BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. U-170970

EXH. EMA-2

ELIZABETH M. ANDREWS

REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

AVISTA CORP. RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	3/27/2018
CASE NO.:	UE-170485 & UG-170486	WITNESS:	Mark Thies/E. Andrews
REQUESTER:	UTC Staff	RESPONDER:	Liz Andrews
TYPE:	Bench Request	DEPT:	State & Federal Regulation
REQUEST NO .:	Bench Request No. 9 -	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-8601
	SUPPLEMENTAL	EMAIL:	liz.andrews@avistacorp.com

REQUEST:

Please provide the following:

A. Accumulated Deferred Federal Income Tax (ADFIT) balance as of December 31, 2017.

- B. The amount of excess deferred income tax reserve as described in IRC Sec 168(i)(9)(A)(ii) as of December 31, 2017, to comply with the TCJA. Separately identify the amounts classified as protected and unprotected.
- C. The amount of excess deferred income tax expense the Company is currently collecting as of January 1, 2018, until the anticipated effective date of this general rate case. Separately identify the amounts classified as protected and unprotected.
- D. A proposed amortization schedule for parts B and C of this bench request along with a supporting rationale for each schedule. Please identify and describe the amortization assumption, e.g., composite, average rate, or other alternative method.
- E. For the current proceeding, provide an updated revenue requirement based on the Company's rebuttal position that accounts for the change in corporate tax rate for the "going forward" tax rates (e.g., conversion factor and GRC adjustments that are a function of the new tax rate).
- F. Supporting workpapers in electronic format with all formulas and links intact. See WAC 480-07-510(3)(e).

RESPONSE:

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE MARCH 27, 2018 (Impacts Electric Only)

On March 27, 2018, a Settlement Stipulation was filed in Docket U-170970 (Hydro One/Avista Merger Docket or "Merger Docket"), whereby the parties in that proceeding agreed to use certain tax benefits resulting from the recent Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) to accelerate the depreciation expense associated with Avista's 15% ownership in Units #3 and #4 of the Colstrip generating facility from 2034/2036 to 2027.¹ A copy of that Stipulation is attached and incorporated herein as Bench Request No. 9 Supplemental – Attachment G. All parties in these rate case dockets (UE-170485/UG-17086 and UE-171221/UG-171222

¹ As discussed in the merger Stipulation, although presently there is no plan to close Colstrip Units 3 and 4 by a specific date, the parties have agreed to accelerate the depreciation of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 from 2034/2036 to 2027. As a result of this acceleration and further agreement described in the merger Stipulation, the parties have agreed to create a Colstrip Regulatory Asset to effectuate what was agreed to by the parties, which will be amortized over a period of 36 years at approximately \$1.5 million annually, beginning October 1, 2018. As a result, the existing undepreciated Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 balance (\$114.2 Million) will be recovered, as follows: \$45.3 million from ratepayers by maintaining current annual depreciation expense (i.e., no increase to rates); \$16.7 Million from unprotected Excess DFIT/Deferral of January – April 2018 tax credit; and \$52.2 Million from the Colstrip Regulatory Asset, offset by the amortization of protected Excess DFIT, i.e. over 36 years.

consolidated) are also signatories to the Settlement Stipulation in the merger proceeding (Docket No. U-170970).

The following supplemental response reflects the impact of the Settlement Stipulation in Docket U-170970 (Merger Docket), which impacts only the electric portion of our original response to this Bench Request. The summary tables, and the descriptions that follow, provide information regarding the agreements by the parties relevant to the electric tax benefits to be flowed through to customers, assuming the Commission were to approve the Merger docket (U-170970), including the Settlement Stipulation agreed to by the parties. (See pages 1-4) (For a detailed description of each TCJA component and Avista's response to parts A-F above, please see Avista's original response immediately following the Supplemental Response below, at pages 5-15.)

<u>REVISED Executive Summary:</u> Based on the information provided below, after giving effect to the TCJA changes and the filed merger stipulation in Docket U-170970, a summary of the <u>electric</u> benefits going to customers effective May 1, 2018 is shown in Table A:

Table A								
				WA				
Effective May 1, 2018: (000s)			E	lectric				
Base Rate Change:								
Avista Rebuttal			\$	54,387				
Current/Deferred Tax change (35% to 21%)	\$	(22,929)						
Plant Excess ADFIT ("protected")	\$	(5,712)						
Total Permanent Tax Credit Base Change		-	\$	(28,641)				
Adjusted Avista Rebuttal (permanent base rate change)			\$	25,747				

Accordingly, consistent with Avista's original response to Bench Request 9, effective May 1, 2018, \$28.6 million of TCJA tax benefits remain available to offset the Company's general rate case request. Based on the Company's electric rebuttal filing requesting a revenue increase of \$54.4 million, and after reflecting the effects of the merger stipulation, a permanent reduction to base rates of \$28.6 million would result in a net revenue increase of \$25.7 million for electric for Rate Year 1.

Regarding the \$5.7 million in Table A above, this is the TCJA annual tax benefit associated with the electric excess Plant ADFIT (protected excess ADFIT) owed customers. As described further below (see Table 2 at page 8), the Company has approximately \$208.3 million of WA Electric Plant Excess DFIT Reserves at December 31, 2017, for Washington electric operations. As required, the Company began to amortize this Reserve beginning January 1, 2018 using the TCJA's Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM) over the average life of the plant balances (an average amortization period of approximately 36 years).^{2/3}

Exh EMA-2

² The annual excess Plant ADFIT amortization benefit will vary annually as the IRS ARAM is not calculated on a straight-line basis.

³ The monthly amortization benefit of the excess Plant ADFIT for January 1, 2018 through April 30, 2018 has been included in the "Temporary Tax Benefit Reductions" and will be applied against the Colstrip Regulatory Asset established per the merger stipulation (see Settlement Stipulation), as discussed below.

