# SUMMIT LAW GROUP®

a professional limited liability company

DEANNA L. SCHOW DID: (206) 676-7114

EMAIL: deannas@summitlaw.com

December 17, 2012

Via FedEx

David W. Danner Executive Director and Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Docket No. TG-120033

In the Matter of the Application of Waste Management, Inc. d/b/a WM Healthcare Solutions of Washington (Certificate G-237)

Dear Secretary Danner:

On behalf of Waste Management of Washington, Inc., and in accordance with instructions from Judge Kopta, enclosed please find one copy of the Errata to Waste Management's Direct Testimony of Michael Weinstein; Response Testimony of Michael Weinstein; and Declarations of Michael Weinstein, Jeff Norton, Jeff Daub, and Michael McInerney.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

Deanna L. Schow Legal Assistant

Enclosures

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC 315 FIITH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

Waste Management requests that the above-referenced documents, which are attached hereto, replace those which were previously filed. SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC L. McNeill, WSBA #17437 Jessica L. Goldman, WSBA #21856 pollym@summitlaw.com jessicag@summitlaw.com Attorneys for Waste Management of Washington, Inc. 

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served this document upon all parties of record in this proceeding, by the method indicated below, pursuant to WAC 480-07-150.

l	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Via Legal Messenger					
l	1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW	☐ Via Facsimile					
l	PO Box 47250	☑ Via Federal Express					
l	Olympia, WA 98504-7250	☑ Via Email					
١	360-664-1160						
	records@utc.wa.gov						
١	Gregory J. Kopta	☐ Via Legal Messenger					
l	Administrative Law Judge	☐ Via Facsimile					
l	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	□ Via U.S. Mail					
l	gkopta@utc.wa.gov	☑ Via Email					
l	Fronda Woods	☐ Via Legal Messenger					
l	Attorney General's Office of Washington	☐ Via Facsimile					
l	PO Box 40128	□ Via U.S. Mail					
١	Olympia, WA 98504	🗹 Via Email					
١	(360) 664-1225						
l	fwoods@utc.wa.gov						
l	bdemarco@utc.wa.gov						
l	Stephen B. Johnson	☐ Via Legal Messenger					
l	Jared Van Kirk	☐ Via Facsimile					
l	Garvey Schubert Barer	☐ Via U.S. Mail					
l	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 1800	☑ Via Email					
	Seattle, WA 98101						
	(206) 464-3939						
	sjohnson@gsblaw.com						
l	jvankirk@gsblaw.com						
l	vowen@gsblaw.com						
l	dbarrientes@gsblaw.com						
l	Attorneys for Stericycle of Washington, Inc.						
	James K. Sells	☐ Via Legal Messenger					
1	3110 Judson Street	☐ Via Facsimile					
١	Gig Harbor, WA 98335	☐ Via U.S. Mail					
	(360) 981-0168	☑ Via Email					
	jamessells@comcast.net						
	cheryls@rsulaw.com						
	Attorney for Protestant WRRA, Rubatino, Consolidated,						
	Murrey's, and Pullman						

DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 17th day of December, 2012.

Deanna L. Schow

1	Exhibit No (MAW-1T)
2	
3	
4	Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	washington ounties and Transportation Commission
6	
7	In the Matter of the Application of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
8	D/B/A WM Healthcare Solutions of Washington Docket No. TG-120033
9	Docket No. 13 120033
10	
11	
12	
13	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL WEINSTEIN
14	On behalf of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
15	
16	
17	
18	October 1, 2012
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Direct Testimony of Michael Weinstein 1

Q.

A.

Please state your name and business address.

