

Exhibit No. DGW-1T
Docket UE-_____
Witness: David G. Webb

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of

PACIFICORP DBA PACIFIC POWER &
LIGHT COMPANY,

2019 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism

Docket UE-_____

PACIFICORP DBA PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID G. WEBB

June 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Qualifications..... 2
Purpose of Testimony..... 2
Summary of the PCAM Deferral Calculation..... 3
2019 PCAM Calculation..... 4
Differences in NPC 9
Impact of participating in the EIM..... 133

ATTACHED EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. DGW-2: 2019 PCAM Deferral Calculation

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp**
2 **dba Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or Company).**

3 A. My name is David G. Webb and my business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,
4 Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232. My title is Manager, Net Power Costs.

5 **QUALIFICATIONS**

6 **Q. Briefly describe your education and professional experience.**

7 A. I received a Master of Accountancy degree from Southern Utah University in 1999
8 and a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Management from Brigham Young
9 University in 1994. I am a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the state of
10 Nevada. I have been employed by PacifiCorp since 2005 and have held various
11 positions in the regulation, finance, fuels, and mining departments. I assumed my
12 current role managing the regulatory net power cost group in 2019.

13 **Q. Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?**

14 A. Yes. I have previously provided testimony to the public utility commissions in Utah,
15 Wyoming, Idaho, and Oregon.

16 **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

17 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

18 A. My testimony presents and supports the Company's calculation of the Power Cost
19 Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) for the 12-month period from January 1, 2019,
20 through December 31, 2019 (Deferral Period). More specifically, I provide the
21 following:

- 22 • Background on the PCAM and an accounting of how the PCAM balance was
23 calculated for the Deferral Period;

- 1 • Discussion of the main differences between adjusted actual net power costs
2 (Actual NPC) and net power costs in rates (Base NPC), both allocated on a
3 West Control Area Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Methodology (WCA) basis;
4 • Discussion about the Company’s participation in the energy imbalance market
5 (EIM) with the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and the
6 benefits from EIM that are passed through to customers.

7 **Q. Are additional witnesses presenting testimony specifically for the PCAM and**
8 **Tariff Schedule 97 in this case?**

9 A. No. Since the cumulative PCAM deferral balancing account does not exceed the
10 surcharge or credit threshold of \$17 million, there are no proposed changes to Tariff
11 Schedule 97.

12 **SUMMARY OF THE PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION**

13 **Q. Please briefly describe the Company’s PCAM authorized by the Washington**
14 **Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission).**

15 A. The Commission’s Order 09 in Docket UE-140762 approved the PCAM to allow the
16 company to track unexpected variations in power costs in the PCAM deferral
17 account. If the cumulative positive or negative balance in the PCAM deferral
18 account, including monthly interest, exceeds \$17 million either a surcharge or sur-
19 credit is triggered.

20 **Q. Please summarize the Company’s calculation of the PCAM deferral for the**
21 **Deferral Period.**

22 A. For the Deferral Period the cumulative PCAM differential was an approximate
23 \$6.3 million credit before application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing

1 bands. After application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing bands, the filing
2 results in a deferral credit of approximately \$2.1 million, including interest.

3 **Q. Have you provided detailed support for the calculation of the PCAM balance**
4 **with your testimony?**

5 A. Yes. Exhibit No. DGW-2 includes a detailed calculation of the Company's 2019
6 PCAM deferral on a monthly basis. Detailed confidential workpapers supporting
7 Exhibit No. DGW-2 are provided separately.

8 **2019 PCAM CALCULATION**

9 **Q. Please describe the Company's calculation of the PCAM deferral for the**
10 **Deferral Period.**

11 A. As previously noted, the PCAM deferral is calculated on a monthly basis as the
12 difference between Base NPC collected through general rates and Actual NPC,
13 including actual non-NPC EIM costs. The accrued PCAM variance is subject to the
14 following parameters:

- 15 • Symmetrical Deadband: Any PCAM difference between negative \$4 million
16 and positive \$4 million will be absorbed by the company.
- 17 • Asymmetrical sharing of the PCAM difference as follows:
 - 18 ○ Between \$4 and \$10 million; shared 50 percent by customers and
19 50 percent by the company;
 - 20 ○ Greater than \$10 million; shared 90 percent by customers and
21 10 percent by the company;
 - 22 ○ Between -\$4 and -\$10 million; shared 75 percent by customers and
23 25 percent by the company; and
 - 24 ○ Less than -\$10 million; shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent
25 by the company.
- 26 • Amortization of Deferral: The amortization of PCAM variances are deferred
27 until the balance of the deferral balancing account results in either a surcharge
28 or credit greater than \$17 million.