In addition, as previously explained in the Company's original Bench Request 9 response, there are also <u>"temporary tax benefits"</u> as a result of the TCJA <u>of approximately \$16.7 million, as shown in Table B</u> below.

<u>Electric Temporary (One-Time) Reductions:</u> Offset To Colstrip Depreciable Balance	(000s)
Non-Plant Excess ADFIT	\$ (10,402)
Deferral of Jan - Apr 2018 balances	\$ (6,315)
Offset to Colstrip Regulatory Asset	\$ (16,717)

In the merger Stipulation, these benefits are being used for the purpose of accelerating Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 depreciation and are being used to reduce the Colstrip depreciable balance, as further described in Attachment A to Appendix A of the merger stipulation in the merger docket (U-170970), rather than returned to customers over a one-year period through temporary tariff Schedule 74 as originally proposed in our original response to Bench Request 9.⁴

Note: The Company used the current GRC rebuttal model to estimate the January – April 2018 current/deferred tax expense to be deferred (4.4 million of the 6.3 total for electric, see Table 3 on page 9), explaining that a true-up would be necessary for the difference, up or down, between the actual amount accrued and the estimate included here. (The actual deferral to be recorded on the Company's books January – April 2018 is being based on the difference between actual tax expense at 35% versus 21%.) To the extent the Commission determines a different method for calculating the January – April 2018 deferral amount, or the actual deferral differs from that estimated, this difference would be recorded against the Colstrip Regulatory Asset.^{5/6}

⁴ Coincident with the response to the original Bench Request No. 9, the Company filed with this Commission a separate electric tariff filing for new Schedule 74. If the merger docket Stipulation is approved by the Commission, tariff Schedule 74 would no longer be necessary as the electric "temporary" benefit dollars would be used as an offset to the Colstrip depreciable balance, and the Company would withdraw that tariff filing.

⁵ As noted in "Commission Staff Response to Avista Corporation's Response to Bench Request No. 9," Staff believes the appropriate method for calculating the <u>estimated</u> January – April 2018 over-collection of taxes to be deferred, should be based off of the tax difference between 21% and 35%, applied to Avista's last approved general rate case (GRC) – 2015 Docket UE-150204/UG-150205, using the modified historical rate base, authorized rate of return and normalized loads. The Company is not opposed to the use of the approved 2015 GRC, as proposed by Staff, to <u>estimate</u> the over-collection of taxes, prior to comparing to "actual amounts recorded and deferred as excess collected," if that is the Commission's preference.

⁶ It should come as no surprise that there will be differences between Avista, Staff and any other parties' calculation of current and deferred tax benefit balances (tax deductions) to be returned to customers (both permanent and temporary deductions), as the permanent current/deferred tax expense benefit to be returned to customers is based off each party's litigation position (including rate base) in this case, and including each party's proposed cost of debt. The other tax benefits (excess DFIT, deferred excess DFIT) would also include each party's proposed cost of debt, and therefore will also be different.

CONCLUSION

Avista recognizes that the Commission will not have acted upon the merger Settlement Stipulation (U-170970) by the time it issues its order in Avista's general rate case. Accordingly, to fully preserve the Commission's latitude in subsequently acting on the Settlement Stipulation, Avista proposes that, in its rate case order, the Commission sets aside the temporary tax benefits of \$16.7 million for possible later use for the purposes of accelerating depreciation of Colstrip in the Avista merger proceeding, should it approve the settlement in that docket.

In the Company's original response to Bench Request 9, the Company had proposed a new Schedule 74 as the vehicle for rebating to customers \$16.7 million related to "temporary tax benefits." On March 27, 2018, Avista will file to withdraw that tariff filing so that the funds remain available for possible use in the merger proceeding for accelerated depreciation of Colstrip. Should the Commission ultimately decide not to use those funds for that purpose in the merger proceeding, Avista would immediately seek to pass back that benefit to customers through a rate adjustment.

Correction to Staff Response to Avista Corporation's Response to Bench Request 9.:

As discussed with Staff, the Company is providing a correction to Mr. Hancock's "Commission Staff Response to Avista Corporation's Response to Bench Request 9," filed on March 21, 2018. In Mr. Hancock's response, within the table on page 2, Staff incorrectly stated that Avista's (revised for the effect of the TCJA) electric and natural gas Year 2 and Year 3 revenue requirement amounts are \$13,638 million (Year 2) and \$14,119 million (Year 3) for electric; and \$3,670 million (Year 2) and \$3,837 million (Year 3) for natural gas.

Per Avista's original response to Bench Request 9, Table 7, the correct amounts are as follows: \$12,131 million (Year 2) and \$12,512 million (Year 3) for electric; and \$3,339 million (Year 2) and \$3,477 million (Year 3) for natural gas. (See also Avista's Bench Request 9 – Attachment A (Revised EMA-11) and Bench Request 9 – Attachment B (Revised EMA-12).) The amounts used by Mr. Hancock are balances provided for informational purposes only, if bonus depreciation had been adjusted within the K-Factor calculation.

Also, at page 3, line 12-15, Mr. Hancock states: "Using these rate base figures is appropriate—rather than those from the pending general rate case, as the Company did in its Response to Bench Request No. 9 - because the Company is collecting rates established in Dockets UE-150204 and UG-150205 14 between January 1, 2017 and April 30, 2017." This sentence should refer to the period between "January 1, 2018 and April 30, 2018."

