Exh.	IN	$\Gamma 1 T$	7
L'AXII.	. J N	ı – ı ı	

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET U-170970

JOINT TESTIMONY OF

MAYO M. SCHMIDT (HYDRO ONE)
CHRISTOPHER F. LOPEZ (HYDRO ONE)
SCOTT L. MORRIS (AVISTA)
ELIZABETH M. ANDREWS (AVISTA)
CHRISTOPHER S. HANCOCK (STAFF)
COREY J. DAHL (PUBLIC COUNSEL)
J. RANDALL WOOLRIDGE (PUBLIC COUNSEL)
MARC M. HELLMAN (AWEC)
SHAWN M. COLLINS (ENERGY PROJECT)
WENDY M. GERLITZ (NWEC, RNW, NRDC)
DOUG H. HOWELL (SIERRA CLUB)
DAVID HAWKINS (WNIDCL)
GLEN FREIBERG (WNIDCL)

IN SUPPORT OF THE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

April 10, 2018

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	Please state your names, titles, and the Party you represent in this
3	matter.	
4	A.	Our names, titles, and representation are as follows:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Mayo M. Schmidt, President and CEO of Hydro One Limited Christopher F. Lopez, Senior Vice President of Finance for Hydro One Limited Scott L. Morris, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Avista Corp Elizabeth M. Andrews, Senior Manager of Revenue Requirements Christopher S. Hancock, Regulatory Analyst in the Energy Regulation Section of the Regulatory Services Division, WUTC Corey J. Dahl, Public Counsel Unit Regulatory Analyst J. Randall Woolridge, Pennsylvania State University, testifying on behalf of Public Counsel Marc M. Hellman, Economist, Principal of MH Energy Economics LLC, Alliance of Western Energy Consumers Shawn M. Collins, Director, The Energy Project Wendy M. Gerlitz, Policy Director, NWEC (also testifying on behalf of RNW and NRDC) Doug H. Howell, Senior Campaign Representative, Sierra Club's Beyond Coal Campaign
22	•	David Hawkins, Assistant Business Manager, WNIDCL
232425	• To	Glen Freiberg, Assistant Training Director, NWLETT gether we are representatives of the "Parties" in this Joint Testimony.
26	Q.	Are you sponsoring any Joint Exhibits?
27	A.	Yes. Collectively, we are sponsoring Exh. JNT-2, which contains bios of
28	each of the I	Parties providing Joint Testimony. Exh. JNT-3 is a copy of the Settlement
29	Stipulation (h	nereafter "Settlement") filed in this docket on March 27, 2018. Exh. JNT-4 is
30	a red-lined vo	ersion of the Commitments, showing what has been changed from what was
31	originally file	ed with the Joint Application and the Settlement. Exh. JNT-5 provides errata
32	sheets that c	orrect a clerical error in Commitment 1 and make Commitment 43 in the

- 1 Settlement consistent with Commitment 3 in the Settlement. Exh. JNT-6 is an errata sheet
- that further clarifies Commitment 1. Provided below is a Table of Contents for our Joint
- 3 Testimony:

4	<u>Description</u> Pa	<u>ge</u>
5	I. INTRODUCTION	1
6	II. APPLICABLE STANDARDS GOVERNING APPROVAL	6
7	III. OVERVIEW OF MERGER COMMITMENTS	12
8	IV. AVISTA OPERATIONS POST MERGER	15
9	V. RATE COMMITMENTS	17
10	VI. REGULATORY COMMITMENTS	19
11	VII. FINANCIAL INTEGRITY COMMITMENTS	22
12	VIII. RING-FENCING COMMITMENTS	24
13 14	IX. ENVIRONMENTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY, AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY COMMITMENTS	
15	X. COMMUNITY AND LOW-INCOME ASSISTANCE COMMITMENTS	31
16	XI. COLSTRIP	35
17	XII. CONTRACT LABOR	36
18	XIII. MOST-FAVORED NATIONS	37
19	XIV. CONCLUSION	37
20 21	Q. Would you provide a general description of the Joint Application a	nd
22	the nature of the relief requested?	
23	A. Yes. On September 14, 2017, Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One"), act	ng
24	through its indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary Olympus Equity LLC, and Avi	sta
25	Corporation ("Avista") (sometimes hereafter jointly referred to as "Joint Applicants" or	the
26	"companies") requested an order of the Washington Utilities and Transportation	on
27	Commission (the "Commission") authorizing the Proposed Transaction ("merge	r")
28	whereby Olympus Equity LLC would acquire all of the outstanding common stock	of

- 1 Avista, and Avista would thereafter become a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Olympus
- 2 Equity LLC and an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Hydro One. The Joint Applicants
- 3 have requested approval of this merger under the provisions of RCW 80.01.040, RCW
- 4 80.12.020, and WAC 480-143-170.

15

Q. Who are the Parties to this proceeding?

- A. The Parties to this proceeding are: Hydro One, Avista, the Staff of the
- Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("Staff"), the Public Counsel Unit of
- 8 the Washington Office of Attorney General ("Public Counsel"), the Alliance of Western
- 9 Energy Consumers ("AWEC") (formerly known as Northwest Industrial Gas Users
- 10 ("NWIGU") and Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities ("ICNU")), The Energy
- 11 Project, NW Energy Coalition ("NWEC"), Renewable Northwest ("RNW"), Natural
- 12 Resources Defense Council ("NRDC"), Sierra Club, and Washington and Northern Idaho
- District Council of Laborers ("WNIDCL"), jointly referred to herein as "Parties" and
- individually as a "Party."

Q. Are <u>all</u> of the Parties signatories to the Settlement?

- 16 A. Yes, all Parties are signatories to the Settlement. As shown above, the
- 17 Parties represent a wide range of interests. These constituencies include residential
- 18 (including low-income constituents), commercial, and industrial customers. Other Parties
- to the Settlement represent environmental, clean and renewable energy, and labor interests.
- 20 Q. Does the Settlement represent a resolution of the issues in this case that
- 21 is satisfactory to all of the Parties?
- 22 A. Yes, it does. The Settlement is the result of extensive discussions and
- compromise among the Parties that successfully addressed their concerns. As such, this

