Hunter, Kathy (UTC)

From:

Nizam, Ahmer <nizama@wsdot.wa.gov>

Sent:

Thursday, October 2, 2014 9:51 AM

To: Cc:

Hunter, Kathy (UTC); Klopp, Cheryl Koreski, Jason; Wagner, Richard W

Subject:

RE: TR-140816 - SR-20

Attachments:

Revised P 4 of WSDOR SR 20 Petition.pdf

Kathy - Attached is WSDOT's update to page 4 of the petition with new traffic count information. Please update our petition and let me know if you need anything else.

Ahmer

----Original Message----

From: Hunter, Kathy (UTC) [mailto:khunter@utc.wa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2014 10:04 AM

To: Nizam, Ahmer; Klopp, Cheryl Cc: Koreski, Jason; Wagner, Richard W

Subject: RE: TR-140816 - SR-20

Ahmer and Rick - Page 4 of the petition needs to be revised to reflect the updated cmv information. BNSF should file the revision since they are the petitioner.

Thanks -

Kathy Hunter, Deputy Assistant Director, Transportation Safety Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW

PO Box 47250

Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Office Telephone: (360) 664-1257

Cell: (360) 701-1612 Fax: (360) 586-1150

----Original Message----

From: Nizam, Ahmer [mailto:nizama@wsdot.wa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2014 2:53 PM

To: Klopp, Cheryl

Cc: Hunter, Kathy (UTC); Koreski, Jason

Subject: Re: TR-140816 - SR-20

Thank you Cheryl.

Kathy - does this give you what you need to complete the petition investigation?

Ahmer

On Sep 30, 2014, at 2:24 PM, "Klopp, Cheryl" <KloppC@wsdot.wa.gov<mailto:KloppC@wsdot.wa.gov>> wrote:

Section 5 - Temporary Crossing

1. Is the crossing proposed to be temporary? Yes No
2. If so, describe the purpose of the crossing and the estimated time it will be needed
3. Will the petitioner remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing? Yes No Approximate date of removal
Section 6 – Current Highway Traffic Information
1. Name of roadway/highway State Route 20 at milepost 59.94 (Garl Street)
2. Roadway classification Principle Arterial
3. Road authority Washington State Department of Transportation
4. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) 19,161
5. Number of lanes 2 lanes each direction with a shared median turn lane
6. Roadway speed 35 mph
7. Is the crossing part of an established truck route? Yes X No
8. If so, trucks are what percent of total daily traffic? 6.5% AN 10 1-14
9. Is the crossing part of an established school bus route? Yes X No
10. If so, how many school buses travel over the crossing each day? 50
11. Describe any changes to the information in 1 through 7, above, expected within ten years:
Section 7 – Alternatives to the Proposal
Does a safer location for a crossing exist within a reasonable distance of the proposed location? Yes No _X
2. If a safer location exists, explain why the crossing should not be located at that site.