[End of Supplemental Response]

Original Response February 27, 2018

Executive Summary: Based on the information provided below, after giving effect to the H.R.1 - Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("TCJA" or "Act") changes, a summary of the benefit to customers and the impact on the Company's general rate case request⁷ is shown in the Summary Table below:

Summary Table								
Effective May 1, 2018:	Rev	venue Requ	ireme	nt (000s)				
Rate year 1	WA						WA ural Gas	
Avista Rebuttal	\$	54,387	\$	6,630				
Permanent Reductions:								
1) Current/Deferred Tax Expense (35% to 21%)	\$	(22,929)	\$	(4,655)				
2a) Plant Excess ADFIT	\$	(5,712)	\$	(1,203)				
Adjusted Avista Rebuttal (Base Tariff)	\$	25,747	\$	772				
Temporary Reductions: Tariff 74/174 (May 1, 2018 - April 30, 2019)								
2b) Non-Plant Excess ADFIT	\$	(10,402)	\$	(1,136)				
3) Deferral of Jan - Apr 2018 balances	\$	(6,315)	\$	(1,549)				
Total Tariff 74/174	\$	(16,717)	\$	(2,685)				

- Based on the Company's rebuttal filing requesting a revenue increase of \$54.4 million electric and \$6.6 million natural gas, reflecting the <u>permanent reduction to base rates</u> of the TCJA tax benefit provides a partial offset to Avista's proposed base increase of approximately \$28.6 million for electric and \$5.9 million for natural gas. The <u>net effect</u> of the electric and natural gas general rate case proposed on rebuttal and the "permanent" TCJA adjustments, results in a **net revenue increase of \$25.7 million for electric and \$772,000 for natural gas** for Rate Year 1.⁸
- In addition, for Rate Year 1, there is a <u>temporary reduction</u> (proposed over one-year) associated with new proposed tariff Schedules 74 (electric) and 174 (natural gas), of \$16.7 million electric and \$2.7 million natural gas.^{9/10}

⁷ To the extent that results of ongoing settlement discussions in the Avista/Hydro One merger docket (U-170970) may impact the calculations in this Bench Request, Avista will amend its response accordingly, well in advance of the May 1, 2018 effective date of new rates in this general rate case.

⁸ As discussed in this response, tax changes impacting <u>base rates on a long-term or on-going basis are considered "permanent"</u> changes.

⁹ Benefits which are a <u>one-time calculation or temporary in nature, are considered "temporary" changes</u>, and are proposed to be returned to customers over a one-year period through temporary tariff Schedules 74/174.

¹⁰ Coincident with the response to this Bench Request No. 9, the Company is also filing with this Commission, separate electric and natural gas tariff filings for new Schedules 74 and 174. The Company proposes these tariff schedules remain in place for a one-year period May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019. As discussed below, any true-up for changes in the tax benefit deferral balance could be updated by revising and extending the tariff Schedules 74/174 in Year 2 of the Three-Year Rate plan, if necessary, or deferred until the next general rate case.

- As can be seen in the Summary Table above, the individual components are as follows:
 - 1) <u>Current and deferred income tax expense and conversion factor</u> (or gross up to cover tax expenses) The result of lowering the tax rate from 35% to 21% of taxable income, as well as the impact on the conversion factor, reduces current and deferred tax expense. As shown in the Summary Table above, the overall impact reduces the Company's requested revenue requirement in this GRC by **approximately \$22.9 million electric and \$4.7 million natural gas.**¹¹
 - 2) Excess deferred income tax asset/liability As of December 2017, as described in IRC Sec 168(i)(9)(A)(ii), deferred tax amounts were required to be revalued at the lower corporate rate (21%) resulting in excess deferred federal income tax reserve balances. Balances associated with regulated utility operations resulted in a balance sheet reclassification from deferred tax to deferred regulatory assets or liabilities. This revaluation impacted both plant (protected) and non-plant (unprotected) balances.¹² As a part of this item, amortization of deferred tax amounts that represent the difference between the historical 35% rate and the revised 21% rate have been determined.

a) <u>For Plant-related excess deferred income tax</u>, the Company will amortize the plant DFIT balances (Regulatory Liability of \$208.3 million electric and \$45.05 million natural gas, per Table 2 below) in accordance with the TCJA's Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM). The Company estimates the ARAM for Avista results in an amortization period of approximately 36 years. As shown in the Summary Table above, the <u>annual permanent</u> benefit from the plant excess deferred amortization (the benefit will change over time as the IRS ARAM is not calculated on a straight-line basis) reduces the Company's rebuttal base revenue requirement by **approximately \$5.7 million electric and \$1.2 million natural gas**.

b) For non-plant related excess deferred income tax, the total benefit to return to customers is **approximately \$10.4 million electric and \$1.2 million natural gas** revenue requirement, per the Summary Table above. The Company proposes to return this balance to customers over a one-year amortization, beginning May 1, 2018, through separate (temporary) tariff Schedules 74 (electric) and 174 (natural gas).

3) <u>Deferral of January 1 – April 30, 2018 TCJA benefits</u> – As previously discussed in Avista's response to Bench Request 1, on December 29, 2017, the Company filed with the WUTC separate electric and natural gas "Petition[s] for an Order Authorizing Deferral of Federal Income Tax Expenses for the Effects of Revisions of the Federal Income Tax Code Upon Avista's Cost of Service." The Company proposed regulatory deferred accounting mechanism

¹¹ During the GRC hearings on January 17, 2018, Company witness Mr. Thies stated the benefits to customers related to "just the effects of current income taxes, the range [of benefits] that customers could expect to see is at this point \$20 to \$30 million." (Tr. p. 346) Per the Summary Table above, the current/deferred tax benefit is approximately \$22.9 million electric and \$4.7 million natural gas, totaling \$27.6 million. The remaining benefit to be returned to customers relates to excess deferred federal income taxes, and the January - April 2018 tax benefit deferred balances.