- 1 Settlement is a full, all party Settlement, under the Commission's rules. (WAC 480-07-
- 2 730.)
- 3 Q. Would you please describe the process that led to the filing of the
- 4 Settlement?
- 5 A. In accordance with the procedural schedule adopted at the prehearing
- 6 conference (see Order 02), all Parties attended the scheduled settlement conference held in
- 7 Olympia, Washington, on February 6, 2018. An additional settlement conference was held
- 8 in Olympia on February 23, 2018. Based on these discussions and subsequent
- 9 correspondence, Parties reached an agreement on proposed commitments (Appendix A to
- 10 the Settlement Exh. JNT-3) that provides a basis upon which the Parties recommend
- 11 Commission approval of the Proposed Transaction in Washington.
 - Q. Did all of the Parties participate in settlement discussions?
- 13 A. Yes, all of the Parties fully participated in the settlement discussions, and all
- are signatories to the Settlement. The Parties, representing all who have intervened or
- appeared in this docket, agree that this Settlement is in the public interest, provides "net
- benefits" to customers, and should be accepted by the Commission as a full resolution of
- 17 the issues in this docket.
- 18 Q. Did the Parties engage in extensive discovery before entering into the
- 19 **Settlement?**

- 20 A. Yes, all of the Parties conducted formal and informal discovery. The Joint
- 21 Applicants supported their merger Application with seven witnesses and 25 exhibits
- 22 containing 571 pages of documentation. Over the next five months, the Parties submitted
- 23 552 data requests, with 777 individual questions, exploring issues critical to them

1	regarding the proposed merger. The Parties have sufficiently explored areas of concern,
2	are well versed in the issues, and entered into meaningful settlement discussions.
3	Q. Does this Settlement represent a compromise among the various
4	Parties, in order to reach common ground, while still serving the public interest?
5	A. Yes. As noted in the Settlement, the Parties agree that this Settlement
6	represents a compromise in the positions of the Parties, and that the Settlement is in the
7	public interest. The Parties agree further that the Settlement meets the requirements for
8	Commission approval under relevant Washington statutes.
9	As with any settlement, no Party shall be deemed to agree that any provision of this
10	Settlement is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except those
11	proceedings involving enforcement or implementation of the Settlement terms. The
12	Parties agreed to support the Settlement and recommend approval of the Proposed
13	Transaction in this proceeding, subject to the agreed-upon Commitments.
14	Q. Will the public have an opportunity to address the Application and
15	proposed Settlement?
16	A. Yes. There are four public comment hearings in Washington currently set
17	for April 23, April 24, May 2, and May 3, 2018 in Spokane, Colville, Othello, and Colfax,
18	Washington, respectively.
19	Q. How have the Parties organized their testimony in support of the filed
20	Settlement?
21	A. The Parties will provide both Joint Testimony (this testimony) and
22	individual testimony. This Joint Testimony will provide an overview of the Settlement

terms, describing, with some particularity, the commitments that are agreed-upon and

1 attached to the Settlement. (See Exh. JNT-3, Appendix A.) Recognizing that each Party 2 has its own perspective regarding why the Settlement meets the statutory requirements, 3 each Party will also provide its own individual testimony explaining its concerns, how the 4 public interest is met by approving this merger, and why it provides "net benefits" to 5 customers. Each Party presents its own rationale for finding this Settlement in the public 6 interest, and the separate testimonies reflect the opinions of the sponsoring Party or Parties. 7 The individual testimonies and are not intended to represent the position of all parties. No 8 Party, unless otherwise indicated, adopts the testimony of another Party as their own, and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

II. APPLICABLE STANDARDS GOVERNING APPROVAL

nothing in this Settlement was intended to indicate such an agreement.

Q. What are the applicable standards governing merger approvals in the State of Washington?

A. Pursuant to legislation enacted in 2009, RCW 80.12.020 was amended to provide that the Commission "shall not approve any transaction under this section that would result in a person, directly or indirectly, acquiring a controlling interest in a gas or electrical company without a finding that the transaction would provide a <u>net benefit</u> to the customers of the company." (Emphasis added.) Prior to this 2009 legislation, the Commission applied a "no harm" standard, meaning that it needed only to find that the proposed transaction resulted in no harm to customers.

The Parties agree that, with the Commitments set forth in Appendix A of the Settlement, the Proposed Transaction meets the "net benefit" and public interest standards under RCW 80.01.040(3), RCW 80.12.020 and WAC 480-143-170 required for approval

1	in washington. Onder the current law, Commission approval must be predicated on a
2	finding that the Proposed Transaction provides a "net benefit" to customers. Moreover,
3	RCW 80.01.040(3) directs the Commission to "[r]egulate in the public interest," and WAC
4	480-143-170 reiterates that requirement:
5 6 7 8 9	Application in the Public Interest – If, upon the examination of any application and accompanying exhibits, or upon a hearing concerning the same, the commission finds the proposed transaction is not consistent with the public interest, it shall deny the application. As described in the Commitments attached to the Settlement, and in the testimony
11	filed individually by the Parties, the evidence demonstrates that the Proposed Transaction
12	provides net benefits to Avista's customers in Washington, is in the public interest, and
13	should be approved by the Commission without condition. It is important to note that each
14	Party has its own perspective on why this Settlement is in the public interest and how it
15	provides "net benefits" to customers; that discussion will be found in the individual
16	testimonies of each Party. This testimony can be found at:
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	 Mayo M. Schmidt, Exh. MMS-4T Christopher F. Lopez, Exh. CFL-5T Scott L. Morris, Exh. SLM-5T Elizabeth M. Andrews, Exh. EMA-1T Christopher S. Hancock, Exh. CSH-1T J. Randall Woolridge, Exh. JRW-1T Marc M. Hellman, Exh. MMH-1T Shawn Collins, Exh. SC-1T Corey Dahl, Exh. CJD-1T Wendy M. Gerlitz, Exh. WMG-1T Doug Howell, Exh. DHH-1T David Hawkins, Exh. DH-1T Glen Freiberg, Exh. GF-1T
30 31	Q. Has the Commission recently applied the "net benefit" and public
32	interest standards noted above?

1	A. Yes. In an Open Meeting in late 2017, Docket No. UG-170094, the
2	Commission approved Northwest Natural Gas' request to form a holding company, calling
3	into play the new "net benefit" standard. However, in approving Northwest Natural's
4	request, the Commission specifically stated that its "decision does $\underline{not\ provide\ specific}$
5	guidance for future transactions under RCW 80.12.020." The Commission noted that
6	their finding of net benefits in that case was based on the particular facts and circumstances
7	presented. ² Similarly, the unique facts and circumstances of the current case will
8	ultimately guide the Commission's decisions regarding Avista and Hyrdo One's proposed
9	merger and the commitments outlined in the Settlement.

Q. Please provide an overview of the transaction contemplated by the Settlement that was filed on March 27, 2018 in this docket.

A. The transaction involves the proposed acquisition by Hydro One of Avista (the "Proposed Transaction"). Hydro One is a corporation organized under the laws of Ontario that operates a large transmission and distribution utility in Ontario. All parties agree to resolve all issues raised in this docket as described in the Settlement, including its attachments. The primary attachment to the Settlement is the Master List of Commitments in Washington, which contains 81 commitments.

