```
1
     BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
                          COMMISSION
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 3
                 Complainant, ) Docket No. UG-931405
 4
         vs.
 5
    WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS COMPANY,)
 6
                 Respondent.
 7
    WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 8
    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 9
                 Complainant, ) Docket No. UT-931442
                                               Volume
                                                                 4
10
                                   ) Pages 268 - 280
         vs.
11
    WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS COMPANY,)
12
            Respondent.
13
14
15
              A hearing in the above matter was held on
16
    May 23, 1994 at 9:40 a.m., at 1300 South Evergreen Park
    Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, before
17
18
    Administrative Law Judge LISA ANDERL.
19
               The parties were present as follow:
20
              WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
    COMMISSION STAFF by ROBERT CEDARBAUM, Assistant
21
    Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
     Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504.
22
               WASHINGTON NATURAL GAS COMPANY, by DAVID
    SCOTT JOHNSON, Attorney at Law, 815 Mercer Street,
23
    Seattle, Washington 98109.
24
    Cheryl Macdonald, CSR
25
    Court Reporter
```

1	PARTNERSHIP FOR EQUITABLE RATES FOR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS, by CAROL S. ARNOLD, Attorney at
2	Law, 5000 Columbia Center, 701 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104.
3	_
4	NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS, by PAULA E. PYRON, Attorney at Law, 101 Southwest Main Street, Suite 1100, Portland, Oregon 97204.
5	
6	PUBLIC INTEREST by DONALD T. TROTTER, Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, TB-14, Seattle, Washington 98164-1012.
7	
8	SEATTLE STEAM COMPANY, by FREDERICK O. FREDERICKSON, Attorney at Law, 1420 Fifth Avenue, 33rd Floor, Seattle, Washington 98101.
9	riodi, Bedeere, Mabiringeon Jordi.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX								
2									
3	EXHIBIT 59	MARKED 271	ADMITTED 274						
4	60 61	271 271 271	274 274 274						
5	62	271 271 271	274 274 274						
6	T-63 T-64	271	274						
7	T-65 T-66	271 271	274 274						
8	T-67 T-68	271 271	274 274						
9	T-69 T-70	271 271	274 274						
10	T-71 T-72	271 271	274 274						
11	T-73 T-74	271 271	274 274						
12	T-75 T-75 76	271 271	274 274						
13	76	271	274						
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									

)	D	R	\cap	\subset	F	F	D	Т	Ν	\mathbf{C}	S
<u> </u>	r	Γ	\circ		Ŀ	Ŀ	ע		TΛ	G	S

- 3 (Marked Exhibits 59, 60, 61 and T-62 through
- 4 T-75 and 76.)
- 5 JUDGE ANDERL: Let's be on the record. We're
- 6 on the record in consolidated docket Nos. UG-931405 and
- 7 931442. Today's date is May 23rd, 1994 and we're
- 8 convened in the Commission's hearing room in Olympia,
- 9 Washington. Let's take appearances at this time,
- 10 briefly beginning with the company.
- 11 MR. JOHNSON: David Scott Johnson
- 12 representing the Company. My address is 815 Mercer
- 13 Street, Seattle, 98109.
- MR. FREDERICKSON: Frederick O.
- 15 Frederickson, representing intervenor, Seattle Steam
- 16 Company.
- MS. PYRON: Paula Pyron, representing the
- 18 Northwest Industrial Gas Users.
- MS. ARNOLD: Carol Arnold, representing
- 20 Partnership for Equitable Rates for Commercial
- 21 Customers.
- MR. TROTTER: Donald T. Trotter, assistant
- 23 attorney general for the public counsel section.
- MR. CEDARBAUM: Cedarbaum, assistant
- 25 attorney general for the Commission staff.

- 1 JUDGE ANDERL: We were convened today
- 2 originally for the purposes of cross-examination of the
- 3 testimony of staff's, public counsel's and the
- 4 company's rebuttal. However a settlement agreement has
- 5 been filed and we will be talking about that in a
- 6 minute. Before we went on the record we did identify
- 7 some exhibits. Let me identify those now for the
- 8 record.
- 9 Exhibits 59, 60 and 61 are the company's
- 10 filed responses to Bench Requests 1, 2 and 3 previously
- 11 made in this case. Exhibit No. 62 is the settlement
- 12 agreement that was filed last week with the Commission.
- 13 Exhibit No. T-63 is JMR testimony and JMR-1 through 6.
- 14 We've consolidated all of those as one exhibit number.
- 15 Exhibit T-64 is MPP testimony and MPP-1 through 7.
- 16 Exhibit T-65 is JMP testimony. Exhibit T-66 is
- 17 JR testimony and JR-1 through 3. Exhibit T-67 is JRD
- 18 testimony and JRD-1. Exhibit T-68 is JL testimony
- 19 and JL-1 through 8. Exhibit T-69 is JPT testimony
- 20 and JPT-1 and 2. Exhibit T-70 is KRK testimony and
- 21 KRK comparison 1 and comparison 2 and also KRK-1
- 22 through 5 revised. Exhibit T-71 is LCC testimony and
- 23 LCC 17 through 20. Exhibit T-72 is EH testimony and
- 24 EH-1. Exhibit T-73 is HCC testimony and HCC 1 through
- 25 6. Exhibit T-74 is GET testimony and GET-1 and 2.