¹² Avista has two main types of excess accumulated deferred income taxes (ADFIT) as defined by the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), "protected" and "unprotected". "Protected" excess ADFIT is generally defined as capital assets depreciated under IRC section 167, whereby these timing differences are required to be recorded and then reversed (i.e. normalized) over the depreciable lives of the capital assets that created the ADFIT. "Unprotected" excess ADFIT makes up the remainder of the Company's excess ADFIT, reflecting non-plant related deferred assets/liabilities.

is necessary to capture the benefits of the TCJA until such time as the benefits are reflected in base rates and the deferred balance has been returned to customers. As shown in Table 3 of BR 9, the estimated deferred benefit for January 1 – April 30, 2018 is **approximately \$6.3 million electric and \$1.5 million natural gas**. The Company proposes to return this balance to customers over a one-year amortization, beginning May 1, 2018, through separate (temporary) tariff Schedule 74 (electric) and 174 (natural gas).

Lastly, while the Company is not otherwise proposing to modify its proposed revenue requirements for Years 2 and 3 of the Rate Plan, we believe it is important for the Commission to know that the elimination of "bonus depreciation" as a result of the TCJA affects the Company's calculated revenue growth factor (K-Factor) to the detriment of the Company by approximately <u>\$1.8 - \$2.0</u> million annually in Rate Years 2 and 3. As noted in section "Impact of Elimination of Bonus Depreciation results in an increase in the plant rate base component of the "K-Factor", increasing the overall revenue growth factor that should be applied to Year 1 revenues for Rate Years 2 and 3. Avista's proposed revenue growth factors ("K-Factors") are currently 3.14% (electric) and 4.14% (natural gas). However, Avista is not proposing to revise its K-Factor upward to account for this. Accordingly, Avista's proposed K-Factors are, if anything, conservative, by failing to reflect the impact of Bonus Depreciation. Had it done so, the K-Factors would have increased from 3.14% (electric) to 3.53% and 4.14% (natural gas) to 4.55%.

Background: Included below are amounts and explanation of the impacts of the new TCJA signed into law December 22, 2017, with most provisions going into effect on January 1, 2018. The Act contains provisions that impact regulated utilities' federal tax obligations, including a reduction to the corporate income tax rate. The Company has complied with the financial accounting requirements of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") - 740 which required recognition of the effect of certain tax law changes to be recognized in the period of enactment, in this case, December 2017.

As discussed below, the primary provision of the TCJA was a reduction in the federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%, reducing the current and deferred tax expense currently included in customers' rates. Avista's current retail rates, and its proposed rebuttal revenue requirement position in this general rate case proceeding, assumed a federal corporate tax rate of 35%. As discussed in (E) below, to recognize the 21% tax rate, the Company has revised its rebuttal positon to include the impact on current and deferred tax expense within its revenue requirement models previously filed as Avista rebuttal Exh. EMA-11 and Exh. EMA-12 (included as Bench Request No. 9 – Attachments A and B).¹³

In addition, the TCJA also resulted in excess deferred income tax assets and liabilities (including both "protected" and "unprotected," as discussed in (D) below¹⁴) which have been included in the Company's revised <u>base rate increase</u> (plant excess ADFIT) <u>or</u> included in <u>temporary Schedule 74/174¹⁵</u> (non-plant excess ADFIT), along with amortization of the deferred estimated January 1 – April 30, 2018 benefit balance (see Revised Exh. EMA-11 and Revised Exh. EMA-12 included as Bench Request No. 9 – Attachments A and B). Workpapers associated with the TCJA benefits have been included in electronic format as Bench Request No. 9 – Attachments E (electronic folder) and Attachment F (.pdf of certain summary pages).

¹³ Revised Exh. EMA-13 and Exh. EMA-14, to the extent the TCJA impacted these exhibits, has been provided as Bench Request 9 – Attachments C and D.

¹⁴ See also footnote 12.

¹⁵ See also footnote 9.

Detail Bench Request No. 9 response:

A. The Accumulated Deferred Federal Income Tax (ADFIT) balance as of December 31, 2017 (included on an end-of period basis), prior to adjustment for the TCJA corporate tax rate change to 21% is as follows in Table 1:

Accumulated Deferred Federal Income Tax (ADFIT) balances at December 31, 2017:									
	Avista Utility WA System Electric								
Plant	\$ (802,685,237)	\$ (400,938,920)	\$ (86,717,190)						
Non-Plant	\$ (31,206,134)	\$ (18,523,295)	\$ (2,326,819)						

Table 1

Avista has both plant (\$802,685,237 system) and non-plant (\$31,206,134 system) related ADFIT balances as of December 31, 2017, as shown in Table 1. Plant related balances are recorded in FERC Account 282. Non-plant balances are recorded in FERC Accounts 190 and 283.

B. The amount of excess deferred income tax reserve as described in IRC Sec 168(i)(9)(A)(ii) as of December 31, 2017, to comply with the TCJA, separately identified as protected and unprotected, as shown in Table 2 below is as follows:

Table 2												
Excess Deferred Federal Income Tax Reserve at December 31, 2017												
Protected (normalized plant) Unprotected (non-plant)												
	A	vista Utility		WA		WA	Avista Utility WA			WA		
		System		Electric	N	latural Gas	System Electric		Electric	Natural G		
Excess Reserve	\$	320,850,109	\$	160,263,688	\$	34,662,678	\$	13,530,194	\$	8,042,876	\$	930,728
Gross-up for taxes	\$	96,109,097	\$	48,006,212	\$	10,383,037	\$	4,052,904	\$	2,409,205	\$	278,795
Regulatory Liability	\$	416,959,206	\$	208,269,900	\$	45,045,715	\$	17,583,098	\$	10,452,081	\$	1,209,523

Table 2

Due to the 2018 tax code change, the tax assets/liabilities discussed in (A) above, were adjusted to be 21% of the associated deferred asset/liability. The difference between the original balance recorded at 35% and the new balance recorded at 21% was recorded as plant or non-plant excess ADFIT.

