PACIFIC POWER DATA REQUEST NO. 005 TO BOISE:

Please refer to Exhibit No. BGM-1T, p. 6, ll. 12-19. Do Mr. Mullins and Boise agree that Order 05, issued in Docket UE-143932, was expressly limited to application of the current net Removal Tariff to the specific facts presented by the Walla Walla Country Club's request to permanently disconnect from the Company's system? If not, please state in detail why both contend that Order 05 has precedential value beyond Docket UE- 143932.

RESPONSE TO PACIFIC POWER DATA REQUEST NO. 005:

Boise objects to this request to the extent it requests information from Boise protected by the attorney-client privilege. Further, Boise objects on the basis that the request calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Boise answers as follows.

Mr. Mullins did not attest to the precedential value of Order 05 in Docket UE-143932, other than to note that the Company has proposed to relitigate many of the same issues that were decided in that matter.

PAGE 7 – BOISE RESPONSE TO PACIFIC POWER'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

Date:May 10, 2017Respondent:Bradley G. MullinsWitness:Bradley G. Mullins