
11	Of Attorneys for Respondent		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			