1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 2 3 In RE: APPLICATION No. D-078959 of) PEARSON, SHARYN & ZEPP, LINDA,)Docket No. TC 010273 D/B/A CENTRALIA SEA-TAC AIRPORT 4) EXPRESS, for Authority to Transfer)volume III all rights under Certificate 5) No. C993 to CENTRALIA SEA-TAC)Pages 49-68 AIRPORT EXPRESS, LLC, 6) Protestants.) 7 8 9 A prehearing conference in the above matter was held on February 12, 2002, at 9:30 a.m., at 1300 South Evergreen 10 11 Park Drive Southwest, Room 108, Olympia, Washington, before 12 Administrative Law Judge MARJORIE SCHAER. 13 14 The parties were present as follows: 15 PROTESTANT, LINDA ZEPP, by DAVID K. PALMER Attorney at Law, 626 Columbia Street, NW, Suite 1-A, Olympia, Washington 98501, Telephone (360) 786-5000, 16 Fax (360) 943-7707. 17 CENTRALIA SEA-TAC AIRPORT EXPRESS, by ARTHUR C. DAVENPORT, Manager, PO Box 14881, Tumwater, Washington, 18 98511, Telephone, (360) 786-0641. 19 PACIFIC NORTHWEST TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., 20 by JAMES W. FRICKE, President, PO Box 2163, Olympia, Washington, 98507, Telephone, (360) 754-7113. 21 COMMISSION STAFF, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN, 22 Assistant Attorney General, 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive, SW, Olympia, Washington 98504, Telephone, 23 (360) 664-1187, Fax (360) 586-5522, E-mail greg@wutc.wa.gov. 24 DEBORAH L. COOK 25 COURT REPORTER

0050 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE SCHAER: Let's be on the record this 3 4 morning. 5 We're taking up the prehearing conference in б this matter that was continued from July 18, 2001. 7 We're in the Commission's hearing room 108, and the Commission's headquarters building in Olympia, 8 9 Washington. Today is February 12, 2002. I am Marjorie 10 11 Schaer, the Administrative Law Judge assigned to this 12 proceeding. I would like to start this morning by 13 taking appearances from all the parties. 14 And I have, since the last hearing, received a 15 notice from Rick Hafner, who had been counsel for Sharyn 16 Pearson, indicating that he was withdrawing as counsel. 17 So when I get to Sharyn Pearson's representative, I will have questions for you. 18 19 I think the other parties who have appeared 20 before can give your name, and the name of your client. 21 But let's go ahead and start with the representative 22 from Ms. Pearson. MR. DAVENPORT: I am Arthur Davenport. 23 24 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Davenport, are you an 25 attorney?

1

MR. DAVENPORT: No, I am not. JUDGE SCHAER: What is your relationship with 2 3 Ms. Pearson? 4 MR. DAVENPORT: I am the manager of Centralia-5 SEA-TAC Transport Express, LLC. б JUDGE SCHAER: And what is -- go ahead and give 7 us your business address, business phone, your e-mail, 8 if you have one, and your fax number, if you have one, 9 please. MR. DAVENPORT: Post Office Box 14881, 10 11 Tumwater, Washington, 98511. Our telephone number --12 we do not have an e-mail number or fax number -- is 13 (360) 786-0641. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. When the Notice of 15 Withdrawal came in from Mr. Hafner, I was contacted by 16 the Commission's Record Center, who said that the 17 address that is provided in this form is different from the address that is on file in the Commission's Record 18 Center for your business. So what address is your 19 20 formal address? 21 MR. DAVENPORT: That is our mailing address. 22 The physical address is 6200 Capital Boulevard, South. 23 JUDGE SCHAER: I am going to ask you to check 24 in with the Records Center before you leave this building today -- they are located in the middle of the 25

building right by the main doors -- and make sure they 1 have correct contact information for you, so we can keep 2 3 track. 4 MR. DAVENPORT: We have been receiving our 5 correspondence from the PUC. б JUDGE SCHAER: I had them send to both 7 addresses, but we usually keep one address that we mail 8 to. 9 And then for Ms. Zepp? MR. PALMER: I am David Palmer. I am the 10 11 attorney for protestant Linda Zepp. And Linda Zepp is 12 on my left. 13 JUDGE SCHAER: And then for the protestant, Mr. Fricke? 14 15 MR. FRICKE: I am also a protestant, James M. 16 Fricke, President, Pacific Northwest Transportation 17 Services, Inc. 18 JUDGE SCHAER: And Commission Staff, 19 Mr. Trautman? 20 MR. TRAUTMAN: Gregory J. Trautman, assistant 21 attorney general for Commission Staff. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: I have two major issues on my 23 list of things that we should deal with today. The 24 first one is I would like confirmation that we received permanent insurance. On the last hearing on the 28th, 25

we had just a temporary certificate that had been filed
 that day.

