

CONSUMER PRODUCTS (CAMAS) LLC

401 NE Adams Street, Camas, WA 98607 Telephone: (360) 834-3021

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

August 9, 2012

David D. Lykken
Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission
Pipeline Safety Division
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
P. O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

RECEIVED

AUG 1 3 2012

State of Washington UTC Pipeline Safety Program

Dear Director Lykken:

Pursuant to Docket PG-110017 we are providing you with a copy of our MOC titled "Remote Valve Disabled by Williams Pipeline" for your files. Please see the attachment.

If you have any questions concerning this submission or need additional information, please contact Steve Ringquist at 360-834-8166 or steve.ringquist@gapac.com.

Sincerely,

Gary W. Kaiser Vice President

GWK/ml

Attachment

CC:

S. Ringquist

- GP/Camas

R. Simmons

- GP/Atlanta

P. Johnson

- WUTC/Olympia

T. O'Conner

- GP/Atlanta

C. Trummel

- Hunton & Williams/Atlanta

R. Hogfoss

- Hunton & Williams/Atlanta

Georgia Pacific - Management Of Change

Title: Remote Valve Disabled by Williams Pipeline Date: 1/23/20				
Initiator: S.C. Ringquist				60) 834-8166
Title: Reliability Leader		Email: steve.ringquist@gapac.com		
Authority for Approving Change:		Steve Ringquist		
Northwest is out of service. respond to an emergency d Street in Washougal. MEF	ement Pla Georgia- lue to the G	n section 8.7 to Pacific has eval close proximity oned a drill and th	reflect that the uated this chai of the MERT te leir response ti	remote shut-off valve owned and operated by Williams Pipeline nge and determined it will not adversely affect our time to am responders to the William's metering station located on 'C' me was 3 minutes and 40 seconds which is rapid and
				quest their valve be actuated. Thus Georgia-Pacific determined ability to respond to an emergency.
Supporting Documents: Required Permits Acquired Proposed Starting Date: Locations This will effect the Affected:	? Upon	None receipt of this M	Time to Acqui	ve Failure Incident Reports ire Permits N/A Proposed Completion Date: 1/25/2012
Communication Plan:	Email c	ommunication t	o MERT emplo	yees.
Approval from Affected Par Please evaluate the propos			Respond by: _ I change and e	1/25/2012 evaluate consequence to your department.
	N/A	Approve Change		Reject Proposed Change with Reason
Environmental Leader	N/A			
Utilities Maintenance	N/A			
MERT		K.Goodell		
HR	N/A			
Safety	N/A			
Purchasing	N/A			
Maint. Contractor		R.Rogers		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Reliability Leader		S.Ringquist		
Comments:				
Change approved.				
Change Completed by:	Steve F	Ringquist	Change	e Completed (Date): 1/25/2012

or occurrences. It considers the likelihood of the threat, the consequence of the potential failure, resource availability and other factors in selecting the means to mitigate the potential hazard.

- · Stabilization of the soil
- · Stabilization of the pipe or pipe joints
- Relocation or lowering of the pipeline
- Lowering of the pipeline below the frost line
- Providing lightning protection
- · Line patrolling
- Monitoring movement progress in areas of ongoing subsidence and slides.

Since the GP pipeline is a new pipeline that was based upon a conservative design, many of these outside forces have been neutralized (i.e. concrete coating and excess depth in the river crossing, pipe is always oriented uphill or down hill – no side slope routing, nominal pipe depth 60 inches vs. 30 per CFR 49 Part 192, import backfill where needed)

8.6 Corrosion

If the GEORGIA PACIFIC becomes aware of a corrosion condition that could affect the integrity of a pipeline on a segment covered by the rule (which the GEORGIA PACIFIC interprets as meaning an immediate repair corrosion condition), it will establish a schedule for evaluating all pipeline segments (both covered and non-covered) with similar material coating and environmental characteristics (i.e., CP, CP interference, age of construction), and makes remediation as necessary.

For corrosion defects that fall into the scheduled response and monitored corrosion severity groups, the GEORGIA PACIFIC follows the guidelines of GRI-00/0230, Determining Periodic Inspection Intervals for High Consequence Areas, for predicting growth rates of the defects so that they do not reach a critical level before the next inspection.

8.7 Automatic Shutoff Valves or Remote Control Valves

Georgia Pacific will consider installing remote actuation on valves if operating conditions determine that such valves should be installed. Remote control valves were evaluated when the pipeline was designed in 1992. The geotechnical stability of the pipeline right of way coupled with the robust river crossing design resulted in a consensus decision with GP and the WUTC that there were no conditions that warranted remote control valves.

There is a remote actuated valve at the Williams gate station which is owned and operated by Williams Pipeline NW and installed specifically for the service to the GP pipeline. This RCV is currently out of service due to two instances of the valve failing to the closed position. GP has evaluated how the lack of availability of the RCV could affect response time in an emergency. The mill emergency response team (MERT) performed a drill and determined their time to respond the Williams gate station is 3 minutes and 40 seconds. This is a rapid response time and it is comparable to the time it would take GP to contact Williams NW and request the valve be closed (if it was operable). GP has determined that the lack of this RCV has not noticeably changed our ability to isolate the line in an emergency.