



TE-121540
R

Completed Activity

Report Motor Carrier Safety

Upload? Yes No

1. Investigator(s): Richard Smith 2. Assignment No.: 112161

3. Current Date: 10-05-2012 4. Date of Activity: 10-05-2012

5. Carrier Name: Eli Enterprises Inc dba City Limo.

6. Permit: N/A 7. If new entrant, date of temporary authority _____

8. MOTCAR No.: _____ 9. Carrier is: Intrastate Only
 Interstate Only
 Both Intra and Interstate

10. Industry Code: 232

11. DOT No.: 2346130 12. MC No.: _____

13. **Destination Check**

Attached is a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan.

- Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger _____ # of 16+ passenger _____
- Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 3 _____ Level 5 _____
- Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:

- What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:

14. **Safety Complaint**

Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint Plan.

- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
 - Compliance review
 - Technical assistance
 - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - Unannounced terminal visit
 - Other (please explain): _____

15. **New Entrant – Charter, Auto Transportation**

- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate: Yes No
- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
- Did staff complete the following:
 - ◆ Inspect all vehicles between three and nine months? Yes No
 - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 3
 - ◆ Conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months? Yes No CR SA

16. **New Entrant– HHG**

- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate: Yes No
- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
- Did staff complete the following:
 - ◆ Inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months? Yes No
 - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - ◆ Conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months? Yes No CR SA
 - ◆ Conduct technical assistance within three months? Yes No

17. **Individual Safety Plan Only:**

Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.

- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
 - Compliance review
 - Technical assistance
 - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - Unannounced terminal visit
 - Other (please explain): _____
 - _____
 - _____

18. **Compliance Review Data:**

- Safety Rating: Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Conditional
- Number of vehicles operated: _____
- Number of drivers operated: _____
- Total miles for prior year: _____
- Recordable accidents for prior year: _____
- Accident Ratio: _____

19. Part B Violations:

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40		383		387	
390		391		392	
395		396		397	

20. Vehicle Inspection Data:

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Inspections								1			
Defective Vehicles								0			
OOS Vehicles								0			
Location								Term.			
Level								5			

21. Vehicle Inspection Violations:

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes											
Steering											
Lights											
Tires, wheels, rims											
Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits											
Coupling Devices											
Frame											
Suspension											
Exhaust											
Other											

22. Driver Inspection Violations:

Medical Card	Medical Waiver	Hours of Service	Drivers License

23. Relevant carrier history, if any: New Entrant vehicle inspections for authority.

24. Findings: One 2006 Lincoln Van capable of holding 9 passengers was fully inspected using CVSA inspection level 5 standards and found to be free of any defects or violations. A CVSA decal was issued to the vehicle. I met with safety manager and company owner Eli Darland and provided extensive education and technical assistance consisting of 3.2 hours. I issued to Mr. Sarver the UTC manual "Your Guide to Achieving a Satisfactory Safety Record". Each page of the manual was reviewed with Mr. Sarver.

25. Recommended Action:

- No further action.
- Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the CR, vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document.
- Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement.
- Recheck – Compliance review (Date: _____)
- Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date: _____)

Describe: _____

- Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No
- Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$
- Issue a complaint.
- Stop company operations.

26. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity?

- Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.
- Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory compliance review rating in more than one of the last four compliance reviews (or less than four if four are not completed).
- Other (please explain): _____

27. Additional Comments: I recommend authority be granted to this carrier. Mr. Darland was a very good student during my ETA and said his primary business concern was safety for his passengers and the general public. Close and file.

Investigator's signature: Richard Smith 

Initial review by:  Date: 10/8/12

Reviewer's recommendation: AGREE WITH RECOMMENDATION

OK to issue authority.

Final review by: _____ Date: _____

Reviewer's recommendation: _____

Date closed: _____ By: _____
cc: _____

Company name _____ Assignment # _____

Staff Assigned _____

