



600 University Street, Suite 3600
Seattle, Washington 98101
main 206.624.0900
fax 206.386.7500
www.stoel.com

March 16, 2005

HEIDI L. WILDER
Direct (206) 689-8703
hlwilder@stoel.com

VIA E-MAIL AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Carole Washburn, Executive Secretary
Washington Utilities & Transportation Committee
1300 Evergreen Park Drive, SW
Olympia, WA 98504

Re: Docket No. UT-043013

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Please find enclosed an original and two copies of the tables of contents and authorities for filing with Verizon's Opening Brief and a Certificate of Service (which were submitted for filing on Friday, March 11, 2005 via electronic mail and federal express).

Please contact us if you have any questions, and thank you in advance for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Heidi L. Wilder". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "Heidi" on top and "L. Wilder" below it.

Heidi L. Wilder
Assistant to John H. Ridge

Enclosures

cc: ALJ Ann Rendahl
Parties of Record

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of the Petition for
Arbitration of an Amendment for
Interconnection Agreements of

VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.

with

COMPETITIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE
CARRIERS AND COMMERCIAL
MOBILE RADIO SERVICE
PROVIDERS IN WASHINGTON

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252(b),
And the *Triennial Review Order*

Docket No. UT-043013

VERIZON'S OPENING BRIEF

| | |
|--|--|
| Aaron M. Panner Scott H. Angstreich Stuart Buck KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD, EVANS, & FIGEL, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 326-7900 (202) 326-7999 (fax) apanner@khhte.com sangstreich@khhte.com sbuck@khhte.com | Timothy J. O'Connell John H. Ridge STOEL RIVES, LLP One Union Square 600 University St., Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 624-0900 (206) 386-7500 (fax) |
|--|--|

Attorneys for Verizon Northwest Inc.

