US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Subparts 192.911, 192.921, 192.933, & 192.935

General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
 - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
 - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected: Weyerhaeuser Company Op ID: 22515

Perform Activity	Activity	Activity Description	
(denoted by mark)	Number		
	1A	In-Line Inspection	
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	
	1C	Direct Assessment Technologies	
	1D	Other Assessment Technologies	
	2A	Remedial Actions	
	2B	Remediation – Implementation	
- -	3A	Preventive & Mitigative – additional measures evaluated for HCAs	
•	3B	Preventive & Mitigative – automatic shut-off valves	
	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	
	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection	
		System	
	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics	
	attachment	Anomaly Evaluation Report	
	attachment	Anomaly Repair Report	

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: Weyernaeuser - Ostrander Pipeline	
Headquarters Address:	
3401 Industrial Way	
Longview WA 98632	
Company Official: Tim Haynes, VP of Manufacturing	
Phone Number: (360) 636-6812	
Fax Number: (253) 924-2240	
Operator ID: 22515	

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Robert Cosentino	Cosentino Consultant "Corp	(530) 604-3868	bob@cosentinoconsulting.co
Ron Kosloski	Pipeline Operations Managers	Cell (360) 430-9414 Pager (360) 439-3236	Ron.kosloski@weyerhaeuser.
·			

OPS/State Representative(s	s): Patti Johnson Date(s) of Inspect	ion: 3/9/10	
Inspector Signature:	Patti Johnson	Date:	3/9/10
information is available, incl	ons: [note: Description of the Pipelind ude the pipe size, wall thickness, grad ons, class locations, and Pipeline Segi	le, seam type, coating type, leng	

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

Key Documents Reviewed:		
Findings:		
Findings		
•		
Summary:		

Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A. In-Line Inspection	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural	,		<u> </u>	
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for	x			Joe Subsits was on site during hydro
performance of ILI were followed.				test and observed. All was ok. IMP
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fo	manual ok and reviewed the 2009			
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control				hydro test report.
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before r				
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being				
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require			f a	
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limit	s, adequate t	ransducer		
coverage), as appropriate.	> C			
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, I). Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as		nas for manage	ina	
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applica running and monitoring the pipeline for ILI tools include the property of the property				
(e.g.: tool speeds, pipe cleanliness, operation of tool se			nts	
calibration requirements), as appropriate.	iisois, ailu i	Li Heid		
canonation requirements), as appropriate.		•		
Other:				[Note: Add location specific
				information, as appropriate.]
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: Joe Subsits was on site
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with	1		1	during hydro test and observed. All
Part 192 Subpart J requirements.	X			was ok. Reviewed 2009 hydro test-
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test pa	rameters and	d results. Ver	ify	report, it includes the procedure. All
test was performed without leakage and in compliance	with Part 19	2 Subpart J		ok
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	ptability and	d validity.		
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ailures, as ap	propriate.		•
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	ment used.	as appropriate).	
Verify that the baseline assessment is conducted in a m			•	
environmental and safety risks (reference §192.919(e)				
Other:		,		
나 가장하는데 이 기가 하게 하지만 모습니다 내용이 가용하고 했다.				
1C. Direct Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Direct Assessment				Used hydro in accordance
Technology" complied with Part 192.923	X			ASME/ANSI B31. 8S, section 5 table
Review documentation of Operator's application of "D				3. Joe Subsits was on site during
Technology", if available. Verify compliance with Par	t 192.923 an	d Operator's		hydro test and observed. All was ok.
procedural requirements, as applicable.				
Verify that appropriate tests and/or inspections are being	g performed	l and appropri	iate	•
data is being collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				
1D. Other Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment	1		1	
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,				·
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to	X			
PHMSA, and that appropriate data was collected.				
Review documentation of notification to PHMSA of Of Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complia requirements. If documentation of notification to PHM of "Other Assessment Technology" is available, verify within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA.	nce with Op ISA of Oper	erator's proce ator's applica	dural	Notified PHMSA of completed test (7716) at the end of 2009. A month prior to the hydro test UTC was notified. Joe Subsits was on site during hydro test and observed. All
within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA.				was ok.