- A. My name is Michael Weinstein. My business address is 720 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 400,
   Kirkland, WA 98033.
- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am employed by Waste Management of Washington, Inc. ("Waste Management") as Senior Pricing Manager.
- Q. Would you please describe your educational background and professional employment experience?
  - Administration with a major in accounting. I am a Certified Public Accountant. I obtained a license to practice public accounting in Texas in 1981 and in Washington in 1982. Neither license presently is active as I am no longer in public accounting but in private practice. From 1977 through 1982, I worked as a public accountant with the following accounting firms: Peat Marwick Mitchell (now KPMG), Laventhol and Horwath, and Alexander Grant (now Grant Thornton). From 1982 through 1985, I was employed as a Controller for a real estate investment firm in Seattle. In 1985, I became Controller for Bayside Waste Hauling & Transfer, Inc. ("Bayside") in Seattle. In this position, I managed an accounting staff of ten with operations in three states and I handled rate filings for Bayside in Washington State. In 1987, Waste Management, Inc. ("WMI") acquired Bayside and I joined WMI as a Special Projects Controller from 1987 through 1993. In that capacity, I was in charge of WMI's regulatory affairs for Washington State. In 1993, I was promoted to Northwest Region Accounting Center &

Special Projects Controller. In that capacity, in addition to continuing with my responsibilities for all of WMI's rate filings in Washington State, I also provided general ledger, payroll, accounts payable and state and local tax support service for WMI's operations in Washington, Oregon and Idaho.

## Q. What are your primary responsibilities for Waste Management?

A. I have served as Senior Pricing Manager for Waste Management since 2004. I provide financial and rate analysis for operations in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. I prepare rate filings for the UTC and I also perform financial analysis on municipal bids, proposals and acquisitions.

### Q. What are the subjects of the testimony you are offering today?

A. I will testify about what little Waste Management has been able to discern regarding the present expenses and revenues of Stericycle of Washington, Inc.'s ("Stericycle") regulated biomedical waste ("RMW") business and the impact on those costs that would result from statewide competition with Waste Management.

# Q. What information did you receive from Stericycle regarding its costs?

A. Waste Management received no direct or precise information from Stericycle regarding its costs. At my direction, Waste Management propounded the following Data Request No. 58 to Stericycle on August 10, 2012: "Do you contend that statewide competition from Waste Management will have a material impact on you? If so, specifically explain how such competition will impact you, including, but not limited to, specifically setting forth in precise dollar amounts the anticipated changes in the cost of, and prices for, your biomedical waste services." On September 4, 2012, Stericycle responded as follows: "Stericycle may contend that competition from Waste Management in the

territory covered by the application that is the subject of this proceeding will have an adverse impact on Stericycle's costs and revenues and the service offerings available to biomedical waste generators in that territory and the cost thereof to generators. It is premature to report Stericycle's ongoing analysis and evidence of this contention in advance of the deadlines for prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony." Waste Management has not received any further information from Stericycle in response to this Data Request. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Stericycle's referenced response.

- Q. What have you been able to discern from Stericycle's Annual Reports filed with the UTC?
- Waste Management obtained from the UTC the annual reports which Stericycle filed A. with the UTC from 2001 through 2011. I have reviewed each of these reports and have prepared a spreadsheet comparing the growth in Stericycle's reported expenses and revenues throughout this period. A true and correct copy of this spreadsheet is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. As reflected in my spreadsheet, Stericycle's revenue grew from \$12,348,092 in 2010, when it faced no competition from Waste Management, to \$13,709,428 in 2011, during which it competed with Waste Management for three quarters for customers throughout Waste Management's Certificate No. G-237 territory, representing the majority of Washington's RMW. That means that Stericycle's revenue grew by 11% from 2010 to 2011. Moreover, Stericycle added 330 customers in 2011 and its revenue per customer increased from \$1,673 in 2010 to \$1,777 in 2011.
- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes.

1	Exhibit No (MAW-2)
2	
3	
4	Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	washington others and transportation commission
6	
7	In the Matter of the Application of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
8	D/B/A WM Healthcare Solutions of Washington Docket No. TG-120033
9	Docker No. 16 120033
10	
11	
12	
13	EXHIBIT 1 TO
14	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL WEINSTEIN
15	On behalf of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	October 1, 2012
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Direct Testimony of Michael Weinstein 1

#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application of:

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF WASHINGTON, INC. D/B/A WM HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS OF WASHINGTON

For an Extension of Certificate G-237 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Vehicles in Furnishing Solid Waste Collection Service Docket No. TG-120033