1 Exhibit T-75 is RJA testimony and RJAR-4 through 4-15.

- 2 And then Exhibit 76 is a compilation of ratepayer
- 3 letters submitted by public counsel. I believe it's
- 4 always stated that that is for illustrative purposes.
- 5 I guess, as we talked about before we went on the
- 6 record, under the terms of the settlement agreement if
- 7 it's accepted by the Commission all of the parties
- 8 will accept admission of the testimony and exhibit and
- 9 will agree to waive cross on that. Of course the
- 10 Commission hasn't decided what they're going to do with
- 11 the settlement agreement yet, but conditioned on that I
- 12 guess I would ask if there are any objections to any of
- 13 the documents we've just named as being admitted as
- 14 identified?
- MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor.
- 16 JUDGE ANDERL: Mr. Frederickson?
- 17 MR. FREDERICKSON: No, Your Honor.
- MS. PYRON: No, Your Honor.
- MS. ARNOLD: No.
- MR. TROTTER: No.
- 21 MR. CEDARBAUM: No. I think it's
- 22 contemplated that if the matter has to go to hearing
- 23 for cross-examination then all -- any and all
- 24 objections to admission of the testimony will be raised
- 25 at that time, but if a settlement is accepted then the

- 1 evidence goes in.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Yeah. I think that's
- 3 correct. Thank you. I'm going to admit those Exhibits
- 4 59 through 76 at this time. And let's take a brief
- 5 recess.
- 6 (Admitted Exhibits 59, 60, 61 and T-62
- 7 through T-75 and 76.)
- 8 (Recess.)
- 9 JUDGE ANDERL: Lets be back on the record.
- 10 One thing I did want to clarify about the exhibit
- 11 that we admitted as Exhibit T-63 which was Mr.
- 12 Russell's testimony and exhibits, I did want to note
- 13 that his
- 14 JMR-5 is a confidential exhibit. I just wanted to
- 15 make that clear even though we consolidated them all
- 16 under one exhibit. We're going to talk now about
- 17 what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 62 which is
- 18 the settlement agreement. Mr. Johnson, you've agreed
- 19 to hit the high points on that.
- MR. JOHNSON: That's right. Thank you, Your
- 21 Honor. As the Commission is aware, we filed a
- 22 stipulation for consideration and decision, a
- 23 settlement agreement last Thursday morning. We
- 24 indicated at that time that we did not have a position
- 25 yet from Carol Arnold representing the parties for

1 Equitable Rates for Commercial Customers. She has

- 2 since signed the agreement and we have an original
- 3 signature -- original document reflecting signatures of
- 4 all of the parties. The only change that I would draw
- 5 the Commission's attention to is a change in the last
- 6 page of Exhibit A where there is a change to the
- 7 calculation of minimum bill for rate 57 as well as to
- 8 the efficiency charge. There have been no changes to
- 9 the stipulation itself or to Exhibit B or any other
- 10 page in the exhibit.
- Briefly, the settlement agreement calls for
- 12 a stipulated increase in the company's annual revenues
- 13 of \$19 million. That obviously is not the only part of
- 14 the agreement. It reflects a lot of give and take on
- 15 several different issues. There are provisions in this
- 16 agreement for a -- the company agrees that there will
- 17 be no general rate increase or rate filing or filing
- 18 for increase in total revenues until next March 1995
- 19 with certain exceptions. Those are laid out in the
- 20 body of the agreement. We agree for settlement
- 21 purposes to book a royalty imputation on company's
- 22 books and that basis for and the calculation of the
- 23 royalty is explained in the settlement agreement.
- 24 Certain expenses, again for settlement
- 25 purposes, will be paid -- excuse me -- booked below the

1 line for future general rate proceedings and would not

- 2 be recoverable in rates. Those are outlined all in the
- 3 settlement agreement. We also make commitments to
- 4 prepare and to file certain policies, customer service
- 5 policy revisions to our rule 6 and 7 regarding line
- 6 extensions, and also we commit to work with Commission
- 7 staff to develop weather station billing data for
- 8 consideration in future proceedings.
- 9 Just like to say in summary that the
- 10 settlement discussions were intense at times but I
- 11 think also productive. Certainly the company feels
- 12 that this settlement agreement is preferable for a
- 13 litigated resolution of these proceedings. We feel it
- 14 is in the public interest and request that it be
- 15 accepted. I would like to note that we have here today
- 16 to answer any specific questions that the Commissioners
- 17 may have about the agreement Mr. Torgerson, Mr. Karzmar
- 18 and Mr. Amen all of whom were witnesses in direct, also
- in rebuttal, and they can certainly explain in more
- 20 detail the specific areas of the agreement that the
- 21 Commission may have questions about. Thank you.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Would anyone else like to
- 23 make any comments on the settlement agreement?
- 24 Mr. Cedarbaum.
- 25 MR. CEDARBAUM: Just to echo, Mr. Johnson