Q. Please identify the 81 commitments agreed to by the Parties.

A. The Parties agree to the following commitments, which address the broad range of issues presented by the proposed transaction and raised by the Parties.

A. Reservation of Certain Authority to the Avista Board of Directors

.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

 $^{^1}$ Northwest Natural Gas Company's Application for Approval of Corporate Reorganization to Create a Holding Company, Docket UG-170094, Order 01, ¶ 14, December 29, 2017 2 Id.

1	1. Authority Reserved
2	2. Executive Management
3	3. Board of Directors
4	4. Avista's Brand and Plan for the Operation of the Business
5	5. Capital Investment for Economic Development
6	6. Continued Innovation
7	7. Union Relationships
8	8. Compensation and Benefits
9	9. Avista's Headquarters
10	10. Local Staffing
11	11. Community Contributions
12	12. Community Involvement
13	13. Economic Development
14	14. Membership Organizations
15	15. Safety and Reliability Standards and Service Quality Measures
16	B. Rate Commitments
17	16. Treatment of Net Cost Savings
18	17. Pre-Transaction Test Year
19	18. Treatment of Transaction Costs
20	19. Rate Credits
21	C. Regulatory Commitments
22	20. State Regulatory Authority and Jurisdiction
23	21. Compliance with Existing Commission Orders
24	22. Separate Books and Records
25	23. Access to and Maintenance of Books and Records
26	24. Cost Allocations Related to Corporate Structure and Affiliate Interests
27	25. Ratemaking Cost of Debt and Equity
28	26. Avista Capital Structure
29	27. FERC Reporting Requirements
30	28. Participation in National and Regional Forums
31	29. Treatment of Confidential Information
32	30. Commission Enforcement of Commitments
33	31. Submittal to State Court Jurisdiction for Enforcement of Commission
34	Orders
35	32. Annual Report on Commitments
36	33. Commitments Binding
37	D. Financial Integrity Commitments
38	34. Capital Structure Support
39	35. Utility-Level Debt and Preferred Stock
40	36. Continued Credit Ratings
41	37. Credit Ratings Notification
42	38. Restrictions on Upward Dividends and Distributions
43	39. Pension Funding
44	40. SEC Reporting Requirements
45	41. Compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

1	E. Ring-Fencing Commitments
2	42. Golden Share
3	43. Independent Directors
4	44. Non-Consolidation Opinion
5	45. Olympus Equity LLC
6	46. Restriction on Pledge of Utility Assets
7	47. Hold Harmless; Notice to Lenders; Restriction on Acquisitions and
8	Dispositions
9	48. Olympus Holding Corp. and Olympus Equity LLC Sub-entities
10	49. No Amendment of Ring-Fencing Provisions
11	50. No Inter Company Debt
12	51. No Inter Company Lending
13	F. Environmental, Renewable Energy, and Energy Efficiency
14	Commitments
15	52. Renewable Portfolio Standard Requirements
16	53. Renewable Energy Resources
17	54. Greenhouse Gas and Carbon Initiatives
18	55. Cost of Greenhouse Gas Emissions
19	56. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report
20	57. Efficiency Goals and Objectives
21	58. Optional Renewable Power Program
22	59. Energy Imbalance Market ("EIM")
23	60. Regulatory Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Sideboards
24	61. Industrial Customers' Self Direct Conservation
25	62. Transport Electrification
26	63. Professional Home Energy Audit
27	G. Community and Low-Income Assistance Commitments
28	64. Community Contributions
29	65. Low-Income Energy Efficiency Funding
30	66. Low-Income Rate Assistance Program (LIRAP)
31	67. Funding for Low-Income Participation in New Renewables
32	68. Addressing Other Low-Income Customer Issues
33	69. Replacement of Manufactured Homes
34	70. Low Income Weatherization
35	71. Security Deposits
36	72. AMI Consumer Protection
37	73. Improve Penetration of Low-Income Programs
38	74. Tribal Communities
39	H. Miscellaneous Commitments
40	75. Sources of Funds for Hydro One Commitments
41	76. Colstrip Depreciation
42	77. Montana Community Transition Fund
43	78. Colstrip Transmission Planning
44	79. On Bill Repayment80. Contract Labor
45	81. Most Favored Nations

1 2	Q.	What are some of the more significant features of the Settlement?
3	A.	The following are among the more noteworthy items that the Parties
4	negotiated	and reached agreement upon in the Settlement:
5		
6	Reservat	ion of Certain Authority to the Avista Board of Directors
7	•	Board of Directors (strong and continuing representation of Avista's interests)
8	•	Avista's Headquarters (maintain local presence)
9	•	Community Contributions (additional funding for charitable contributions)
10	Ra	te Commitments
11	•	Rate Credits (provision of over \$31 million of Rate Credits to Washington
12		customers over five (5) years)
13	Re	gulatory Commitments
14	•	Access to Information (ready access to relevant books and records of Hydro
15		One and affiliates)
16	•	Allocation / Assignment of Costs (no cross-subsidization of Hydro One and
17		vice versa, no transaction costs recovered from customers)
18	<u>Fir</u>	nancial Integrity Commitments
19	•	Financial Integrity Protections (capital structure / dividend restrictions / credit
20		ratings)
21	Ri	ng-Fencing Commitments
22	•	Ring-Fencing Provisions (assuring insulation of Avista from any potential
23		financial risks at Hydro One)
24	En	vironmental, Renewable Energy, and Energy Efficiency Commitments
25	•	Additional Renewable Energy (50 aMW by 2020; additional 90 aMW upon
26		retirement of Colstrip plant provided certain conditions are met
27	•	Modeling of Greenhouse Gas Emissions
28	•	Workshops for Energy Imbalance Markets (EIM)
29	•	A Self-Direct Option For Industrial Conservation

Expanded Transportation Electrification
Community and Low-Income Assistance Commitments
Low-Income Energy Efficiency Funding
• Continuation of Low-Income Rate Assistance Program (LIRAP)
• Funding for Low-Income Participation in New Renewables (\$5 million in
shareholder funding)
• Replacement of Manufactured Homes (\$2 million in shareholder funding)
• Low Income Weatherization (\$4 million in shareholder funding)
Discontinuance and Return of Customer Security Deposits
• Limitations on Remote Disconnect Under Advanced Metering Infrastructure
(AMI)
Miscellaneous Commitments
• Colstrip Depreciation (accelerate Colstrip Units 3 and 4 depreciation to 2027)
• Montana Community Transition Fund (\$3 million shareholder contribution to
transition fund for community)
• Most Favored Nations (MFN) Protections (assure Washington receives its fair
share of benefits, vis-à-vis other jurisdictions)
• Use of Union Contractors (agreed-upon provisions for safety training and
continuing use of union contractors)
III. OVERVIEW OF MERGER COMMITMENTS
Q. Please provide an overview of the merger commitments included in the
Settlement.
A. The Settlement includes a robust set of merger commitments made by
Hydro One and Avista to ensure the Proposed Transaction is in the public interest and
provides net benefits to Avista's customers in Washington. The commitments address
Avista's post-closing operations, ratemaking and rate credits, corporate structure,

1 governance matters, financial stability and integrity, and ring-fencing. The commitments 2 provide security to Avista's customers in Washington by, among other things, offering 3 substantial financial commitments to those customers through rate credits and low-income 4 The commitments also advance renewable energy and energy efficiency programs. 5 measures. Finally and importantly, the commitments confirm the Commission's ongoing 6 regulatory authority over Avista and its utility operations, including the maintenance of 7 and access to books and records, allocation of costs, ratemaking, and enforcement of the 8 commitments.