So Mr. Trautman, can you represent that the Commission has permanent proof of insurance now? MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes, we do, Your Honor. JUDGE SCHAER: And then my second area that is going to require a little more discussion is the question of where we go from here.

9 You may recall that we had a hearing last June 10 in which we would, in normal circumstances, have put 11 together a schedule for the completion of the 12 proceeding. That was continued to July so that the 13 parties could try to figure out where we were going, and 14 try to make sure that certain issues that needed to be 15 covered in the interim, like making sure that there was 16 adequate insurance, was taken care of.

And the notes that I have from the transcript indicate that there was to be a January 2002 trial date to resolve some differences between Ms. Pearson and Ms. Zepp, and their businesses. And if that didn't get bumped, that would be happening.

22 So we have scheduled this date as a status 23 conference or check-back date to see if we need to do 24 anything further, and if we are going to do anything 25 further to set up a schedule for getting that done.

1 So I don't know. Who would like to go first? 2 I am hoping you have talked to each other, and you have 3 a nice plan for me. And if that hasn't happened, but 4 you think it might be something you can do, we can have 5 some time off the record to talk to each other.

6 But give me a quick status report,7 Mr. Trautman.

MR. TRAUTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 I have 9 spoken briefly with Mr. Palmer, and he can give more 10 details. But my understanding is that the court matter 11 was settled, and they are attempting to finalize that 12 settlement in terms of handling Ms. Zepp's interest. 13 And I believe they are also intending to apply to the 14 Commission for approval. And we, of course, would be 15 interested in that.

16 In addition, at this point Staff has some 17 concerns that we believe can probably be addressed by updating the filings. Most of our concerns through this 18 19 process have involved the ownership, and the ownership 20 changes, and who is part of the corporation and who 21 isn't, and who's the manager. Because we have a number 22 of documents, and they do not always reflect the same 23 thing in terms of who is running the company.

24 So the first thing we would want, we would want 25 to update the application as to who is the purchaser,

who are the members -- if it's an LLC, who are the 1 2 members, and what is their percentage of interest today. 3 We would want an updated LLC Partnership 4 Agreement that, again, reflects the current members. 5 Because we have seen conflicting versions of the same б agreement over time with various signatures, and 7 members, the status of the LLC. And that appears to have been clarified 8 9 somewhat today. I believe Ms. Pearson used to be the 10 manager, but I believe Mr. Davenport indicated he is the 11 manager now. 12 MR. DAVENPORT: I am now. 13 MR. TRAUTMAN: So we wanted that updated. And 14 it may be that the certificate filed with the Secretary 15 of State's office would have to be updated as well to 16 reflect the current managers. 17 Fourth, we would want current vehicle registrations. I believe our Staff, about approximately 18 19 four months ago -- I believe four or five months ago 20 looked into the matter, and it appears two of the four 21 vehicles are registered in the name of the LLC, and two 22 are not. And that would have to be updated. 23 One was registered to Linda Zepp, and one was 24 registered to Centralia Sea-Tac, Inc., rather than the

25 LLC, which is not the correct entity. So they would

have to be all in the LLC. 1

2 And one other matter which is of very great significance to Staff is the regulatory fees. And the 3 4 LLC has filed the 2000 Annual Report. It was filed 5 January 10 of 2002, and I believe that report indicates б that there are regulatory fees owing of \$2,701. And 7 those fees would have to be paid up. And finally, the question of whether there are 8 9 any liens or any other encumbrances on the LLC. And that might include any -- to my understanding, there may 10

be a security interest for Ms. Zepp's interest. And 12 that would have to be clarified.

