## Conservation Cost Effectiveness Standard (CCES)

Conservation Cost Effectiveness Standard (CCES) shows the full "avoided cost" to PSE of the energy saved, for the Type of Savings (defined by end use load shape and customer class) and life of the energy savings, or Measure Life. The CCES is based on the market costs projected by a power costing model, which would otherwise be incurred to provide energy from a generation source either directly or by contract plus credits for transmission and distribution system benefits, environmental externalities, and line losses. This value is expressed as the levelized value per kWh saved of future energy savings over the life of the measure. The CCES is based on Aurora forecast power costs at Mid-Columbia, and adds 35% for a power planning adjustment, 10% for environmental credits, 7.6% Residential and 6.1% Commercial/Industrial for avoided transmission and distribution losses, a valuation for avoided peak capacity, and \$31.87/kW-year distribution benefit. Load factors from the analysis in PSE's 2009 IRP are used for enduse load shapes that define Type of Savings. Each Type of Savings has a CCES, or a value per kWh or Therm per Measure Life, up to 30 years. The values for the natural gas and electric CCES that will be used to evaluate PSE's 2010-2011 programs are shown in Table F-1 and F-2.

Cost effectiveness of projects will allow for PSE administrative costs. PSE's costs are expected to vary, depending upon the proposal content. At a minimum, PSE costs include some project management activities, coordination with customer data, and conducting customer satisfaction surveys for the respondent's program activity.

## 1. Description of Tests

Puget Sound Energy will evaluate the cost effectiveness of proposals using a standard Utility Cost Test and a Total Resource Cost Test.

<u>Total Resource Cost Test (TRC Test)</u> measures the net value of energy efficiency programs to society as a whole. The TRC Test is a cost-effectiveness calculation which demonstrates if the total benefits, including electricity (defined by the <u>Conservation Cost</u> <u>Effectiveness Standard</u>) and other savings benefits, exceed total costs including those

incurred by PSE, the Respondent, the customer, and any other contributing party. The benefits and costs not directly associated with electrical energy efficiency in this calculation may be difficult to quantify.

<u>Utility Cost Test (UC Test)</u> measures the net value of energy efficiency programs to the sponsoring utility. The UC Test is a cost-effectiveness calculation which demonstrates that the utility electricity savings benefits (defined by the <u>Conservation Cost</u> <u>Effectiveness Standard)</u>, exceed the costs incurred by the utility.

## 2. Calculation Methodology

Puget Sound Energy's determination that an energy efficiency project is cost-effective is a two-step process.

Step 1: The <u>Total Resource Cost test</u> determines that the value of all benefits of doing the project (energy savings plus other benefits like maintenance savings, improved productivity, etc.) is *greater than* the total projects costs. (Note: If the value of the energy benefits alone exceeds the total cost, the equation is satisfied without the need to quantify further benefits.)

Total benefits (\$) > Total costs (\$)

Step 2: IF Step 1 is satisfied, OR

IF: Total costs < 150% of value of energy benefits, AND there are documented additional benefits which cannot easily be quantified (e.g. improved indoor air quality), then the utility funding is limited by the Utility Cost Test

Utility benefits (\$) > Utility costs (\$),

also expressed as:

Value of kWh Savings (for measure life) > Utility funding (customer incentives + PSE administrative costs + Respondent costs.

Table F-1. Electric Conservation Cost Effectiveness Standard – 2010-2011 (Levelized \$/kWh) (Includes avoided energy and avoided capacity)