Q. Are the merger commitments in the Settlement different than the merger commitments included in the Joint Application?

A. Although Hydro One and Avista included merger commitments in their Joint Application, Parties collectively agreed during settlement negotiations upon various changes and additions to those commitments. The result is a group of comprehensive and substantial commitments that provide net benefits to Avista's customers and result in a transaction that is in the public interest.

The merger commitments are largely self-explanatory and designed to be read and understood as a standalone document. To aid the Commission in reviewing the merger commitments included in the Settlement, however, this section of the Joint Testimony provides an overview of the commitments by subject area and discusses changes and additions to the original commitments agreed to during settlement negotiations. Exh. JNT-4 to the Joint Testimony is a redline comparison between the merger commitments in the Joint Application and the revised merger commitments in the Settlement.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. How much shareholder funding is included in the merger commitments as a result of the Settlement?

A. Hydro One and/or Avista have agreed to provide in Washington a total of \$44.3 million in funding over time. This represents an additional \$24,690,373 in funding over time, on top of the \$19,650,678 in funding provided in the original commitments (exclusive of charitable contributions), to address customer rates and low-income customer issues, for environmental and renewable energy programs, and other worthy programs and projects. As noted in the table below, if this total shareholder funding of \$44.3 million in Washington were applied to other jurisdictions on a "most favored nations" basis (see Commitment 18), the cost to shareholders/quantifiable benefits to customers would approximate \$74 million over the five to ten year period (depending on the specific commitment) after the merger closes.

	Net Benefit Items Funded by Shareholders	System*	w	ashington
	Rate Credit	\$ 51,949,250	\$	30,715,050
A	Total Rate Credit - 5% Base Revenues	51,949,250		30,715,050
	Colstrip	\$ 3,000,000	\$	1,960,500
В	Community Transition Fund	3,000,000		1,960,500
	Low-Income	\$ 18,699,777	\$	11,665,501
C	Professional Home Energy Audit	TBD		600,000
D	Weatherization	TBD		4,000,000
Е	Manufactured Home Replacement	TBD		2,000,000
F	On-Bill Repayment Software	TBD		65,500
G	Renewables (Low-Income)	TBD		5,000,000
		\$ 73,649,027	\$	44,341,051

* This assumes "most favored nations" (MFN) treatment across all jurisdictions.

In addition, Avista/Hydro One through Commitments Nos. 11 and 64 have proposed charitable contributions only made possible through the merger. Commitment

1	No. 11 includes an increase in Avista's annual budget for charitable contributions in recent
2	years, nearly doubling it to \$4 million per year and additionally a \$2 million annual
3	contribution will be made to the Avista Foundation. Avista's annual charitable
4	contributions budget and annual contribution to the Avista Foundation under Commitment
5	11 will remain in place for at least five years after the merger closes.

The Avista Foundation provides funding to non-profit organizations addressing the needs of communities and citizens of Washington served by Avista. Commitment No. 64 further provides for a one-time contribution of \$7 million to the Avista Foundation at the time the transaction closes.

10

11

9

6

7

8

IV. AVISTA OPERATIONS POST MERGER

- Q. Please describe the merger commitments in the Settlement that address
 Avista's operations post-merger.
- A. Commitments 1 through 15 deal with Avista's operations post-merger.

 Commitment 1 reserves to the Avista Board decision-making authority over certain aspects

 of Avista's business and brand, *i.e.*, those items related to governance, operations, and

 local presence and community involvement that are the subject of Commitments 2 through

 15.
- 19 Q. How did Commitment 1, "Authority Reserved," change from the Joint 20 Application to the Settlement?
- A. As filed, this commitment allowed the Avista Board to amend Commitments 2 through 15 upon a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the board. The revised

1	commitment	requires Commission approval and notice to all Parties to this docket before
2	changes to C	ommitments 2 through 15 can go into effect.
3	Q.	How did Commitment 3, "Board of Directors," change from the Joint
4	Application	to the Settlement?
5	A.	In the Joint Application, this commitment required that three (3) directors of
6	the Avista B	oard be individuals "who are not officers, employees or directors (other than
7	as an indepen	ndent director of Avista or Olympus Equity LLC) of Hydro One or any of its
8	affiliates."	The Parties revised Commitment 3 to provide that those three (3) directors
9	must "meet t	he standards for 'independent directors' - under section 303A.02 of the New
10	York Stock E	Exchange Listed Company Manual (the 'Independent Directors')."
11	Q.	How did Commitment 11, "Community Contributions," change from
12	the Joint Ap	plication to the Settlement?
13	A.	Through settlement negotiations, the Parties revised Commitment 11 to
14	specify a du	ration of at least five years for the charitable contributions required by the
15	commitment	After that, any change would require only a 2/3 affirmative vote of the Avista
16	Board and we	ould not require Commission approval.
17	Q.	How did Commitment 12, "Community Involvement," change from the
18	Joint Applic	ation to the Settlement?
19	A.	Through settlement negotiations, the Parties revised Commitment 12 to
20	clarify that A	avista's existing levels of community involvement would continue with tribes
21	and low-inco	me service agencies.

How did Commitment 15, "Safety and Reliability Standards and

Service Quality Measures," change from the Joint Application to the Settlement?

Q.

22

A. The Parties enhanced Commitment 15 through settlement discussions to specify that, in addition to maintaining its safety and reliability standards and policies and service quality measures, Avista will not seek to remove or reduce existing penalty provisions related to its safety, reliability, or service quality measures for a period of ten (10) years after the merger. The Parties also revised this commitment to provide additional rate credits in the amount of \$250,000 per year for Washington electric customers if Avista's service reliability in Washington falls short of certain thresholds. This increased rate credit will persist until Avista meets the specified thresholds.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

V. RATE COMMITMENTS

Q. Please summarize the Settlement's rate commitments.

A. Commitments 16 through 19 address ratemaking issues, including the treatment of net cost savings, the pre-transaction test year for rate cases, treatment of transaction costs, and rate credits.

