EXHIBIT NO. ___(IP-6T) DOCKET UE-161123 PSE SCHEDULE 451 WITNESS: IRENE PLENEFISCH

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. UE-161123

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (NON-CONFIDENTIAL) OF IRENE PLENEFISCH ON BEHALF OF MICROSOFT CORPORATION

SUPPORTING FULL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

APRIL 11, 2017

	SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (
	IRENE PLENEFISCH
	CONTENTS
I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	WHY MICROSOFT WANTS TO TAKE SERVICE UNDER THE SPECIAL CONTRACT
III.	MEETING OR EXCEEDING MICROSOFT'S AND STATE ENERGY POLICY GOALS
IV.	COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH COLSTRIP DECOMMISSIONING AND RETIREMENT

1		SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
2		IRENE PLENEFISCH
3		I. INTRODUCTION
4	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position with Microsoft
5		Corporation.
6	A.	My name is Irene Plenefisch. My business address is 1 Microsoft Way, Redmond,
7		WA 98052. I am a Government Affairs Director for Microsoft Corporation
8		("Microsoft").
9	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony in this proceeding?
10	A.	Yes, Exhibit (IP-1T), filed in October 2016, sets forth my initial prefiled
11		direct testimony. It addresses my qualifications, Microsoft's goals for power
12		supply, the initially proposed Schedule 451, and the relationship of that schedule
13		to Colstrip retirement. My first supplemental prefiled testimony filed in December
14		2016, Exhibit (IP-3T), discusses in additional detail Microsoft's commitment
15		to carbon neutrality and renewable energy, the relevance of I-937 to the initially
16		proposed Schedule 451, and costs associated with Colstrip decommissioning and
17		retirement.
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your second supplemental testimony?
19	A.	My second supplemental testimony is provided in support of the parties'
20		Settlement Stipulation and Agreement, dated April 11, 2017, and filed with the
21		Commission on April 11, 2017 ("Settlement Stipulation"), which constitutes a full
22		resolution of this proceeding.

Please summarize your second supplemental testimony.

1	Q.	Please summarize your second supplemental testimony.
2	А.	Microsoft wants to procure its own energy supply for that portion of its load
3		currently served under PSE's Schedule 40 in order to address Microsoft's
4		corporate sustainability goals and commitments to carbon neutrality. Through the
5		proposed Special Contract, Microsoft will advance the public interest by
6		committing to a substantial investment in renewable energy and carbon free
7		resources, continued contributions to PSE's conservation funding program, and
8		going above and beyond its current level of contribution to low income programs.
9		Additionally, Microsoft has agreed to pay a transition fee that fully protects PSE's
10		customers from any financial impacts associated with Microsoft's departure from
11		PSE's fully-bundled service. Microsoft believes the Special Contract provides an
12		excellent model for open access contracts, and that the Special Contract will allow
13		Microsoft to meet its business and sustainability goals more effectively,
14		efficiently and quickly. Simply put, Microsoft believes the Special Contract is
15		good for its business, the environment, and the public. Microsoft asks the
16		Commission to approve the Special Contract.
17		II. WHY MICROSOFT WANTS TO TAKE SERVICE UNDER THE
18		SPECIAL CONTRACT
19	Q.	Please describe why Microsoft wants to procure its own energy.
20	А.	As noted in my initial prefiled testimony and in my supplemental prefiled
21		testimony, Microsoft has a long-standing commitment to sustainability. Microsoft
22		has been tracking and reducing emissions since 2007 and Microsoft's operations
23		have been carbon neutral since 2012. Microsoft also has a 100 percent renewable

energy commitment, which it meets through direct purchases of renewable energy 1 2 and renewable energy certificates (or the equivalent). As such, Microsoft is 3 constantly looking for opportunities that will allow it to meet these goals more effectively and efficiently. This includes taking greater control of its energy 4 5 portfolio and procuring electricity at Microsoft's major locations. This prompted 6 Microsoft to seek the ability to contract directly with third party energy suppliers 7 for its Schedule 40 load here in Washington state. 8 Q. How would Microsoft's service under the Special Contract differ from its 9 service under proposed Rate Schedule 451? 10 In many ways, the service would be very similar. In both scenarios, Microsoft A. 11 would purchase its own carbon-free energy supply while continuing its 12 relationship with PSE for distribution and other services. In both, Microsoft would make a one-time payment of approximately \$23.7 million to hold PSE's 13 14 ratepayers harmless from financial impacts associated with Microsoft moving its 15 Schedule 40 load to the Special Contract. In both, Microsoft would make 16 contributions to conservation and low income funding. However, there are two 17 notable and significant differences. Under the Special Contract, Microsoft will 18 make substantially greater contributions to the procurement of renewable energy 19 and to low-income funding than under Schedule 451. Under the Special Contract, 20 Microsoft will purchase renewable energy (or renewable energy credits) at rates 21 substantially above both the levels proposed in Schedule 451 and the percentages 22 applicable to PSE under current law and increase its current low income funding 23 by 50 percent.