Avista has two main types of excess ADFIT as defined by the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), <u>"protected"</u> and <u>"unprotected."</u> Protected excess ADFIT is generally defined as capital assets depreciated under IRC section 167, whereby these timing differences are required to be recorded and then reversed (i.e. normalized) over the depreciable lives of the capital assets that created the ADFIT. <u>Unprotected</u> excess ADFIT makes up the remainder of the Company's excess ADFIT, mainly representing non-plant related deferred assets/liabilities, as well as plant-related tax basis adjustments (included by the Company within its "protected" balances.)

The <u>utility plant related excess ADFIT</u> at December 31, 2017 system-wide was \$320,850,109, exclusive of the tax gross up. Embedded in the protected excess number are various <u>basis adjustments to plant</u> that are not specifically classified as "protected" Internal Revenue Code Section 167 amounts. The system-wide plant related deferred taxes not specifically requiring normalization treatment is \$42,956,299, leaving \$277,893,810 as system-wide specifically protected excess ADFIT. At this time the Company is unable to provide a Washington-allocated breakdown of the plant "unprotected" balances. Avista uses a tax depreciation system called PowerTax to calculate tax depreciation and

associated ADFIT. This system starts with Avista's book plant balances and then records what is called <u>tax basis adjustments</u> due to various tax timing differences. Common tax basis adjustments are accelerated depreciation which are protected, as well as unprotected items, such as Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC), AFUDC capitalization, and tangible repairs. These unprotected tax basis adjustments are <u>directly tied</u>, and essentially <u>comingled</u> within the basis of the underlying plant asset and associated ADFIT, and therefore are included with "protected" plant by the Company.¹⁶

The <u>utility non-plant related excess ADFIT</u> at December 31, 2017 system-wide was \$13,530,194, exclusive of the tax gross up. The share allocated to Washington electric is \$8,042,876 and to Washington natural gas is \$930,728. On a revenue requirement basis, the amount to be returned to customers is approximately \$10.4 million electric and \$1.1 million natural gas. The Company is proposing to return this to customers over a one-year period beginning May 1, 2018 as discussed further in (D) below.

C. The <u>estimated</u> amount of excess deferred income tax expense the Company is currently collecting as of January 1, 2018, until the anticipated effective date of this general rate case (May 1, 2018), separately identified as protected and unprotected, (as well as the amount of current/deferred tax expense collected for this same period), is shown in Table 3 as follows:

	Table 5						
<u>Estimated</u> Excess Deferred Federal Income Tax and Current/Deferred Tax Expense Deferred for the Period January - April 2018 (000s)							
WAWAElectricNatural Gas							
Excess ADFIT - Plant (Protected ⁽¹⁾)	\$ 1,884	\$ 389					
Current/Deferred Tax Expense	<u>\$</u> 4,431	\$ 1,160					
Total Deferred Balance January - April 2018 ⁽²⁾	\$ 6,315	\$ 1,549					
⁽¹⁾ The excess ADFIT reserve as of December 31, 2017 associated with the non-plant balances are not currently being amortized. Therefore, there are no "unprotected" balances included in the January - April 2018 deferral balance.							
⁽²⁾ The Company proposes to return the deferred balance from January 1 - April 30, 2018 to customers over one year starting May 1, 2018.							

Г	a	bl	e	3	

As previously discussed in Avista's response to Bench Request 1, on December 29, 2017, the Company filed with the WUTC separate electric and natural gas "Petition[s] for an Order Authorizing Deferral of Federal Income Tax Expenses for the Effects of Revisions of the Federal Income Tax Code Upon

¹⁶ The PowerTax system will be using a required Average Rate Adjustment Mechanism (ARAM) to reverse over time the excess ADFIT. As PowerTax is currently set up, both Section 167 plant differences and non-Section 167 plant related tax basis adjustments will use the software's ARAM calculations to turnaround excess ADFIT. If anything other than the ARAM method is required to be used for any basis and related ADFIT that is tracked in PowerTax, there would have to be a manual adjustment within the system to override the ARAM computation and require the establishment of separate amortizable amounts for reversing ADFIT's. This is because PowerTax's default treatment for all items is ARAM. Though not impossible to produce, these calculations would need to be configured manually and independently from the underlying vintages records and introduce additional technical difficulties into the Company's fixed asset accounting process, creating a future customer disconnect from the prior practice of adherence to strict normalization of all plant related book and tax basis adjustments.

Avista's Cost of Service."¹⁷ The Company's proposed regulatory deferred accounting mechanism is necessary to capture the benefits of the TCJA until such time as the benefits are reflected in base rates and the deferred balance has been returned to customers.¹⁸

Due to the timing of this Bench Request No. 9, the Company is providing estimates for the period January 1 – April 30, 2018. As shown in Table 3 above, approximately \$6.3 million electric and \$1.5 million natural gas is estimated to be the deferral balances for the period January 1, 2018 - April 30, 2018. The Company proposes to return these balances to customers over the one-Year period May 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019, through temporary tariff Schedules 74/174.

This estimated deferral for the period January – April 2018 will be compared to actual amounts recorded and deferred as excess collected. Any difference, up or down, in the tax benefit deferral balance could be updated by revising and extending the tariff Schedules 74/174 in Year 2 of the Three-Year Rate plan, if necessary, or deferred until the next general rate case.