1 For the Deferral Period, the PCAM differential was approximately a \$6.3 million
 2 credit. After application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing band, the
 3 company is seeking approval to credit the PCAM balancing account with
 4 approximately \$2.1 million including interest. A summary of the deferral calculation
 5 is shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of PCAM Account Balance

<u>Calendar Year 2019 PCAM Deferral</u>	
Actual PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)	\$ 30.25
Base PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)	31.76
PCAM Cost Differential (\$/MWh)	(1.51)
Washington Sales (MWh)	4,144,590
Total PCAM Differential*	\$ (6,269,634)
Total Deferrable ABOVE Deadband	-
Total Deferrable BELOW Deadband	(2,269,634)
Washington Deferral after Sharing	(1,702,226)
Interest Accrued through December 31, 2019	(416,596)
Requested PCAM Recovery	<u>\$ (2,118,821)</u>
* <i>Calculated monthly</i>	

1 **Q. How is the PCAM differential calculated on a monthly basis?**

2 A. The PCAM differential is calculated by subtracting the NPC collected in base rates
3 from the PCAM Adjusted Actual Costs as shown in the formula below:

$$\text{PCAMC} - (\text{Base NPC}_{\$/\text{MWh}} \times \text{Actual Sales}) = \text{PCAM Differential}$$

Where:

PCAMC - Adjusted actual WCA NPC costs allocated to Washington using allocation factors calculated with actual jurisdictional load plus Washington allocated actual non-NPC EIM costs

Base NPC $_{\$/\text{MWh}}$ - Base NPC unit cost; calculated by dividing Washington-allocated NPC as established in a rate proceeding by the Washington sales-at-meter used to set rates in the rate proceeding

Actual Sales - Actual Washington retail sales at the meter

4 The cumulative PCAM variance is first compared against the symmetrical
5 deadband. Cumulative amounts in excess of the symmetrical deadband are then
6 subject to the sharing bands. The customer portion of the PCAM variance is tracked
7 in the deferral balancing account and monthly balances accrue interest at the current
8 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) interest rate. A rate change is
9 triggered when the customer surcharge or credit exceeds \$17 million.

10 **Q. What were the total-Company adjusted Actual NPC for the Deferral Period and
11 how were they determined?**

12 A. The total-Company adjusted Actual NPC in the Deferral Period were approximately
13 \$541 million on a WCA basis. This amount captures all components of NPC as
14 defined in the company's general rate case proceedings and modeled by the
15 Company's Generation and Regulation Initiative Decision Tool (GRID) model.
16 Booked NPC are adjusted to reflect a balanced WCA consistent with the methodology

1 used in Docket UE-140762. Specifically, it includes amounts booked to the following
2 FERC accounts:

3 Account 447 - Sales for resale, excluding on-system wholesale sales and other
4 revenues that are not modeled in GRID;

5 Account 501 - Fuel, steam generation; excluding fuel handling, start-up fuel
6 (gas and diesel fuel, residual disposal) and other costs that are
7 not modeled in GRID;

8 Account 503 - Steam from other sources;

9 Account 547 - Fuel, other generation;

10 Account 555 - Purchased power, excluding the Bonneville Power
11 Administration (BPA) residential exchange credit pass-through
12 if applicable; and

13 Account 565 - Transmission of electricity by others.

14 **Q. What adjustments are made to Actual NPC and why are they needed?**

15 A. The company adjusts Actual NPC to reflect the ratemaking treatment of several items,
16 including:

- 17 • out of period accounting entries booked in the Deferral Period that relate to
18 operations before implementation of the PCAM on April 1, 2015;
19 • reductions to coal costs for legal fees related to fines and citations; and
20 • revenue from a contract related to the Leaning Juniper wind resource.

21 **Q. Please state the amount of the adjusted Actual NPC that were allocated to
22 Washington and describe how the amount was calculated.**

23 A. Washington-allocated Actual NPC were approximately \$125 million during the

1 Deferral Period. To arrive at this value, the Company applied the allocation
2 methodology approved by the Commission using actual allocation factors from
3 calendar year 2019.