1	Q.	When would Microsoft start taking service under the Special Contract?
2	A.	Per the terms of the Special Contract, service will not commence until three
3		conditions are met to the satisfaction of both Microsoft and PSE: (i) obtaining a
4		power supply; (ii) obtaining transmission service; and (iii) installing upgraded
5		meters. Microsoft is currently working on all three of these items with a goal of
6		taking service under the Special Contract by July 1, 2018, though there are several
7		factors outside Microsoft's control that may impact this timing. Microsoft
8		understands PSE will file a notice with the Commission when service is initiated.
9	III.	MEETING OR EXCEEDING MICROSOFT'S AND STATE ENERGY
10		POLICY GOALS
11	Q.	Does the Special Contract satisfy Microsoft's sustainability goals and
12		renewable energy commitments?
13	A.	Yes, the Special Contract will allow Microsoft to achieve its sustainability goals
14		and satisfy its renewable energy commitments efficiently, effectively and quickly.
15		And it does so in a way that is also a good deal for the local community.
16		Microsoft made a number of commitments in the Special Contract with this in
17		mind—aimed at protecting PSE's remaining fully-bundled customers from any
18		adverse impacts-that go above and beyond state law and policies.
19	Q.	Please describe how Microsoft's commitments in the Special Contract go
20		above and beyond state law and policy.
21	A.	First, because of Microsoft's departure from PSE's fossil-fueled load and because
22		of Microsoft's commitments to carbon neutrality and to procurement of renewable
23		energy at levels above the state renewable energy portfolio standards in I-937, as
		d Supplemental Direct Testimony Exhibit No. (IP-6T) Page 4 of 8

1	soon as Microsoft moves its current Schedule 40 load onto the Special Contract,
2	its energy supply will become carbon neutral instead of being roughly 40 percent
3	fossil-fueled. This shift will reduce the amount of fossil fuel derived energy that is
4	consumed in Washington state as the Microsoft portion of that load is replaced
5	with renewable and carbon neutral energy.
6	Second, Microsoft will procure renewable energy, as defined under I-937, at
7	percentages that substantially exceed the percentages that utilities must meet
8	under the law. From the commencement of service through 2020, Microsoft's
9	percentage will be 25 percent rather than the 9 percent (2018-2019) or 15 percent
10	(2020 and beyond) applicable to PSE under RCW 19.285.040(2). Starting in
11	2021, Microsoft's percentage will be 40 percent rather than the 15 percent
12	applicable to PSE under RCW 19.285.040(2). If the law is changed to increase the
13	percentage applicable to Washington utilities above 40 percent, Microsoft will
14	increase its percentage to reflect and meet that change.
15	Third, Microsoft's contributions to conservation funding and implementation of
16	conservation resources—another area in which Microsoft has been and remains a
17	leader in Washington state—will continue as if Microsoft's Schedule 40 load
18	stayed with PSE.
19	Fourth, Microsoft's contributions to low income funding will actually increase by
20	50 percent above current levels. This additional contribution can be used to
21	further some of The Energy Project's goals for low income customers that cannot
22	be accomplished with funding from other sources.

1	Q.	Will Microsoft have obligations other than the commitments described
2		above?
3	A.	Yes, Microsoft will continue to be subject to various riders, including potential
4		new riders or surcharges, as appropriate, and will continue to be responsible to
5		pay, for example, local taxes.
6	Q.	How will Microsoft hold PSE's remaining fully-bundled customers harmless
7		from its departure from PSE's fully-bundled service?
8	A.	Microsoft will pay a one-time transition fee of \$23.7 million. This transition fee
9		fully protects ratepayers from any financial impacts associated with Microsoft's
10		departure. This fee takes into account such costs as generating assets that would
11		be stranded by Microsoft meeting its load under the Special Contract. Based on
12		both the analysis by PSE's Jon Piliaris in Exhibit No (JAP-1CT) and Gary
13		Saleba's analysis contained in Exhibit No (GSS-1T), Microsoft believes the
14		amount of the transition fee is far greater than any reasonably foreseeable adverse
15		impact on other ratepayers. This will be particularly true if Colstrip 1 and 2 are
16		retired earlier than July 2022.
17	Q.	From a logistics standpoint, how will Microsoft take service under the
18		Special Contract?
19	A.	Earlier this year Microsoft issued an RFP for power supply and Microsoft is
20		currently in discussions with potential power suppliers. Microsoft is
21		simultaneously working with PSE to obtain transmission service and to upgrade
22		all meters throughout Microsoft's campus to allow it to take service under the
23		Special Contract.

1	IV.	COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH COLSTRIP DECOMMISSIONING AND
2		RETIREMENT
3	Q.	Is this an appropriate proceeding for addressing Colstrip retirement and
4		decommissioning?
5	A.	No. As stated in my supplemental direct testimony, the necessary information
6		about the costs associated with decommissioning and remediation of Colstrip is
7		not yet available. Thus any attempt to allocate responsibility for costs of Colstrip
8		closure would be premature. In addition, many parties who are not involved in
9		this proceeding have a strong interest in Colstrip retirement and decommissioning
10		and may wish to participate in any Commission proceeding on Colstrip.
11		V. CONCLUSION
12	Q.	Does Microsoft believe the Commission should approve the Special
13		Contract?
14	A.	Yes, Microsoft believes this arrangement serves the public interest. It is beneficial
15		for Microsoft, for PSE, for PSE's remaining fully bundled customers, for
16		Washington state, and for the environment. It will help Microsoft meet its
17		business and sustainability goals in an effective, efficient and flexible way. It will
18		fully protect PSE's ratepayers from any near-term adverse financial impacts. And
19		Microsoft's substantial commitments to renewable energy and carbon free
20		resources, its continued commitment to fund conservation programs, and its
21		increased financial contributions to low income programs go above and beyond
22		state law and policy. Microsoft believes the terms and conditions of the Special
23		Contract serve as an excellent model for open access contracts, and are good for
23		Contract serve as an excenent model for open access contracts, and are good for

1 2 3

4

its business, the environment, and our local community. For these reasons,

Microsoft believes the Commission should approve the Special Contract.

Q. Does this conclude your second supplemental prefiled direct testimony?

A. Yes.