SECOND DATA REQUESTS
PROPOUNDED TO STERICYCLE
BY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND
STERICYCLE'S OBJECTIONS AND
RESPONSES THERETO

TO: Protestant Stericycle of Washington, Inc. and its attorneys Steven B. Johnson and Jared Van Kirk

Pursuant to WAC 480-07-405 and the Prehearing Conference Order, Waste Management of Washington, Inc. requests that you answer fully and in writing each of the following Data Requests and produce the following-described documents within your possession, custody or control at the offices of Summit Law Group, 315 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue S, Ste. 1000, Seattle, WA 98104, by August 24, 2012. The terms "you" and "yours" refer to Stericycle of Washington, Inc. and its agents, employees, officers, and directors. The term "document" means writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, and any other data compilation. If you claim a privilege against production of any document, please state the asserted basis of the privilege and describe such document with sufficient specificity to enable Waste Management to frame a motion to compel disclosure. Pursuant to WAC 480-07-405(7)(c), as to each data response, state the name of the

SECOND DATA REQUESTS PROPOUNDED TO STERICYCLE BY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND STERICYCLE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES THERETO-Page 1 SEA\_DOCS:1073301.1 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant, admissible evidence. Stericycle

further objects to this request because it seeks confidential and proprietary business information

and is propounded for the improper purpose of gaining competitive advantage in the

marketplace. Stericycle is not the applicant in this proceeding. The characteristics of the

services Stericycle offers to Washington biomedical waste generators are potentially relevant to

this proceeding, and a proper subject of discovery, only to the extent that Washington biomedical

waste generators have expressed a legitimate need for particular services and it is contended that

Stericycle's services do not meet that need. Stericycle objects to this request because it is Waste

Management's burden to present evidence of legitimate generator need and Waste Management

has superior access to information about alleged generator needs and any alleged limitations in

Stericycle's service offerings related to such needs. Stericycle also objects to this request as

overly broad, unreasonably burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of

relevant, admissible evidence because it seeks information concerning services unrelated to an

expressed generator need. Waste Management has not identified any expressed generator need

related to Stericycle's insurance coverage.

DATA REQUEST NO. 58: Do you contend that statewide competition from Waste

Management will have a material impact on you? If so, specifically explain how such

competition will impact you, including, but not limited to, specifically setting forth in precise

dollar amounts the anticipated changes in the cost of, and prices for, your biomedical waste

services.

**RESPONSE**: Stericycle objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad and

unreasonably burdensome. Moreover, Stericycle objects that this request is premature in light of

the deadlines for providing prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony in this proceeding.

Without waiving the foregoing objections, Stericycle may contend that competition from

Waste Management in the territory covered by the application that is the subject of this

proceeding will have an adverse impact on Stericycle's costs and revenues and the service

SECOND DATA REQUESTS PROPOUNDED TO STERICYCLE BY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND STERICYCLE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

offerings available to biomedical waste generators in that territory and the cost thereof to

generators. It is premature to report Stericycle's ongoing analysis and evidence of this

contention in advance of the deadlines for prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony.

**DATA REQUEST NO. 59:** Identify by name, address, employer and job title any

witness expected to testify against Waste Management's application, and provide a summary of

each witness's anticipated testimony. Produce all documents involving or relating to any such

witness and relating to the subject of this proceeding.

**RESPONSE**: Stericycle objects that this request is premature in light of the deadlines for

providing prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony, and for identifying hearing witnesses in this

proceeding. Stericycle has not yet made a final identification of its hearing witnesses.

**DATA REQUEST NO. 60:** For any expert witness identified in response to Data

Request No. 59, produce: (a) a copy of all documents and other materials provided to, received

from, consulted by, or relied on by, that expert; (b) a copy of the expert's resume and/or CV; and

(c) a description of each of the expert witness's opinions, analyses, methodologies, and the facts

on which the expert will rely.