13 So if the application is updated, the vehicle 14 registrations are updated, any Secretary of State 15 certificates are updated, and the regulatory fees are 16 paid, and any liens are noted, when that is done, 17 at this point Staff does not anticipate opposing the merger once the ownership aspects are taken care of. 18

19 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. I want to hear from all 20 of you, and then I have a couple of questions. But I 21 want to hear from you, Mr. Palmer, because it seems you 22 might be more familiar with what is going on in the 23 court case, and what is happening in that world. 24 MR. PALMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

What happened is we went to mediation, and 25

0056

through the mediation we reached a settlement agreement
 which was then formalized.

Where it stands, I spoke with the counsel representing the civil litigation. And I believe we're missing one signature of someone who -- it's my understanding is not involved in ownership now, but has been in the past. And we may have a couple of details which will dovetail with the concerns of the Staff concerning the titles.

But, essentially, once we get all of the signatures, the deal is that Ms. Zepp and Ms. Pearson will transfer their interests to the LLC, the limited liability company.

And this permit -- the LLC would give to the 14 15 Zepps a security interest in the permit under the 16 Washington Uniform Commercial Code Secured Transactions. 17 Then the LLC would amend its application. The amendment would specifically request that the Commission 18 19 approve a security interest retained by Mr. and 20 Ms. Zepp. And that when these things were done, that 21 Ms. Zepp would withdraw her protest and would cooperate 22 in the amended application. As part of this, Ms. Zepp 23 and Ms. Pearson would be assigning their interest in the 24 partnership to the LLC.

25

And also, when I talk about dovetailing, in

addition to the security interest in the permits, 1 we would also be retaining a security interest in the 2 3 vehicles. So that allows us to address the concerns, I 4 believe, of the Commission, because we can make the 5 limited liability company the registered owner, and б Ms. Zepp the legal owner, which is how it would reflect 7 a security interest on a vehicle. I would hope to have the paperwork signed --8 9 also, as part of this, the civil suit would obviously be dismissed for prejudice. I don't believe there's 10 11 anything holding it up, other than getting someone into 12 a lawyer's office to sign the papers. 13 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Davenport, would you like to add anything? 14 15 MR. DAVENPORT: Mr. Palmer has pretty much 16 succinctly put it. The LLC is purchasing the assets of 17 Ms. Zepp, and also of Ms. Pearson. 18 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Fricke, would you like to 19 address any concerns about how this goes forward? 20 MR. FRICKE: I do have some concerns related to 21 the ownership, the operating authority, and the company 22 operating authority. 23 I had brought the question up before. The 24 certificate is owned by two people: Ms. Pearson and

25 Ms. Zepp. The LLC, to my knowledge, has no agreement

with those two in terms of operating that certificate.
As indicated in our previous conference, there
was going to be an effort to make some sort of
agreement, whether it be a lease agreement, or Staff
here talked in terms of some sort of management
agreement.

7 One of the indications, I understand from 8 Staff, was that because Ms. Pearson had essentially been 9 the manager through all of this ownership, up to that 10 point in time, that they considered that to be kind of a 11 continuous management -- managing partner, I guess, at 12 that point in time.

13 That apparently has significantly changed now 14 that Mr. Davenport is the manager. And it seems to me 15 that it raises the question again of the LLC as being 16 a company operating without authority, which is in 17 violation of the rules of the Commission, and, I believe, the laws of the State of Washington. 18 19 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. Is there anything 20 further you wanted to say at this time? 21 MR. FRICKE: I think that issue needs to be

22 dealt with, because there has been a signature change, 23 and I think that question needs to be addressed today. 24 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Davenport?

25 MR. DAVENPORT: Is it possible -- maybe I have

1 misspoken my exact position.

Ms. Pearson remains as the -- as of right now, as the -- okay, general overall manager. I manage the day-to-day activities of the operation. But she does the financials. I think she does the reports, and all of the reporting. So it's kind of a joint between the two of us at this point.

