



TILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION
Celebrating 100 Years

Completed Activity Report Motor Carrier Safety

Upload? Yes No

1. Investigator(s): Alan Dickson

2. Assignment No.: 108128

3. Current Date: 6-17-08

4. Date of Activity: 6-17-08

5. Carrier Name: Global Services LLC

6. Permit: Docket # 080977

7. MOTCAR No.: FD 5089

8. DOT No.: _____

9. MC No.: _____

10. Destination Check Only:

- Attach a copy of the Destination Check Safety Plan.
- Number of buses inspected: # of 9-15 passenger _____ # of 16+ passenger _____
- Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
- Describe any special emphasis placed on the destination check and the results:

- Date of debriefing meeting: _____
- What might we do differently to increase our success at the next destination check:

- Did staff complete all of the elements of the Destination Check Safety Plan? Yes No
- If not, explain why:

11. Safety Complaint Only:

- Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint Plan.

- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:

Compliance review

Technical assistance

Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____

Unannounced CR

Other (please explain): _____

- Did staff meet the performance measures for the Individual Safety Plan? Yes No

- If not, explain why: _____

12. New Entrant only – *Charter, Auto Transportation*:

- Attach a copy of the New Entrant Carrier Safety Plan.

- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate: Yes No

◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months: Yes No

◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____

◆ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months: Yes No CR SA

- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No

◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months: Yes No

◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____

- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No

◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and nine months: Yes No

◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____

◆ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and nine months: Yes No CR SA

13. New Entrant only – **HHG**:

- Attach a copy of the New Entrant Carrier Safety Plan.
- Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and interstate: Yes No
 - ◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months: Yes No
 - ◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - ◆ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months: Yes No CR SA
- Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
 - ◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months: Yes No
 - ◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
- Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate authority: Yes No
 - ◆ Did staff inspect all vehicles between three and eighteen months: Yes No
 - ◆ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - ◆ Did staff conduct a CR/SA between three and eighteen months: Yes No CR SA
 - ◆ Did staff conduct technical assistance within three months: Yes No

14. Individual Safety Plan Only:

- Attach a copy of the Individual Carrier Safety Plan.
- What activity did staff complete for this safety complaint:
 - Compliance review
 - Technical assistance
 - Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 _____ Level 2 _____ Level 5 _____
 - Unannounced CR
 - Other (please explain): _____
 - _____
 - _____
- Did staff meet the performance measures for the Individual Safety Plan? Yes No
- If not, explain why: _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

15. All Other Assignments:

▪ Type of Activity:

- Compliance review
- Safety audit
- Technical assistance

X Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 1 Level 2 Level 5

- Unannounced CR
- Complaint (other than safety)

X Other (please explain): Vehicle inspection for charter bus application

▪ Describe how the performance measures from the safety plan were or were not met:

16. Compliance Review Data:

- Safety Rating: Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Conditional
- Number of vehicles operated: _____
- Number of drivers operated: _____
- Total miles for prior year: _____
- Recordable accidents for prior year: _____
- Accident Ratio: _____

17. Part B Violations:

Part	Violations	Part	Violations	Part	Violations
382/40		383		387	
390		391		392	
395		396		397	

18. X Vehicle Inspection Data:

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Inspections			1								
Defective Vehicles			0								
OOS Vehicles			0								
Location			Seattle								
Level			1								

19. Vehicle Inspection Violations:

	MC	MB 1-15	MB 16+	SB 1-8	SB 9-15	SB 16+	VAN 1-8	VAN 9-15	TRK	TT	TRA
Brakes											
Steering											
Lights											
Tires, wheels, rims											
Horn											
Windshield and Wipers											
Mirrors											
Emergency Equip, Exits											
Coupling Devices											
Frame											
Suspension											
Exhaust											
Other											

20. Relevant carrier history, if any: _____

21. Findings: Level 1 inspection of the minibus checked free of defects and a CVSA safety sticker was issued. The safety manual was provided and reviewed with the owner/driver.

22. Recommended Action:

- No further action.
- Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the CR, vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document.
- Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement.
- Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No
- Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ _____
- Issue a complaint.
- Stop company operations.

23. Recheck: Yes (Date: _____) No

24. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity?

- Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio.
- Carrier received a conditional rating at the last compliance review.
- Carrier received an unsatisfactory rating at the last compliance review.
- Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection.
- Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory compliance review rating in more than one of the last four compliance reviews (or less than four if four are not completed).
- Other (please explain): _____

25. Additional Comments: I would recommend this company be considered for issuance of a charter bus certificate. Forward to licensing services for processing.

Investigator's signature: Alan Dickson

Initial review by: [Signature]

Date: 6-20-08

Reviewer's recommendation: I agree with Alan's recommendation -

Consideration for issuance of a Charter Bus Certificate.

Final review by: K Hunter

Date: 6-20-08

Reviewer's recommendation: LS notified - done and file

Thank!

Date closed: 6/23/18 By: CAC
cc: Alan Dickson
Licensing