Q. Please describe the new commitment addressing the "Pre-Transaction Test Year" for future rate cases.

A. Parties added Commitment 17 to the Settlement to specify how a pretransaction test year will be addressed in future rate cases. Commitment 17 memorializes the Parties' agreement that Avista must use specific normalized test years if it files a rate case under three separate scenarios. These scenarios focus on the most current 12-month period available, as well as allow for an easier comparison of pre- and post-merger costs and added transparency.

Q. How was the original commitment addressing "Treatment of Transaction Costs" modified in the Settlement?

A. Parties changed the original commitment addressing Treatment of Transaction Costs (now Commitment 18 in the Settlement). As presented in the Joint Application, this commitment provided that Avista would not recover legal fees, financial advisory fees, the acquisition premium, senior executive compensation, and any other costs directly related to the Proposed Transaction. The enhanced commitment clarifies with more particularity the types of transaction costs Avista will not recover in rates. It also sets up a transparent process for clearly identifying and tracking those costs and requires Avista to provide the Commission, within 120 days of the final order in this docket, all journal entries and supporting detail showing the nature and amount of all costs of the Proposed Transaction since the Proposed Transaction was first contemplated.

Q. How did the proposed Rate Credit change as part of the Settlement?

A. The Rate Credit was increased substantially as part of the Settlement (now Commitment 19 in the Settlement). The Parties increased the amount of the rate credit in Washington from approximately \$19.7 million to approximately \$31 million. In addition, the period of the rate credit payout was shortened from ten (10) to five (5) years. This means that Washington ratepayers will receive approximately \$6.1 million annually for five years. Avista and Hydro One also agreed to seek Commission approval before applying offsetable savings against the rate credit, in an amount of up to \$1.02 million per year. Finally, Avista explicitly bears the burden of proof to show that savings have materialized and the offset to the rate credit should apply.

1	VI. <u>REGULATORY COMMITMENTS</u>
2	Q. Please summarize the Settlement's regulatory commitments.
3	A. Commitments 20 through 33 in the Settlement confirm the Commission's
4	ongoing regulatory authority and jurisdiction. The commitments address the maintenance
5	of, and access to, books and records, cost allocations, ratemaking costs of debt and equity
6	Avista's capital structure, FERC reporting requirements, the annual report or
7	commitments, and the binding nature of the commitments.
8	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
9	"Compliance with Existing Commission Orders"?
10	A. The original commitment provided that "Olympus Holding Corp. and its
11	subsidiaries, including Avista, acknowledge that all existing orders issued by the
12	Commission with respect to Avista or its predecessor, Washington Water Power Co., will
13	remain in effect, and are not modified or otherwise affected by the Proposed Transaction.'
14	In Commitment 21 to the Settlement, the Parties reinforced the original commitment by
15	adding express language that "Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including
16	Avista, will comply with all applicable future Commission orders that remain in force."
17	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
18	"Separate Books and Records"?
19	A. The Parties modified Commitment 22 to specify Avista will maintain
20	separate books and records "from its affiliates."
21	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Access

to and Maintenance of Books and Records"?

1	A. The Parties augmented Commitment 23 to the Settlement to provide the
2	Commission with access to certain documents of Hydro One and its affiliates.
3	Commitment 23 now includes the following proviso elaborating upon the Commission's
4	right to access certain books and records of Hydro One and affiliates: "Hydro One and its
5	affiliates agree that the Commission may have access to all the accounting records of
6	Hydro One and its affiliates that are the bases for charges to Avista, to determine the
7	reasonableness of the costs and the allocation factors used by Hydro One and its affiliates,
8	or its subdivisions to assign costs to Avista and amounts subject to allocation or direct
9	charges. Hydro One and its affiliates agree that they will not raise lack of jurisdiction as a
10	means of denying such access, and agree to cooperate fully with such Commission
11	investigations."

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Cost Allocations Related to Corporate Structure and Affiliate Interests"?

A. The Parties revised Commitment 24 to the Settlement to recognize that Hydro One, in addition to Avista and Olympus Holding Corp., may be subject to the Commission's practices for ratemaking treatment of affiliate transactions. If applicable, Hydro One will comply with those practices.

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Avista Capital Structure"?

A. The Parties revised Commitment 26 to the Settlement to more clearly state that "Avista's actual common equity ratio will be maintained at a level no less than 44 percent." The Commitment was also augmented to clarify that it "does not restrict the Commission from ordering a hypothetical capital structure."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
2	"Commission Enforcement of Commitments"?
3	A. The Parties revised Commitment 30 to the Settlement to clarify that in a
4	proceeding regarding the enforcement of the merger commitments, the Commission has
5	authority to compel the attendance of witnesses from Hydro One, and other affiliates of
6	Olympus Holding Corp., who may have pertinent information on matters affecting Avista.
7	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding the
8	"Annual Report on Commitments"?
9	A. As part of the revisions to Commitment 32 to the Settlement, Avista agrees
10	to submit annual reports on the status of compliance with the merger commitments for an
11	additional six (6) years through 2029, for a total of eleven (11) annual reports (instead of
12	five years in the original commitments). Avista will file the annual report in this docket
13	and serve it on all Parties to the docket.
14	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
15	"Commitments Binding"?
16	A. Commitment 33 to the Settlement now includes an affirmative obligation by
17	Hydro One, and any of its subsidiaries in the Avista ownership chain, to rectify any failure,

by any entity, to comply with the merger commitments: "If Hydro One or any other entity

in the chain of Avista's ownership determines that Avista or any other entity has failed to

comply with an applicable Commitment, the entity making such determinations shall take

all appropriate actions to achieve compliance with the Commitment."

18

19

20

2	Q.	Please summarize the Settlement merger commitments that ensure

3 Avista's financial integrity.

certain practices and reporting requirements.

