

June 29, 2007

Carole J. Washburn, Secretary  
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission  
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW  
P. O. Box 47250  
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Re: *W.U.T.C. v. AT&T Communications*  
Docket No. UT-060962

Dear Ms. Washburn:

On behalf of AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc. (AT&T) and Commission Staff (Staff), and at the request of Judge Dennis Moss, this is to memorialize the communication to Judge Moss yesterday regarding an agreement by the parties concerning the confidentiality treatment of currently-redacted Data. By earlier agreement of the parties and the Commission, the Commission filed a redacted complaint and redacted supporting documents. The parties were given an opportunity to address their respective positions for Commission determination with briefs and at the Prehearing Conference of June 22, 2007.<sup>1</sup>

Towards the end of the Prehearing Conference, the parties informed Judge Moss that they reached tentative agreement concerning this issue, but other interested parties needed to be informed before this agreement was confirmed.

AT&T and Staff agree that the Complaint can be amended to identify the total number of alleged calls, as well as the total amount alleged to be overcharged.<sup>2</sup>

AT&T and Staff agree that, with the exceptions below, all data that is currently redacted in the filed Staff Investigation Report, and Addendum, can be *unredacted* and no longer treated

---

<sup>1</sup> See May 10, 2007, letter to Carole J. Washburn from Gregory J. Kopta, counsel for AT&T. *Also see* Complaint.

<sup>2</sup> UT-060962 Complaint, See ¶ 9 and footnote 2. *Also see* ¶ 17.

as confidential. This data includes alleged total aggregate violations, alleged total aggregate number of calls, and alleged total aggregate overcharges.

AT&T and Staff agree that only the following monthly aggregate data should continue to be redacted and treated as confidential. This data appears in the following form in two places: on page 15 of the Staff Investigation Report, and on page 2 of the Addendum:

<b>2005 Call Dates</b>	<b>Number of Calls</b>	<b>Overcharges</b>
March 14-April 15		\$
April 16 – May 15		\$
May 16-June 15		\$

AT&T and Staff believe that this compromise meets the interests of both parties, and is consistent with the public interest. The parties respectfully request that the Commission approve this agreement.

Staff provided unredacted copies of all materials to the Commission prior to filing. Please contact me if further assistance of Staff is required in order to amend the Complaint or other materials consistent with the above.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL A. FASSIO  
Assistant Attorney General

MAF:emd

cc: Parties