```
01197
            BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
2.
                 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
   THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES
   AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
 4
                   Complainant,
5
                                   DOCKET NO. UE-991606
             vs.
6
                                   VOLUME X
   AVISTA CORPORATION,
7
                                   Pages 1197 - 1244
              Respondent. )
   _____
   THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES
   AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
10
                   Complainant,
11
                                   DOCKET NO. UG-991607
             vs.
12 AVISTA CORPORATION,
                                   VOLUME 10
                                ) Pages 1197 - 1244
                  Respondent. )
13
    _____
14
15
             A prehearing conference in the above matter
16 was held on July 10, 2000, at 11:00 a.m., at 1300 South
17
   Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington,
18
  before Administrative Law Judges MARJORIE R. SCHAER and
19 KAREN CAILLE.
20
              The parties were present as follows:
21
             THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
   COMMISSION, by GREGORY J. TRAUTMAN and MARY M. TENNYSON,
   Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park
   Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia,
23
   Washington, 98504.
2.4
   Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25 Court Reporter
```

```
01198
 1
              AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID J. MEYER, General
    Counsel, E. 1411 Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington,
   99220.
 3
              NORTHWEST ENERGY COALITION, via bridge line,
   by DANIELLE DIXON, Policy Associate, 219 First Avenue
   South, Suite 100, Seattle, Washington, 98104.
              THE PUBLIC, by SIMON J. FFITCH, Assistant
   Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000,
   Seattle, Washington, 98164-1012.
              NORTHWEST INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS, via bridge
    line, by EDWARD A. FINKLEA, Attorney at Law, Energy
   Advocates, LLP, 526 Northwest 18th Avenue, Portland,
    Oregon, 97209.
 9
              INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES,
   via bridge line, by S. BRADLEY VAN CLEVE, Attorney at
    Law, Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer and Pembroke, 1300
11
    Southwest Fifth Avenue, Suite 2915, Portland, Oregon,
    97201.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

011	99			
2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
4				
5	EXHIBIT:		RKED:	ADMITTED:
6	0.4	THOMAS D. DUKICH	1000	
7	94		1223	
8 9	1 - 0	WILLIAM E. AVERA	1004	
9 10	150	MELLY O MODWOOD	1224	
10 11	221	KELLY O. NORWOOD	1224	
12	223		1218	
13	224		1218	
$\frac{13}{14}$	22 <del>1</del>	DON M. FALKNER	1210	
15	289-A	DON M. PALKNER	1234	1235
16	200 11	ROBERT D. ANDERSON	1231	1233
17	T-349			1236
18	350			1236
19	351			1236
20	352			1236
21	353			1236
22	354		1233	1234
23	355		1234	1234
24		KATHERINE E. MITCH		
25	412		1215	

0120	00		
1	413	1215	
2	414	1215	
3	415	1215	
4		WILLIAM G. JOHNSON	
5	432	1217	
6	433	1217	
7		RONALD L. MCKENZIE	
8	T - 447	1	1237
9	448		1237
10	449	1	1237
11		TARA L. KNOX	
12	T-473		1238
13	474		1238
14	475	1	1238
15		ALAN P. BUCKLEY	
16	564	1206	
17		NANCY ELLEN HIRSH	
18	T-649		1226
19	650		1226
20	651		1226
21	652	1	1226
22	653	1	1226
23		DOUGLAS E. KILPATRICK	
24	T-658	1	1227
25	659	1	1227

012	01	JOELLE STEWARD	
2 3	T-663 664	1227	1227 1227
4 5 6 7 8	T-668 669 670	JAMES RUSSELL	1228 1228 1228
9 10	T-675	KILPATRICK, LAZAR, SCHOENBECK RUSSELL, LAZAR, SCHOENBECK	1229
11 12	T-680 681	ROBBILL, LILLIN, BOHOLINDLON	1229 1229
19 20 21 22 23	T-686 687 688 689 690 T-691 692 693 694 695 696	JIM LAZAR	1229 1229 1229 1229 1229 1229 1229 1229

012	02			
1	698		1230	1230
2	699		1230	1230
2 3 4 5		ROBERT L. DAMRON		
4	T-703			1231
5	704			1231
6	705			1231
7	706			1231
8	707			1231
9	708			1231
10	709			1231
11	710			1231
12	711			1231
13	712			1231
14	713			1231
15		DONALD W. SCHOENBE	CK	
16	T-718			1231
17	719			1231
18	720			1231
19	T-721			1231
20	722			1231
21	723			1231
22	724			1231
23		ROGER D. COLTON		
24	T-726			1233
25	727			1233

0120	3	2	0
1 2 3 4	7	2	9
3		3	
5		ა 3	
6		3	
		3	
9			33
10 11			
12			
13 14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23 24			
21			