4 **Q. Please summarize the calculation of the Washington-Allocated Actual Non-NPC**
5 **EIM Costs.**

6 A. The company has included in the PCAM actual non-NPC EIM costs of \$0.4 million
7 that are not otherwise included in NPC. These EIM costs include the return on rate
8 base, ongoing operations and maintenance expense, and depreciation expense. This
9 treatment was approved by the Commission to match recovery of EIM costs and
10 benefits.¹ As described in more detail later on in my testimony, the EIM provides
11 benefits to customers in the form of reduced Actual NPC.

12 **Q. How much of Base PCAM costs did the Company collect from Washington**
13 **customers during the Deferral Period?**

14 A. During the Deferral Period, the Company received approximately \$132 million in
15 Base PCAM revenue from Washington customers, approximately \$6.3 million more
16 than Washington-allocated Actual NPC and EIM Costs.

17 **Q. What was the total amount of the deferral over the Deferral Period?**

18 A. After application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing band, the deferral was
19 approximately \$2.1 million credit including interest, as shown in Table 1.

20 **Q. Please describe how the interest on the PCAM deferral balance was determined.**

21 A. Interest is accrued monthly on the PCAM deferral balance at the FERC interest rates
22 that are published quarterly. Over the Deferral Period, the PCAM balance accrued

¹ *Wash. Utils and Transp. Comm'n v. PacifiCorp*, Docket UE-152253, Order 12 at 74 (September 1, 2016).

1 \$0.4 million of interest refundable to customers.

2 **Q. Is the Company requesting a rate change with this filing?**

3 A. No. Since the PCAM balancing account does not exceed the customer surcharge or
4 credit threshold of \$17 million, the Company is requesting the balance be updated to
5 include the current year deferral. See Table 2 for a summary of the deferred
6 balancing account.

**Table 2
Deferred Balancing Account**

	Washington Customers
Balancing Account Activity	
Beginning Deferral Balance	\$ (7,332,177)
2019 PCAM Deferral	(1,702,226)
Interest	<u>(416,596)</u>
Activity Through December 31, 2019	(9,450,998)
December 31, 2019 Ending Balance	<u><u>\$ (9,450,998)</u></u>

7 **DIFFERENCES IN NPC**

8 **Q. On a WCA basis, what was the difference between Actual NPC and Base NPC**
9 **for the Deferral Period?**

10 A. Actual NPC for the Deferral Period were \$541 million, less than Base NPC for the
11 Deferral Period by approximately \$10 million. Table 3 below provides a high level
12 summary of the difference between the Base NPC and Actual NPC by category on a
13 WCA basis.

Table 3
Net Power Cost Reconciliation (\$millions)

Base NPC	\$	551
Increase/(Decrease) to NPC:		
Wholesale Sales Revenue		31
Purchased Power Expense		(39)
Coal Fuel Expense		(15)
Natural Gas Expense		2
Wheeling and Other Expense		11
Total Increase/(Decrease)		(10)
Adjusted Actual NPC	\$	541

1 **Q. Please describe the Base NPC the Company used to calculate the NPC component**
2 **of the PCAM deferral.**

3 A. The Base NPC of \$551 million was established in Docket UE-140762 using a test
4 period of April 2015 through March 2016.

5 **Q. Please describe the differences between Actual NPC and Base NPC.**

6 A. Actual NPC were lower than Base NPC due to a \$39 million reduction in purchased
7 power expense and a \$15 million reduction in coal fuel expense. These reduced
8 expenses were partially offset by a \$31 million decrease in wholesale sales revenues,
9 an \$11 million increase in wheeling and other expenses, and a \$2 million increase in
10 natural gas fuel expense.

11 **Q. Please explain the changes in wholesale sales revenue.**

12 A. Wholesale sales revenue declined relative to Base NPC due to lower market prices.
13 The average price of actual market sales transactions was \$4.49/megawatt-hour
14 (MWh), or 12 percent, lower than the average price in Base NPC. Lower market

1 prices were partially offset by an increase in wholesale sales volume of market
2 transactions (represented in GRID as short-term firm and system balancing sales).

