EXEMPTION - 1

why Toledo had not filed a petition for support. Mr. Finnigan was not aware that Toledo had not filed a petition for support until he was contacted by Commission Staff.

On the basis of obtaining information from Commission Staff, Mr. Finnigan contacted the Company employees and learned that because of communication errors a petition was not timely filed. Mr. Finnigan informed the Company that there was a process that was available to request an exemption from the rule deadline. The Company then instructed Mr. Finnigan to move forward and the Company finished its petition for support.

The petition for support is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Petition and has been filed at the Commission on an electronic basis.

This Petition is consistent with the public interest since if Toledo's petition for support is allowed, funding will be made available to Toledo for furthering broadband service. The furtherance of broadband service in rural Washington is clearly within the public interest. While Toledo has built a fiber network that reaches one hundred percent of its current customers, it has a substantial debt repayment obligation and ongoing maintenance requirements. In addition, Toledo is a growing community, largely in part because the attractiveness of its broadband service, and as new homes are built, additional extensions must be made to maintain a one hundred percent coverage of the Toledo service area. See the Declaration of Mr. Merten attached as Exhibit 2.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Based on the foregoing, Toledo respectfully requests that it be granted an exemption from the August 2nd filing date contained in WAC 480-123-110(5). Toledo also requests that the Commission consider the Petition for Support on its merits.

Respectfully submitted this day of 2020.

y //

Richard A. Finnigar

THE PETITION OF THE TOLEDO TELEPHONE CO., INC. FOR A RULE EXEMPTION - 3