```
01204
 1
                    PROCEEDINGS
 2
              JUDGE SCHAER: We're here this morning for a
   prehearing conference in two consolidated dockets, WUTC
   versus Avista Utilities in Docket Number UE-991606, a
   filing by the electric arm of Avista, and WUTC versus
 5
   Avista Utilities in Docket Number UG-991607, a general
 7
   rate filing by the gas arm of Avista.
              We are in the Commission's hearing room 206
   in the Commission headquarters building in Olympia,
9
10
   Washington. I'm Marjorie Schaer. To my left is Karen
   Caille. We are the administrative law judges assigned
11
12
   by the Commission to this proceeding. I would like to
13
   start this morning by taking appearances from all the
   parties starting with the company, please, Mr. Meyer.
14
                         Thank you, Your Honor, appearing
15
              MR. MEYER:
16
   on behalf of Avista is David Meyer.
17
              JUDGE SCHAER: And then for staff.
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Greg Trautman and Mary
18
19
   Tennyson.
20
              JUDGE SCHAER: Public counsel.
21
              MR. FFITCH: Simon ffitch for public counsel.
22
              JUDGE SCHAER: And then do we have
23
   appearances over the conference bridge?
```

MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, Your Honor, Brad Van

24

25 Cleve for ICNU.

01205 1 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. 2 MS. DIXON: Danielle Dixon for Northwest 3 Energy Coalition. 4 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. 5 MR. FINKLEA: Ed Finklea for Northwest 6 Industrial Gas Users. 7 JUDGE SCHAER: All right. This is a prehearing conference that was continued from a prehearing conference held on July 6th, 2000. The 9 10 purposes of this conference are, one, to identify or to 11 number for identification any additional 12 cross-examination exhibits provided by the parties; two, 13 to consider admission of pre-filed testimony and 14 exhibits and cross exhibits of witnesses who will not be called in this proceeding; and three, to address any 15 16 other preliminary matters that should be decided before 17 cross-examination begins at 1:30 today. 18 Any objections to pre-filed materials or 19 dispositive motions were required to be filed and served 20 no later than 1:00 p.m. on July 5th, 2000. No such 21 objections or motions were filed. 22 So let's start out with number one. 23 going to number for identification any additional 24 cross-examination exhibits provided by the parties. 25 We're going to start with you, please, Mr. Meyer.

25

MR. MEYER: Thank you. I have one additional cross-examination exhibit, and I pre-distributed that. It is the company's response to public counsel request number 164. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: All right, and you have indicated that that is going to be a cross exhibit that 7 you wish to sponsor through Mr. Buckley; is that right? 8 MR. MEYER: That is correct. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, I have numbered that 9 10 Exhibit 564 for identification. 11 Are there any other cross exhibits that were 12 not distributed last week that are now available for 13 distribution? 14 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, for public counsel, I have a number of items. First of all, I now have 15 copies of a response to public counsel data request 182, 16 17 response to public counsel data request 212, and I now 18 also have copies of the Centralia, excuse me, the public 19 counsel motion to reopen in Centralia and the 20 Commission's fourth supplemental order in the Centralia 21 docket ruling on that motion. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: And those items were all given numbers for identification last week; is that correct? 23 24 MR. FFITCH: That's my understanding.

JUDGE SCHAER: Do you have the exhibit

01207 numbers on them? MR. FFITCH: Not at this time. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, well, then let's take a moment for you to look up what those exhibit numbers 5 would be so that we can get them marked and distributed. MR. FFITCH: I also have a couple of other 7 things. Should I go through my other items as well? JUDGE SCHAER: What we're looking for at this 9 point are cross exhibits for the witnesses who are going 10 to be testifying. In a moment we will be taking 11 exhibits and testimony of witnesses who are not going to 12 be testifying. And then we will be taking up any other 13 matters that there may be. So with that information, 14 let me know if you have more to go through now. 15 MR. FFITCH: I have a correction to an 16 exhibit, a couple of exhibits, that are identified for 17 Mr. Buckley, and that is the Exhibit 560 has attached 18 pages which actually belong as attachments to Exhibit 19 558. 20 JUDGE SCHAER: So do you have corrected 21 copies of both of those exhibits, or are you wanting us 22 to make those corrections here? 23 MR. FFITCH: I was hoping that the parties 24 could simply move the pages from one exhibit to the

other. I don't have a corrected exhibit in that case.

01208 JUDGE SCHAER: So you want us to move the pages attached to Exhibit 560 for identification. MR. FFITCH: Correct. 4 JUDGE SCHAER: To 558 for identification; is 5 that correct? 6 MR. FFITCH: Correct. 7 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. And were there other 8 corrections? 9 MR. FFITCH: The other items I have I believe 10 relate to exhibits that are coming in through -- let me 11 check and see if we have this. Yes, okay, I have 12 corrections for Johnson also. I wasn't sure if Johnson 13 was being examined by staff. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Right now it appears that 15 Johnson is being examined tomorrow. 16 MR. FFITCH: Okay, so do you want to hear 17 about Johnson now? 18 MR. MEYER: He's not here. Actually, let's 19 do it, because that would -- if there is a need for him 20 to be versed in what the change was, it will give him 21 this evening to look at it and avoid time delays. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: That appears appropriate to me 23 also. Why don't we go ahead with that, Mr. ffitch. 24 MR. FFITCH: Very well. With regard to

25 Mr. Johnson's exhibits, we have provided an Exhibit 430,

excuse me, well, an exhibit has been marked as 430. It has been identified as Exhibit 304 from the Centralia docket. It should be correctly identified as containing both Exhibits 304 and Exhibit 322 from the Centralia 5 docket. In addition, we have provided a marked up copy. Presently what parties and the Bench has is a marked up 7 copy of that exhibit with Mr. Lazar's handwritten comments. We are in the process of producing clean copies of those exhibits to substitute, and I will have 9 10 those available tomorrow. 11 In addition, Exhibit 431 is an excerpt from 12 the transcript of the Centralia docket of the examination of Mr. Johnson by public counsel. I have a 13 14 substitute exhibit. There are two changes. One change is to add page 417, which is the page of the transcript 15 16 where Exhibit 322 is offered to the Centralia record. 17 And I have also included cover pages with docket numbers 18 on them just so that it's easier to tell what transcript

20 have 20 copies of that substitute Exhibit 431.
21 JUDGE SCHAER: Why don't you go ahead and
22 distribute those at this time.

you're looking at when you look at this exhibit.

MR. FFITCH: And at this point then, Your Honor, I will ascertain the exhibit numbers on the other four exhibits that I mentioned earlier. The Centralia

order you had indicated did not need to have an exhibit number. That's fine with us. I will note that a couple of the other orders that have been identified for use at the hearing have been given exhibit numbers, and I have 5 no preference. But for the supplemental order right now I hadn't intended to -- we don't have an exhibit number 7 identified for it. JUDGE SCHAER: And you indicate you have no 9 preference? 10 MR. FFITCH: I have no preference. 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. While Mr. ffitch is looking for those numbers, Mr. Meyer, you had 12 13 distributed a document. Have I already marked Exhibit 14 564 for identification on the record? 15 MR. MEYER: You have. 16 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. 17 MR. MEYER: The other documents that I had 18 distributed were not in the nature of cross examination exhibits. They were data responses that I believe by 19 20 stipulation of counsel can be marked and entered. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, we will do that in just 22 a moment. If my memory from Friday is worth anything, 23 Mr. ffitch, those might -- no, those were found, never 24 mind. Those weren't what I was thinking. 25 MS. TENNYSON: Your Honor, while we're