	Flat	FLAT	0.091	0.093	0.102	0.107	0.111	0.114	0.116	0.117	0.119	0.121	0.122	0.124	0.125	0.126	0.128	0.129	0.130	0.131	0.132	0.133	0.134	0.135	0.136	0.136	0.137	0.138	0.138	0.139	0.140	0.140
	-	Œ	<del>ss</del>	s	s	s	s	ક્ક	s	s	ક્ક	s	s	ક્ક	s	s	s	s	s	ક્ક	s	s	<del>ss</del>	8	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Commercial	Refrigeration	CIREF	960.0	0.098	0.107	0.113	0.116	0.119	0.121	0.123	0.125	0.126	0.128	0.129	0.130	0.132	0.133	0.134	0.135	0.137	0.138	0.139	0.140	0.141	0.142	0.142	0.143	0.144	0.145	0.145	0.146	0.146
S			ક્ક	ઝ	ક્ક	ક્ક	ઝ	ક્ક	ક્ક	ઝ	ક્ક	ક્ક	છ	ક્ક	ક્ક	ઝ	မာ	ક્ક	မာ	ક્ક	ક્ક	မာ	ક્ક	s	ક્ક	s	ક્ક	s	s	s	છ	s
Commercial	Lighting	CILTG	0.112	0.114	0.124	0.129	0.133	0.136	0.138	0.140	0.142	0.144	0.145	0.147	0.149	0.150	0.151	0.153	0.154	0.155	0.157	0.158	0.159	0.160	0.161	0.162	0.163	0.164	0.165	0.165	0.166	0.167
			<del>()</del>	4	8	<del>69</del>	4	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	4	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	49	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	69	49	49	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	49	4	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	8	49	49	<del>()</del>	8
Commercial Commercial Commercial	Heating	CIHEAT	3 0.183	la con	\$ 0.196	3 0.202	90.200	3 0.210		3 0.216	3 0.219	3 0.222	3 0.224		90.229	3 0.231	w can	3 0.236	\$ 0.238	3 0.240	w (co)	3 0.244	3 0.245	\$ 0.247	\$ 0.249	No.	3 0.252	3 0.253	3 0.254	3 0.256	3 0.257	\$ 0.258
a				-		4	2	6	8	2	8	4	5	9	2 2	2	8	6		-		2	3			4	5	5	5	9	9	
ommerci	Cooling	CICOOL	090'0	0.067	0.069	0.074	0.077	0.079	0.080	0.082	0.083	0.084	0.085	0.086	0.087	0.087	0.088	0.089	0.090	0.091	0.091	0.092	0.093	0.093	0.094	0.094	0.095	0.095	0.095	0.096	0.096	0.096
<u>త</u>	_	- 4	<del>\$</del>	*	8	8	*	*	*	8	<del>\$</del>	8	\$	8	*	*	8	8	<del>\$</del>	8	*	\$	8	\$	**	8	8	*	8	8	\$	8
ommercia	Cooking	CICOOK	0.084	0.086	0.095	0.100	0.103	0.106	0.108	0.109	0.111	0.112	0.114	0.115	0.116	0.118	0.119	0.120	0.121	0.122	0.123	0.124	0.125		0.126	0.127	0.127	0.128	0.129	0.129	0.130	0.130
			*	8	8	8	*	8	8	8	8	*	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	*	8	8	8	\$ 8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	\$
Residentia	Plug Load	PLUG	0.094		0.105	0.110	0.114	0.116		0.120	0.122	0.124	0.125		0.128	0.129	0.131	0.132	0.133	0.134	0.135	0.136	0.137		0.139	0.140	0.140	0.141	0.142	0.142	0.143	0.144
				8	8	5	9	3	9	6	4	4	9	8	8	2	4	9	8	8	4	8	5	\$ 9	8	6	8	2	3	4	5	9
Residential		Η	0.167	0.170	0.180	0.185	0.189	0.193		0.199	0.201	0.204	0.206		0.210	0.212	0.214	0.216	la constitution	0.220	w (co)	0.223	0.225	0.226	0.228	0.229	0.230	0.232	0.233	0.234	0.235	0.236
			2	8	2	\$ 2	8	8	5	2	8	8	8	8	4	5	69	8	8	8	4	2	8	3 8	4	5	8	8	2	8	8	8
Residential		LIGHTING	0.092	0.093	0.102	0.107	0.110	0.113	0.115	0.117	0.118	0.120	0.121	0.123	0.124	0.125	0.126	0.128	0.129	0.130	0.131	0.132	0.133	0.133	0.134	0.135	0.136	0.136	0.137	0.138	0.138	0.139
<u>~</u>			8	<del>\$</del>	8	8	8	8	\$	8	8	8	<del>\$</del>	8	\$	8	8	8	8	<del>\$</del>	8	\$	8		8	8	8	\$	8	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	8
Residentia	Water Hea	WH	0.109		0.120	0.125	0.129	0.132	0.134	0.136	0.138	0.140	0.141	0.143	0.144	0.146	0.147	0.149	0.150	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.155	0.156	0.15	0.158	0.158	0.159	0.160	0.16	0.16	0.162
Trees.			₩	8	€9	8	↔	↔	8	€9	↔	₩		49	↔		€9	8		₩		₩			↔	8	49	8	₩	<b>⇔</b>	8	<del>S</del>
MF Space	Heating	MFSH	3 0.113	0.115	0.125	0.130	0.134	3 0.137	0.140	0.142	0.144	0.146	0.148	0.149	0.151	0.152	0.154	0.155	0.157	0.158	0.159	0.161	0.162		0.164	9 0.165	991.0	0.167	90.168	90.168	90.169	0.170
					3	8	4	8		5	8		-	-			4	5	-				8			-	5 \$	2		\$ 0.		33
SF Space	Heat	SFSH	\$ 0.140	\$ 0.143	\$ 0.153	\$ 0.159	\$ 0.164	\$ 0.168	\$ 0.172	\$ 0.175	\$ 0.178	\$ 0.181	\$ 0.184	\$ 0.186	\$ 0.189	\$ 0.191	\$ 0.194	\$ 0.197	\$ 0.199	\$ 0.201	\$ 0.203	\$ 0.206	\$ 0.208		\$ 0.212	\$ 0.214	\$ 0.215	\$ 0.217	\$ 0.219	\$ 0.220	\$ 0.222	\$ 0.223
Measure	Life		<b>-</b>	2	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	59	30