JUDGE SCHAER: Well, I have been asking myself 8 9 a question, I think, at each hearing that we have had 10 together: Does it make sense to continue doing what 11 we're doing in this forum, or should this application be 12 dismissed, and get the new application in, and let Staff 13 evaluate that, and work with the company to get what 14 they need, and then decide whether or not to go forward. 15 And I would like to hear what thoughts would be on that. 16 MR. TRAUTMAN: Your Honor, maybe it would serve the same purpose, but we thought it might be quicker, 17 rather than to dismiss and redocket -- rather than to do 18 19 that, to simply update the current application with --20 file an updated application within the same docket. 21 MR. DAVENPORT: That would be our preference, 22 also. JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Palmer? 23

24 MR. PALMER: That's what we had agreed to.
25 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Fricke, it sounds like that

would meet your concerns about having the forum for 1 2 addressing your issues? MR. FRICKE: I agree that's probably the more 3

expeditious way to deal with the whole matter. 5 I would still hope that Your Honor would recognize the fact that the law and the rules are being 6 7 violated here, and that either -- that there should be 8 some sort of operating agreement. It was talked about 9 months ago. That was said right here by counsel -- was 10 going to be worked out.

11 JUDGE SCHAER: Well, Mr. Fricke, I am not going 12 to consider that issue today, or rule on it in any way. 13 You will have a forum to raise those concerns when we 14 get to a hearing, and they will be dealt with.

15 What I am trying to figure out right now is 16 simply how we should go forward, whether we keep this 17 alive or whether we let all of the new information come in and treat that as a new transfer application. 18

19 Now, I have heard from Staff, and from the 20 other representatives, that they think it would be 21 better to continue in this case so that they have the 22 benefit of what they have worked out so far in this, and 23 are able to go forward.

24 And so I think that's what I am going to decide 25 to do is to keep this case alive. But I want a fairly

0061

tight schedule. You have had several months to gather 1 2 information and put it together. Now, Mr. Trautman, I am going to want to hear 3 4 what Staff's opinions are, even if you end up in a 5 neutral position. I think there are enough questions б raised about what kind of information needs to be filed, and what is filed, and how it's maintained that I want 7 to be sure that the Commission can hear from its Staff 8 9 about that. So in order to put together a schedule --10 11 again, would you like some time on your own to talk that 12 over, and put something together? 13 MR. TRAUTMAN: That might be helpful. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Then I am going to suggest that 15 we take our morning recess in order to give you time to 16 have a break, and also to do this discussion. I am 17 going to suggest we come back at 10:30 by the clock in 18 this room. 19 We're off the record. 20 (Brief recess.) 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Let's go back on the record 22 after our morning recess. During the time of the recess, the parties were 23 24 speaking together to work out a schedule for the remainder of the proceeding. 25

1 And has a schedule been worked out? Anyone? 2 MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Well, I 3 believe, as Staff indicated, we had had a list of items 4 that we would like to have updated and filed with the 5 Commission.

6 And at first we had chosen March 15 for that 7 date, but then we decided that it might be best simply 8 to schedule the date for the hearing. But you had 9 indicated you would be gone April 15, so it should be 10 sometime after that. I have hearings before that time.

11 But once we establish the date of the hearing, 12 which could be either the -- perhaps the fourth week in 13 April, a day during the fourth week of April, or that --14 the carryover week that goes from April into May, we 15 could then have the documents, the updated application, 16 updated business documents, updated vehicle 17 registrations, as Staff discussed earlier, we could have that date set 30 days prior to the hearing. 18

19 JUDGE SCHAER: So you are looking at a date in 20 the week of April 21st or 28th?

21

MR. TRAUTMAN: Correct.

JUDGE SCHAER: Let's go off the record for another moment, because I need to check any hearing dates with our hearings scheduler. And the Commission has such a horrible schedule getting through the rest of

1 the year. I have to make sure there's a room available for us. I will double-check my calendar to make sure I 2 3 don't have something else set. 4 So let's go off the record for a five-minute 5 break, and hopefully I will be able to find out that б information during the break. 7 (Brief recess.) JUDGE SCHAER: Let's be back on the record. 8 9 During the break I was able to check calendars, and the Commission's calendar, and two dates that are 10 11 equally available would be either April 23rd or April 12 25th. I wanted to check and see if, on anybody's 13 calendar, one of those dates was better than the other? MR. PALMER: 25th? 14 15 MR. TRAUTMAN: 25th. I like Thursday better. 16 JUDGE SCHAER: Well, it seems we have consensus 17 that the 25th would be the better day. Is that a day that will work on your calendar, 18 19 Mr. Fricke? 20 MR. FRICKE: Yeah, either one will work. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: And how about you, 22 Mr. Davenport? MR. DAVENPORT: Yes. 23 24 JUDGE SCHAER: And both of you, Mr. Trautman and Mr. Palmer, have indicated that will 25

2

1 work?