```
01211
   waiting, if I might, I noticed there is a space left for
   an exhibit for Mr. Eliassen.
               JUDGE SCHAER: And what exhibit number?
              MS. TENNYSON: Exhibit Number 522.
 4
 5
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
 6
              MS. TENNYSON: The one I was contemplating to
 7
   offer in looking at the exhibit list is already being
   offered as Exhibit 149.
9
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, although I believe we
10
   discussed that -- actually, who is 149?
              MS. TENNYSON: 149 is an exhibit, it's the
11
12
   response to public counsel data request number 145.
13
              JUDGE SCHAER: Which witness will be
14
   sponsoring it?
              MS. TENNYSON: Dr. Avera.
15
16
               JUDGE SCHAER: So that will be in before
17
   Mr. Eliassen?
               MS. TENNYSON: That's correct.
18
               JUDGE SCHAER: So we will change our notation
19
20
   on 522 to be this is in as -- give me the exhibit number
21
   for Avera again, please.
22
              MS. TENNYSON: 149.
              JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you.
23
24
              MS. TENNYSON: I would also like to refer to
```

the Commission's order in case number 83-26, U-83-26 in

25

the cross-examination of Mr. Eliassen. I don't have a preference about making that an exhibit or not. pass copies out for reference. The copy I was making this morning unfortunately came out on pink paper, so 5 I'm having it redone so it doesn't look like it's a confidential exhibit, as it is not. So I can have I don't feel I need to make 7 reference copies available. it an exhibit in the case. 9 MR. MEYER: I would like a copy of that. 10 MS. TENNYSON: Certainly, yes, I was going to 11 pass out copies. 12 JUDGE SCHAER: If someone has a preference at 13 some point and expresses it, I will probably go along 14 with it. But since you have no preference, let's not put that in as an exhibit at this time. But I would 15 16 like you to have copies to distribute. 17 MS. TENNYSON: I will have those. 18 JUDGE SCHAER: At the time you do your 19 questioning. 20 MS. TENNYSON: I will have those copies this 21 afternoon. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. 23 MR. FFITCH: Your honor. 24 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes.

MR. FFITCH: I have identified those exhibit

```
01213
 1 numbers now.
               JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, Mr. ffitch.
 3
              MR. FFITCH: The response to public counsel
 4
   data request 182 has been marked for identification as
 5
   Exhibit 94.
 6
              JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
 7
              MR. FFITCH: And to be honest, Your Honor, I
   find that I -- we seem to -- I already -- the parties
9
   may already have that exhibit. I'm not quite sure.
10
               JUDGE SCHAER: My notes now that you got me
11
   to the right spot do indicate to be provided by public
12
   counsel.
13
              MR. FFITCH:
                           Somehow I think what happened
   was the reason it's in my book is presumably I put it in
14
   there, or one of my staff put it in there this weekend,
15
16
   but I wasn't sure. Okay, good, so you do need that, all
17
   right.
18
              JUDGE SCHAER: That's data request 182; is
19
   that correct?
20
              MR. FFITCH: That is correct.
21
              JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, why don't you go ahead
22
   and pass that out.
23
              MR. FFITCH: And then --
24
               JUDGE SCHAER: And then the next one, give me
```

the exhibit number first and then the identifier, if you

would, because then I can be up to where you are and match the identifier with the number hopefully. MR. FFITCH: Now I have already provided that to the Bench, but I didn't put a number on it. Or have 5 I? No, here it is, okay. Your Honor, I just realized these aren't punched, so. JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, why don't you take them back then and get them punched, and maybe we can meet a 9 few minutes before 1:30 to get stuff passed out. 10 MR. FFITCH: I Apologize. 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Do the other exhibits need to 12 be punched as well? 13 MR. FFITCH: Yes. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. MR. FFITCH: I may be able to get that done 15 16 Perhaps I can ask the record center to do it. quickly. 17 JUDGE SCHAER: Actually, the old one that was 18 in the mail room is on this floor behind the copy 19 center, so you probably can get someone to do that 20 quickly for you. It looks like Mr. Parvinen might be 21 feeling charitable. 22 MR. FFITCH: Thank you. 23 Is there anything else JUDGE SCHAER: regarding exhibits for witnesses who are going to 24 25 testify?

```
01215
 1
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
               JUDGE SCHAER: Go ahead, Mr. Trautman.
               MR. TRAUTMAN: We have, for staff, we have
 4
   four additional witnesses, not four additional
 5
   witnesses, four additional exhibits.
 6
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, that I will allow.
 7
               MR. TRAUTMAN: For Ms. Mitchell that I have
   pre-distributed. Three of them are responses to data
9
   requests, and one of them is a form 10-K for 1997.
10
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. These have not
11
   previously been numbered; is that correct?
12
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Correct.
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: So it looks to me as if --
14
              MS. TENNYSON: Mitchell ends with 411.
              JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, I'm just looking for my
15
   copy of the items, which is why I'm trying to take this
16
17
   in pieces so I don't get too lost. So it appears to me
18
   that we would be marking them for identification as
19
   Exhibit 412, the Avista response to UTC data request
20
   number 19; as Exhibit 413, the Avista response to UTC
21
   data request number 310; as Exhibit 414, the Avista
   response to WUTC data request number 347; and as Exhibit
22
23
   415, what is identified as the Washington Power Company
24
   form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31st, 1997. Do
   you have all of those documents, Mr. Meyer?
```

01216 1 MR. MEYER: Yes, I do. 2 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Is there anything else for witnesses who we believe are going to be testifying? 4 MR. TRAUTMAN: I have also distributed a copy 5 of an order of the Commission from 1987 involving Northwest Natural Gas Company. I have not marked that 7 as an exhibit but have pre-distributed it for reference. JUDGE SCHAER: Do you know which witness you 9 may be discussing this with? 10 MR. TRAUTMAN: Likely Ms. Mitchell. 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Is there anything else 12 for witnesses who we believe are going to be testifying? 13 MR. VAN CLEVE: Your Honor, this is Brad Van 14 Cleve. 15 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, Mr. Van Cleve. 16 MR. VAN CLEVE: We received data responses 17 from the company late last week, and I was just able to 18 this morning, so I haven't been able to --19 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Van Cleve, you're going to 20 have to speak up. 21 MR. VAN CLEVE: Okay. We have four exhibits, 22 two for Mr. Johnson and two for Mr. --23 JUDGE SCHAER: I heard Johnson. I couldn't 24 hear the second name. 25 MR. VAN CLEVE: Norwood.

