1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS) NORTHWEST, INC.,)
5 6	Complainant,) Docket No. UE-151344 v.
7 8 9	PUGET SOUND ENERGY,)) Respondent.))
10	
11 12 13	PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I Pages 1-16
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA
15 16	2:35 p.m.
L 0 L 7	August 12, 2015
18	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
19 20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
24	
25	

0002 1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 GREGORY J. KOPTA 4 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 5 1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250 6 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1355 7 gkopta@utc.wa.gov 8 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND 9 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: 10 JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI Assistant Attorney General 11 DAVID GOMEZ Assistant Power Supply Manager 12 1400 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 40128 13 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1186 14 (360) 664-1240 jcameron@utc.wa.gov 15 dgomez@utc.wa.gov 16 FOR FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS: 17 GEORGE BAKER THOMSON, JR. 18 Frontier Communications 1800 41st Street 19 Everett, Washington 98201 (425) 261-5844 20 george.thomson@ftr.com ROMÁN D. HERNÁNDEZ 21 K&L Gates 22 One SW Columbia Street, Suite 1900 Portland, Oregon 97258 23 (503) 226-5776 roman.hernandez@klgates.com 24 25 (Continued...)

1	FOR PUGET SOUND ENERGY:
2	JAMES WILLIAMS Perkins Coie, LLP
3	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101
4	(206) 359-8000 jwilliams@perkinscoie.com
5	KAREN BLOOM (by phone)
6	Perkins Coie, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
7	Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 359-8000
8	kbloom@perkinscoie.com
9	
10	
11	* * * * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 12, 2015
2	2:35 P.M.
3	-000-
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	
6	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Let's be on the
7	record in Docket UE-151344, caption Frontier
8	Communications Northwest, Inc., versus Puget Sound
9	Energy.
10	We are here on August 12th, 2015, for a
11	prehearing conference.
12	My name is Gregory Kopta. I'm the
13	Administrative Law Judge who is presiding over this
14	proceeding.
15	And let's begin by taking appearances,
16	beginning with the Complainant.
17	MR. THOMSON: Thank you, your Honor.
18	Good afternoon. George Baker Thomson, I'm
19	an Associate General Counsel with Frontier
20	Communications. With me today is my co-counsel, Román
21	Hernández, from the firm of K&L Gates.
22	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.
23	And for the Respondent?
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor.
25	James Williams from Perkins Coie on behalf

2

1 of Puget Sound Energy.

JUDGE KOPTA: And for Commission Staff? 3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Jennifer 4 Cameron-Rulkowski, Assistant Attorney General. 5 MR. WILLIAMS: I should also mention I have a colleague, Karen Bloom, from Perkins Coie on the 6 7 phone. 8 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. 9 Is there anyone else who wishes to make an 10 appearance? 11 Hearing none, Petitions to intervene: I 12 have not received anything, and since there's no one 13 else on the phone, I am going to presume that no one is 14 seeking to intervene, so that's not an issue. 15 Service: The Commission may decide to have 16 electronic service only. Would that be something that 17 the parties would agree to should the Commission decide 18 to do that? 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 20 MR. THOMSON: No objection from Frontier, 21 your Honor. 22 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Thank you. 23 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: And no objection 24 from Staff, but at this time I would like to remember -to ask for courtesy service for our Admin Staff. 25

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, and that was the other
 issue about this.

3 If we continue with paper service, we only
4 serve a paper copy to one person for each party, but we
5 also have courtesy electronic service to others.

6 So if you could let me know who that person 7 is because, particularly for the Complainant and the 8 Respondent, you've listed more than one person. So if 9 you'd just let me know who that person is that would get 10 the paper copy for whatever we serve and, I'm assuming, 11 what others we'll serve as well, and then others would 12 just get the electronic copy, then that would help us.

Because as part of our -- part of the prehearing conference order that I will order will have a master service list that will identify who it is that needs to receive the paper copy, and who else also gets electronic copies.

So if Staff would make sure and provide us with all of the people who they would like to have, and as well as the other parties, in addition to anybody else that you have already listed, then you certainly may do that.

