**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON**

**UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Petition of  COLUMBIA BASIN RAILROAD,  Petitioner,    Seeking Approval to Upgrade Signals at a Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing |  | DOCKET TR-170317  ORDER 01  ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO MODIFY HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACTIVE WARNING DEVICES AT MCMANAMAN ROAD AND AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURE FROM THE GRADE CROSSING PROTECTIVE FUND  USDOT: 396437C |

BACKGROUND

1. On May 2, 2017, the Columbia Basin Railroad (CBRR or Petitioner) filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission), a petition seeking approval to modify active warning devices at a railroad-highway grade crossing. The crossing is identified as USDOT 396437C and is located at the intersection of McManaman Road and the Petitioner’s tracks in Adams county. The Petitioner further requested the Commission authorize an expenditure of $3,300 from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund (GCPF) to pay for a portion of the cost of the upgrades.
2. McManaman Road is a two-lane roadway with a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour. Average annual daily vehicle traffic through the crossing is estimated at 1,019 vehicles. McManaman Road is part of an established school bus route with four buses traveling over the crossing daily. The crossing is also part of an established truck route, with trucks making up 15 percent of the total daily traffic on this roadway. Two freight trains operate over this single mainline track crossing each day at 10 miles per hour. No passenger trains operate over the crossing.
3. Railroad warning devices at the McManaman Road crossing consist of shoulder-mounted flashing lights, crossbucks, and advance warning signs. The Petitioner proposes to replace seven batteries in the crossing signal system.
4. Commission Staff reviewed the proposed upgrade and recommends approval of the project. This upgrade will improve the overall safety at the crossing by providing improved reliability if there is a power failure at the crossing.
5. The total approximate cost of the project is $3,300. The Petitioner is contributing labor toward the cost of the upgrades and is responsible for any additional project costs.An expenditure of $3,300 from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund is available to help fund the improvements.

**FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. (1) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission is an agency of the State of Washington having jurisdiction over public railroad-highway grade crossings within the state of Washington, and authority to approve and administer disbursements from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund. RCW 81.53; RCW 81.53.271; RCW 81.53.281.
2. (2)The grade crossing at McManaman Road, identified as USDOT 396437C, is a public railroad-highway grade crossing within the state of Washington.
3. (3) RCW 81.53.261 requires the Commission grant approval prior to any changes to public railroad-highway grade crossings within the state of Washington. *See also* WAC 480-62-150*.*
4. (4) RCW 81.53.271 allows the Commission to disburse funds from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund to pay for the installation of a grade crossing protective device, without requiring the Petitioner to share the cost.
5. (5) Commission Staff investigated the petition and recommended that it be granted, subject to specified conditions.
6. (6) After reviewing CBRR’s petition filed on May 2, 2017, and giving due consideration to all relevant matters and for good cause shown, the Commission grants the petition and request for disbursement of funds.

**O R D E R**

**THE COMMISSION ORDERS:**

1. Columbia Basin Railroad’s petition to upgrade warning devices at a railroad-highway grade crossing, located at McManaman Road, is granted. The cost of this upgrade shall be paid from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund. Approval of the petition is subject to the following conditions:
   1. Expenditure from the Grade Crossing Protective Fund must not exceed $3,300.
   2. Payment will be made upon presentation of claim for reimbursement for materials and labor, and verification by Commission Staff that the work has been satisfactorily completed.
   3. CBRR must sign and return the attached project agreement.
   4. The project must be completed no later than June 23, 2017.
   5. Traffic control devices must comply with all applicable standards specified in the U.S. Department of Transportation *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.*
   6. CBRR must notify the Commission on completion of the upgrades authorized in this Order. Acceptance of the upgrades is subject to inspection by Commission Staff, and verification that the crossing is in full compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and the conditions specified in this Order.

The Secretary of the Commission has delegated authority over this matter pursuant to Order 01 in Docket A-151775. The Secretary finds this Order to be consistent with the public interest.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective May 8, 2017.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

STEVEN V. KING, Executive Director and Secretary

**NOTICE:** This is an order delegated to the Secretary for decision. In addition to serving you a copy of the decision, the Commission will post on its Internet website for at least fourteen (14) days a listing of all matters delegated to the Secretary for decision. You may seek Commission review of this decision. You must file a request for Commission review of this order no later than fourteen (14) days after the date the decision is posted on the Commission’s Web site. The Commission will schedule your request for review for consideration at a regularly scheduled open meeting. The Commission will notify you of the time and place of the open meeting at which the Commission will review the order.

The Commission will grant a late-filed request for review only on a showing of good cause, including a satisfactory explanation of why the person did not timely file the request. A form for late-filed requests is available on the Commission’s website.

This notice and review process is pursuant to the provisions of RCW 80.01.030 and WAC 480-07-904(2) and (3).