Verify that appropriate tests are being per	formed and appropriate data is being		
collected, as appropriate.			
Other.			
		•	

Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

사용 수 있는 사람들이 되었다. 그 사용 함께 있는 것이 되었다. 		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
2A. Remedial Actions – Process	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the	x			No Anomalies
Operator's procedural requirements.			<u> </u>	Joe Subsits was on site during hydro
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A	test and observed. All was ok.			
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 192			Ly	
compliance with operator 5 contained and 1 are 192	o requiremen	11.5.		
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca				
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line loc				
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excav-	ation, coatir	ig removal).		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the	anomaly de	etermining the		
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre				
class location factor and failure pressure ratio used by (Operator in o	determining re	pair	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
of anomaly.	-		•	soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential: mV
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to and known access to and known access to an expectation of the control of the c	owledge of a	applicable		Off Potential: mV No dig sites for Weyerhaeuser
procedures.				[Note: Add location specific information
Other:				and note whether CP readings were from
				the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
	Ta			
2B. Remediation - Implementation Verify that the operator has adequately implemented	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
its remediation process and procedures to effectively				No Anomalies there fore no
remediate conditions identified through integrity	X			remediation. Joe Subsits was on site
assessments or information analysis.				during hydro test and observed. All
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were c			vith	was ok.
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f §192.933(d).	rames allov	ved in		
g132.333(u).				•
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	n immediate	repair condit	ion	
(§192.933(d)(1)) where operating pressure was reduced				
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that				
pressure was determined in accordance with the require not applicable, the operator should provide an engineer			if	
amount of pressure reduction.	ing basis jus	arrying the		
amount of prossure reasonom				
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with				
§192.713, §192.717, §192.719, §192.933 and the Opera				
appropriate. If welding is performed, verify a qualified	,			
qualified welders are used to perform repairs. If composerify that a method approved by the Operator is used,				
qualified personnel perform the repair.	procedures	iic ioliowed, a	and	
T Programme Prog				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S				Called in Protection and the end of the 4-
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pr	rotection Sy	stem", as		Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):
appropriate.				On Potential:mV
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Off Potential:mV
Other:				No digs
ouici.				[Note: Add location specific information
				and note whether CP readings were from
				the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
	 			empositio, as appropriate.

Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. P&M Measures for Third Party Damage	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
	Satisfactory	Unsalistactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify additional measures evaluated for the HCA	x			
section of the pipeline and facilities.	No the Common Durant			
Verify that P & M measures regarding threats due to thi implemented: [§192.915(c), §192.935(b)(1)(iv)]:	No threats. Therefore no Prevention and Mitigative (192.935). Joe Subsits was on site during hydro test and			
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for marking, loca of known excavation work, as appropriate.	ating, and d	irect supervis	ion	observed. All was ok.
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for monitoring o covered pipeline segments by pipeline personnel, as app		ns conducted	on	
Others				
Other:				
•				
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Automatic Shut-off Valves (Protocol	<u> </u>			Notes:
H.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Considered but did not install automatic
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions			H	shut off valves
implemented by Operator.	x	-		Shut on valves
Document that additional measures evaluated by the ope such as, installing Automatic Shut-off Valves or Remot	e Control V	alves, installi		
computerized monitoring and leak detection systems, re			ith	
pipe of heavier wall thickness, providing additional train				
response procedures, conducting drills with local emerg				
implementing additional inspection and maintenance proventies that the operator has a process to decide if autom			-	
remote control valves represent an efficient means of ad				
potentially affected high consequence areas. [§192.935(unig protec	tion to		
potentially affected high consequence areas. [§172.753(· ·			
No process in Manual. Review with NW FAB and su determine shut off valves needed. IMP manual 9.2.1	ıbject matt	er experts to		
		· ·		
Verify operation of installed remote control valve by revinspection/remote control records for partially opening a appropriate.				
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]

Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

	1			
4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator. Utilize NPMS and Operator maps, as appropriate.	х			Joe Subsits was on site during hydro
Verify that the operator's integrity management program updated system maps or other suitably detailed means d segment locations that are located in high consequence [§192.905(a)]	test and observed. All was ok. IMP program includes aerial maps and detail.			
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground partield personnel to communicate new developments that consequence areas or that may create new high consequence as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]				
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms and class location changes are being identified through program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.				No Anomalies there fore no
Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed as part of this field activity and the actions taken by the operator.				remediation. Joe Subsits on site during test. [Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Olisatistactory	IN/C	
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general adequacy.	x			Weyerhaeuser did cp before and after hydro test. Cp current the same
The operator should review the CP system performance hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessmenthreats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressure.				
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual survey to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if available.				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available):
Review results of random field CP readings performed during this activity to ensure minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. Perform random rectifier checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are operating correctly, if possible.				On Potential:mV Off Potential:mV [Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP	x			Reviewed the 2009 Hydro Test Report and Joe Subsits was at the location of
implementation. Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens requirements are being met, as appropriate.		test		
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in their system, as appropriate.	tegrity and	safe operation	of	

Check ROW for pipel marker posts.	ne markers in line-of-sight and Emerg	ency call-in number on	
Other:			

Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline System and Line	e Pine Information				
Operator (OpID and System Name): Weyerhaeuser Ostra					
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)	ander 1 ipenne				
Pipe Manufacturer and Year: installed in 1990	Seam Type and Orientation: ERW,				
Tipe Manufacturer and Tear. Instance in 1990	longitudinal				
Pipe Nominal OD (inch): 12"	Depth of Cover: varies from 3-12 feet				
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch): 2.50 for all	Coating Type and Condition: fusion bonded				
underground pipe and 3.75 for all above ground pipe					
Grade of Pipe: API 5L X42 ERW	apoxy MAOP: 706				
ILI Reported Information –					
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):	NOTE, DID NOTITE				
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss):					
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an HCA? (Yes / No)				
	nspection Report (MM/DD/YY):				
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/YY):	ispection report (with DD/11).				
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day; 180-day):					
	(O'clock position):				
Anomaly Details: Length (in): Width (in):	Depth (in):				
T. (om Upstream weld (ft):				
Length of joint(s) of pipe in which anomaly is identified (ft					
Anomaly Dig Site Information Sum	<u> </u>				
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):	mary - NONE, DID NOT DIG				
Location Information (describe or attach map):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	om 70 G Reference (II).				
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:				
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext): Orientation					
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is found (ft):	·				
For Mechanical Damage Anomal	NONE DID NOT DIC				
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, plain dent, gouge					
Length (in): Width (in):	Depth (in):				
Near a weld? (Yes / No):	Deput (III).				
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Yes / No):	Are multiple dents present? (Yes / No):				
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence					
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):	of cracks in dent: (1687 140).				
For Corrosion Metal Loss Anomal	v NONE DID NOT DIC				
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):	y NONE, DID NOT DIG				
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):				
	mum % Wall Loss measurement(%):				
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate:	main /v wan Loss measurement /v).				
For "Other Types" of Anomalies	- NONE DID NOT DIC				
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss, crack, seam d					
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):				
Other Information, as appropriate:	wax. Depui (iii).				
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence	of cracks? (Ves / No):				
Die operator perform additional TVDE to evaluate presence	or oracus: (r os / 110).				

Cracks present? (Yes / No):

Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Repair Information
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): NO
Was Operating Pressure Reduced per 192.933(a) requirements? no
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair? (Yes / No): no defects
If grinding used, complete the following for affected area:
Length (in): Width (in): Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG/B31.G is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG/B31.G
calculations reviewed? (Yes / No): NO
If Repair made, complete the following: NO REPAIR REQUIRED
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)
Was defect ground out prior to making repair? (Yes / No):
Operating Pressure at the time of repair:
Length of Repair: Pipe re-coating material used:
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel, wall thickness):
Comments on Repair procedure, as appropriate (e.g., welded sleeve, composite wrap):
General Observations and Comments
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): YES (Include in report if
available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken? (Yes / No): YES
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): NO ANOMALITIES
Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
NO ANOMALITIES
General Observations and Comments (Note: attach photographs, sketches, etc., as appropriate):
T. A
Test completed no anomalies found. There no repairs required.