23 We try to get these out expeditiously, so if 24 I could -- either today before you leave, or send me an 25 e-mail with the parties or the individuals that you want

1 to receive service, then that would help. 2 Discovery: The Commissions's discovery 3 rules are not generally available unless we make them 4 available. Is discovery something that the parties 5 believe that they would like to have available? 6 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, on behalf of PSE. 8 MR. THOMSON: Yes, your Honor. 9 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then the discovery 10 rules will be made available. 11 Protective order: Is there any indication 12 at this point that any of the information that will be 13 exchanged between the parties or filed with the 14 Commission is considered confidential? 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor. At the 16 moment, in the Superior Court matter, the parties have a 17 discovery dispute over the production of documents that 18 PSE believes are important for establishing its case. 19 We met and conferred with opposing counsel 20 before this hearing and decided that we are at an 21 impasse, which means that PSE will need to file to 22 compel production of this information because Frontier 23 disagrees that it should be turned over. So either that 24 issue has to be dealt with by the Superior Court judge or by the Commission. 25

1 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. 2 In this case, I'm referring to information 3 that is competitively sensitive. The Commission has a 4 standard order that we will enter if there's going to be 5 that kind of information that is at issue. 6 Do you anticipate that there's any 7 information that PSE would be providing that would be of a competitively-sensitive nature? 8 9 MR. WILLIAMS: PSE isn't at issue. We 10 provided everything we had without a protective order 11 request. 12 Frontier is suggesting that there's 13 something sensitive or secretive about -- or 14 privacy-oriented about what we are asking. 15 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. 16 MR. THOMSON: Your Honor, I'm not aware of 17 any competitively-sensitive information that we've 18 either sought or divulged in the Superior Court case. 19 And I find the potential remote in this particular 20 proceeding for that, so I don't see a need at this 21 point. 22 If it becomes apparent later, we'll 23 certainly move for a protective order at that time. 24 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. That's acceptable. 25 We will -- I will not enter a protective

1 order at this point, but leave open the possibility if, down the road, it seems to be -- would help make sure 2 3 that we have the information that the Commission needs 4 to render a decision. 5 I think that leads us to scheduling. We had a brief discussion off the record before we began. 6 7 There is still some disagreement in terms of what kind of schedule we need to put together, so we will have 8 further discussions off the record and then come back 9 10 and memorialize them. 11 So at this point we will be off the record. 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be back on the record. 14 After having a very brief discussion off the 15 record, there are at least a couple of issues that seem 16 to be needing a resolution before the parties can 17 discuss scheduling. 18 And I believe the first one is the timing of 19 response to the Motion for Summary Determination that 20 Frontier has previously filed. 21 So Mr. Williams, I believe this is the issue 22 that you were discussing off the record, so if you'd 23 like to, on the record, now give us your position, and 24 that will help things along. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor.

1	PSE is requesting an extension of time until
2	September 18th in order to file its Opposition and
3	Cross-Motion for Summary Determination.
4	We ask for that because Frontier has pending
5	in the King County Superior Court right now a motion to
6	dismiss PSE's complaint that was filed in that court.
7	We PSE is in the process now of drafting
8	and developing opposition materials that will be
9	submitted to that court for determination, and our
10	preference is not to have to fight and file
11	simultaneously in two separate courts at the same time.
12	So the purpose of the extension would be to
13	allow us to finish our work before the Superior Court,
14	and to allow us sufficient time to pivot and turn our
15	attention to responding to the Motion for Summary
16	Determination in this case.
17	JUDGE KOPTA: All right.
18	And as I understand the Staff, you are
19	supportive of that request?
20	MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Your Honor, Staff
21	would support having a little more time to look at this.
22	I don't know that Staff will be taking a position in
23	this in this matter, or how Staff will be
24	participating.
25	I would appreciate to have a little more

1 time to look at it. This -- this -- this motion is --2 has potential to dispose of the case, and so I'd like to 3 have a little more time to look at the whole case. 4 And I think that would -- given also that 5 it's my understanding that PSE will be filing a Cross-Motion for Summary Determination, we're going to 6 7 then have a second round two. So this is -- this is going to be the place where we do a lot of work on this 8 9 case. 10 And in addition, it so happens that I'm going to be going on vacation, and I would appreciate a 11 12 little more time. 13 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. 14 From Frontier? MR. THOMSON: Thank you, your Honor. 15 16 My client's position is that these cases, 17 although they involve the same facts and some of the 18 same tenets of law, are not connected except to the 19 extent that we've asked the Superior Court judge in King 20 County to dismiss or stay the case in favor of the 21 Commission's primary jurisdiction. 22 Because, perhaps -- especially because 23 Mr. Williams has indicated they intend to file a 24 cross-motion that's also a dispositive motion, we'd like to adhere as much as we can to the procedural rules set 25