```
01217
 1
               JUDGE SCHAER: Norwood, thank you.
 2
               MR. VAN CLEVE: And for Mr. Johnson, the
   first exhibit is the company's response to ICNU data
 4
   request 71.
 5
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
 6
               MR. VAN CLEVE: And the second exhibit is the
 7
    company's response to ICNU data request 72.
8
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
9
               MR. VAN CLEVE: And for Mr. Norwood --
10
               JUDGE SCHAER: Just a moment, let's mark
11
   those for identification.
12
              Mr. Meyer, do you have copies of those
13
    available to you, or do you need to make some
14
    arrangement for Mr. Van Cleve to get those to you today?
15
               MR. MEYER: I believe we should have copies
16
   of those two, yes.
               MS. TENNYSON: Who is the witness?
17
18
               JUDGE SCHAER: The witness is Mr. Johnson.
19
               MR. VAN CLEVE: That's correct.
20
               JUDGE SCHAER: So I'm going to mark for
21
   identification as Exhibit 432 the Avista response to
   ICNU data request number 71. I'm going to mark for
22
23
    identification as Exhibit 433 the Avista response to
24
    ICNU data request number 72.
25
               When will you be providing copies of those,
```

```
01218
   Mr. Van Cleve?
               MR. VAN CLEVE: If it's okay, I would like to
 3
   provide them in the morning.
 4
               JUDGE SCHAER: Tomorrow morning?
 5
               MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes.
 6
               JUDGE SCHAER: Is there anyone here who would
 7
   be prejudiced by not receiving them before tomorrow
8
   morning?
9
               That appears to be fine.
                                         Let's go ahead then
10
   with your exhibits for Mr. Norwood.
11
              MR. VAN CLEVE: The first exhibit is the
12
   company's response to ICNU data request 73.
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
14
               MR. VAN CLEVE: And the second exhibit is the
15
   company's response to ICNU data request 80.
16
               JUDGE SCHAER: All right. Now let me take
17
    just a moment to find out the last number for
18
   Mr. Norwood, which appears to be Exhibit Number 222 for
19
    identification. So we will mark for identification as
20
   Exhibit 223 the Avista response to ICNU data request
21
   number 73. And we will mark for identification as
22
   Exhibit 224 the Avista response to ICNU data request
   number 80.
23
24
               Mr. Meyer, do you have copies of those
25
   available to you?
```

01219 1 MR. MEYER: I do. 2 JUDGE SCHAER: And would you be bringing 3 those tomorrow morning as well, Mr. Van Cleve? 4 MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, I will, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: Does anyone else feel 6 prejudiced by not having those before tomorrow morning? 7 All right, those copies are marked for 8 identification, and we will get printed copies tomorrow 9 morning by Mr. Van Cleve. 10 MR. VAN CLEVE: If I could raise one other 11 thing, Your Honor. In response to data request number 12 70, the company refers to its response to public -- that 13 was ICNU data request 70 -- to public counsel request 14 192, which is Mr. Avera's rebuttal work papers. And the 15 copy that we got of public counsel 192 does not have the 16 work papers attached. So I would like for, if possible, for the company to provide a copy of those work papers 17 18 sometime before Mr. Avera testifies. And I would also 19 like to reserve the right to offer some portion of that 20 as an exhibit. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Meyer, do you have any 22 objection to that manner of proceeding? 23 MR. MEYER: Well, I may or may not object to 24 partial offers. 25 JUDGE SCHAER: Certainly.

25

MR. MEYER: But in terms of marking, no, I I'm not sure it's -- those work papers are voluminous. I'm not sure that today we have them, but I'm quite sure that by the time Dr. Avera arrives here 5 on Wednesday and Thursday we will have those. So I don't see a problem is the short answer. 7 MS. TENNYSON: Staff does have a copy of 8 those as well. 9 JUDGE SCHAER: I am concerned, Mr. Meyer, if 10 you refer to something in response to a data request that the party did not have. If you want to limit the 11 12 number, you know, if you want to limit the work papers 13 that are given to the ones that you believe are responsive to the data request, that may be appropriate. 14 15 But I think you should try, if possible, to provide 16 those no later than tomorrow so that there is some 17 preparation time. 18 MR. MEYER: If we need to Fedex those out, we 19 would need to do that today. 20 JUDGE SCHAER: It appears to me that Mr. Van 21 Cleve will be in the hearing room tomorrow and that commission staff has a copy of the work papers that you 22 23 would be allowed to work from. 24

MR. MEYER: Okay.

JUDGE SCHAER: And then I think it is your

25

determination whether you want to provide all of them or whether there is something more focused that would be responsive to the data request. MR. MEYER: Sure. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: I have no idea what the 6 request was, but I do think it deserves a response 7 that's complete. 8 Will that meet your needs, Mr. Van Cleve? 9 MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, it would, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE SCHAER: Anything else? MR. TRAUTMAN: Your honor. 11 12 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes. 13 MR. TRAUTMAN: We have one additional 14 I believe this has been distributed by Mr. Meyer, and I thought it was for Mr. Anderson, but I 15 16 believe it's for Mr. Falkner. It was the Avista 17 response to staff data request number 350. 18 MR. MEYER: Actually, that was in the packet 19 that I pre-distributed 348, 49, and 50, so we haven't 20 identified those, and those were part of the category of 21 exhibits to be introduced by stipulation. 22 MR. TRAUTMAN: Oh, okay. The only reason I 23 raise this is because this would be through a witness 24 who is expected to testify.

JUDGE SCHAER: Do you have any problem

