

- b. Number of traffic lanes in each direction that will exist after the proposed warning system is installed: One
- c. Posted vehicle speed limit for cars and trucks: 50 mph
- d. Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: 10,000
- e. Is the crossing part of a truck route? Yes
- f. Is the crossing part of a school bus route? Yes

4. Sight Distance

- a. Are there any structures, roadway curves, or other visual obstacles that limit sight distance ahead to the crossing within 400 feet of the crossing? If yes, please specify and indicate the maximum distance on either side of the crossing from which an unobstructed view of the crossing is available. NO
- b. What is the available sight distance from the stop bar (or 15 feet from the tracks if no stop bar) on both approaches to the crossing? Sight distance is limited to the extent that the crossing is at an obtuse angle (approximately 130 degrees).

5. Existing warning system

- a. Describe existing warning devices at the crossing: “Rotatable” cantilever-mounted signals
- b. Describe the type of existing crossing circuitry, if any: Outmoded AC/DC

6. Proposed warning system

- a. State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals, gates or other warning devices proposed to be installed: New walk-out cantilever-mounted signals with gates.
- b. Describe the type of circuitry proposed to be installed: SCX-1 (AC/DC)
- c. Why does the public safety require the installation of the proposed automatic signals, gates or other warning devices? A diagnostic team review of the crossing in 2003 resulted in a recommendation to upgrade the warning devices as proposed.

5. Funding: What is the funding source for the proposed installation? Federal Grade Crossing Hazard Elimination Grant – Section 130

6. Diagrams: A diagram showing the crossing and the location of the components of the proposed system is attached.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Olympia, Washington this _____ day of _____, 2005.

Petitioner – Washington State Department
of Transportation

By: _____
Ahmer Nizam, Railroad Liaison

WAIVER OF HEARING BY RESPONDENT

The respondent has investigated the conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the grade crossing described herein and is satisfied that such conditions are substantially as described in the petition. Respondent consents to the modification of the signal system circuitry as described in the petition. Hearing in this proceeding is hereby waived.

Dated at _____, Washington, on the _____ day of _____, 2005.

Respondent – Columbia and Cowlitz
Railroad

By: 