- 1 explained about the settlement agreement. We think
- 2 that the settlement agreement is in the public interest
- 3 for the Commission to accept. The \$19 million
- 4 stipulated increase is less than what the staff filed
- 5 case would recommend and so certainly from a revenue
- 6 requirement point of view we're pleased with that
- 7 result. From a policy perspective the two issues that
- 8 were probably nearest and dearest to staff are the
- 9 line extension and customer service policy issues.
- 10 Since we've got the company's commitment to make those
- 11 filings and to work out -- tighten those policies up
- 12 and to work out whatever problems we saw on them for
- 13 the future and for the current period of time, and at
- 14 least these rates are in effect there will be a level
- 15 of revenues that will reflect what staff believes to be
- 16 a reasonable cost recovery on those particular items,
- 17 so from a present and future onward looking position
- 18 we feel that the settlement is a good product of
- 19 negotiations we've had. We hope the Commission accepts
- 20 it.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Mr. Trotter.
- 22 MR. TROTTER: No comment at this point.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Anything from the
- 24 intervenors?
- MS. ARNOLD: No comment.

```
1 MR. FREDERICKSON: No comment.
```

- MS. PYRON: No comment.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Thank you all.
- 4 Commissioners, did you want to ask any questions at
- 5 this time?
- 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. Mr. Johnson, I
- 7 heard word via the phone last week that the settlement
- 8 was coming. However, I was out of town all last week
- 9 and had just begun to review it this morning. We have
- 10 scheduled a public hearing tomorrow in Seattle
- 11 and another one here Wednesday and I'm wondering if
- 12 your witnesses could be available at either of those
- 13 times if we need them to explain any questions we
- 14 Commissioners may have.
- 15 MR. JOHNSON: If that's the Commission's
- 16 preference, they would certainly be available.
- 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. That would be my
- 18 preference to just study it a little bit more, talk to
- 19 the accounting advisor and the judge and just see if we
- 20 do, and perhaps to make it easier for their time if we
- 21 don't have any questions of them we could let you know
- 22 by fax or phone tomorrow.
- 23 COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: Well, the witnesses
- 24 wouldn't have to be available both days.
- 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah, one or the other and

- 1 perhaps here Wednesday might be more convenient.
- 2 MR. JOHNSON: We can talk about that with
- 3 the witnesses and certainly they would be available for
- 4 one of the two hearings.
- JUDGE ANDERL: There's plenty of time, too,
- 6 because in each case we don't start until 1:30 in the
- 7 afternoon so we would certainly be able to let you
- 8 know.
- 9 MR. JOHNSON: That's fine.
- 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm very pleased to have a
- 11 settlement agreement before us, don't get me wrong but
- 12 on times before we've just wanted to explore a little
- 13 bit what might be behind some of it so we understand it
- 14 ourselves. And it would just be very convenient for me
- 15 to have a little more time to examine so I could ask my
- 16 questions succinctly.
- 17 COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: And I read the
- 18 stipulation just barely so I am not really prepared to
- 19 pursue it myself at this point.
- 20 JUDGE ANDERL: I guess we can just recess
- 21 today and reconvene at 1:30 tomorrow up in Seattle and
- 22 we'll let you all know informally by either telephone
- 23 or fax in terms of what kind of witness availability
- 24 the Commissioners will need for tomorrow and Wednesday.
- 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Maybe we can just see.

1 Typically intervenors' counsel don't come to the public

- 2 hearing. Is it inconvenient for anybody?
- 3 JUDGE ANDERL: Were the intervenors planning
- 4 on coming up tomorrow?
- 5 MS. ARNOLD: No.
- 6 MS. PYRON: No.
- 7 JUDGE ANDERL: Would you object to the
- 8 Commissioners having an opportunity to ask questions
- 9 of the company or staff witness without you present?
- MS. ARNOLD: We have no objection.
- MS. PYRON: No objection.
- MR. FREDERICKSON: No objection.
- 13 (Discussion off the record.)
- 14 JUDGE ANDERL: So we don't need to let you
- 15 know by phone or fax except if we don't accept the
- 16 stipulation.
- MR. JOHNSON: So in any event they don't
- 18 need to be available tomorrow.
- 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We'll just do the public.
- 20 MR. CEDARBAUM: The staff witnesses will
- 21 then be available as well on Wednesday.
- JUDGE ANDERL: Thank you all. I think that
- 23 concludes it.
- MR. TROTTER: Your Honor, I guess you should
- 25 just formally recess until 1:30 Wednesday --

```
1
                JUDGE ANDERL: For the taking of public
 2
     testimony.
               MR. TROTTER: -- because now the only
 3
 4
     hearing scheduled at 1:30 Wednesday is the public.
 5
                JUDGE ANDERL: We'll reconvene for any
    questions of counsel or witnesses other than public on
 6
    Wednesday. We're off the record.
 7
 8
                (Hearing adjourned at 10:10 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```