```
01222
   putting this in by stipulation today, Mr. Trautman?
              MR. TRAUTMAN:
                             No.
 3
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, then let's proceed in
 4
   that manner. I'm going to take those items up next.
 5
              First, Mr. Finklea, are you there?
 6
               MR. FINKLEA: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
              JUDGE SCHAER: We got an errata from you this
   morning that has confused me, although it hasn't
9
   confused some of the others in the room.
10
              MR. FINKLEA: It is an errata that simply
11
   corrects a typographical error on the cover sheet of
12
   Mr. Schoenbeck's testimony, which has been marked for
13
   identification as Exhibit T-721.
14
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
15
                             There's no changes to the
              MR. FINKLEA:
16
   testimony. It's just an error on the cover sheet, an
17
   errata cover sheet is the only error.
18
              JUDGE SCHAER: All right. With that
19
   explanation, thank you. And did you send these to all
20
   of the parties?
21
              MR. FINKLEA:
                            Yes.
22
               JUDGE SCHAER: In the mail?
23
              MR. FINKLEA: Yes.
24
              JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. We will let the parties
```

know that the revised cover sheet is in the building.

23

24

25

identification.

If anyone wants to look at it before we put Mr. Schoenbeck's testimony in, you're welcome to come look at my copy and examine it in as much detail as you 4 wish. 5 Do you have anything else, Mr. Meyer, I mean 6 Mr. Finklea? MR. FINKLEA: Simply to move the admission of those marked for identification as Exhibit T-718, the 9 direct testimony of Mr. Schoenbeck. 10 JUDGE SCHAER: We're going to take that up in 11 just a moment. 12 I believe Mr. ffitch now has copies of the 13 exhibits that he wishes to distribute, and I would like 14 to make sure I have my copies identified correctly, and 15 then we will proceed to dealing with the witnesses who 16 are not present. 17 MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor. I have 18 passed out -- what would you like first, the exhibit 19 number? 20 JUDGE SCHAER: I think that makes it easier 21 for the people that are updating their exhibit lists. 22 MR. FFITCH: Okay, Exhibit 94 is Avista's

JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, that is marked for

response to public counsel data request 182.

```
01224
               MR. FFITCH: Exhibit 150 is public counsel
   data response, or excuse me, Avista's response to public
   counsel data request 212.
 4
               JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, that is marked for
 5
   identification.
 6
              MR. FFITCH: And Exhibit 221 --
 7
               JUDGE SCHAER: Just a moment.
8
               MR. FFITCH: You don't have it yet.
9
               JUDGE SCHAER: Oh, okay.
10
              MR. FFITCH: I'm about to pass out Exhibit
11
   221, which is the public counsel motion to reopen
12
   Centralia.
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: All right, that document will
14
   be marked for identification as Exhibit 221.
15
               MR. FFITCH: Then in addition, I have the
16
   copy of the Commission's fourth supplemental order in
17
   the Centralia decision.
18
               JUDGE SCHAER: And you wish to distribute
19
   that as something that people can refer to --
20
              MR. FFITCH: Yes.
21
               JUDGE SCHAER: -- in the same manner as staff
22
   presented another order; is that correct?
23
               MR. FFITCH: Right, and it does accompany
24
   Exhibit 221.
```

JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, then that's where

I'll put it in my book. Go ahead and distribute those last two documents, please. Okay, does that deal with all of the exhibits that are going to be associated with cross-examination 5 of witnesses who will testify in this proceeding? I would like to move next then to the 7 witnesses whose testimony has been stipulated by the parties, and I would like to proceed if the parties are 9 agreeable starting with Ms. Hirsh even though the 10 Commission will have possibly some questions for her. I 11 think it would speed things along if we had her 12 documents identified and entered if none of you at this 13 time have any concerns or questions about them. 14 MR. MEYER: Acceptable. 15 Okay. So looking at Exhibit JUDGE SCHAER: 16 T-649 and Exhibits 650, 651, and 652, and cross exhibit 17 653, do the parties wish to stipulate to the admission 18 of these documents? 19 MR. MEYER: Yes. 20 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, which witnesses are 21 those associated with? 22 JUDGE SCHAER: Nancy Ellen Hirsh. 23 MS. DIXON: Your Honor, is this new? 24 JUDGE SCHAER: My understanding is that those 25 are going in by stipulation of counsel.

```
01226
 1
               MS. DIXON: Oh, okay.
 2
               MS. TENNYSON: Staff has no objection.
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
 3
 4
               MR. FFITCH: Public counsel has no objection.
 5
               JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted.
 6
               Looking next at the testimony of Douglas E.
 7
   Kilpatrick.
               MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, may I interject
9
   briefly on Hirsh? I apologize, I didn't follow the
10
   numbers that were read. There are cross examination --
11
   did they include the cross-examination exhibits for
12
   Ms. Hirsh?
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: I have one exhibit shown on my
14
   list. Were there more than one, Mr. ffitch?
15
               MR. FFITCH: We had Exhibit 653.
16
               JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, I did include that in the
17
   description I gave.
18
               MR. FFITCH: Thank you.
19
               JUDGE SCHAER: And that document has been
20
   admitted.
21
               Okay, next the testimony and exhibit of Doug
22
   Kilpatrick. Do the parties wish to stipulate to the
   entry of these documents? That would be Exhibit T-658
23
24
   and Exhibit 659 for identification.
              MR. MEYER: Yes.
25
```

01227 1 JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted. 2 Looking next at the testimony of Joelle Steward which has been marked for identification as Exhibit T-663, it's my understanding that the parties 5 wish to admit this by stipulation; is that correct? 6 MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes. 7 MR. MEYER: Yes, and there we have an agreed upon response to a data request that would go in as an 9 agreed upon stipulated exhibit. 10 JUDGE SCHAER: Is this one of the documents you passed out this morning, Mr. Meyer? 11 12 MR. TRAUTMAN: I just passed it out, 177, 13 response to data request 177. I just gave it to 14 Ms. Caille. 15 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, so let's get that 16 identified at this point. It's Exhibit 664. We have 17 the commission staff response to Avista Corporation 18 request number 177. Is there any objection to entry of 19 Exhibit 663 or 664? 20 MR. MEYER: None. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted. 22 Looking next at Exhibit T-668, testimony of 23 James Russell, and at Exhibit 669 and 670 for 24 identification. It's my understanding that the parties

wish to offer these by stipulation of counsel; is that

