## **US Department of Transportation** Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

### Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Parts 195.450 and 195.452

#### General Notes:

- This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
  - A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
  - A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and ii. facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory": "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected: **McChord Pipeline** 31049

Op ID:

**Activity Description** Perform Activity Activity (denoted by mark) Number X 1A In-Line Inspection 1B Hydrostatic Pressure Testing Other Assessment Technologies 1C 2A Remedial Actions 2BRemediation – Implementation 3A Installed Leak Detection System Information Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device 3B Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations 4A Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs 4B Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection X 4C System Field inspection for general system characteristics X 4D

### Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: McChord Pipeline

Headquarters Address:

3001 Marshall Avenue

Tacoma, Washington 98421

Company Official:

Al Cabodi, President

Phone Number:

253-383-1651

Fax Number:

253-383-9970

Operator ID:

31049

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
	Primary Contact		
Corey G. Herrick	Chief Engineer	253-680-6653	cgh@usor.com
	-		

OPS/State Representative(s): Al Jones / UTC, Washington	Dates of Inspection: <u>August 5-7, 2009</u>
Inspector Signature: <u>Al Jones</u>	
Pipeline Segment Descriptions: [note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, coating type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, of the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, and the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, and the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, HCA locations, and the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, length, pressure, commodities, the Pipeline Segment Ingrade, seam type, seam	

Pipeline consists of approximately 14.5 miles of 6"x0.188" wall API 5L Gr. B, with tape wrap, Control Center, a pump station with duel pumps (150 Hp centrifugal), one rectifier unit, 3 block valves and one check valve, flow meters located at pump station and at McChord AFB.

Site Location of field activities:

Street intersection located at East 72<sup>nd</sup> and Waller Road; Tacoma, Washington in Pierce County.

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

Approximately 18.5 linear feet of 6-inch pipeline was remover to extract an in-line inspection tool (ILI). The removed section of pipe was previously repaired in 2004 with a clock spring wrap at a dent/gouge located on the bottom of the pipeline where the ILI stopped.

C	11	m	m	ดา	***	
J	u	111	111	aı	. Y	

A new segment of pipe was bent to conform to the 52-inch diameter water main and welded in palce. Cathodic protection was evaluated by a NACE qualified engineer and isolation dielectric was used to mitigate the need for to bonding the pipes.

#### Findings:

Two probable violations and one area of concern were identified for not having a qualified welding procedure for maintenance activities (49CFR 195.402) and company's contractor NDT certificate was expired (49CFR 195.505). The AOC was for clearance between McChord's pipeline and an existing 52-inch water main (49CFR 195.250).

#### **Key Documents Reviewed:**

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
Welding Procedure from Puget Sound Energy			3/1/2009
PM Testing Laboratory Certificate of NDT Training &		·	5/23/2009
Experience			

## Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A. In-Line Inspection (Protocol 3.04 & 3.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural				
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for	X			
performance of ILI were followed.			<u></u>	
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol			rap	
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of	of flow), as	appropriate.		
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru	n word nor	formed to once	180	
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p				
bool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p	ci ioi iiicu, a	s appropriate.		
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require	ments for n	erformance of		
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits				
coverage), as appropriate.	, 1			·
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, D	eformation	). Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a	ppropriate	•		
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical		res		
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information,
,				as appropriate.]
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing (Protocol 3.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with	Satisfactory	Olisatisfactory		Notes.
Part 195 Subpart E requirements.			X	
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test par	ameters and	l results Ver	ifv	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance v			**)	
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acceptability and validity.				
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ap	propriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	nent used, a	is appropriate		
Other:				
,				
1C. Other Assessment Technologies (Protocol 3.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment	Datistactory	Chisatistactory	14/0	Notes.
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,				
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to			X	
OPS, and that appropriate data was collected.				·
Review documentation of notification to OPS of Operat	or's applica	tion of "Other	r	,
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian				
procedural requirements. If documentation of notification				
application of "Other Assessment Technology" is availa		performance o	of	:
assessment within parameters originally submitted to O	PS.			
V. if did a constitution of the constitution o				
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap	propriate di	ata is being		
collected, as appropriate.				
Other.				
Ontol.				
				<u> </u>

## Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

			i	
2A. Remedial Actions – Process (Protocol 4.1)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the		,		1.0.00
Operator's procedural requirements.			X	
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation	of remedia	tion (e.g.		
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			<b>y</b>	
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 195			•	
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	iting and ex	posing the		
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line loc				
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava	ation, coatin	g removal).		
	, ,			•
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the				
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre	ength of the	pipe.		
Varify that Onevetor's personnel have access to applies	alo procedu			
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applicate	bie procedu	163.		
Other:				
outer.				· ·
		<u> </u>		
2B. Remediation - Implementation (Protocol 4.02)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented				
its remediation process and procedures to effectively			X	·
remediate conditions identified through integrity			<b>2</b> %	
assessments or information analysis.				
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were co			/ith	
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f	rames allow	ved in		
§195.452(h).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a	n immediate	e renair condit	ion	
(§195.452(h)(4)(i) where operating pressure was reduce			.1011	
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition that				
pressure was determined in accordance with the formula				
ASME/ANSI B31.4 or, if not applicable, the operator sl			ing	
basis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.	•	J	Ū	
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with	195.422 an	d the Operator	r's	
O&M Manual, as appropriate.				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S				
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Pr	otection Sy	stem", as		
appropriate.				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
	····			soil at dig site (if available):
				On Potential: mV
Other:				Off Potential:mV
				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
		•		

### Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

		•		
3A. Installed Leak Detection System Information (Protocol 6.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify installed leak detection systems on pipelines and facilities that can affect an HCA.			X	
Document leak detection system components installed capabilities, as appropriate.	on system to	enhance		
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed leak connection of installed components to leak detection mappropriate,				
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (Protocol 6.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions implemented by Operator.			X	
Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD) system.	) componen	t(s) installed o	n	
Note that EFRD per §195.450 means a check valve or r follows:  (1) Check valve means a valve that permits fluid to and contains a mechanism to automatically prevent flow (2) Remote control valve or RCV means any valve location remote from where the valve is installed. The I the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) the pipeline control center and the RCV may be by fibe telephone lines, or satellite.	flow freely in the other that is oper CV is usual system. The roptics, mid	in one direction atted from a ally operated belinkage between crowave,	y een	
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed EFRDs and verify connection of installed components to monitoring/operating system, as appropriate.			ot .	
Verify operation of remote control valve by having operator send remote command to partially open or close the valve, as appropriate.			nd	
Comment on the perceived effectiveness of the EFRD is consequences of a release on the HCA that it is designed				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
Other:				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

## Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:			
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.			X				
Utilize NPMS, as appropriate.	<u> </u>						
Verify population derived HCAs in the field are as they							
and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly construct population and/or commercial areas that could be affect							
appropriate.							
Note that population derived HCAs are defined in §195							
	Verify drinking water and ecological HCAs in the field are as they appear on						
	Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly established drinking						
water sources and/or ecological resources areas (within	be						
affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate.							
Note that unusually sensitive areas (USAs) are defined							
Verify commercially navigable waterway HCAs in the							
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document			l in				
nature) that could affect the waterways status as a comr waterway, as appropriate.	nercially na	vigable		[Note: Add location specific information,			
Note that commercially navigable waterway HCAs are	defined in 8	195 450		as appropriate.]			
	dominou m s						
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:			
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.			X				
Document the anomaly dig sites reviewed as part of this	s field activ	ity and actions	S	[Note: Add location specific information,			
taken by the operator.	<del></del>			as appropriate.]			
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	0			Notes:			
Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C				
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic							
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general	X	,					
adequacy.	<u> </u>						
The operator should review the CP system performance hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment	in conjunct	ion with a					
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator							
performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur		ie Cr system					
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual		nsure minimu	m	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to			
code requirements are being met, if available.				soil at dig site (if available):			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				On Potential:mV			
Review results of random field CP readings performed				Off Potential:mV			
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible.							
checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are oper	rating correc	tly, if possible	e.	[Note: Add location specific information,			
		<del></del>		as appropriate.]			
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:			
Through field inspection determine overall condition of							
pipeline and associated facilities for a general	$\mathbf{x}$						
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP	1	1					
implementation.	<u> </u>						
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens requirements are being met, as appropriate.							
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in	of						
their system, as appropriate.	٠,						
Other							
:							

## Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline Sys	stem and Line	Pipe Informatio	n
	Chord Pipeline		
	049		
	966	Seam Type and Ori	entation:
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	6	Seam Orientation:	
	188	Coating Type:	Tar with tape wrapped
Grade of Pipe:	В	MOP:	720 psi
	Reported Inf	ormation	
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):			
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Lo	oss):		
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an			
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY):		nspection Report (MI	M/DD/YY):
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD			
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-	day; 180-day):		
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:		
Anomaly Details: Length (in):	Width (in):	De	pth (in):
Anomaly Log Distance (ft):	Distance fro	m Upstream weld (ft	):
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is	s identified (ft):		
Anomaly I	Dig Site Inforn	nation Summary	
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):		J	
Location Information:			
Mile Post Number:	Distance fro	m A/G Reference (ft	):
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):		:	
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:		Latitude:	
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext):	Orientation:		
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is	s found (ft):		
For Me	chanical Dam	age Anomaly	
Damage Type (e.g., original construction,			
Length (in):	Width (in):		Depth (in):
Near a weld? (Yes / No):			
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent?	(Yes / No):		
Did operator perform additional NDE to ev	aluate presence o	of cracks in dent? (Ye	es / No):
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):		·	
For Cor	rosion Metal	Loss Anomaly	
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):			
Length (in):	Width (in):	Ma	ax. Depth (in):
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in):	Maxim	num % Wall Loss me	easurement(%):
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropria	ate:		
	N/I (T) 99	of Anomalias	<del></del>
For "C	other Types" (	of Anomanes	<u> </u>
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal los	Other Types" of ss, crack, seam de		<u></u>
		efect, SCC):	ax. Depth (in):
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss Length (in): Other Information, as appropriate:	ss, crack, seam de Width (in):	efect, SCC): Ma	
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal los Length (in):	ss, crack, seam de Width (in):	efect, SCC): Ma	

# Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Repair Information
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair? (Yes / No):
If grinding used, complete the following for affected area:
Length (in): Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG calculations
reviewed? (Yes / No):
If Repair made, complete the following:
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)
Length of Repair:
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel):
Pipe re-coating material used following excavation:
General Observations and Comments
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): YES (Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken? (Yes / No): YES
If readings taken, Record: On Potential: mV; Off Potential: mV
Describe method used to Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): ILI tool was trapped at a pipe bend.
Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
A pipe section about 18.5 feet was removed and replace with like material.
General Observations and Comments (Note: attach photographs, sketches, etc., as appropriate):
Pipe section was successfully completed and company procedures were up dated for welding procedure.