D. A proposed amortization schedule for parts (B) and (C) of this bench request along with a supporting rationale for each schedule, identifying and describing the amortization assumption, e.g., composite, average rate, or other alternative method, is provided below:

<u>1) Plant excess reserve balance (see Table 2)</u> - the excess deferred income tax reserve as of December 31, 2017 associated with the normalized plant balances, as required by IRS rules began being amortized effective January 1, 2018 per the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM). The ARAM provides for an amortization of the Company's plant ADFIT balances over an average of approximately 36 years. The impact of the excess plant effective for Rate Year 1 (May 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019) has been included in the revised revenue requirement as discussed below in response to (E) as a <u>permanent adjustment</u>¹⁹ to base rates. This adjustment has been included as an annual expense reduction, as well as an addition to plant on an average-of-monthly-average (AMA) basis for Rate Year 1. For Rate Years 2 and 3 of the Rate Plan, the Company would update the expense and AMA rate base related to the amortization of this balance, to reflect Rate Year 2 and Rate Year 3 balances within the compliance tariffs to be filed prior to new rates going into effect on May 1, 2019 and May 1, 2020.

<u>2) Non-Plant excess reserve balance (see Table 2)</u> - the excess deferred income tax reserve as of December 31, 2017 associated with the non-plant balances are not currently being amortized. The Company proposes to return these balances to customers over a one-year period through separate tariff Schedules 74/174. This temporary reduction²⁰ would expire at April 30, 2019.

¹⁷ In each Petition, the Company requests an order authorizing it to utilize deferred accounting for the impact to its federal income tax (FIT) expenses due to the revisions of the federal income tax code caused by enactment of the tax reform. Since the federal income tax code changes were effective beginning January 1, 2018, Avista would defer the impact of the changes to federal income tax expenses beginning in January 2018 until all benefits have been captured through the deferral and refunded to customers through a special tariff. Avista is proposing, through the methods described in this response, that the financial impacts of changes to the federal tax code be addressed in a manner that properly captures those impacts and are properly incorporated in customers' rates so that customers receive the benefits associated with all costs paid by customers.

¹⁸ On February 16, 2018 the Commission provided all parties with its "Notice of Intent To Consolidate" Avista general rate case Docket Nos. UE-170485/UG-170486, with Docket Nos. UE-171221/UG-171222 (Avista's request "For an Order Authorizing Deferral of Federal Income Tax Expenses for the Effects of Revisions of the Federal Income Tax Code Upon Avista's Cost of Service), with comments regarding due February 23, 2018. The Commission also provided its "Notice of Opportunity to Comment on Avista's Accounting Petitions Filed in Dockets UE-171221 and UG-171222," due on February 23, 2018 by the parties, with Avista reply comments due February 28, 2018.)

¹⁹ See Footnote 8.

 $^{^{20}}$ See Footnote 9.

<u>3) Estimated January 1 – April 30, 2018 Deferral (see Table 3)</u> – for the excess reserve associated with the normalized plant balances that will be amortized for the period January 1, 2018 - April 30, 2018²¹, as well as the difference in current/deferred tax expense between 35% and 21% collected over this same period, the Company proposes to return this balance to customers over a one-year period through separate tariff Schedules 74/174. This temporary reduction would expire at April 30, 2019, unless extended to capture any differences in estimated versus actual deferred balances.

As mentioned above, due to the timing of this Bench Request No. 9, the Company is providing estimates for the period January 1 – April 30, 2018. Not all impacts of the TCJA on the Utility are known at this time. For example, there may be additional interpretations and rulings from government agencies related to the law which may result in additional adjustments. The Company, therefore, believes it necessary to continue to track any appropriate differences through the TCJA deferral mechanism as a result of 1) differences between estimated amounts for the January – April 2018 deferral returned to customers beginning May 1, 2018, versus actual amounts recorded for January through April 2018; and 2) unanticipated tax effects from changes in tax legislation. This difference, up or down, could be included in Schedule 74/174 as a true-up in the May 1, 2019 compliance filings, if necessary, or deferred until the next general rate case. This tracking adjustment would also provide the opportunity for Staff and interested parties to complete their audit of Avista's filings and capture any necessary changes.

E. For the current proceeding, an updated revenue requirement based on the Company's rebuttal position that accounts for the change in corporate tax rate for the "going forward" tax rates (e.g., conversion factor and GRC adjustments that are a function of the new tax rate), are provided as Bench Request 9 – Attachments A and B, (representing revised exhibits EMA-11 and EMA-12). These attachments provide the revised revenue requirement amounts for electric and natural gas for the Three-Year Rate Plan inclusive of the TCJA benefits, as well as the temporary Schedule 74/174 credit to be returned to customers.

Attachments A and B provide the revenue requirement models starting with the existing electric and natural gas models as filed on rebuttal, with separate adjustments identifying the: 1) current/deferred tax expense (5.01 – TCJA-1); 2) Plant excess ADFIT (5.02 – TCJA-2); 3) Non-Plant excess ADFIT (5.03-TCJA-3); and 4) deferred (Jan 1 - Apr 30, 2018) balances, separately identified between deferred current/deferred tax expense (5.04-TCJA-4) and deferred excess plant (5.05 – TCJA-5). These revised models were also produced with the ability for changes to be made to the Company's rebuttal position adjustments (prior to the revised tax effect), as a result of the Commission's final order in the pending GRC, which will auto flow through revising the current tax adjustment column (5.01-TCJA) for approved levels.

F. Supporting workpapers in electronic format with all formulas and links intact, per WAC 480-07-510(3)(e), are provided as Bench Request 9 – Attachment E (folder), with a .pdf of certain summary pages included as Bench Request 9 – Attachment F.

²¹ The excess ADFIT reserve as of December 31, 2017 associated with the <u>non-plant</u> balances are not currently being amortized. Therefore, there are no non-plant related balances included in the January - April 2018 deferral balance.