MR. PALMER: Yes, Your Honor.

3 MR. PALMER: Yes.

4 JUDGE SCHAER: So that's the plan, that we will 5 have a hearing on the 25th.

6 And you were indicating, Mr. Trautman, that you 7 are going to have updated documents filed a month before 8 that.

9 Do you contemplate doing that in some closed 10 format, so they would be available to me as well, so I 11 can review them before the hearing?

MR. TRAUTMAN: We can have it so it's filed with the Commission, and served on the other parties as well.

JUDGE SCHAER: I think that would allow me to feel better prepared, and perhaps keep things running better.

I am going to want a list of witnesses, and of 18 areas that they are going to cover. In addition to the 19 20 documents that are filed, which we just discussed that 21 I will receive a copy of, if there are other documents 22 that any party is planning to use as an exhibit in the 23 matter, I want you to have sufficient copies of those 24 made and with you so you can distribute those in the hearing room first thing in the morning. 25

1	Mr. Trautman.
2	MR. TRAUTMAN: You indicated a list of
3	witnesses. When would that be due by?
4	JUDGE SCHAER: Well, I was thinking maybe a
5	week ahead, but I am open to other suggestions. That
6	would be April 18.
7	MR. PALMER: Your Honor
8	JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, Mr. Palmer.
9	MR. PALMER: Could we make that two weeks
10	before. I will be gone the week before.
11	JUDGE SCHAER: And you don't want to file yours
12	early?
13	MR. PALMER: Actually, I want to see what
14	everyone else is going to do.
15	JUDGE SCHAER: Actually, it would be better for
16	me, because I am going to be gone the week of the 15th,
17	and I could take some time with them before I go.
18	So let's say those are going to be due April
19	10. Only if you get them there at 5:00, I could still
20	look at them on the 11th.
21	I am going to suggest that on the 25th we have
22	an additional prehearing conference from about 8:30 to
23	9:00 for the exchange of marking of exhibits. And
24	then, hopefully, we will have all of that done and be
25	ready to go forward with the hearing at 9:30.

1	MR. FRICKE: Clarification, Your Honor.
2	Are you saying immediately preceding that
3	hearing that day?
4	JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, Mr. Fricke. And I am not
5	sure I got my times contiguous, but I would say the
б	prehearing conference from 9:00 until 9:30, and then
7	starting the hearing at 9:30.
8	MR. PALMER: Your Honor
9	JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, Mr. Palmer.
10	MR. PALMER: Are you are the updated
11	documents, are they due March 25th, then?
12	JUDGE SCHAER: I was going to ask you that.
13	You indicated a month ahead. Is that what you would
14	like to do? That is a Monday.
15	MR. TRAUTMAN: That would work for us.
16	JUDGE SCHAER: And are you, Mr. Palmer, going
17	to be responsible for making sure those get filed, or
18	you, Mr. Trautman, or you, Mr. Davenport?
19	Mr. Fricke, I am sure you don't have to.
20	MR. TRAUTMAN: I anticipate some will be from
21	Mr. Davenport, and some from Mr. Palmer.
22	MR. PALMER: Yeah, I can file some.
23	MR. DAVENPORT: And, yeah, I will, of course,
24	have the updated application and lessee agreements.
25	JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. We're probably I am

probably going to call for briefs at the end of this, 1 2 depending on how complicated it gets. If it stays 3 pretty simple, we may not need to do that. But I don't 4 know if you want to talk about a briefing date now, or 5 at the end of the hearing. MR. TRAUTMAN: Let's wait until the end of the б 7 hearing, and see how the issues pan out. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. So is there anything else 8 9 we need to discuss this morning? (NO RESPONSE.) 10 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you all for working 12 together to get these planning steps taken. Our 13 Prehearing Conference Order will be issued. 14 I will be checking to see if one of the earlier 15 orders included all of the filing information for 16 documents that come in. If it did not, I will attach 17 that and tell you how many copies of everything. Exhibits need to be three-hole punched. 18 19 And so if there's nothing further to come 20 before us this morning, then we will be adjourned until 21 9:00 a.m. on April 25th. And we will be in this room, 22 it looks like, at this point. Thank you all, and we're off the record. 23 24 (Hearing adjourned at 10:40 a.m.) 25