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Commitments 34 through 41 of the Settlement ensure that Avista will remain a financially sound and fit utility post-merger through capital structure support, the maintenance of separate debt and preferred stock, continued credit ratings and credit ratings notifications, restrictions on dividends and distributions, and compliance with
- 9 Q. Please describe the new commitment titled "Credit Ratings 10 Notification"?
 - A. Commitment 37 strengthens the Joint Applicants' commitments in the Joint Application to maintain separate credit ratings and to restrict dividends and distributions based on credit ratings for Avista. Commitment 37 requires Avista and Hydro One "to notify the Commission within two business days of any downgrade of Avista's credit rating to a non-investment grade status by S&P, Moody's, or any other such ratings agency that issues such ratings with respect to Avista."
 - Q. Please describe the additional restrictions added by the Parties to the merger commitment regarding "Restrictions on Upward Dividends and Distributions".
- A. In the Joint Application, Hydro One and Avista had proposed to allow upward dividends and distributions where Avista's corporate credit/issuer rating was investment grade (scenario 1) or where the ratio of Avista's EBITDA to Avista's expense interest was greater than or equal to 3.0 (scenario 2). Those dividends and distribution

1 were allowed under those scenarios so long as Avista's equity ratio was equal to or greater 2 than 44 percent on the date of such Avista distribution, after giving effect to such Avista 3 distribution, except to the extent the Commission established a lower equity ratio for 4 ratemaking purposes. To strengthen this commitment, Commitment 38.a now provides 5 that upward distributions from Avista to Olympus Equity LLC are permitted under 6 scenario 1 only if "both Moody's and S&P, or their successors" determine Avista's 7 corporate/credit issuer rating is investment grade. Moreover, under Commitment 38.c, if 8 both scenario 1 and scenario 2 are not achieved, then upward dividends will not occur: "If 9 Avista does not have an investment-grade rating from both Moody's and S&P, or from one 10 of these entities, or its successor, if only one issues ratings with respect to Avista, and the 11 ratio of EBITDA to Avista's interest expense is less than 3.0, no dividend distribution to 12 Olympus Equity LLC or its successors will occur."

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Pension Funding"?

A. Under Commitment 39 to the Settlement, Hydro One has committed that it will not seek to change Avista's pension funding policy, whereas the original commitment only specified Avista's role in shaping pension funding policy according to "sound actuarial policy."

13

14

15

16

17

VIII.	RING-FENCING COMMITMENTS
-------	--------------------------

2	Q.	Please summarize	the ring-fencing	merger	commitments	included	in
3	the Settlemen	t					

- A. Commitments 42 through 51 of the Settlement ensure that the post-merger

 Avista will be a bankruptcy remote utility and that none of the entities in the Avista

 corporate chain will be able to drag Avista into a bankruptcy proceeding.
- Q. Please explain the new "Golden Share" commitment Parties included in the Settlement.
 - A. Commitment 42 of the Settlement is a new "Golden Share" commitment that requires the issuance of a single share of Preferred Stock of Avista, known as a "Golden Share," for bankruptcy purposes. This "Golden Share" must be in the custody of an independent third party and must be voted in the affirmative for Avista to be included in voluntary bankruptcy proceedings. The independent third party must have no financial stake, affiliation, relationship, interest, or tie to Avista or any of its affiliates, or any lender to Avista, or any of its affiliates. In matters of voluntary bankruptcy, this Golden Share will override all other outstanding shares of all types or classes of stock.
- Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Independent Directors"?
 - A. As originally proposed, Commitment 43 to the Settlement required the vote of an independent director to include Avista in voluntary bankruptcy proceedings. Hydro One and Avista agreed to revise this commitment to clarify that inclusion of Avista in a voluntary bankruptcy requires both the vote of an independent director <u>and</u> the vote of the Golden Share in Commitment 42. In addition, as part of this Joint Testimony, the Parties

- are submitting an errata to Commitment 43 in the Settlement to make it consistent with
- 2 Commitment 3 in the Settlement (Exh. JNT-5), providing that the three independent
- directors must meet the standards for "independent directors" under section 303A.02 of the
- 4 New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding the "Non-Consolidation Opinion"?

A. As originally proposed, Commitment 44 to the Settlement required Hydro One to file a non-consolidation opinion with the Commission stating that the ring-fencing provisions are sufficient to avoid an order from a bankruptcy court consolidating the assets and liabilities of Avista with those of Olympus Holding Corp., or its affiliates or subsidiaries (other than Avista and its subsidiaries). Hydro One agreed to revise this commitment to also require Hydro One, along with Olympus Holding Corp., to "file an affidavit with the Commission stating that neither Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp. nor any of their subsidiaries, will seek to include Avista in a bankruptcy without the consent of a two-thirds majority of Avista's board of directors including the affirmative vote of Avista's independent director, or, if at that time Avista has more than one independent director, the affirmative vote of at least one of Avista's independent directors."

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Olympus Equity LLC"?

A. Commitment 45 to the Settlement was revised to reflect the fact that Olympus 1 LLC and Olympus 2 LLC are no longer proposed as part of the Hydro One post-closing corporate structure. Olympus 1 LLC and Olympus 2 LLC were originally designed to help navigate Canadian and U.S. federal tax laws and jurisdictions. U.S.

federal tax reform has, however, made those entities unnecessary. Hydro One agreed to remove those LLCs in order to simplify the post-closing corporate structure.

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Restriction on Pledge of Utility Assets"?

A. As originally proposed, Commitment 46 in the Settlement precluded Avista from loaning or pledging utility assets to Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp., or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates, without Commission approval. As part of the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista agree that "Avista's assets will not be pledged by Avista or any of its affiliates, including Hydro One and Olympus Holding Corp. and any of their subsidiaries or affiliates, for the benefit of any entity other than Avista."

Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "Hold Harmless; Notice to Lenders; Restriction on Acquisitions and Dispositions"?

A. The Parties revised Commitment 47 to the Settlement to confirm that Avista will hold Avista customers harmless from any business and financial risk exposures associated with Olympus Holding Corp., Hydro One, and Hydro One's affiliates. Hydro One and Avista also agreed to revisions related to the requirement to notify the Commission of any change in control or ownership of Avista. Notice of a change to the upstream ownership of Avista or Olympus Holding Corp. among wholly owned subsidiaries of Hydro One may be provided in either an updated organizational chart included in the annual report filing described in Commitment 32 of the Settlement or in a separate notice filing. Hydro One and Avista also agreed to revise this commitment to specify that within sixty (60) days of the notice required by Commitment 47, "Avista and Olympus Holding Corp. or its affiliates, as appropriate, will seek Commission approval of

1	any	sale or	transfer	of an	y material	part o	of .	Avista,	or	of	any	transaction	or	series	0
---	-----	---------	----------	-------	------------	--------	------	---------	----	----	-----	-------------	----	--------	---

- 2 transactions, regardless of size, that would result in a person or entity, other than a wholly
- 3 owned subsidiary of Hydro One, directly or indirectly, acquiring a controlling interest in
- 4 Avista or Olympus Holding Corp."
- 5 Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
- 6 "Olympus Holding Corp. and Olympus Equity LLC Sub-entities"?
- 7 A. The Parties again removed Olympus 2 LLC from Commitment 48 to the
- 8 Settlement to reflect the fact that Olympus 1 LLC and Olympus 2 LLC are no longer
- 9 proposed as part of the Hydro One post-closing corporate structure.
- 10 Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding "No
- 11 Amendment to Ring-Fencing Provisions"?
- 12 A. Parties revised Commitment 49 to the Settlement to confirm that Hydro One
- commits that no material amendments, revisions, or modifications will be made to the ring-
- fencing provisions without prior Commission approval. The original term only referenced
- 15 Olympus Holding Corp. and Avista.
- 16 Q. Please describe the new commitment under the Settlement regarding
- 17 "No Inter Company Debt".
- 18 A. Parties added Commitment 50 to the Settlement that requires Avista to
- 19 notify the Commission "before entering into any inter-company debt transactions with
- 20 Olympus Holding Corp., Hydro One, or any of their subsidiaries or affiliates."
- 21 Q. Please summarize the new merger commitment under the Settlement
- 22 regarding "No Inter Company Lending".