```
01228
 1 correct?
              MS. TENNYSON: That is correct.
 3
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, those documents are
 4
   admitted.
 5
              Looking next at Exhibit T-675, which is the
   joint testimony of witnesses Kilpatrick, Lazar, and
   Schoenbeck, joint electric testimony regarding rates for
   rate design. It's my understanding that the parties
9
   wish to offer those exhibits by stipulation; is that
10
   correct?
11
               MS. TENNYSON: That is correct, Your Honor, I
   notice on the exhibit list it is this and the joint gas
12
13
   testimony is indicated it's filed on behalf of WUTC
14
   staff. It's actually filed, staff filed it, but it is
15
   filed on behalf of public counsel and Mr. Schoenbeck as
16
   well.
17
               JUDGE SCHAER: So I believe the electric
   would be filed on behalf of ICNU and probably the gas on
18
19
   behalf of --
20
              MS. TENNYSON: Northwest Industrial Gas
21
   Users.
22
               JUDGE SCHAER: NWIGU?
23
              MS. TENNYSON: Yes.
24
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. So looking also then at
25 Exhibit T-680 and Exhibit 681, which are the joint
```

25

testimony filed on behalf of commission staff, public counsel, and Northwest Industrial Gas Users regarding rate design and rate spread, it's my understanding that the parties wish to stipulate to entry of these 5 documents; is that correct? 6 MS. TENNYSON: Yes, it is. 7 JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted. Looking next at exhibit for identification 9 T-686, which is the testimony of Jim Lazar, and at 10 Exhibits 687 through 697, it is my understanding that 11 the parties wish to stipulate to the admission of these 12 documents; is that correct? 13 MR. MEYER: That is correct. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, those documents are 15 admitted. 16 MR. MEYER: Now there is an additional data 17 response that had been directed to Mr. Lazar that we 18 would propose to introduce. 19 JUDGE SCHAER: And is that in the packet of 20 materials you handed out this morning, Mr. Meyer? 21 MR. MEYER: Not yet. 22 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, why don't you pass that 23 out now, please. 24 MR. MEYER: These look the same, but one is

for electric and one is for gas.

```
01230
               JUDGE SCHAER: Do you want them marked as one
   exhibit or as two?
               MR. MEYER: Better do it as two.
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. I have marked for
 5
   identification as Exhibit 698 a document which is
   identified as the response of public counsel to Avista
 7
   data request 40. And I have marked for identification
   as Exhibit 699 a document which is entitled the response
9
   of public counsel to Avista data request 41.
10
               Is there any objection to these documents,
11
   Mr. ffitch?
12
              MR. FFITCH: No, Your Honor.
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, then I will include
14
   these as admitted by stipulation of the parties.
15
               Any other party wish to object?
16
               Good.
17
               MR. MEYER:
                           I'm sorry, while I was
18
   distributing them, I didn't get the number down.
                                                     Which
19
   was which?
20
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, the number 40 is 698.
21
   Number 41 is 699.
22
               MR. MEYER: Thank you.
23
               JUDGE SCHAER: We come next to Exhibit T-703,
24
   which is the testimony of Robert Damron and Exhibits 704
25
   through 713 previously identified. It's my
```

01231 understanding that the parties wish to have these documents admitted by stipulation of counsel; is that correct? 4 MR. MEYER: Correct. 5 MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes. 6 JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted. 7 Turning next to Exhibit T-718 and Exhibits 719 and 720 and to Exhibit T-721 and Exhibits 722, 723, 9 and 724, which are the testimony and exhibits of Donald 10 Schoenbeck, the first three on behalf of the Industrial 11 Customers of Northwest Utilities, and the second four on 12 behalf of Northwest Industrial Gas Users, I would note 13 first that we received this morning as an errata a 14 correction to the cover sheet for Exhibit T-718 and that 15 it would be that cover sheet which would be included in 16 the exhibit. 17 It's my understanding that the parties wish 18 to stipulate to admission of these documents; is that 19 correct? 20 MS. TENNYSON: That is correct.

21 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, those documents are

22 admitted.

23 MR. VAN CLEVE: One correction is the errata 24 is to 721 not 718.

25 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. It appears that

there was already an errata to 718; is that correct? MR. VAN CLEVE: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE SCHAER: All right. So let the record 4 show then that there was an errata sheet already filed 5 with Exhibit T-718 which is included as part of that exhibit and that the errata filed today is to the cover 7 sheet for Exhibit T-721, and it is also admitted. MR. VAN CLEVE: And Your Honor, I would like to note for the record that 722, 723, and 724 were 9 10 public counsel's proposed cross-examination exhibits. 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, Mr. Van Cleve. 12 That is, as I look more carefully, how this is 13 identified in my exhibit list as well. 14 So have all parties had an opportunity to 15 look at those cross exhibits? 16 All right, then those documents are admitted. 17 We turn next to what has been marked for 18 identification as Exhibit T-726, which is the direct 19 testimony of Roger D. Colton filed on behalf of SNAP, 20 and then we have Exhibits 727 through 736 accompanying 21 that testimony. 22 It's my understanding that the parties wish 23 to stipulate to the admission of those documents; is 24 that correct?

MS. TENNYSON: Correct, Your Honor.