March 11, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| A. | Regulatory Background | 3 |
| B. | Verizon's Amendments | 9 |
| II. | ISSUE-BY-ISSUE ANALYSIS | 10 |
| Issue 1: | Should the Amendment include rates, terms, and conditions that do not arise from federal unbundling regulations pursuant to 47 U.S.C. sections 251 and 252, including issues asserted to arise under state law?..... | 11 |
| A. | Federal Law, Not State Law, Governs Verizon's Unbundling Obligations..... | 12 |
| B. | The 1996 Act Requires State Commissions To Implement the Requirements of Federal Law and Does Not Preserve Inconsistent State Requirements | 15 |
| C. | The <i>Bell Atlantic/GTE Merger Order</i> Does Not Require Verizon to Provide Delisted UNEs | 18 |
| D. | Verizon's Language Appropriately Reflects the Preemptive Scope of Federal Law | 19 |
| Issue 2: | What terms and conditions and/or rates regarding implementing changes in unbundling obligations or changes of law should be included in the Amendment to the parties' interconnection agreements?..... | 20 |
| Issue 3: | What obligations, if any, with respect to unbundled access to local circuit switching, including mass market and enterprise switching (including Four-Line Carve-Out switching), and tandem switching, should be included in the Amendment to the parties' interconnection agreements?..... | 28 |
| Issue 4: | What obligations, if any, with respect to unbundled access to DS1 loops, DS3 loops, and dark fiber loops should be included in the Amendment to the parties' interconnection agreements?..... | 31 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Issue 5: | What obligations, if any, with respect to unbundled access to dedicated transport, including dark fiber transport, should be included in the Amendment to the parties' interconnection agreements?..... | 32 |
| Issue 6: | Under what conditions, if any, is Verizon permitted to re-price existing arrangements which are no longer subject to unbundling under federal law?..... | 34 |
| Issue 7: | Should Verizon be permitted to provide notice of discontinuance in advance of the effective date of removal of unbundling requirements? Should the Amendment state that Verizon's obligations to provide notification of discontinuance have been satisfied? | 35 |
| Issue 8: | Should Verizon be permitted to assess non-recurring charges when it changes a UNE arrangement to an alternative service? If so, what charges apply?..... | 37 |
| Issue 9: | What terms should be included in the Amendments' Definitions Section and how should those terms be defined? | 38 |
| A. | CLEC Disagreements with Verizon's Proposed Definitions..... | 39 |
| 1. | "Dark Fiber Loop," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.2; AT&T Amendment, § 2.5..... | 39 |
| 2. | "Dark Fiber Transport," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.3; AT&T Amendment, § 2.5; CCG Amendment, § 2.5..... | 40 |
| 3. | "Dedicated Transport," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.4; AT&T Amendment, § 2.7 | 41 |
| 4. | "Discontinued Facility," Verizon Amendment 1, § 4.7.3; Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.5; AT&T Amendment, § 2.6; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.5; Sprint Amendment, § 4.7.5; WilTel Amendment, § 4.7.3; "Declassified Network Elements," CCG Amendment, § 2.6..... | 41 |
| 5. | "DS1 Loop," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.8; AT&T Amendment, § 2.10. "DS3 Loop," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.9; AT&T Amendment, § 2.11..... | 43 |
| 6. | "Enterprise Switching," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.10..... | 44 |
| 7. | "Entrance Facility," Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.11 | 45 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 8. | “Four-Line Carve Out Switching,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.13..... | 45 |
| 9. | “FTTP Loop,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.14; AT&T Amendment, § 2.14; Sprint Amendment, § 4.7.15; WilTel Amendment, § 4.7.9..... | 46 |
| 10. | “House and Riser Cable,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.15; “Inside Wire Subloop,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.17; “House and Riser Cable,” CCC Amendment, § 5.7; Sprint Amendment, § 4.7.16..... | 48 |
| 11. | “Hybrid Loop,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.16; AT&T Amendment, § 2.16; CCC Amendment, § 5.8; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.12..... | 49 |
| 12. | “Local Switching,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.19; AT&T Amendment, § 2.21; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.14; CCC Amendment, § 5.11..... | 49 |
| 13. | “Mass Market Switching,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.20; AT&T Amendment, § 2.23; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.16..... | 50 |
| 14. | “Other DS0 Switching,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.21..... | 50 |
| 15. | “Packet Switched,” Verizon Amendment 2, § 4.7.22; AT&T Amendment, § 2.25 | 51 |
| 16. | “Sub-Loop for Multiumit Premises Access,” Verizon Amendment 2,§ 4.7.24; AT&T Amendment, § 2.29; Sprint Amendment, §§ 4.7.15, 4.7.25; CCC Amendment, § 5.15..... | 51 |
| 17. | “Federal Unbundling Rules,” Verizon Amendment 1, § 4.7.6; WilTel Amendment, § 4.7.6 | 54 |
| B. | New CLEC-Proposed Definitions..... | 54 |
| 1. | “Applicable Law,” AT&T Amendment § 2.0..... | 54 |
| 2. | “Circuit Switch,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.2..... | 55 |
| 3. | “Combination,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.3; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.2..... | 55 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| 4. | “Commingling,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.4; MCI Amendment, § 9.7.3; CCC Amendment, § 5.2..... | 56 |
| 5. | “Hot Cut,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.15..... | 57 |
| 6. | “Line Conditioning,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.18 | 59 |
| 7. | “Line Splitting,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.20, MCI Amendment, § 9.7.13; CCC Amendment, § 5.10..... | 60 |
| 8. | “Route,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.26..... | 60 |
| 9. | “Routine Network Modifications,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.27; CCG Amendment, § 2.27 | 60 |
| 10. | “Loop,” MCI Amendment, § 9.7.15 | 61 |
| 11. | “Loop Distribution,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.22; “Subloop Distribution Facility,” CCC Amendment, § 5.16 | 61 |
| 12. | “Packet Switch,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.24..... | 62 |
| 13. | “UNE-P,” AT&T Amendment, § 2.31..... | 63 |
| 14. | “Conversion,” CCC Amendment, § 5.3..... | 63 |
| 15. | “Enterprise Customer,” CCC Amendment, § 5.4; “Mass Market Customer,” CCC Amendment, § 5.12 | 63 |
| 16. | “Section 271 Network Elements,” CCC Amendment, § 5.13..... | 64 |
| 17. | “Shared Transport,” CCC Amendment, § 5.14..... | 64 |
| C. | Undisputed Definitions | 65 |
| Issue 10: | Should Verizon be required to follow the change of law and/or dispute resolution provisions in existing interconnection agreements if it seeks to discontinue the provisioning of UNEs under federal law? Should the establishment of UNE rates, terms and conditions for new UNEs, UNE combinations, or commingling be subject to the change of law provisions of the parties’ interconnection agreements?..... | 65 |
| Issue 11: | How should any rate increases and new charges established by the FCC in its final unbundling rules or elsewhere be implemented? | 69 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| Issue 12: | Should the interconnection agreements be amended to address changes arising from the Triennial Review Order with respect to commingling of UNEs with wholesale services, EELs, and other combinations? If so, how? | 71 |
| Issue 13: | Should the interconnection agreements be amended to address changes arising from the Triennial Review Order with respect to conversion of wholesale services to UNEs/UNE combinations? If so, how? | 73 |
| Issue 14: | Should the ICAs be amended to address changes, if any, arising from the <i>TRO</i> with respect to:..... | 73 |
| a) | Line splitting; | 74 |
| b) | Newly built FTTP, FTTH, or FTTC loops; | 75 |
| c) | Overbuilt FTTP, FTTH, or FTTC loops;..... | 76 |
| d) | Access to hybrid loops for the provision of broadband services;..... | 77 |
| e) | Access to hybrid loops for the provision of narrowband services;..... | 80 |
| f) | Retirement of copper loops;..... | 81 |
| g) | Line conditioning;..... | 82 |
| h) | Packet switching; | 83 |
| i) | Network Interface Devices (NIDs); | 85 |
| j) | Line sharing?..... | 86 |
| Issue 15: | What should be the effective date of an Amendment to the parties' agreements?..... | 88 |
| Issue 16: | How should CLEC requests to provide narrowband services through unbundled access to a loop where the end user is served via Integrated Digital Loop Carrier (IDLC) be implemented? | 89 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| Issue 17: | Should Verizon be subject to standard provisioning intervals or performance measurements and potential remedy payments, if any, in the underlying Agreement or elsewhere, in connection with its provision of | 91 |
| | a) unbundled loops in response to CLEC requests for access to IDLC-served hybrid loops; | 91 |
| | b) Commingled arrangements; | 92 |
| | c) conversion of access circuits to UNEs;..... | 92 |
| | d) Loops or Transport (including Dark Fiber Transport and Loops) for which Routine Network Modifications are required; | 92 |
| | e) batch hot cut, large job hot cut and individual hot cut processes; | 92 |
| Issue 18: | How should sub-loop access be provided under the <i>TRO</i> ?..... | 94 |
| Issue 19: | Where Verizon collocates local circuit switching equipment (as defined by the FCC's rules) in a CLEC facility/premises (<i>i.e.</i> , reverse collocation), should the transmission path between that equipment and the Verizon serving wire center be treated as unbundled transport? If so, what revisions to the parties' agreements are needed? | 96 |
| Issue 20: | Are interconnection trunks between a Verizon wire center and a CLEC wire center, interconnection facilities under section 251(c)(2) that must be provided at TELRIC? | 96 |
| Issue 21: | What obligations, if any, with respect to EELs should be included in the Amendment to the parties' interconnection agreements? | 98 |
| | a) What information should a CLEC be required to provide to Verizon as certification to satisfy the FCC's service eligibility criteria to (1) convert existing circuits/services to EELs or (2) order new EELs? | 98 |
| | b) Conversion of existing circuits/services to EELs: 1) Should Verizon be prohibited from physically disconnecting, separating, changing or altering the existing facilities when a CLEC requests a conversion of existing circuits/services to an EEL unless the CLEC requests such facilities alteration? | 99 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 2) | What type of charges, if any, and under what conditions, if any, can Verizon impose when CLECs convert existing access circuits/services to UNE loop and transport combinations? | 100 |
| 3) | Should EELs ordered by a CLEC prior to October 2, 2003, be required to meet the FCC's service eligibility criteria?..... | 102 |
| 4) | For conversion requests submitted by a CLEC prior to the effective date of the amendment, should CLECs be entitled to EELs/UNE pricing effective as of the date the CLEC submitted the request (but not earlier than October 2, 2003)? | 103 |
| c) | What are Verizon's rights to obtain audits of CLEC compliance with the FCC's service eligibility criteria?..... | 105 |
| Issue 21: | How should the Amendment reflect an obligation that Verizon perform routine network modifications necessary to permit access to loops, dedicated transport, or dark fiber transport facilities where Verizon is required to provide unbundled access to those facilities under 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(3) and 47 C.F.R. Part 51? | 108 |
| Issue 23: | Should the parties retain their pre-Amendment rights arising under the Agreement, tariffs, and SGATs? | 112 |
| Issue 24: | Should the Amendment set forth a process to address the potential effect on the CLECs' customers' services when a UNE is discontinued? | 113 |
| Issue 25: | How should the Amendment implement the FCC's service eligibility criteria for combinations and commingled facilities and services that may be required under 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(3) and 47 C.F.R. Part 51? | 114 |
| Issue 26: | Should the Amendment reference or address commercial agreements that may be negotiated for services or facilities to which Verizon is not required to provide access as a Section 251 UNE | 115 |
| Issue 27: | Should Verizon provide an access point for CLECs to engage in testing, maintaining and repairing copper loops and copper subloops?..... | 116 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Issue 28: | What transitional provisions should apply in the event that Verizon no longer has a legal obligation to provide a UNE? How should the Amendment address Verizon's obligations to provide UNEs in the absence of the FCC's permanent rules? Does Section 252 of the 1996 Act apply to replacement arrangements? | 116 |
| Issue 29: | Should Verizon be required to negotiate terms for service substitutions for UNEs that Verizon no longer is required to make available under section 251 of the Act?..... | 116 |
| Issue 30: | Should the FCC's permanent unbundling rules apply and govern the parties' relationship when issued, or should the parties not become bound by the FCC order issuing the rules until such time as the parties negotiate an amendment to the ICA to implement them, or Verizon issues a tariff in accordance with them? | 117 |
| Issue 31: | Do Verizon's obligations to provide UNEs at TELRIC rates under applicable law differ depending upon whether such UNEs are used to serve the existing customer base or new customers? If so, how should the Amendment reflect that difference?..... | 118 |
| Issue 32: | Should the Commission adopt Verizon's proposed new rates for the items specified in the Pricing Attachment to Amendment 2?..... | 119 |
| III. | CONCLUSION..... | 120 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| CASES | Page |
|--|-----------|
| <i>AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utilities Board</i> , 525 U.S. 366 (1999)..... | 3, 14 |
| <i>Bethlehem Steel Co. v. New York State Labor Relations Bd.</i> , 330 U.S. 767 (1947)..... | 15 |
| <i>Competitive Telecomms. Ass'n v. FCC</i> , 309 F.3d 8 (D.C. Cir. 2002)..... | 102 |
| <i>Coserv Ltd. Liab. Corp. v. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 350 F.3d 482 (5th Cir. 2003). | 26 |
| <i>Ernst & Young LLP v. Baker O'Neal Holdings, Inc.</i> , 304 F.3d 753 (7th Cir. 2002) | 68 |
| <i>Geier v. American Honda Motor Co.</i> , 529 U.S. 861 (2000)..... | 16, 18 |
| <i>National Ass'n of Regulatory Util. Comm'r's v. United States Telecom Ass'n</i> , 125 S. Ct. 313 (2004)..... | 6 |
| <i>United States v. Locke</i> , 529 U.S. 89 (2000) | 16 |
| <i>United States Telecom Ass'n v. FCC</i> , 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002), <i>cert. denied</i> , 123 S. Ct. 1571 (2003) | 3, 14, 46 |
| <i>United States Telecom Ass'n v. FCC</i> , 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004) | 5, 12, 59 |
| <i>Van Ness Townhouses v. Mar Indus. Corp.</i> , 862 F.2d 754 (9th Cir. 1988) | 68 |
| <i>Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC</i> , 535 U.S. 467 (2002)..... | 3 |
| <i>WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC</i> , 238 F.3d 449 (D.C. Cir. 2001) | 102 |
| <i>Van Ness Townhouses v. Mar Indus. Corp.</i> , 862 F.2d 754 (9th Cir. 1988)..... | 68 |

ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Errata, <i>Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability</i> , 2004 FCC LEXIS 6241 (FCC Oct. 29, 2004)..... | 46, 53 |
| First Report and Order, <i>Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , 11 FCC Rcd 15499 (1996) | 84, 85 |
| Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, 2004 FCC LEXIS 6241(FCC Oct. 29, 2004) | 53 |
| Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Application of GTE Corp., Transferor, and Bell Atlantic Corp., Transferee, for Consent to Transfer Control</i> , 15 FCC Rcd 14032 (2000)..... | 18 |
| Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Application of Verizon New England Inc., et al, for Authorization to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Massachusetts</i> , 16 FCC Rcd 8988 (2001)..... | 93 |
| Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Petition of WorldCom, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5) of the Communications Act for Preemption of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia State Corporation Commission Regarding Interconnection Disputes with Verizon Virginia Inc., and for Expedited Arbitration</i> , 17 FCC Rcd 27039 (2002)..... | 93 |
| Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Qwest Communications International Inc. Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the Scope of the Duty To File and Obtain Prior Approval of Negotiated Contractual Arrangements Under Section 252(a)(1)</i> , 17 FCC Rcd 19337 (2002)..... | 27 |
| Order Allowing Verizon to Withdraw Its Petition as to 52 Carriers, Denying Withdrawal as to 18 Carriers; Determining Effect of Order No. 08 on Specific Interconnection Agreements Order No. 12 | 9, 10 |
| Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, <i>Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , 19 FCC Rcd 16783 (2004) | 6, 47 |
| Order on Reconsideration, <i>Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , 19 FCC Rcd 20293, (2004) | 47 |
| Order on Remand, <i>Unbundled Access to Network Elements; Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , WC Docket No. 04-313, CC Docket No. 01-338 (FCC rel. Feb. 4, 2005) | <i>passim</i> |
| Order on Reconsideration, <i>Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , 19 FCC Rcd 15856 (2004) | 52 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Order on Reconsideration, <i>Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , 19 FCC Rcd 15856 (2004) | 48 |
| Report and Order and Order on Remand and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, <i>Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers</i> , 18 FCC Rcd 16978 (2003) | <i>passim</i> |
| Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, <i>Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , 15 FCC Rcd 3696 (1999), <i>petitions for review granted, United States Telecom Ass'n v. FCC</i> , 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002), <i>cert. denied</i> , 123 S. Ct. 1571 (2003).. | 18, 83, 84, 86 |
| Third Report and Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 98-147, Fourth Report and Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98, Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 98-147, Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-98, <i>Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability</i> , 16 FCC Rcd 2101 (2001)..... | 18, 75 |