1	A. Parties added Commitment 51 to the Settlement that prohibits Avista from
2	lending money to Olympus Holding Corp., Hydro One, or any of their subsidiaries or
3	affiliates without prior Commission approval.
4	
5	IX. ENVIRONMENTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY, AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY
6	<u>COMMITMENTS</u>
7	Q. Please summarize the merger commitments in the Settlement that
8	address the environment, renewable energy, and energy efficiency.
9	A. Commitments 52 through 63 of the Settlement advance the environmental
10	and renewable energy policies of Washington State and provide substantial benefits to all
11	of Avista's customers, including its low-income, small business, and industrial customers.
12	Through settlement negotiations, Hydro One and Avista further evidenced their support of
13	these policies by expanding these commitments as discussed below.
14	Q. How did the Parties change the merger commitment regarding
15	"Renewable Energy Resources"?
16	A. Parties agreed to substantial revisions to Commitment 53 of the Settlement.
17	Avista agreed to initiate a Request for Proposal for additional eligible renewable energy
18	resources above and beyond the current renewable energy standards in law. Through this
19	Request for Proposal, and subject to certain conditions, Avista commits to obtain
20	approximately 50 aMW of expected energy from new eligible renewable resources by
21	2020 and to obtain at least 90 aMW of expected energy from new eligible renewable

resources to become operational approximately within a year of the timeframe that Colstrip

1	3 and 4 are no longer operational.	This commitment is subject to the Commission's
2	standard prudence requirements applic	cable to the acquisition of generation resources.

- Q. Please describe the new commitment under the Settlement regarding

 "Cost of Greenhouse Gas Emissions".
- A. Parties added Commitment 55 to the Settlement, which requires Avista to model a range of potential costs for greenhouse gas emissions and to work with its integrated resource plan ("IRP") Advisory Group to determine the appropriate values to model. This modeling will occur at the beginning of the next IRP and is subject to any conflicting instructions contained in the Commission's acknowledgement letter in response to Avista's current IRP.
- Q. Please describe the new commitment under the Settlement regarding the "Energy Imbalance Market" ("EIM").
 - A. Parties added Commitment 59 in the Settlement, which commits Avista to hold workshops with the Commission and interested stakeholders to review the EIM analysis it will release by the end of 2018 and to discuss prudent next steps.
 - Q. Please describe the new commitment under the Settlement regarding "Regulatory Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Sideboards".
 - A. Parties included Commitment 60 in the Settlement, which requires Avista to utilize as part of its IRP process the Effective Load Carrying Capability methodology or an appropriate approximation to calculate a variable generation resource's contribution to capacity.
- Q. Please describe the new commitment regarding "Industrial Customers"

 Self Direct Conservation".

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	A. Under new Commitment 61 in the Settlement, Avista agrees to provide a
2	one-time self-direct option for a large conservation project within five years of closing of
3	the merger. The project will have a minimum capital cost of \$15 million and a maximum
4	capital cost of \$30 million. After applying available incentive funding through Avista's
5	Schedule 91, Avista shall finance the remaining capital cost of the project. The costs of the
6	project will be recovered through Schedule 91.

Q. Please describe the new commitment under the Settlement regarding "Transport Electrification".

A. Under new Commitment 62, Avista commits to expanding access to transportation electrification for all customers by setting internal goals and objectives in coordination with the Joint Utility Electric Vehicle Stakeholder Group to increase outreach and education, ensure engagement with low-income customers and organizations, increase EVSE program components that serve and benefit low-income residential customers, overcome barriers for EVSE siting with small business customers, and to implement incentives to minimize or eliminate the cost of electric vehicle supply equipment for customers.

Q. Please describe the new commitment regarding "Professional Home Energy Audit".

A. Under new Commitment 63 in the Settlement, Avista will provide, and Hydro One agrees to arrange funding³ for, home energy audits for 2,000 homes, over a 10-year period, at \$300 per home in Washington.

³ New Commitment 75 in the Settlement clarifies that "any commitment that states Hydro One will arrange funding is not contingent on Hydro One's ability to arrange funding, particularly from outside sources, but is

X. COMMUNITY AND LOW-INCOME ASSISTANCE COMMITMENTS

- Q. Please summarize the community and low-income assistance commitments in the Settlement.
 - A. Commitments 64 through 74 in the Settlement ensure that Avista will continue to be a good corporate citizen through increased charitable giving and community contributions. The commitments also provide that Avista's low-income customers will continue to receive necessary rate assistance, have equitable opportunities to participate in renewable energy programs, have access to energy efficient homes and appliances, and receive increased funding for weatherization.

Avista's low-income customers will benefit from these commitments, which include the elimination of security deposits for new Avista residential customers and the assurance that remote disconnections/reconnections will be studied. In addition, customers benefit from the Avista and Hydro One promise that disconnections will not occur during extreme weather scenarios. Finally, new Commitment 74 provides that Avista will reach out to tribal communities to encourage participation of members of such communities in receiving the benefits of this Settlement.

Q. Please describe the new commitment regarding the "Low-Income Rate Assistance Program" ("LIRAP").

a firm commitment to provide the dollar amount specified over the time period specified and for the purposes specified." Funds may come from Avista retained earnings that are available for payment of dividends to Olympus Equity LLC consistent with the ring fencing provisions and/or other Hydro One affiliates. Avista will not seek cost recovery for any of the commitments funded or arranged by Hydro One in the merger commitments. Hydro One will likewise not seek cost recovery for such funds from ratepayers in Ontario.

Joint Testimony in Support of Settlement Stipulation Docket No. U-170970

1	A. Through new Commitment 66 in the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista
2	agree to continue Avista's LIRAP and related pilot programs thereby assuring the lasting
3	nature of these programs.

Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding "Funding for Low-Income Participation in New Renewables".

