1		analysis. Most instances of Staff's shence or limited responses to the Company
2		simply reflected an ongoing analysis or confusion in sorting through the data. It is
3		extremely difficult for Staff to initiate or respond to communications when it has not
4		developed a basic understanding of the materials and data involved in the general
5		rate filing.
6		
7	Q.	Has Staff generally been responsive to WCI over the course of dockets TG-
8		131794 and TG-140560?
9	A.	Staff acknowledges limited or absent responses at times over the course of the past
10		10 months, but believes it has largely been responsive and helpful to the Company
11		throughout its two filings. Staff notes that the parties have voluntarily engaged in
12		hundreds of conversations dating back to WCI's initial filing in September 2013.
13		As WCI previously pointed out and Staff acknowledges, Staff was largely
14		non-responsive during the last few weeks in May 2014. At that time, Staff was
15		conducting its analysis of the extensive records in TG-131794 and TG-140560.
16		Moreover, Staff's then-counsel suddenly could not continue representation due to
17		unforeseen circumstances.
18		The complexity of this filing coupled with the absence of counsel in a formal
19		proceeding resulted in Staff's inability to respond to the Company during that period
20		Staff acknowledges the lack of communication in May likely exacerbated disputes
21		between the parties, but maintains that it has undertaken a genuine effort to answer
22		appropriate questions and cooperate with the Company for the majority of WCI's
23		two filings dating back to September 2013.