

Douglas B Rupp

From: DLundsgaard@GrahamDunn.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2006 1:33 PM
To: rupp@gnat.com; sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov
Subject: RE: UT-050778 Short extension needed on rebuttal testimony

Would this extension apply only to this witness, or were you contemplating an extension as to all witnesses? My concern is that the more witnesses whose testimony is submitted late, the more likely it is to create potential scheduling problems. I don't have an objection to the extension for the witness who is on vacation, but I would be concerned if there were going to be 8 rebuttal witnesses and they all were going to be extended. If possible, I'd prefer to extend the filing date for the witness who is on vacation, but keep the existing date for the others.

David Lundsgaard

-----Original Message-----

From: Douglas B Rupp [mailto:rupp@gnat.com]
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2006 10:37 AM
To: Lundsgaard, David C.; sjohnston@wutc.wa.gov
Subject: UT-050778 Short extension needed on rebuttal testimony

One of my witnesses has been on a 10 day vacation and won't get back until March 19. I wasn't able to locate him as a potential witness until March 8. Would either of you have an objection to a 2 (business) day extension for my rebuttal until March 21? I would file electronically on March 21 (with permission of the judge).

--Douglas Rupp

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection.

If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, and then delete it.

Thank you.