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 47 U.S.C. § 153(4) | 27 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 201 | 87 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251..... | 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 28, 40, 54 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(b) | 16 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(1)..... | 16 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(2)..... | 97, 98 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(3)..... | 2, 9, 12, 13, 19, 34, 39, 42, 44, 55, 56, 68, 79, 109, 113, 115 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(5)..... | 81 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(c)(6)..... | 98 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(d)(1) | 17 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(d)(2) | 13 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(d)(3) | 16, 17, 18 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 47 U.S.C. § 251(d)(3)(C) | 14 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252..... | 28, 67, 115 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(a) | 28 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) | 28 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(c) | 15 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)..... | 12 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B) | 15, 17 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(3)..... | 13, 17 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 261(c) | 18 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 271..... | 54 |
| 47 U.S.C. § 271(c)(2)(B) | 27 |
| 47 C.F.R Part 51..... | 39, 54, 68, 109 |
| 47 C.F.R.: | |
| § 1.427(a) | 35 |
| § 51.315..... | 72 |
| § 51.318..... | 108 |
| § 51.319(a) | 61 |
| § 51.319(a)(1) | 39 |
| § 51.319(a)(2)(i)..... | 51 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(i)..... | 47 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(i)(B) | 76 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(iv)..... | 77 |
| § 51.319(a)(6)(i)..... | 39 |

| | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| § 51.319(a)(6)(ii)..... | 31 |
| § 51.319(a)(7)(ii)..... | 60, 109 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(i)(A) | 46 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(i)(B) | 46, 76 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(ii)..... | 53 |
| § 51.319(a)(3)(iii) | 81 |
| § 51.319(a)(7)(ii)..... | 60 |
| § 51.319(b)(1)(i) | 95 |
| § 51.319(b)(2) | 48, 52 |
| § 51.319(b)(2)(i) | 52 |
| § 51.319(d)..... | 45, 49 |
| § 51.319(d)(2)(i) | 29 |
| § 51.319(d)(2)(ii) | 50 |
| § 51.319(d)(2)(iii) | 29 |
| § 51.319(e)..... | 60 |
| § 51.319(e)(1) | 41 |
| § 51.319(e)(2)(i)..... | 45 |
| § 51.325-§ 51.335 | 81 |
| § 51.333(b)(ii) | 81 |
| § 51.333(b)(f)..... | 81 |
| § 51.5 | 56 |
| § 68.105..... | 46, 47 |

STATE COMMISSION RULINGS

| | |
|---|--------|
| <i>Arbitration of Non-Costing Issues for Successor Interconnection Agreements to the Texas 271 Agreement</i> , Docket No. 28821, Proposed Order on Clarification (Texas PUC March 9, 2005)..... | 8 |
| <i>Complaint of Indiana Bell Telephone Company for Expedited Review of a Dispute with Certain CLECs Regarding Adoption of an Amendment to Commission-Approved Interconnection Agreements</i> , Cause No. 42749, Order (Indiana URC March 9, 2005) | 7 |
| <i>Consolidated Order Dismissing Triennial Review Order Investigation and Vacating Suspension of Tariff M.D.T.E. No. 17, Proceeding by the Department on its Own Motion to Implement the Requirements of the FCC's Triennial Review Order Regarding Switching for Mass Market Customers</i> , D.T.E. 03-60, at 22 (Mass. D.T.E. Dec. 15, 2004) | 13 |
| <i>Emergency Petition for a Declaratory Ruling Prohibiting SBC Ohio from Breaching its Existing Interconnection Agreements and Preserving the Status Quo with Respect to Unbundled Network Element Orders ,In re</i> , Case No. 05-298-TP-UNC, Entry (Ohio PUC March 9, 2005) | 8 |
| <i>Forty-Fifth Supplemental Order Approving Compliance Tariff Filing, Continued Costing and Pricing Proceeding for Interconnection, Unbundled Network Elements, Transport and Termination, and Resale</i> , Docket No. UT-003013, 2003 Wash UTC LEXIS 2 (Wash. UTC Jan. 7, 2003)..... | 44, 83 |
| <i>Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission's Investigation of Matters Related to the Federal Communications Commission's Report and Order</i> , Cause Nos. 42500, 42500-S1 & 42500-S2, 2005 Ind. PUC LEXIS 31 (I.U.R.C. Jan. 12, 2005) | 13, 59 |
| <i>Michigan Bell Tel. Co., Inc. v. Mich. Pub. Serv. Comm'n and AT&T Comm. of Michigan, Inc. and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC</i> , No. 04-60128 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 6, 2005)..... | 58 |
| <i>Nineteenth Supplemental Order, Petition of Puget Sound Power & Light Co. for an Order Regarding the Accounting Treatment of Residential Exchange Benefits</i> , Docket Nos. UE-920433, UE-920499, UE-921262, 1994 Wash. UTC LEXIS 68 (Wash. UTC Sept. 27, 1994 | 19 |
| <i>Open Hearing, Verizon RI Tariff filing to implement the FCC's new unbundled (UNE) rules regarding as set forth in the TRO Remand Order issued February 4, 2005</i> , Docket 3662 (March 8, 2005), available at http://www.ripuc.org/eventsactions/docket/3662page.html | 7 |
| <i>Order Approving Negotiated Twelfth Amended Agreement, Request of XO Washington, Inc., and Qwest Corp. for Approval of Negotiated Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996</i> , Docket No. UT-960356, 2004 Wash UTC LEXIS 90 (Wash. UTC Feb. 11, 2004)..... | 88 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Order Closing Dockets, <i>Implementation of Requirements Arising from FCC's Triennial UNE Review: Local Circuit Switching for Mass Market Customers</i> , Docket Nos. 030851-TP & 030852-TP (Fla. PSC Oct. 11, 2004)..... | 13 |
| Order Dismissing Petitions, <i>Petitions of the Competitive Carrier Coalition and AT&T Communications of Virginia, LLC</i> , Case Nos. PUC-2004-00073 & PUC 2004-00074 (Va. SCC July 19, 2004) | 13 |
| Order Dismissing Remaining Issues at 15-17, <i>Investigation by the Department on its own motion as to the propriety of the rates and charges set forth in M.D.T.E. No. 17, filed with the Department by Verizon New England, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts on May 5 and June 14, 2000, to become effective October 2, 2000</i> , D.T.E. 98-57 Phase III-D (Mass. D.T.E. Jan. 30, 2004) | 13 |
| Thirty-Eighth Supplemental Order, <i>Continued Costing and Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements, Transport, and Termination</i> , Docket No. UT-003013, 2002 Wash UTC LEXIS 370 (Wash. UTC Sept. 23, 2002) | 75 |