- A. Through new Commitment 67 in the Settlement, Hydro One commits to arrange \$5,000,000 of funding over a period of up to ten (10) years for the purpose of funding one or more renewable generation project(s) to benefit Avista's low-income customers. Projects may include on site renewable energy installations and must benefit Avista's low-income customers. The funds for these projects will be paid into a separate account managed and disbursed by Avista at the direction of its Energy Assistance Advisory Group, which includes third-party advisors such as The Energy Project, Public Counsel, Commission Staff, and low-income agencies. The Energy Assistance Advisory Group will determine the project selection (which includes design and implementation).
 - Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding "Replacement of Manufactured Homes".
 - A. Through new Commitment 69 in the Settlement, Hydro One commits to arrange \$2,000,000 of funding over a period of ten (10) years to replace manufactured homes. Avista will work together with the demand side management advisory group to design the program and Avista will begin implementing the program within six (6) months of closing of the Proposed Transaction. Funds not used over the ten (10) year period will be redirected for additional funding for low-income weatherization programs.

1	Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding								
2	"Low Income Weatherization".								
3	A. Under new Commitment 70 in the Settlement, Avista commits to continu								
4	its existing weatherization programs described in Schedules 90 and 190. In addition								
5	Hydro One agrees to arrange \$4,000,000 of funding over a period of ten (10) years for low								
6	income weatherization in Washington. This funding is over and above existing funding for								
7	low-income weatherization.								
8	Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding								
9	"Security Deposits".								
10	A. Under new Commitment 71 in the Settlement, Avista commits to elimina								
11	security deposits for new Avista residential customers and to return existing securi								
12	deposits to customers who have a deposit held longer than six (6) months. After two year								
13	from Commission approval of the Proposed Transaction, any party may request the								
14	Commission to modify or remove this commitment if it determines that this commitment								
15	has an unreasonable impact on Avista's uncollectible debt.								
16	Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding								
17	"AMI Consumer Protection".								
18	A. Through new Commitment 72 in the Settlement, Avista agrees to discu								
19	implementation of prepayment billing and remote disconnections at the Commission								
20	upcoming AMI workshops and agrees not to implement prepayment until authorized by								
21	the Commission after conclusion of the AMI workshop and related AMI dockets. Avis								
22	also agrees to track the benefits of remote disconnection/reconnection identified in its AN								

business case. Finally, Avista commits that it will not remotely disconnect customers for

- 1 non-payment when the National Weather Service for that region has forecasted a daily
- 2 high temperature of 38 degrees or less or a daily high temperature of 100 degrees or more,
- 3 unless the Commission adopts a rule prescribing a different temperature for remote
- 4 disconnection.
- Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding
- 6 "Improve Penetration of Low-Income Programs".
- 7 A. Through new Commitment 73 in the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista
- 8 agree to undertake a targeted effort, through marketing, outreach, and data analysis, to
- 9 improve the penetration rate of low-income programs with a focus on underserved,
- vulnerable, and high energy burden households.
- 11 Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding
- 12 "Tribal Communities".
- 13 A. Through new Commitment 74 in the Settlement, Avista commits to reach
- out to tribal communities to encourage the participation of their members in receiving the
- benefits of the Settlement.
- Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding
- 17 "On Bill Repayment".
- 18 A. Through new Commitment 79 in the Settlement, Hydro One commits to
- arrange funding of the approximately \$100,000 initial investment in software upgrades and
- 20 \$5,000 in administrative costs for On Bill Repayment ("OBRP"). Commitment 79
- 21 provides "The option for repayment of the customer's share of the cost for a replacement
- 22 manufactured home (funded by third-party financial institutions) [as provided in

1	Commitment 69] will be included in the OBRP. Under no circumstance will the ratepayer
2	population be responsible for any default related to the OBRP."

4

XI. COLSTRIP

- Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding the depreciation of Colstrip Units 3 and 4.
- 7 A. Yes, through new Commitment 76 in the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista 8 agree to a depreciation schedule for Colstrip Units 3 and 4 that assumes a remaining useful 9 life of those units through December 31, 2027. These units are presently on a depreciation 10 schedule of 2034 and 2036, respectively. The undepreciated balance of \$114.2 million 11 will be recovered through (i) the unprotected Excess Deferred Federal Income Tax 12 ("DFIT")/Deferral of the January-April 2018 tax credit, totaling \$16.7 million; (ii) an 13 annual depreciation expense of approximately \$4.533 million (Washington share) for a 14 total of \$45.3 million; and (iii) a regulatory asset offset by the amortization of protected 15 Excess DFIT, i.e., over 36 years, in the amount \$52.2 million.
 - Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding "Montana Community Transition Fund".
 - A. Under new Commitment 77 in the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista commit to provide \$3,000,000 in funding towards a Colstrip community transition fund. This commitment is not a cap on the amount Avista and/or Hydro One may ultimately commit to this fund.⁴

٠

16

17

18

19

20

⁴ This commitment, like other Settlement terms, will be funded as described in Commitment 75.

Q.	Please	describe	the new	commitment	added	by	the	Parties	regarding
"Colstrip Tra	nsmissi	ion Plann	ing".						

A. Under new Commitment 78 in the Settlement, Avista agrees to work with the other Path 8 transmission owners to resolve questions surrounding the ability of new generation to use the Colstrip transmission line after the retirement of Colstrip Units 1 and 2 and again after the retirement of Units 3 and 4. Avista will also develop a transition plan for its Colstrip transmission assets and file that plan with the Commission. Avista will hold at least one workshop with Commission Staff and stakeholders to determine the transition plan's impacts on Washington ratepayers.

XII. CONTRACT LABOR

Q. Please describe the new commitment added by the Parties regarding the contract labor force that works on Avista capital projects.

A. Under new Commitment 80 in the Settlement, for a period of ten years, Avista will continue to require the use of WNIDCL members for flagging work and other types of work that is ordinarily and customarily performed by WNIDCL members on natural gas projects, subject to certain conditions. Avista will also require specified safety training and compliance with applicable safety codes and standards. Other provisions are set forth in Commitment 80.

1 XIII. MOST-FAVORED NATIONS

Q. Please describe Most-Favored Nations ("MFN") commitment included in the Settlement.

A. Under new Commitment 81 in the Settlement, Hydro One and Avista agree to a process whereby the Commission will have an opportunity and the authority to consider and adopt commitments made by Hydro One and/or Avista in other jurisdictions, even if those commitments are made after the Commission enters an order accepting the Settlement Stipulation and approving the Joint Application in this docket. The process for considering commitments made in other jurisdictions and limits on the types of commitments subject to the MFN are described in detail in Commitment 81.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10

4

5

6

7

8

9

XIV. CONCLUSION

- Q. In conclusion, do the Parties believe that the Commission should approve the Settlement?
 - A. Yes. As described in the Commitments to this Settlement and in the testimony filed individually by the Parties, the evidence demonstrates that the Proposed Transaction is in the public interest and should be approved by the Commission without condition. Furthermore, the Proposed Transaction will provide "net benefits" for Avista's customers in Washington, as reflected in the proposed Commitments (attached as Appendix A to this Settlement Stipulation Exh. JNT-3).
- Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.