```
01233
              JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted.
 1
 2
              Are there any other exhibits we have
 3
   stipulated to?
 4
              I believe that you distributed some exhibits,
 5
   Mr. Meyer, that we should be dealing with at this point.
 6
              MR. TRAUTMAN: 348 to 350.
 7
              MR. MEYER: Yes, that's right, they have been
8
   numbered but not admitted.
9
               JUDGE SCHAER: I don't know that I have
10
   numbered them yet.
11
              MS. TENNYSON: No, those are the DR numbers.
              JUDGE SCHAER: Those are the data request
12
13
   numbers?
14
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Those are the data request
15
   numbers, not the exhibit numbers.
16
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Is there a particular
17
   witness that these are associated with?
18
              MR. MEYER: Anderson for the first two and
19
   Falkner for the last one.
20
              JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Let's take just a
21
   moment to find out the last exhibit number for
22
   Mr. Anderson.
              MR. TRAUTMAN: It's 353.
23
24
              JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. So I'm going to
25 mark for identification as Exhibit 354 the Avista
```

01234 Corporation response to WUTC data request 348. I'm going to mark for identification as Exhibit 355 the Avista response to WUTC data request 349. It's my understanding that the parties wish 5 to stipulate to the admission of those exhibits; is that 6 correct? 7 MS. TENNYSON: That's correct, Your Honor. JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted. 8 MR. FFITCH: There's one more. 9 10 JUDGE SCHAER: We're getting there. Okay, who has the last number for 11 12 Mr. Falkner? 13 MS. TENNYSON: 289, page 21 of your list. JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. So I'm going to 14 15 mark for identification as Exhibit 290 a document 16 identified as the Avista response to WUTC data request 17 350. 18 It's my understanding that parties wish to stipulate to the admission of that document; is that 19 correct?

correct?

MR. TRAUTMAN: That's correct.

JUDGE SCHAER: That document is admitted.

Just a moment. I'm going to need to change the exhibit number on that document, because we already have an Exhibit 290, so we are going to call this Exhibit 289-A.

01235 And Exhibit 289-A, which is the Avista response to WUTC data request number 350, is admitted. Are there any other exhibits that we need to 4 consider this morning? 5 MR. MEYER: I don't believe we have admitted 6 the company witnesses that were otherwise excused from 7 appearing. JUDGE SCHAER: Oh, thank you, Mr. Meyer. 9 They are in a different portion of the exhibit list, and 10 you're correct, I hadn't identified them. Would you 11 lead us through those, if you could, please? 12 MR. MEYER: Surely, starting with 13 Mr. Anderson. 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. 15

MR. MEYER: And I show on my exhibit list Exhibits T-349, 350, 351, 352, as well as 327.

JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, it's my understanding that the parties wish to enter these documents by

stipulation; is that correct?

16

17

18

19

MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes.

MR. MEYER: Let's confirm that 327. My

exhibit list may be in error on what I had identified as

326, I'm sorry, 327, which is according to my list staff

data request number 10, Avista response.

MR. TRAUTMAN: It's 353.

```
01236
 1
               MR. MEYER: Is that 353?
 2
               MR. TRAUTMAN: Yes.
 3
               JUDGE SCHAER: And that document has already
 4
   been admitted.
 5
              MR. MEYER: Okay, that takes care of
 6
               The next one by stipulation --
   Anderson.
 7
               JUDGE SCHAER: Actually it's just been
8
               All of Exhibits T-349 through 353 are
   admitted.
9
   admitted.
10
               Go ahead.
11
               MR. MEYER: Next company witness would be
12
   McKenzie.
13
               JUDGE SCHAER: Do you have his last exhibit
14
   number?
15
               MR. MEYER: I have 450 on my list for his
16
    last exhibit number.
17
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
18
               MR. MEYER: But his three, the three exhibits
19
   that would go in by stipulation would be Exhibit T-448,
20
    449, and 450.
21
               JUDGE SCHAER: Now on my list those show as
22
   Exhibit T-447, 448, and 449. What do others show?
23
              MR. MEYER: Excuse me, I will go back to my
24
   old form.
25
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Would you like to
```

```
01237
   double check those numbers in your form?
              MR. MEYER: Yeah.
 3
               JUDGE SCHAER: Go ahead, please.
              MR. MEYER: Okay, I show T-447 for his
 4
   rebuttal testimony, 448 and 449 were his pre-filed
 5
   exhibits. Is that consistent with what now the --
               JUDGE SCHAER: That's consistent with my list
   also, and it's my understanding that the parties wish to
   stipulate to the admissibility of those documents; is
9
10
   that correct?
11
              MS. TENNYSON:
                             That is correct.
12
              JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted.
13
              MR. MEYER: The next company witness would be
14
   Tara Knox.
15
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. And I believe her --
16
              MR. MEYER: Her rebuttal.
17
               JUDGE SCHAER: -- documents would be Exhibit
18
   T-473, Exhibit 474 for identification, and Exhibit 475
   for identification.
19
20
              MR. MEYER: That's what I have, thank you.
21
               JUDGE SCHAER: It's my understanding that the
22
   parties wish to stipulate to the admission of these
23
   documents; is that correct?
24
              MS. TENNYSON: That's correct, Your Honor.
              MR. FFITCH: That's correct.
25
```

```
01238
               JUDGE SCHAER: Those documents are admitted.
 2
              Are there any others, Mr. Meyer?
 3
              MR. MEYER: Let me check. I think that does
 4
   it.
 5
              MS. TENNYSON: Mr. Hirschkorn.
 6
               JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Hirschkorn.
 7
               MR. MEYER: Well, he is going to be available
   to be crossed, I understood.
9
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, let's look here. I
10
   understand that I have heard at least one earlier
11
   exhibit referred to Mr. Hirschkorn as a sponsoring
12
   witness, so although it's not totally apparent from the
   estimates that we have whether or not any party will
13
14
   have questions of him, it may be that he will need to be
15
   here. If you can talk with your co-counsel and
16
   determine whether or not there are questions for him and
17
   whether that exhibit can be put in by stipulation as
18
   well as his rebuttal testimony and exhibits, we will
   certainly entertain that suggestion at any point in the
19
20
   hearings before he takes the stand.
21
              MR. MEYER: Very well.
22
               JUDGE SCHAER: That's true of any of the
23
   witnesses. So that takes us through part two of what we
24
   had hoped to do this morning. Part three is are there
   any other preliminary matters we should address before
```