OTHER MATERIALS

| | |
|---|----|
| AT&T Motion to Dismiss Verizon's Updated Petition Issues Regarding <i>USTA II</i> , Docket No. UT-043013 (Wash. UTC filed April 13, 2004) | 67 |
| Briefing Questions to Additional Parties, <i>Petition of Verizon New England for Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with Competitive Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers pursuant to Section 252 and the TRO</i> , Case No. 04-33 (Ma. DTE March 10, 2005) | 8 |
| Commission Staff's Response to Joint CLECs' Motion to Maintain the Status Quo, <i>Petition of QWEST Corporation to Initiate a Mass-Market Switching and Dedicated Transport Case Pursuant to the Triennial Review Order</i> , Docket No. UT-033044 (Wash. UTC filed May 25, 2004)..... | 12 |
| Letter, <i>Emergency Petition from MCI for a Commission Order Directing Verizon to continue to Accept New Unbundled Network Element Platform Orders, In re, ML No. 96341</i> , (Md. PSC March 10, 2005)..... | 8 |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 16th day of March, 2005, served the true and correct original, along with the correct number of copies, of the *Tables of Contents and Authorities to Verizon's Opening Brief* and *Certificate of Service* upon the WUTC, via the method(s) noted below, properly addressed as follows:

Carole Washburn, Executive Secretary
Washington Utilities & Transportation
Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
Olympia, WA 98503-7250

- Hand Delivered
 U.S. Mail (1st class, postage prepaid)
 Overnight Mail
 Facsimile (360) 586-1150
 Email (records@wutc.wa.gov)

I hereby certify that I have this 16th day of March, 2005, served a true and correct copies of the foregoing documents upon parties noted below via E-Mail and Overnight Mail:

The Honorable Ann E. Rendahl
Washington Utilities & Transportation
Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98504-7250
Email: arendahl@wutc.wa.gov

Brooks E. Harlow
David Rice
Miller Nash LLP
4400 Two Union Square
601 Union Street
Seattle, WA 98101-2352
Email: brooks.harlow@millernash.com
Email: david.rice@millernash.com

Edward W. Kirsch
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman LLP
300 K Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116
Email: ewkirsch@swidlaw.com

Genevieve Morelli
A. Edmonds
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036
Email: aedmonds@kelleydrye.com
Email: gmorelli@kelleydrye.com

Letty Friesen
Michelle Bourianoff
AT&T Communications
919 Congress Avenue, Suite 900
Austin, TX 78701-2444
Email: lsfriesen@att.com
Email: mbourianoff.com

Gregory J. Kopta
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1501 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2600
Seattle, WA 98101-1688
Email: gregkopta@dwt.com

Tre E. Hendricks III
United Telephone Co. of the NW
902 Wasco Street
Hood River, OR 97031-3105
Email: tre.e.hendricks.iii@mail.sprint.com

Michel L. Singer Nelson
Worldcom, Inc.
707 17th Street, Suite 4200
Denver, CO 80202-3432
Email: michel.singer_nelson@mci.com

I hereby certify that I have on the 16th day of March, 2005, served true and correct copies of the foregoing documents upon parties noted below via U.S. Mail.

Gregory Diamond, Senior Counsel
Covad Communications Co.
7901 Lowry Boulevard
Denver, CO 80230
Email: gdiamond@covad.com

Rex Knowles
XO Washington, Inc.
1111 East Broadway
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Dennis Kelley, Director of Operations
(Provisioning)
1-800-RECONEX INC.
2500 Industrial Avenue
Hubbard, OR 97032
Email: dennis.kelley@reconex.com

Barbara Fillinger
Regulatory Manager
Ionex Communication North, Inc.
2020 Baltimore
Kansas City, MO 64108
E-mail: bfillinger@birch.com

William P. Hunt, III, V.P., Public Policy
Level 3 Communications, LLC
1025 El Dorado Blvd.
Broomfield, CO 80021
E-mail: bill.hunt@level3.com

