

UT-053041
ISSUES LIST

- I. Does ICS qualify for designation as a Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (“CETC”)?
 - 1.1 Is ICS a common carrier as required by 47 C.F.R. §54.201(d)?
 - 1.2 Will ICS offer the services that are supported by federal universal service support mechanisms offer to provide the supported services throughout the area for which it seeks designation consistent with the requirements of 47 C.F.R. §54.201(d)?

- II. Has ICS demonstrated that it meets the Commission’s requirements for designation as a CETC?
 - 2.1 Has ICS provided a sufficient description of how it will provide each supported service?
 - 2.2 Has ICS provided a substantive plan of the investments to be made during the first two years in which support is received and a substantive description of how those expenditures will benefit customers?
 - 2.3 Has ICS provided sufficient information to demonstrate its ability to remain functional in emergency situations, including a description of how it complies with WAC 480-120-411?
 - 2.4 Has ICS provided sufficient information that demonstrates it will comply with the applicable consumer protection and service quality standards of Chapter 480-120 WAC?

- III. Is ICS’ request to be designated as a CETC consistent with the purposes of Universal Service and will it advance some or all of the purposes of Universal Service set forth in 47 U.S.C. §254?

- IV. Is the designation of ICS as a CETC in the public interest?
 - 4.1 To what extent does competition exist in the Roslyn exchange in general and in the Suncadia resort area in particular?
 - 4.2 Do other wireline local exchange carriers have access to customers in Suncadia?
 - 4.3 What infrastructure is ICS or Suncadia provisioning (or planning to provision) to which other local exchange carriers would need access in

order to provide telecommunications service to customers in the Suncadia resort area?

- 4.4 Are both Suncadia and ICS willing to provide access to Suncadia's communications infrastructure to carriers other than ICS on the same or comparable terms and conditions as those under which ICS has access to Suncadia's communications infrastructure? If not, are there other conditions on which Suncadia and ICS will provide such access?
 - 4.5 Will Suncadia limit the use of the infrastructure built by Suncadia to the provision of basic telecommunications service (local calling and features) by carriers other than ICS?
 - 4.6 Is ICS affiliated with Suncadia or any of its principals?
 - 4.7 Should ICS be required to serve Suncadia pursuant to RCW 80.36.090 as the incumbent carrier for that area?*
 - 4.8 Is ICS violating number assignment rules?*
 - 4.9 Is ICS engaged in creamskimming?
- V. Are there other factors that should be considered in evaluating the Petition filed by ICS? If so, what are they and how do they apply?

[*Note: ICS does not agree that issues 4.7 and 4.8 are relevant to ICS's petition for designation as a CETC. Following the custom in comparable proceedings before the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, these issues are included in this joint issues list because other parties believe they are relevant and should be included. By including these issues in this Joint Issues List, ICS does not waive its rights to object to Commission consideration of these issues in this proceeding, including but not limited to objecting to the admissibility of any evidence offered with respect to these issues.]