



# Completed Activity

## Report Motor Carrier Safety

(63 Vehicles)

Upload?  Yes  No

1. Investigator(s): Richard Smith 2. Assignment No.: 113007

3. Current Date: 3/4/2013 4. Date of Activity: 12-2012 - 3/4/2013

5. Carrier Name: PROFESSIONAL TRANSPORTATION INC

6. Permit: Pending 7. If new entrant, date of temporary authority \_\_\_\_\_

8. MOTCAR No.: \_\_\_\_\_

9. Carrier is:  Intrastate Only  
 Interstate Only  
 Both Intra and Interstate

10. Industry Code: 232

11. DOT No.: \_\_\_\_\_

12. MC No.: \_\_\_\_\_

13.  **Destination Check**

Attached is a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan.

- Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger \_\_\_\_\_ # of 16+ passenger \_\_\_\_\_
- Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 3 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 5 \_\_\_\_\_
- Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:
- What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:

14.  **Safety Complaint**

Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint Plan.

- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
  - Compliance review
  - Technical assistance
  - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 5 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Unannounced terminal visit
  - Other (please explain):

15.  **New Entrant – Charter, Auto Transportation**

- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:  Yes  No
- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No
- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No
- Did staff complete the following:
  - ◆ Inspect all vehicles between three and nine months?  Yes  No
  - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 5 \_\_\_\_\_

◆ Conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months?  Yes  No  CR  SA

16.  **New Entrant– HHG**

- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate:  Yes  No
- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No
- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority:  Yes  No
- Did staff complete the following:
  - ◆ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months?  Yes  No
  - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 5 \_\_\_\_\_
  - ◆ Conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months?  Yes  No  CR  SA
  - ◆ Conduct technical assistance within three months?  Yes  No

17.  **Individual Safety Plan Only:**

Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.

- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
  - Compliance review
  - Technical assistance
  - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Level 5 \_\_\_\_\_
  - Unannounced terminal visit
  - Other (please explain): \_\_\_\_\_

18.  **Compliance Review Data:**

- Safety Rating:  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory  Conditional
- Number of vehicles operated: \_\_\_\_\_
- Number of drivers operated: \_\_\_\_\_
- Total miles for prior year: \_\_\_\_\_
- Recordable accidents for prior year: \_\_\_\_\_
- Accident Ratio: \_\_\_\_\_

19.  **Part B Violations:**

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40		383		387	
390		391		392	
395		396		397	

20.  **Vehicle Inspection Data:**

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Inspections											
Defective Vehicles											
OOS Vehicles											
Location											

Level											
21. <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Inspection Violations:											
	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes											
Steering											
Lights											
Tires, wheels, rims											
Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits											
Coupling Devices											
Frame											
Suspension											
Exhaust											
Other											

22. <input type="checkbox"/> Driver Inspection Violations:			
Medical Card	Medical Waiver	Hours of Service	Drivers License

23. Relevant carrier history, if any: New Authority.

24. Findings: Upon this carrier applying for authority by taking over the territory of CUSA CSS dba Crew Shuttle Service in Washington state December 2012. I had been working with company Pacific NW general Manager Steve Butcher. After discovering this carriers had 63 crew shuttles 8-15 passenger in various location around the state, after discussing the plan with Dave Pratt instructed Mr. Butcher to provide UTC with current annual USDOT qualified inspections for each of the carriers vehicles and after I had inspected the document of each and it passed my scrutiny, then I would make recommendation to UTC for issuance of authority to the carrier. It took the carrier a little over two months to complete the inspection by DOT qualified mechanics, but I now have inspections for each of the vehicles showing an annual inspection as being current and legal under USDOT regulations to operate as an authorized passenger carrier.

Mr. Butcher assured me he is on top of safety training for all his managers and requiring the study of the UTC manual "Your Guide to Achieving a Satisfactory Safety Record". I advised Mr. Butcher this topic would likely have a personal visit to confirm at a later date.

25. Recommended Action:

- No further action.
- Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the CR, vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document.


- Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response:  Yes  No
- Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- Issue a complaint.
- Stop company operations.

26. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity?

- Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.
- Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory compliance review rating in more than one of the last four compliance reviews (or less than four if four are not completed).
- Other (please explain): \_\_\_\_\_

27. Additional Comments: After inspection of the (63) vehicles inspection documents and having the assurance of Mr. Butcher his company is operating under all USDOT safety regulations, and that this carrier has a prior history in eastern states with a satisfactory safety rating, I am recommending this carrier for permanent authority.

A on-site inspection for technical assistance and confirmation the carrier is operating as regulations require will be decided at a later date.

Investigator's signature: Richard Smith 

Initial review by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer's recommendation: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Final review by:  \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 3/4/13

Reviewer's recommendation: Agree WITH RECOMMENDATIONS  
OK to issue authority

Date closed: \_\_\_\_\_ By: \_\_\_\_\_  
cc: \_\_\_\_\_

Company name \_\_\_\_\_ Assignment # \_\_\_\_\_

Staff Assigned \_\_\_\_\_