



Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

ANCHORAGE BELLEVUE CHARLOTTE HONOLULU LOS ANGELES NEW YORK PORTLAND SAN FRANCISCO SEATTLE
WASHINGTON, D.C. SHANGHAI

GREGORY J. KOPTA
Direct (206) 628-7692
gregkopta@dwt.com

2600 CENTURY SQUARE
1501 FOURTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688

TEL (206) 622-3150
FAX (206) 628-7699
www.dwt.com

March 23, 2000

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Carole J. Washburn
Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary
Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia WA 98504-7250

Re: Continued Cost and Pricing Proceeding, Docket No. UT-003013

Dear Ms. Washburn:

On behalf of NEXTLINK Washington, Inc., Electric Lightwave, Inc., GST Telecom Washington, Inc., Advanced TelCom Group, Inc., NorthPoint Communications, Inc., and New Edge Networks, Inc., I request the following clarifications or amendments to the First Supplemental Order – Prehearing Conference Order (“Order”) in the above-referenced docket:

(1) Please include GST Telecom Washington, Inc. (“GST”), among the clients I am representing in this proceeding. I appeared on behalf of GST, and GST is listed in the service list, but GST was inadvertently omitted from paragraph 2 of the Order.

(2) Please correct the spelling of the following parties: Advanced TelCom Group, Inc., and NorthPoint Communications, Inc. In addition, AT&T should be listed as “AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc.,” although I am not appearing on its behalf in this docket.

(3) Please clarify paragraph 3 of the Order. My understanding was that parties who are parties in the original costing and pricing proceeding, Docket Nos. UT-960369, *et al.*, were not required to intervene in the new docket. Both NorthPoint Communications, Inc., and New Edge Networks, Inc., are parties in the original proceeding, but both are listed in paragraph 3 as requesting intervention. The Order should be clarified to state either that (a) parties in the original proceeding are considered parties in the new docket without formal intervention and NorthPoint and New Edge should not be included in paragraph 3, or (b) all parties are required to intervene in the new docket, and all of the parties I represent should be included in paragraph 3, along with all of the other parties listed in paragraph 2 that are not already listed in paragraph 3.

Carole Washburn
March 23, 2000
Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of these requests. Please contact me if you have any questions about them.

Very truly yours,

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

Gregory J. Kopta

cc: Service List
Kath Thomas
Barbara Young
Rex Knowles
Kaylene Anderson
Penny Bewick
Gary Yaquinto
Christine Mailloux