Other considerations:

- All the year-end accounting was completed at the Company's system level for book purposes, service and jurisdictional levels were prepared for regulatory purposes;
- Not properly capturing deferred tax plant turnaround using the prescribed ARAM in regulated customer rates would subject the Company to a normalization violation which would eliminate our ability to take advantage of accelerated tax depreciation;
- New deferred tax balances will be growing at a slower pace than previously projected (at 35%) due to new deferred taxes accumulating at the lower 21% rate versus 35%, increasing rate base, which will create upward rate pressure;
- The excess deferred taxes will reverse over time, which will also reduce deferred tax balances, increasing rate base, creating additional upward rate pressure; and
- Utilities have been exempted from the 100% capital expensing regulations, as well as the limitations on the deductibility of interest expense. Additionally, previously anticipated Bonus Depreciation for 2018 and beyond have been eliminated. (See final section below "Impact of Elimination of Bonus Depreciation on Years 2 and 3 of the Three-Year Rate Plan" for the impact on Years 2 and 3.)

As it relates to the revenue requirement requested by the Company in this rate case proceeding, as noted above, the Company has updated Exh. EMA-11 (electric) and EMA-12 (natural gas) reflecting the overall impact of the TCJA on its request for rate relief (either through a change in base rates or through separate tariff Schedule 74/174), along with all supporting workpapers. As proposed by the Company, this information provides the Commission and interested parties complete data to audit this information, and if satisfied, to allow such tax benefits to be passed through to customers beginning May 1, 2018 – included with or at the same time as new rates are effective in this proceeding.

With the limited amount of time since the legislation has been signed into law, we are not aware if the IRS has begun addressing directive treasury regulations associated with implementing the various aspects of the new tax legislation in H.R. 1, and the Company cannot anticipate what changes those might hold for implementation, or the impact on amounts estimated or included herein for the calculation of benefits for customers. The Company believes it necessary, therefore, to continue to track any appropriate differences through the TCJA deferral mechanism between estimated amounts for the January – April 2018 deferral returned to customers beginning May 1, 2018, versus actual amounts recorded for January through April 2018, as well as the impact related to unanticipated tax effects from changes in tax legislation.

Impact of Elimination of Bonus Depreciation on Years 2 and 3 of the Three-Year Rate Plan:

As noted above, while the Company is not otherwise proposing to modify its proposed revenue requirements for Years 2 and 3 of the Rate Plan, we believe it is important for the Commission to know that the elimination of "bonus depreciation" as a result of the TCJA affects the Company's calculated revenue growth factor (K-Factor) for Years 2 and 3, to the detriment of the Company. The Company, however, is not proposing to modify its K-Factor for those years.

Previously anticipated bonus depreciation for 2018 and beyond have been eliminated, per the TCJA. As discussed by Ms. Andrews on rebuttal, at Exh. EMA-10T, data through October 31, 2017 was used to determine Rate Year 1 proposed rate base, and coinciding revenue requirement on that rate base. For Rate Years 2 and 3, however, the electric and natural gas revenue increases are based on revenue growth factors

applied to prior year non-ERM and non-gas cost revenues. These revenue growth factors reflect the weighted average of multiple factors, including net plant after ADFIT.²²

As explained by Ms. Andrews in her direct filed case, at Exh. EMA-4, starting at page 17, when determining its growth in net plant after ADFIT (growth in plant rate base)²³, the Company determined the historical growth in plant rate base from 2013-2016 (consistent with the growth rate determinants for the other categories used by the Company) was greater than that actually expected beyond Rate Year 1 (i.e. 2019 and 2020), in part due to expected bonus depreciation.²⁴ For this reason, the Company discounted its growth in plant rate base component used within its weighted average revenue growth rate, to reflect the growth between 2018 and 2020. In doing so, the Company was reflecting the plant rate base expected during Rate Years 2 and 3 (i.e. 2019 and 2020), rather than overstating its rate base balances in those years.

The growth in plant rate base <u>components</u> used by the Company in its direct filed case, on rebuttal, and accepted and used by Staff witness Mr. Hancock²⁵ was 3.04% for electric and 5.02% for natural gas²⁶. The overall weighted average <u>annual revenue growth factors (K-Factor)</u> used by the Company on rebuttal, including these plant rate base components, therefore were 3.14% for electric and 4.14% for natural gas.^{27/28}

With the <u>elimination</u> of bonus depreciation beginning in 2018, recalculating the net plant after ADFIT growth component <u>causes an increase in this component</u>. As shown in Table 5 below, although the revised growth in plant rate base excluding bonus depreciation in Years 2 and 3 (2019 and 2020) of 4.26% for electric and 6.56% natural gas (column (c)) is still less than the growth rates produced using the historical period 2013-2016 (column (a)), the revised growth rates produce slightly higher growth rates than that used by the Company on rebuttal (column (b)).

Table 5								
Net Plant after ADFIT Growth Component								
	(a)	(b)	(c)					
	Historical Data	Including Bonus Depreciation used on Rebuttal	Excluding Bonus Depreciation Per Tax Act					
	2013-2016	2018-2020	2018-2020					
Electric	4.81%	3.04%	4.26%					
Natural Gas	8.17%	5.02%	6.56%					

*Used by both Avista and Staff

²² Applying the growth in net plant after ADFIT to the net plant after ADFIT approved in Rate Year 1 by this Commission, provides the level of rate base expected in Years 2 and 3. These balances can be compared to the actual balances that occur as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2020, that will be provided in the annual Year-End Capital Reports proposed by the Company. For example, the Company's net plant after ADFIT (plant rate base) balances as proposed by the <u>Company on rebuttal of \$1,507,919,000 for electric</u> (Exh. EMA-11, page 1, column f, line 46) and \$293,579,000 for natural gas (Exh. EMA-12, page 1, column f, line 42), can be compared to the <u>actual balances as of December 31, 2017 of \$1,517,486,340 for electric and \$308,216,427</u>. The plant rate base balances proposed by the Company for the rate effective period May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019 are less than that actually in service as of December 31, 2017, reflecting only used-and-useful net plant in service balances.