1. 2010 Start Year 2. Discount rate: 8.25%

Table F-2. Gas Conservation Cost Effectiveness Standard, 2010 – 2011 (Levelized \$/Therm)

Base on Monthly Shaped System Costs from 2009 IRP Monthly Gas Avoided Cost – 2009 Trends Scenario Prices

Measure Life	s Space Heat existing	es Water Heat/ pliances	Со	m Space Heat	ŀ	m Water leat & looking	Industrial Flat			
	SH	WH	0.	CISH	1	CiWH		FLAT		
1	\$ 0.953	\$ 0.862	\$	0.978	\$	0.865	\$	0.862		
2	\$ 1.021	\$ 0.926	\$	1.047	\$	0.928	\$	0.925		
3	\$ 1.097	\$ 1.003	\$	1.123	\$	1.006	\$	1.003		
4	\$ 1.150	\$ 1.056	\$	1.176	\$	1.059	\$	1.056		
5	\$ 1.189	\$ 1.092	\$	1.215	\$	1.095	\$	1.092		
6	\$ 1.216	\$ 1.117	\$	1.242	\$	1.120	\$	1.117		
7	\$ 1.242	\$ 1.140	\$	1.270	\$	1.143	\$	1.140		
8	\$ 1.268	\$ 1.162	\$	1.296	\$	1.165	\$	1.162		
9	\$ 1.291	\$ 1.183	\$	1.319	\$	1.186	\$	1.183		
10	\$ 1.313	\$ 1.204	\$	1.342	\$	1.207	\$	1.204		
11	\$ 1.333	\$ 1.223	\$	1.362	\$	1.226	\$	1.223		
12	\$ 1.352	\$ 1.241	\$	1.382	\$	1.245	\$	1.241		
13	\$ 1.372	\$ 1.260	\$	1.401	\$	1.264	\$	1.260		
14	\$ 1.389	\$ 1.278	\$	1.419	\$	1.282	\$	1.278		
15	\$ 1.408	\$ 1.296	\$	1.438	\$	1.299	\$	1.296		
16	\$ 1.426	\$ 1.312	\$	1.456	\$	1.316	\$	1.312		
17	\$ 1.442	\$ 1.328	\$	1.473	\$	1.332	\$	1.328		
18	\$ 1.461	\$ 1.346	\$	1.494	\$	1.350	\$	1.346		
19	\$ 1.477	\$ 1.362	\$	1.513	\$	1.366	\$	1.362		
20	\$ 1.492	\$ 1.377	\$	1.532	\$	1.381	\$	1.377		
21	\$ 1.508	\$ 1.392	\$	1.549	\$	1.396	\$	1.392		
22	\$ 1.522	\$ 1.406	\$	1.566	\$	1.410	\$	1.406		
23	\$ 1.536	\$ 1.420	\$	1.582	\$	1.424	\$	1.420		
24	\$ 1.549	\$ 1.433	\$	1.597	\$	1.437	\$	1.433		
25	\$ 1.562	\$ 1.445	\$	1.611	\$	1.449	\$	1.445		
26	\$ 1.574	\$ 1.457	\$	1.625	\$	1.461	\$	1.457		
27	\$ 1.586	\$ 1.468	\$	1.639	\$	1.472	\$	1.468		
28	\$ 1.597	\$ 1.479	\$	1.651	\$	1.483	\$	1.479		
29	\$ 1.608	\$ 1.489	\$	1.664	\$	1.494	\$	1.489		
30	\$ 1.618	\$ 1.499	\$	1.675	\$	1.504	\$	1.499		

1. 2010 Start Year

2. Discount Rate 8.25%