## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of	)
	)
Avista Corporation, d/b/a Avista Utilities	)
	)
For an Order Approving a Service Territory	)
Agreement Between Avista Corporation and	)
Inland Power and Light Company.	)

1

2

Docket No. UE-20\_\_\_\_\_

PETITION OF AVISTA CORPORATION

# I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with RCW 54.48.030, Avista Corporation, doing business as Avista Utilities ("Avista" or "Company"), at 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington, respectfully requests that the Commission approve the enclosed <u>Electric Service Letter of Agreement</u> (Exhibit A) with Inland Power and Light Company ("Inland Power").

Avista Utilities serves approximately 388,000 electric and 355,000 natural gas customers in a 30,000 square mile service territory covering portions of Washington, Idaho and Oregon. The largest community served by Avista is Spokane, Washington, which is the location of its corporate headquarters.

Please direct all correspondence related to this Petition as follows:

David J. Meyer, Esq. Vice President and Chief Counsel for Regulatory & Governmental Affairs Avista Corp. P. O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Avenue, MSC 27 Spokane, Washington 99220-3727 Telephone: (509) 495-4316 E-mail: <u>david.meyer@avistacorp.com</u> Linda Gervais Senior Manager, Regulatory Policy Regulatory Affairs Avista Corp. P. O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Avenue, MSC 27 Spokane, Washington 99220-3727 Telephone: (509) 495-4975 E-mail: linda.gervais@avistacorp.com Statutes that may be brought at issue in this Petition include RCW 54.48.020 and RCW 54.48.030.

RCW 54.48.020 states that the "legislature hereby declares that the duplication of the electric lines and service of public utilities and cooperatives is uneconomical, may create unnecessary hazards to the public safety, discourages investment in permanent underground facilities, and is unattractive, and thus is contrary to the public interest and further declares that it is in the public interest for public utilities and cooperatives to enter into agreements for the purpose of avoiding or eliminating such duplication."

#### **II. BACKGROUND**

Avista's single phase overhead line extends approximately 2200 feet through a backyard easement of developed small lots served by Inland Power. The overhead line provides electric service to one customer located at an address on South Dishman Mica Rd. Within the same backyard easement, Inland Power has an overhead single phase line which provides service to all the developed small lots from South Bowdish to South Pierce St. Inland Power intends on rebuilding this overhead line as part of their system improvement program. The proximity of these lines, among other things, can create safety issues for both Inland Power and Avista, especially in times of outage.

6

3

4

5

The Electric Service Letter of Agreement states that in 2018, Inland Power approached Avista about transferring the service to the Premises from Avista to Inland Power. Inland Power planned to rebuild its overhead line as part of its system improvement program and, as part of that project, it could connect and serve the Premises. Due to the safety concerns associated with having two overhead lines in close proximity in the same easement, Avista agreed to transition

PAGE 2

the service to the Premises from Avista to Inland Power subject to the terms of the Electric Service Letter of Agreement (Exhibit A) and Commission approval. Importantly that agreement has also been acknowledged by the Customer.

## **III. SUMMARY**

Avista is allowing Inland Power to serve the Premises due to the unique circumstances that exist and, in particular, the safety concerns associated with the proximity of Avista's and Inland Power's overhead lunes as discussed above. Avista's agreement to allow Inland Power to serve the Premises is not intended to, and shall not, be used as precedent for the provision of serve to any other current or potential Avista customer.

### **IV. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, as described herein and set forth in the proposed Agreement, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order approving the Agreement, as required under RCW 54.48.030.

DATED this 1<sup>st</sup> day of April 2020

By: <u>/s/ Patrick Ehrbar</u> Patrick D. Ehrbar Director of Regulatory Affairs

7