BENCH REQUEST NO. 41:

Please identify whether the information in the Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG) for Washington state is current and accurate for the switches that you listed in response to Bench Request No. 39. If any of the information is not accurate, please identify the inaccurate information and provide corrected information, including any additions, deletions or changes. As part of your review of the information in the LERG, please state whether the CLLI code is accurate for each switch that you identified in response to Bench Request No. 39. In addition, please state whether the LERG definition of the function of each switch (*i.e.*, tandem, end office, etc.) is accurate.

RESPONSE:

The information in the LERG for each switch is correct including the CLLI code. Additionally, the LERG designation of the function of each switch is accurate.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 45:

With respect to the voice-grade equivalent lines identified in your response to Bench Request No. 44, please separately indicate the number being provided to (a) residential customers; (b) business customers to whom you provide between 1-3 voice-grade equivalent lines at one location; (c) business customers to whom you provide between 4-24 voice-grade equivalent lines at one location; and (d) business customers to whom you provide 25 or more voice-grade equivalent lines (in one location).

RESPONSE:

Time Warner Telecom does not maintain responsive data in the form requested.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 46:

With respect to the lines identified in your response to Bench Request No. 44, please provide, beginning with January 1, 2003, the average total monthly revenues earned per line served in Washington state by LATA, MSA, and wire center, and specify the source of those revenues by service type. The average total monthly revenue per line should include revenues associated with the basic retail price charged to the customer, vertical features, universal service payments, interstate access charges, intrastate access charges, subscriber line charges, toll, long distance, local number portability, data, service to Internet service providers, and line revenues derived from any other sources. Please provide any available breakdowns of each revenue component that is part of the average total revenue per line, identifying the type and amount of the revenue. Please identify any differences between types of customers served.

RESPONSE:

Time Warner Telecom does not maintain responsive data in the form requested.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 47:

With respect to the lines identified in your response to Bench Request No. 44, please provide, beginning with January 1, 2003, the average total monthly cost incurred per line served in Washington State by LATA, MSA, and wire center, and specify the source of those costs by service type. These costs should include costs associated with switching; loops; collocation; transport; hot cuts; OSS; signaling; customer acquisitions; backhauling traffic to your switches; maintenance, operations, and other administrative activities; and capital costs. Please provide any available breakdowns of each cost component that is part of the average total cost per line, identifying the type and amount of each cost. Please identify any cost differences between types of customers served.

RESPONSE:

Time Warner Telecom does not maintain responsive data in the form requested. Time Warner Telecom does not convert investments and other costs to per month costs as part of its normal business operations.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 48:

Please state whether your are providing, or have plans to provide, through a wholesale, lease, or resale arrangement, capacity on any switches you own or operate in Washington state, or that you own or operate in another state and that you use to provide a qualifying service in Washington state, to another carrier for use in providing qualifying services anywhere in Washington state. For each switch you identify in response to this bench request, please identify:

- (a) The CLLI code for the switch;
- (b) The make, model, age, and current software upgrades of the switch;
- (c) The geographic location of the switch;
- (d) The geographic area served by the switch; including a list of all exchanges served by the switch;
- (e) The features and functions (including software upgrades) available in the switch;
- (f) The capacity of the switch, including:
 - (i) Percentage of switch capacity in use;
 - (ii) Percentage of switch capacity reserved for your own use and future use; and
 - (iii) Percentage of current and future capacity of the switch that will be made available for CLEC use.
- (g) For each switch identified, please state in detail:
 - (iv) The anticipated service life of each switch;
 - (v) Whether you intend to use the switch for the full anticipated service life.
- (h) The rates, terms, and conditions under which you are making the switch capacity available;
- (i) The identity of the other carrier, whether you are affiliated with the other carrier, and if you are affiliated, the nature of the affiliation.

RESPONSE:

No.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 49:

For each month beginning with January 1, 2003, please identify the monthly churn rate you have experienced in providing qualifying services to end user customers in Washington state. In answering this bench request, you should calculate the churn rate as the number of voice grade equivalent lines lost each month divided by the average number of voice grade equivalent lines in service each month. In calculating the churn rate, do not include customers who move but remain your customer.

RESPONSE:

Time Warner Telecom does not maintain responsive data in the form requested.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 52:

For each transport facility identified in your response to Bench Request No. 50 that you have deployed yourself or have obtained from a supplier other than Qwest, please identify the cost of the facility, including the installation cost for any facilities that you have deployed yourself, and the rates, terms, and conditions of any transport facilities that you obtain through a wholesale, lease, or resale arrangement from any entity other than Qwest.

RESPONSE:

Time Warner Telecom does not maintain responsive data in the form requested.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 55:

Please identify whether you are affiliated with Qwest in any way or with any other carrier (including intermodal providers) that serves the transport routes or connection points identified in response to Bench Request Nos. 50 and 53. If so, please describe the affiliation.

RESPONSE:

No affiliation.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 56:

Please identify whether you have any long-term (10 or more years) dark fiber Indefeasible Rights of Use (IRUs) between any two Qwest wire centers or other facilities in the same LATA in Washington state, in which you maintain an active physical collocation arrangement.

RESPONSE:

No.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 57:

If you have identified any long-term dark fiber IRUs in your answer to Bench Request No. 56, please identify for each pair of wire centers or other locations:

- a. The common name, address and CLLI code for each pair of wire centers or other locations;
- b. The number of dark fiber pairs terminating at each of the physical collocation facilities;
- c. Whether you have attached optronics to the dark fiber, and if so, the transmission level of each such lit circuit; and
- d. The term of the IRU.

RESPONSE:

Not applicable.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 58:

Please provide a list of all recurring and non-recurring rate elements and rates that apply when a CLEC purchases UNE-L and special access, EEL, DS1, DS3, or dark fiber transport from a Qwest rate center to a CLEC rate center.

RESPONSE:

For unbundled network elements, see Qwest WN U-43, Interconnection Services Tariff, section 3. For special access services, see Qwest F.C.C. No. 1, section 7.11 for DS1 transport service, section 7.12 for DS3 transport service and section 7.18 for dark fiber service.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 61:

Please provide a list of all Qwest wire centers in Washington state, identified by name, address, and CLLI code, at which you connect a collocation arrangement to a facility or collocation arrangement belonging to another carrier, and for each connection, identify the carrier and the capacity or type of connection.

RESPONSE:

None.

BENCH REQUEST NO. 62:

Please provide a list of all Qwest wire centers in Washington state, identified by name, address and CLLI code, at which you were denied the ability to connect a collocation arrangement to a collocation arrangement or facility belonging to another carrier.

RESPONSE:

None.