```
01239
   this afternoon?
              MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, I have a couple of
   other evidentiary exhibit related items.
 4
               JUDGE SCHAER: Go ahead, please, Mr. ffitch.
 5
              MR. FFITCH: I will just note for the record
   that Exhibits 286 and 603, both of which are public
 7
   counsel cross exhibits, are one and the same.
               JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Which one do you want
9
   to withdraw?
10
              MR. FFITCH: I'm not sure at this point.
11
              JUDGE SCHAER: Do you want to look at the
12
   order of witnesses and see who is up first?
13
              MR. TRAUTMAN: Well, one is identified as
14
   Avista response, and one is staff response.
15
               MR. FFITCH: Oh, maybe I'm -- I guess I
16
   better check this, Your Honor.
17
               JUDGE SCHAER: My notes indicate that exhibit
18
   for identification 286 is the Avista response to public
19
   counsel data request number 132.
20
              MR. FFITCH: Right.
21
               JUDGE SCHAER: And that exhibit -- what
22
   number did you --
23
               MR. TRAUTMAN: 603.
24
               JUDGE SCHAER: 603 is the commission staff
25
   response to public counsel data request number 132.
```

01240 1 MR. FFITCH: All right. 2 MS. TENNYSON: However, it is not. What you handed out is actually Avista's response to public 4 counsel data request number 132. 5 JUDGE SCHAER: So it sounds to me like you 6 may be withdrawing 603? 7 MR. FFITCH: I think I better take some time to straighten this out and then let you know how I would like to proceed, Your Honor. 9 10 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you, Mr. ffitch.  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . FFITCH: The other point that I wanted to 11 12 mention is that we had identified, well, one of those, 13 603, and another Exhibit 620 we have identified in 14 connection with staff witnesses. They are actually 15 responses of Avista to data requests. And so in terms 16 of getting them admitted into the record, we would 17 ordinarily want to offer them through Mr. Falkner, who 18 authored the responses, although he comes after these 19 staff witnesses in the order. So I guess what we would 20 propose to do is examine using the identified exhibits 21 but then not offer them until Mr. Falkner is on the 22 stand. 23 JUDGE SCHAER: That would be fine. 24 prefer to have exhibits that are questioned offered

through someone who knows about their preparation and

25

## 01241

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

what data they contain. If these turn out to be items that you and Mr. Meyer can discuss and can offer by stipulation at the time that you're cross-examining earlier, that would also be acceptable. 5 MR. FFITCH: That would be our preference if the company has no objection. I just wanted to identify 7 that in advance.

JUDGE SCHAER: Let's leave it at that point now and let the two of you have a discussion off the record.

Is there anything further? MS. DIXON: Your Honor. JUDGE SCHAER: Yes.

MS. DIXON: Danielle Dixon, Northwest Energy Coalition. You had asked me at Thursday's prehearing conference to give an update this morning on the Coalition data request for Mr. Colton.

JUDGE SCHAER: Yes.

MS. DIXON: So I wanted to let you know Mr. Colton is currently working on responses to six data requests for Northwest Energy Coalition. I hope to see them by the end of today or tomorrow morning, at which time we wish to enter them into the record, and I would 24 assume I would have the copies then available for folks to look at.

01242

JUDGE SCHAER: I'm having some trouble hearing you. People are shuffling papers, and your voice is very quiet coming across. Can you talk a little louder into the phone, and just repeat the last 5 things that you said. MS. DIXON: Sure. Mr. Colton is currently 7 working on responses to six data requests for the Northwest Energy Coalition. I am hoping to see those by 9 the end of today or tomorrow morning and decide when I 10 get to read them which ones to enter into the record. 11 And I am assuming I will then have them available, the 12 copies for the parties on Wednesday when I'm in Olympia. 13 JUDGE SCHAER: Are you planning to be here 14 more than one day? MS. DIXON: Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. 15 16 JUDGE SCHAER: So that you can distribute 17 them, and then the parties have can have at least one 18 night to look them over and then decide what their 19 positions were? 20 MS. DIXON: Yes, that's my plan. 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Do you know if any parties 22 have asked as a data request to you that they receive 23 any responses that you receive? 24 MS. DIXON: Public counsel has. 25 JUDGE SCHAER: So you need to make certain

01243 that public counsel gets this answer as soon as possible 2 after you do. MS. DIXON: Yes. 4 JUDGE SCHAER: All right. Let's plan to 5 proceed in that manner then. 6 Is there anything further that we need to 7 discuss this morning? Hearing nothing, I'm going to ask the parties to try to be here by about 1:20. I would like to have 9 10 commission staff witness Buckley on the witness stand, 11 organized, and ready to go, and we will probably deal 12 with his swearing in and admission of his exhibits and 13 try to have him ready to start answering questions at 14 1:30. 15 Anything further? 16 We are off the record. 17 MR. FINKLEA: Your Honor. 18 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes. 19 MR. FINKLEA: Do you know what time we intend 20 to start tomorrow? 21 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes, we're planning to start 22 at 9:30. 23 Thank you. MR. FINKLEA: 24 JUDGE SCHAER: That is one other thing I

wanted to indicate to the parties on the record. I have

25

```
01244
   distributed today order of witness and time estimates
   for cross-examination, and I have also been using an
   exhibit list prepared by my staff. And I want to
    indicate to the parties that if anyone wants copies of
 5
    either of these documents, you're welcome to have them.
    And if you want them electronically, you may have that
    as well. You just need to contact Jennifer Watsek in
    the administrative law division of the Commission, and
9
    we can accommodate you with that information.
10
               All right, we're off the record until this
11
    afternoon.
12
               (Proceedings recessed at 12:10 p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```