

Douglas B Rupp

From: Sally Johnston [sjohnsto@wutc.wa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2006 1:54 PM
To: Douglas B Rupp
Cc: DLundsgaard@GrahamDunn.com; sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov
Subject: RE: UT-050778 Short extension needed on rebuttal testimony

I have no objection to Mr. Rupp's request.

Sally Johnston
UTC Division Chief

"Douglas B Rupp"
<rupp@gnat.com>

03/14/2006 01:47
PM

<DLundsgaard@GrahamDunn.com>, <sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov>

To

cc

Subject

RE: UT-050778 Short extension
needed on rebuttal testimony

Just the one witness, assuming I can obtain permission to file electronically on the due date(s). Since you already have permission to file electronically I assume the judge probably won't have a problem with granting petitioners the same permission.

--Doug

> -----Original Message-----

> From: DLundsgaard@GrahamDunn.com [mailto:DLundsgaard@GrahamDunn.com]

> Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2006 1:33 PM

> To: rupp@gnat.com; sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov

> Subject: RE: UT-050778 Short extension needed on rebuttal testimony

>
> Would this extension apply only to this witness, or were you
> contemplating an extension as to all witnesses? My concern is that
> the more witnesses whose testimony is submitted late, the more likely
> it is to create potential scheduling problems. I don't have an
> objection to the extension for the witness who is on vacation, but I
> would be concerned if there were going to be 8 rebuttal witnesses and
> they all were going to be extended. If possible, I'd prefer to extend
> the filing date for the witness who is on vacation, but keep the
> existing date for the others.

>
> David Lundsgaard

>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: Douglas B Rupp [mailto:rupp@gnat.com]

> Sent: Monday, March 13, 2006 10:37 AM

> To: Lundsgaard, David C.; sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov

> Subject: UT-050778 Short extension needed on rebuttal testimony
>
>
>
> One of my witnesses has been on a 10 day vacation and won't get back
> until March 19. I wasn't able to locate him as a potential witness
> until March 8.
> Would either of you have an objection to a 2 (business) day extension
> for my rebuttal until March 21? I would file electronically on March
> 21 (with permission of the judge).
>
> --Douglas Rupp
>
> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
> This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege,
> work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection.
> If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it.
> Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in
> error, and then delete it.
> Thank you.
>
>