BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application of)
)
OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY)
)
For An Approval of Property Transfer)	Docket No. TO-020169
to the Port of Seattle, Washington)
)

TESORO REFINING AND MARKETING COMPANY=S CONDITIONAL NONOPPOSITION TO OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY=S APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PROPERTY TRANSFER

Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company (ATesoro®), by and through its attorneys, Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C., hereby files its Conditional Nonopposition to Olympic Pipe Line Company=s (AOlympic®) Application for Approval of Property Transfer (ASea-Tac Application®) filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (ACommission® or AWUTC®), on February 12, 2002.

The Commissions approval of the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal, conditioned upon Olympics use of the proceeds for the safety and capital improvements it has identified as necessary in its interim relief filing in WUTC Docket TO-011472, is in the public interest. Accordingly, given Olympics request for interim relief under the emergency standards of this Commission for safety and capital improvements, Tesoro respectfully requests the Commission ensure that the proceeds from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal are properly accounted for and directed toward those safety and capital improvements Olympic identified as necessary in its interim filing until such time as the

TESORO-S CONDITIONAL NONOPPOSITION Docket TO-020169 Page 1 of 8

1

Commission may fully consider Olympic=s general rate request in WUTC Docket No. TO-011472.

In accordance with WAC 480-09-420(3), the name and address of the pleading party is set forth below. Please direct all service and correspondence regarding the above-captioned docket to the following:

Robin O. Brena, Esq.
David W. Wensel, Esq.
Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C.
310 K Street, Suite 601
Anchorage, AK 99501
(907) 258-2000 ph
(907) 258-2001 fax
rbrena@brenalaw.com
dwensel@brenalaw.com

This motion may bring into issue the following rules or statutes: RCW 81.01.010; RCW

81.04.010; RCW 81.12, et. seq.; RCW 81.88.030; WAC 480-09-420; and WAC 480-12 et.

seq

3 Olympic has requested interim relief under the emergency standards of this Commission and

has justified that request based on the need to make further safety and capital improvements.

Olympic has further argued that even greater amounts of interim relief should be granted than were

allowed by the Commission. Given such requests, the Commission should temporarily restrict

Olympic=s use of the proceeds from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal to those identified safety and

capital improvements until such time as the Commission may fully consider its general rate request in

WUTC Docket No. TO-011472.

Tesoro raises this concern because Olympic has indicated that it intends to use the proceeds from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal to repay the Prudential debt and cites to Mr. Foxs testimony in the interim rate proceeding. Such a use of the proceeds is inconsistent with Olympics representations supporting any need for interim relief and would not be in the public interest

5

In the interim proceeding, Mr. Fox testified that Olympic intends to pay off the existing \$14 million in Prudential debt. Fox Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony, at 3 (Olympic would arrange Aa negotiated by out of the Prudential note.@ AOn an estimated basis, the payoff of the Prudential loan would result in a net outflow in 2002 of \$.05 million (increase of \$11 million for Sea-Tac less \$14 million for payoff of the Prudential loan plus \$0.9 million on reduced interest costs on the Prudential loan plus \$1.6 million on avoided fees and charges from Prudential for issues involving NAIC and by calling the note.@.¹

With regard to Mr. Fox=s calculation, it is important to point out that the entire \$1.6 million in Avoided costs@involving the NAIC is the additional amount Olympic may be required to pay unless it provides audited financial records. Stated differently, simply providing audited TESORO-SOCKET TO INTERIOR OF THE PROPERTY AND THE

On cross-examination during the hearing on interim relief, Mr. Fox acknowledged that Prudential is owed \$14 million. Interim Hearing Tr., p. 856, l. 1-3 (AQ. [H]ow much do you owe Prudential? A. I believe -- I believe it=\$ \$14,500,000 subject to checking on it.@ Subsequently, Olympic confirmed the correct balance was \$14 million.). He also acknowledged that Prudential has never sent any notice of default. Interim Hearing Tr., p. 850, l. 13-16 (AQ. Has Prudential sent any letter -- has Prudential sent any letter of default or notice of default to Olympic? A. I don=t believe so.@). He also acknowledged that Olympic=s failure to properly audit its financial books and records was the only potential default of the Prudential debt.² Interim Hearing Tr., p. 853, l.1-4.

COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: I have one question for Mr. Marshall. Would the company be able to have available for the general rate case, in an appropriate time frame, an audit of the books?