²³ Growth in net plant after ADFIT is one of four components used to determine the overall weighted average revenue growth rate, net of annual growth in sales revenue, used to determine Years 2 and 3.

²⁴ As noted at Exh. EMA-4, page 9, reductions in net plant in 2019 and 2020 result, in part, to <u>the continuing impact of repairs</u> and bonus depreciation on ADFIT through 2020.

²⁵ See Hancock. Exh. CSH-4, page 1.

²⁶ See Exh. EMA-13 (electric) and EMA-14 (natural gas), page 1.

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ For Staff's part, the overall weighted average annual revenue growth factor used by Mr. Hancock, inclusive of these same plant rate base components, resulted in revenue growth rates of 2.32% for electric and 3.2% for natural gas. (See Hancock. Exh. CSH-4, page 1.)

Including the revised plant rate base components (Table 5 column (c)) within Avista's proposed weighted average revenue growth factor (K-Factor), results in an overall revenue growth factor of 3.53% for electric and 4.55% for natural gas.²⁹ Table 6 compares the revenue growth factor for Avista on rebuttal and that proposed by Staff, versus revised growth factors for both Avista and Staff excluding the impact of bonus depreciation.

Table 6									
Weighted Average Annual Revenue Growth Factor (K-Factor)									
	Avista Rebuttal ⁽¹⁾	Avista - If Adjusted for Bonus	Staff Filed ⁽¹⁾	Staff - If Adjusted for Bonus ⁽²⁾					
Electric	3.14%	3.53%	2.32%	2.67%					
Natural Gas	4.14%	4.55%	3.20%	3.58%					
component (usi	aff's Composite ng column (c) fr	Revenue Growth calcul		d net plant after ADFIT annual revenue growth					

Using the weighted average annual revenue growth factors from Table 6 above, one can compare the revenue results for Rate Years 2 and 3: as filed on rebuttal, versus reflecting the 21% tax rate only, versus reflecting the 21% tax rate <u>and</u> removal of bonus depreciation.

Table 7 below summarizes these revenue requirement amounts: As-filed by Avista on Rebuttal (column (a)); Revised Avista Rebuttal - Adjusted for the 21% tax rate only (column (b)); and Avista Revised-Eliminating Impact of Bonus Depreciation (column (c)).

Table 7									
Revenue Requirement Years 2 and 3									
As Filed (vs) As-Filed-Revised for Tax Rate (vs) Revised to Eliminate Bonus (a) (b) (C)									
	Avista Rebuttal Avista Revised -								
	Avista Rebuttal (Prior			(Adjusted for Tax		Eliminating Impact			
	to Tax (Char	nge) *	Rate of 2	1% only)	of Bo	onus		
	<u>5/1/2019</u>	5/	/1/2020	5/1/2019	<u>5/1/2020</u>	<u>5/1/2019</u>	<u>5/1/2020</u>		
Electric	\$ 13,459	\$	13,882	\$ 12,131	\$ 12,512	\$ 13,638	\$ 14,119		
Natural Gas	\$ 3,690	\$	3,842	\$ 3,339	\$ 3,477	\$ 3,670	\$ 3,837		

* See Exh. EMA-11 and EMA-12, page 2.

As can be seen from Table 7:

- For electric, if the revised revenue growth factor of 3.53% were used for Years 2 and 3, the result would be a revenue increase of \$13.6 million (May 1, 2019) and \$14.1 million (May 1, 2020), versus Avista's rebuttal position (adjusted for the Tax Act, using the 3.14% K-Factor) of \$12.1 million (May 1, 2019) and \$12.5 million (May 1, 2020) <u>an increase of approximately \$1.5 million revenue requirement.</u>
- For natural gas, if the revised revenue growth factor of 4.55% were used for Years 2 and 3, the result would be a revenue increase of \$3.7 million (May 1, 2019) and \$3.8 million (May 1, 2020), versus Avista's rebuttal position (adjusted for the Tax Act, using the 4.14% K-Factor) of \$3.3 million (May

²⁹ Updating Staff's Composite Revenue Growth calculation with the revised net plant after ADFIT component (using column (c) from Table 5), results in a weighted average annual revenue growth factor of 2.67% electric and 3.58% natural gas.

Exh EMA-2 1, 2019) and \$3.5 million (May 1, 2020) - <u>an increase of approximately **\$0.4 million revenue** requirement.</u>

Although the Company is not proposing to revise its revenue growth factor from that proposed on rebuttal of 3.14% for electric and 4.14% for natural gas, as can be seen from the tables above, <u>the elimination of bonus has a real impact on the Company in Rate Years 2 and 3 of approximately \$1.8 - \$2.0 million annually.</u> Approving Avista's proposed "K-Factors" of 3.14% (electric) and 4.14% (natural gas) therefore, proves to be conservative, and further justifies approval of, at least, this level of "K-Factor".

Included as BR No. 9 – Attachment E in electronic format are the revised revenue growth calculations from Tables 5 and 6 above, as well as worksheets which show the increased revenue requirement in Years 2 and 3 as a result of using the higher revised revenue growth factor, as shown in Table 7. These attachments include updated Andrews' (Bonus) Exhs. EMA-13 and EMA-14 as if the Tax Act had been available at the time of the Company's filing.