2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Table 1 - PSE's Expense Per Customer Growth Since the 2004 GRC Test Year

2004 GRC Docket

	2004 GNC DOCKEL					
	Nos. UE-040640 &2009 GRC Docket 2010 GTIF Docket					
		UG-040641	1	lo. UE-090704	- 1	No. UG-101644
Electric						
Approved Test Year Revenue Requirement	\$	1,472,878,464	\$	2,034,528,051		
Less: Approved Test Year Power Costs		994,621,953		1,428,033,627		
Expenses Net of Power Costs	\$	478,256,511	\$	606,494,424		
Test Year Customers		963,672		1,063,953		
Expenses per Customer Net of Power Costs	\$	496	\$	570		
Approx. Annual Average Growth Rate Since 2004 GRC				2.8%		
Gas						
Approved Test Year Revenue Requirement*	\$	296,832,057			\$	440,015,433
Test Year Customers		628,680				748,628
Expenses per Customer	\$	472			\$	588
Approx. Annual Average Growth Rate Since 2004 GRC						3.2%

^{*} The rates approved in PSE's gas GRC's do not relate to gas supply costs. These are handled through PSE's Pewer Purchased Gas Adjustment Mechanism.

Q. How can a company's revenue-per-customer keep pace between the test year and rate year?

A. Since rates do not change between a "modified" test year and the corresponding rate year, the only way for revenue-per-customer to increase between the test year and rate year is for use-per-customer (i.e., the second part of the Commission's found margin) to increase between these two time periods or to add new customers at a cost less than what is embedded in rates. Ultimately, for the Commission's historic ratemaking theory to hold and for a utility's total revenues and expenses to remain matched in the rate year, its revenue-per-customer must grow at the same rate as its expense-per-customer between the test year and rate year.

Q. Has PSE's use-per-customer kept pace with its expense-per-customer unrelated to energy supply?

Prefiled Direct Testimony
(Nonconfidential) of Tom De Boer

REVISED **JULY 27, 2011**

Exhibit No. ___(TAD-1T) Page 16 of 26