MR. MARSHALL: I spoke to Mr. Fox yesterday about this very issue. I said, Well, help me understand what this real issue is. He said it=s actually a fairly minor issue about amounts on books, and he thinks that that can be resolved here in a relatively short time. But he=s gone back to the auditors and said, Look, this has created an issue. So I believe I can represent to you, Commissioner Hemstad, that that will be resolved and we will have audited financial statements.

Interim Hearing Tr., p. 1303. l. 25 to p. 304, l. 13). If Olympic honors its representations to this Commission, Olympic will not incur the additional \$1.6 million due to its continued failure to provide audited books and records to Prudential, and Prudentials debt will never be at risk of being declared in default.

It is important to also consider that Olympic has committed to this Commission that it will have and provide audited financial statements which may be used in WUTC Docket No. TO-011472. To quote the commitment directly:

Thus, according to the best information available, Olympic seems to be suggesting that

during the identical time when it is maintaining it lacks sufficient funds to make needed safety and

capital improvements, it has also filed with this Commission to use the proceeds from the sale of the

Sea-Tac Terminal to pay off \$14 million in third-party debt which is not in default.

8

There is no apparent justification and none has been offered for the premature prepayment

of the Prudential debt. While the costs associated with this debt have been stepped up due to

Olympic=s continued refusal to provide audited financial books and records, there is no financial or

operational reason why Olympic cannot simply provide audited financial books and records to

Prudential and repay the debt in accordance with its current payment schedule. In fact, assuming

Olympic simply provides audited financial books and records, the Prudential debt has a significantly

lower interest rate (at 6.49 percent) than any of Olympic=s large affiliated debts (at 7.0 percent).

9

Tesoro and other shippers have recently had their intrastate rates increased by 24.3 percent

to allow Olympic to recover an additional \$3 million over the next six months. The Commission

allowed this substantial increase based on Olympic=s claim that such funds were necessary to ensure

the safety and capital improvements set forth in its 2002 Capital Budget could be completed. Given

Olympic=s claims to need even additional amounts of interim relief, its proposal to pay off the

Prudential debt is, on its face, imprudent. Accordingly, the Commission should act to ensure the

prudent use of the proceeds from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal until such time as it has had the

opportunity to fully consider Olympics revenue requirement needs.

TESORO-S CONDITIONAL NONOPPOSITION

Specifically, Tesoro requests the Commission condition its finding that the sale is in the

public interest on the following conditions: (1) Olympic shall separately account for the proceeds

from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal; (2) Olympic shall temporarily restrict its use of the proceeds

from the sale of the Sea-Tac Terminal to those safety and capital improvements which it has

identified as necessary in its request for interim relief and which are set forth in its 2002 Capital

Budget; (3) the temporary restriction as to Olympic=s use of the proceeds from the sale of the Sea-

Tac Terminal to remain in place until the Commission has established a just, fair, and reasonable

rate in WUTC Docket No. TO-011472.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2002.

BRENA, BELL & CLARKSON, P.C. Attorneys for Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company

By

Robin O. Brena, ABA #8410089 David A. Wensel, ABA #9306041

TESORO-S CONDITIONAL NONOPPOSITION Docket TO-020169 Page 6 of 8

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 26, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was faxed, emailed, and mailed to the following:

OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY, INC.

Steven C. Marshall, Esq.
William R. Maurer, Esq.
Counsel for Olympic Pipe Line Company
Perkins Coie LLP
One Bellevue Center, Suite 1800
411 - 108th Ave. N.E.
Bellevue, WA 98004-5584

Fax: 425-453-7350

Email: marss@perkinscoie.com

Robert C. Batch, President Olympic Pipe Line Company 2201 Lind Avenue, Suite 270 Renton, WA 98055

Fax: 425-981-2525

No email

Bernadette J. Zabransky Director - Pipeline Tariff & Regulatory Affairs BP Pipelines (North America) Inc. 801 Warrenville Road, Suite 700 Lisle, IL 60532

Fax: 630-493-3707

Email: Zabranbj@bp.com

Courtesy Copies to:

Donald Trotter, Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Commission Staff Attorney Generals Office Utilities and Transportation Division 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. P.O. Box 40128

Olympia, WA 98504-0128

Fax: 360-586-5522

Email: dtrotter@wutc.wa.gov

Edward A. Finklea, Esq. Counsel for Tosco Corporation Energy Advocates LLP 526 N.W. 18th Avenue Portland, OR 97209-2220

Fax: 503-721-9121

Email: efinklea@energyadvocates.com

Elaine Houchen