1 out by the Commission for such responses. If we can get 2 that resolved, that's fine, but there's no connection 3 between the two motions. 4 And, you know, for a little bit more illustration, PSE has had Frontier's motion in the 5 6 Superior Court proceeding for -- since the end of June, 7 I believe. And thus, it's -- it should be something 8 that they've been proceeding upon already. 9 I don't see a whole lot of linkage between 10 that, except to the extent that the work may largely 11 have been done already with regard to research. And it 12 seems to me they could file in a timely fashion in this 13 proceeding. 14 JUDGE KOPTA: Anything further, Mr. Williams? 15 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor. 17 There's no urgency here. There's no risk of 18 anybody losing anything if there's an extension granted. 19 All we're asking for is the time to finish what we need 20 to do in the Superior Court, which they started, so we 21 can pivot and turn our attention to the WUTC quickly and 22 in short order. 23 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. 24 It's a little unusual to file a Motion for 25 Summary Determination before we even have the prehearing

1 conference, so I was a little surprised to receive that 2 motion, and it is a dispositive motion. 3 I think given that we are still in the early

4 stages of this proceeding, I don't really see any 5 prejudice to Frontier by giving PSE some additional 6 time, as well as Staff, to review the motion and to file 7 a response and any other motions that they may believe 8 are appropriate.

9 So I will -- under the Commission rules, we 10 are authorized to set a different date than the default 11 date that's in our rules, so I will exercise that 12 discretion and allow PSE to have until September 18th to 13 file its response, as well as Staff, should it choose to 14 do so.

15 At this point, I won't make any 16 determination in terms of when anybody else needs to 17 file a motion, whatever motion it is. I will leave that 18 to your discretion to decide when that's appropriate, 19 and we will take them up as that happens or doesn't. 20 So with that, I understand that that was one 21 of the road blocks to setting up any further procedural 22 deadlines, and if that is all that we need to resolve at 23 this point, then we can go off the record again and 24 allow the parties to just come up with appropriate dates for testimony and hearing, if that's the way they feel 25

1 is the best way to try and resolve this case. 2 All right. Then we will be off the record. 3 Thank you. 4 (Discussion off the record.) 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be back on the record. The parties have had a discussion about 6 7 scheduling and have proposed the following: Simultaneous direct testimony on 8 November 16th; 9 10 Simultaneous response testimony on 11 December 16th; 12 Simultaneous rebuttal testimony on 13 January 18th; 14 Cross-examination exhibits will be filed on Friday, January 19th; 15 16 And we will schedule two days for hearing on 17 February 23rd and 24th, and hold in abeyance for the moment the date for post-hearing briefing. 18 19 Is that correct? 20 MR. THOMSON: Your Honor, I think you 21 misspoke on the cross-filing of the exhibits date. I 22 believe that's February 19th. 23 JUDGE KOPTA: If I didn't say February 19th, 24 that's what I meant to say. February 19th, the Friday before the hearing. 25

MR. THOMSON: And I believe I wrote down 1 2 January 19th for rebuttal, because we may have had a 3 conflict with Martin Luther King Day. 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I have January 19th. 5 JUDGE KOPTA: As the date for filing? What day of the week is that? 6 7 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That would be a --8 MR. WILLIAMS: Tuesday. 9 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Tuesday. Tuesday, 10 January 19th. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. 11 12 Then we will change that to Tuesday, 13 January 19th, and then the cross-exhibits will be one 14 month later on February 19th. 15 All right. Thank you for the correction. 16 And if we have nothing further, then we are 17 adjourned. Thank you. MR. THOMSON: Thank you, your Honor. 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor. 20 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, 21 your Honor. 22 (Hearing concluded at 3:03 p.m.) 23 24 --000--25

CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 19th of August, 2015. ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032