3 **Q. Please explain the changes in purchased power expense.**

4 A. Purchased power expense decreased due to an \$89 million decrease in long-term
5 purchase power contracts. The expiration of the Hermiston power purchase
6 agreement and the Georgia-Pacific Camas contract resulted in lower purchased power
7 costs of \$85.7 million. Lower long-term purchased power was partially offset by a
8 \$50 million increase in market transactions (represented in GRID as short-term firm
9 and system balancing purchases).

10 Actual market purchases were approximately 2,000 gigawatt-hour (GWh), or
11 63 percent, higher than Base NPC. The increased volume was partially offset by the
12 lower average price of actual market purchase transactions which was \$2.13/MWh, or
13 7 percent, lower than Base NPC.

14 **Q. Please explain the changes in coal fuel expense.**

15 A. Coal fuel expense decreased due to lower coal generation volumes that was partially
16 offset by an increase in the average cost of coal generation. The average cost of coal
17 generation increased from \$23.53/MWh in Base NPC to \$24.85/MWh in the Deferral
18 Period. Coal-fired generation decreased 1,177 GWh, or 11 percent.

19 **Q. Please explain the changes in natural gas fuel expense.**

20 A. The total natural gas fuel expense in Actual NPC increased by \$2 million compared to
21 Base NPC due to an increase in natural gas generation volume of 1,577 GWh, or 67
22 percent, higher than Base NPC during the Deferral Period. Increased natural gas
23 generation volumes were partially offset by lower average cost of natural gas

1 generation from \$39.03/MWh in Base NPC to \$23.91/MWh, or 39 percent in the
2 Deferral Period.

3 **Q. Please provide an overview of the Enbridge natural gas pipeline rupture and its**
4 **impact on Company operations and costs.**

5 A. On October 9, 2018, the Enbridge natural gas pipeline that transports natural gas
6 produced in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin to consumers in British
7 Columbia (B.C.) and, through interconnecting pipelines, the Northwestern United
8 States (U.S.), experienced a massive rupture. The pipeline was brought back into
9 service in late October 2018, however, at a reduced capacity until testing of the many
10 segments of the pipeline were completed. Spot natural gas prices at the Sumas B.C.-
11 U.S. border trading point traded as high as \$159 per million British thermal units on
12 days of intense demand due to cold weather and reduced natural gas supply in the
13 first quarter of 2019.

14 The pipeline rupture and reduced operating capacity impacted electricity
15 prices primarily at the Mid-Columbia power market hub, but also increased electricity
16 prices at other trading points where PacifiCorp transacts. Because of PacifiCorp's
17 geographical and resource diversity, the impact to the Company was not as severe as
18 other utilities and power producers that have a high reliance on Sumas natural gas
19 supplies. PacifiCorp has one natural gas-fired generator—the Chehalis plant—that is
20 sourced from the Sumas natural gas hub. Due to the pipeline rupture, there were
21 times of limited availability of natural gas flowing to the Sumas gas hub and limited
22 ability to withdraw out of storage facilities at Jackson Prairie. With the inability to
23 run Chehalis due to limited gas availability and supplies, plus the impact of

1 uneconomical market conditions, the result contributed to higher prices at Mid-
2 Columbia ultimately increasing net power costs.

3 **IMPACT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE EIM**

4 **Q. Are the actual benefits from participating in the EIM with CAISO included in**
5 **the PCAM deferral?**

6 A. Yes. Participation in the EIM provides benefits to customers in the form of reduced
7 Actual NPC. The EIM benefits are embedded in Actual NPC through lower fuel and
8 purchased power costs. The Company is able to calculate the margin realized on its
9 EIM imports and exports, which is the inter-regional benefit. The Company's EIM
10 inter-regional benefit for the deferral period was approximately \$57 million, or
11 \$28.6 million on a WCA basis.

12 **Q. How does the company calculate its actual EIM benefits?**

13 A. Using actual information from the EIM, including five- and 15-minute pricing, the
14 company identifies the incremental resource that could have facilitated the transfer to
15 an adjacent EIM area or the CAISO in each five-minute interval. The benefit is then
16 calculated as the difference between the revenue received less the expense of
17 generation assumed to supply the transfer. In the event of an import, the benefit is
18 equal to the cost of the import minus the avoided expense of the generation that
19 would have otherwise been dispatched.

20 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

21 A. Yes.