Schula Hobbs
Director of Regulatory Affairs
DSLNet Communications LLC
545 Long Wharf Drive FL 5
New Haven, CT 06511
E-mail: wbluemlin@dsl.net

Arthur L. Magee, Comptroller
Budget Phone, Inc.
PO Box 19360
Shreveport, LA 71149
E-mail: amagee@budgetphone.com

Sam G. Maropis
Associate Director – Regulatory
SBC Telecom, Inc.
Regulatory/Municipal Affairs

Paul Masters
Ernest Communications Inc.
5275 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150
Norcross, GA 30092-6511
Email: pmasters@ernestgroup.com

Richard A. Finnigan
2405 Evergreen Park Drive SW, Suite B-1
Olympia, WA 98502
Email: rickfinn@ywave.com

Michael E. Daughtry
United Communications, Inc., d/b/a Unico
389 SW Scalehouse Court
Bend, OR 97702
E-mail: mike@ucinet.com

Rowena Hardin
Nos Communications, Inc.
Tariff and Regulatory Affairs
4380 Boulder Highway
Las Vegas, NV 89121-3002
E-mail: hardin@nos.com

Karen Johnson
Corporate Regulatory Attorney
Integra Telecom of Washington, Inc.
1201 NE Loyd Blvd., Suite 500
Portland, OR 97232
E-mail: karen.johnson@integratelecom.com

Joanne Lyons, Director of Accounting
ICG Telecom Group, Inc.
Government and External Affairs
161 Inverness Drive West
Englewood, CO 80112
E-mail: donna-mozina@cgcomm.com

Dimitri Mandelis, President
Computers 5*, Inc., d/b/a Localtel
341 Grant Road
East Wenatchee, WA 98802
E-mail: dimitri@clandt.com

Randall C. Meacham
Senior Manager – Government Affairs
KMC Telecom V, Inc.
1755 N. Brown Road

1010 N. St. Mary's, Room 13K
San Antonio, TX 78215
E-mail: sm3745@SBC.com

Daniel Meldazis
Senior Manager Regulatory Affairs
Focal Communications Corporation of Wash
200 North Lasalle Street, Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60601
E-mail: dmeldazis@focal.com

Andrew Metcalfe, President
Northwest Telephone, Inc.
1630 N. Wenatchee Avenue, Suite 9
Wenatchee, WA 98801
E-mail: metcalfe@nwi.net

William Oberlin
Bullseye Telecom, Inc.
25900 Greenfield Road, Suite 330
Oak Park, MI 48237
E-mail: sloney@bullseyetelecom.com

John Coonan
Washington RSA No. 8 Ltd. Partnership
C/o Inland Cellular Telephone Company
P. O. Box 171
Rosland, WA 98941

Jill Sanford, Senior Attorney
Robert Sokota, General Counsel
Abovenet Communications, Inc.
360 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601
E-mail: knations@mmfn.com

David L. Starr
Director, Regulatory Compliance
Allegiance Telecom of Washington, Inc.
9201 North Central Expressway
Dallas, TX 75231
E-mail: david.starr@algx.com

Dick Van Aggelein
Quantumshift Communications, Inc.
101 Rowland Way, Suite 300
Novato, CA 94945
Email: regulatory@quantumshift.com

Lawrenceville, GA 30043
E-mail: rmeach@kmctelecom.com

Becki Merkel, Cost Accountant II
McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services
6400 C Street SW
PO Box 3177
Cedar Rapids, IA 52405-3177
E-mail: bmerkel@mcleodusa.com

Sara Howell, Regulatory Analyst II
Vartec Telecom, Inc.
2440 Marsh Lane
Carrollton, TX 75006

Jon Pesnell, Controller
Preferred Carrier Services, Inc. (T953)
14681 Midway Road, Suite 105
Addison, TX 75001
E-mail: alex.valencia@phonesforall.com

Teresa S. Reff, Senior Financial Analyst
Global Crossing Local Services, Inc.
Regulatory Affairs
1080 Pittsford Victor Road
Pittsford, NY 14534
E-mail: teresa.reff@globalcrossing.com

Timothy M. Seat
Z-Tel Communications, Inc.
601 S. Harbour Island Blvd., Suite 220
Tampa, FL 33602
E-mail: tseat@z-tel.com

Karine Hellwig
Sprint Communications Company LP
6390 Spring Parkway
MS: KSOPHT010-z2400
Overland Park, KS 66251-2400
E-mail: glenn.harris@mail.sprint.com

Sultan Weatherspoon
Weatherspoon Telephone, LLC
16410 NE 32nd Street
Vancouver, WA 98682
Email: sultan@wttel.com

Rhonda Weaver
Director of Government Affairs & Telephone
Comcast Phone of Washington, LLC
440 Yauger Way SW
Olympia, WA 98502-8153
Email: rhonda_weaver@cable.comcast.com

Sally Johnston, Assistant Attorney General
Attorney General Office
Representing WUTC
State Mail Stop 40128
Email: ajohnston@wutc.wa.gov

