Facilitator:  Phil Jones (WA UTC)

Governor policy reps present:  Cliff Rechtschaffen, Ruchi Sadhir (OR Gov Policy), Laura Nelson (Utah OED), Keith Phillips (WA Gov Policy), Colin McKee (WY Gov Policy), John Chatburn (Idaho OER, by phone)

Draft Agenda

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **10:00 – 10:10** | 1. **Introductions** |
| **10:10 – 10:20** | 1. **Brief opening comments from each of the Governor’s policy representatives, others** |
| **10:20 – 10:45** | 1. **Recap of the highlights of the SLC meeting (Laura and Stacey)**    1. Key Takeaways and follow-up since then    2. Review the fundamental or threshold issues for this group: define more clearly the state authorities vs. ISO authorities, including state vs. FERC authorities; provide high-level advice to Cliff and CA authorities who will draft actual bill language; ongoing work on ISO governance to flesh out details; or build trust and credibility among the Western states |
| **10:45-11:15** | 1. **Timetable and process for potential California legislation (led by Cliff, assisted by Florio)**    1. Basic structure of legislation – how high level?  How detailed?    2. Process for “fleshing out” details of Governance after September 1st – authority, who leads and facilitates, who participates    3. Scenario for future process if, for whatever reason, no ISO Governance legislation is passed by that date. |
| **11:15-11:25** | **Break** |
| **11:25 – 12:10** | 1. **Western States Oversight Committee** 2. RSC model vs. OMS model (if RSC-SPP folks are here and available, they can speak here) 3. Full voting members 4. Associate members – consumer advocates, energy siting authorities 5. Scope and authority on policy positions as a collective body, filings at FERC 6. Reservation of state authority 7. Voting procedures – majority voting with quorum; 2/3 vote required for certain higher level issues 8. Issues potentially reserved to Committee:  resource adequacy (RA) for the IRP states; cost allocation methodology for new transmission lines; and reserving CPCN authority (for TX siting) for those states that have it. 9. Long-term capacity markets – clear that the regional ISO will not address this issue 10. Role of BPA, WAPA, and public power utilities (if market participants in either PTO or EIM, how to handle?) 11. Hybrid model – may propose a couple of options here |
| **Get lunch, continue working….** | |
| **12:10 – 12:40** | 1. **Discussion of Flo-Jo 3 document, with a focus on some of the following areas for ISO Board and governance issues (Phil, Mike)**    1. ISO Board structure and issues – assume Hybrid Board with Transition Period    2. Size    3. Voting procedures of Board    4. Role of potential Members’ Committee    5. Staggering of terms – transition period    6. Role of executive search firm (only for independent Board members?  Or for 5 non-CA state appointed members?)    7. Legal standing of regional ISO (vs. current status as California public benefits non-profit organization).  Current federal IRS standing as 501-c-3 will continue |
| **12:40-12:50** | 1. **Consumer-advocates and other stakeholder interests (Florio)** 2. Binz proposal for a CAPS-like (PJM) funding mechanism for C-A’s 3. Intervenor funding 4. Environmental NGO’s 5. Other key stakeholders:  IPP’s and merchant generators; other state agencies. |
| **12:50-1:00** | 1. **Concluding thoughts and Action Items (Cliff, Laura, Phil)** |