Marathon Communications, Inc.
1550 N. 34th Street, #200
Seattle, WA 98109-2904
E-mail: ageorge@marathon.net

Tax Department
International Telcom, Ltd.
417 2nd Avenue West
Seattle, WA 98119
E-mail: yvette@ms.kallback.com

Penny H. Bewick
New Edge Network, Inc.
3000 Columbia House Blvd., Suite 106
Vancouver, WA 98661
E-mail: pbewick@newedgenetworks.com

Michael J. Bradshaw
Powertelnet Communications, Inc.
Po Box 1150
Prosser, WA 99350
E-mail: asstmanager@bentonrea.org

Chris Crowe
Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.
1776 March Lane, Suite 250
Stockton, CA 95207
E-mail: ccrowe@pacwest.com

Dudley Upton
Cellco Partnership
1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400W
Washington, DC 20005

Arthur Butler
Ater Wynne LLP
Representing Us Cellular
601 Union Street, Suite 5450
Seattle, WA 98101-2327
Email: aab@aterwynne.com

Simon Ffitch
Office of the Attorney General
Public Counsel
Representing Public Counsel
900 4th Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98164
Email: simonf@atg.wa.gov

Donald Taylor, President
Tel West Communications, LLC
3701 S. Norfolk Street, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98118
E-mail: dtaylor@telwestservices.com

John P. Andrist, President
NCI Data.Com, Inc.
700 B Okoma Drive
Omak, WA 98841
E-mail: jandrist@ncidata.com

Bob McCoy
General Counsel
Williams Local Network, Inc.
4100 One Williams Center
Tulsa, OK 74103

Steven C. Clay, President
New Access Communications, Llc
801 Nicollet Mall, Suite 350
Minneapolis, MN 55042
E-mail: sclay@newaccess.com

Richard A. Pitt
12119 Jacqueline Drive
P. O. Box 667
Burlington, WA 98233
Email: rapitt98232@msn.com

Jill Mounsey
Director - External Affairs
AT&T Wireless Services Inc.
7277 164th Avenue NE
Redmond, WA 98052

Richard Stevens
President
Centel Communications Inc.
P.O. Box 25
Goldendale, WA 98620

Deanne Laidler
US Cellular
8410 W. Bryn Mawr, Suite 700
Chicago, IL 60631

Thomas Sawatzki
HighSpeed.Com LLC
6 W. Rose Street, Suite 500
Walla Walla, WA 99362

John B. Glicksman
Vice President, General Counsel
Adelphia Business Solutions
1 North Main Street
Coudersport, PA 16915

Ken Goldstein
Metrocall Inc.
6677 Richmond Highway
Alexandria, VA 22306

Robert T. Hale, President/CEO
Granite Telecommunications, LLC
234 Copeland Street
Quincy, MA 02169

General Counsel
T-Mobile USA Inc.
12990 SE 38th Street
Bellevue, WA 98006

Robert Benson, Tariff Support
Fox Communications Corp.
5210 Carillon Point
Kirkland, WA 98033

Lance Tade
Electric Lightwave, Inc.
4 Triad Center, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84180

Eleanor Spillman
Vice President Operations / Corp. Counsel

Tom Cook
Cook Telecom Inc.
2963 Kerner Blvd.
San Rafael, CA 94901

Robert E. Heath, Vice President
American Fiber Network Inc.
9401 Indian Creek Parkway, Suite 140
Overland Park, KS 66210

Rudolph J. Geist
Executive Vice President
O 1 Communications of Washington, LLC
770 L Street, Suite 960
Sacramento, CA 95814

Catherine Murray, Manager
Eschelon Telecom of Washington, Inc.
730 Second Avenue South, Suite 900
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2489

Rick Weaver, Regulatory Contact
Westgate Communications, LLC
PO Box 2937
Chelan, WA 98816

Karen Shoresman Frame
Covad Communications Company
7901 Lowry Blvd.
Denver, CO 80320
E-mail: kframe@covad.com

Irina Armstrong, Regulatory Contact
Metropolitan Telecomm. of Washington
44 Wall Street, Floor 14
New York, NY 10005

Dale Crouse, President
Premiere Communications Systems, Inc.
4509 Interlake Ave. N, #110
Seattle, WA 98103

Brian Thomas
Time Warner Telecom of Washington LLC
223 Taylor Avenue N.
Seattle, WA 98109

Felicia Mayo, Point Of Contact
Comm South Companies, Inc.

Gold Tel
16212 Bothell Way SE, #F-107
Mill Creek, WA 98012

Regulatory Affairs
8035 East R.L. Thornton Freeway, Suite 410
Dallas, TX 75228

David M. Pikoff, Vice President
DPI-Teleconnect, L.L.C.
2997 LBJ Freeway, Ste 225
Dallas, TX 75234

I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is correct and true.

DATED this 16th day of March, 2005, at Seattle, Washington.

Heidi L. Wilder
Heidi L. Wilder