**RESPONSE**: Stericycle objects to this request on the grounds that it is overbroad and

unreasonably burdensome. Moreover, Stericycle objects that this request is premature in light of

the deadlines for providing prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony, and for identifying hearing

witnesses in this proceeding. Stericycle further objects to the request that any expert witness

generate a report. Stericycle has not determined whether it will present testimony from any

expert witness.

DATA REQUEST NO. 61: Produce all documents and other materials which you

intend to offer as evidence in opposition to Waste Management's application.

SECOND DATA REQUESTS PROPOUNDED TO STERICYCLE BY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND STERICYCLE'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

1	Exhibit No (MAW-3)
2	
3	
4	Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	washington offittes and Transportation Commission
6	
7	In the Matter of the Application of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
8	D/B/A WM Healthcare Solutions of Washington  Docket No. TG-120033
9	DOCKCE NO. 10-120033
10	
11	
12	
13	EXHIBIT 2 TO
14	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL WEINSTEIN
15	On behalf of Waste Management of Washington, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	October 1, 2012
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Direct Testimony of Michael Weinstein

П

2008 Amount %	\$ 11,274,561 100.0%	\$ 2,330,323 20.7% \$ 1,206,860 10.7% \$ 120,505 1.1% \$ 219,128 1.9% \$ 4,540,694 40.3% \$ 1,361,824 12.1% \$ 333,887 2.9% \$ 510,635,371 24.3%	\$ 639,190 5.7%	\$ . 0.0%	\$ 639,190 5.7%	<del>0.0%</del> - <del>\$</del>	\$ 639,190 5.7%	7,236	\$ 1,558	\$ 1,470	\$ 1,142,408	\$ 4,648,877 70.2%	\$ 471,351 11.6%	
2007 Amount %	\$ 10,132,153 100.0%	2,030,120 20.0% 1,143,796 11.3% 147,649 1.5% 230,026 2.3% 4,069,343 40.2% 1,260,493 12.4% 256,228 2.5% 256,228 2.5% 4,1336 9,551,991 24.3%	580,162 5.7%	- 0.0%	580,162 5.7%	. 0.0%	580,162 5.7%	6,489	1,561	1,472	970,249	3,506,469 52.2%	143,024 3.6%	1 416 040
2006 int %	100.0%	325 20.6% \$ \$ \$695 14.5% \$ \$ \$828 1.7% \$ \$ \$319 42.9% \$ \$ \$132 4.7% \$ \$ \$132 4.7% \$ \$ \$ \$132 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2.5% \$	\$ %0.0	<u>2.5</u> % \$	\$ %000	2.5% \$	6,263	1,463	1,427	\$ 24%	5,220 38.3%	3,656) \$	
20 20 20 20	100.0% \$ 9,161,904	18.2% \$ 1,885,325 1.4% \$ 1,330,695 1.4% \$ 156,828 46.7% \$ 3,926,319 5.5% \$ 672,388 6.0% \$ 428,132 33.0% \$ 428,132 34.3% \$ 8,935,128	4.9% \$ 226,776	\$ %0.0	4.9% \$ 226,776	\$ 70.0	4.9% \$ 226,776	9	\$ 1,	\$ 1,	\$ 214,938 2.43	\$ 2,536,220	\$ (248,656)	4
<u>2005</u> <u>Amount</u>	\$ 8,946,966	\$ 1,628,421 \$ 1,108,236 \$ 123,074 \$ 4,174,975 \$ 492,878 \$ 534,935 \$ 266,121 \$ 8,510,801	\$ 436,165		\$ 436,165	vs.	\$ 436,165	6,254	\$ 1,431	\$ 1,361	\$ 645,114 2.8%	\$ 2,321,282 35.0%	\$ 202,685 \$.1%	
2004 Amount %	\$ 8,301,852 100.0%	\$ 1,299,251 15.7% \$ 860,556 10.4% \$ 115,088 1.5% \$ 100,29 47.8% \$ 872,742 10.5% \$ 474,486 3.0% \$ 375,144 4.5% \$ 5,7852,889	449,002 5.4%	- 0.0%	449,002 5.4%	- 0.0%	449,002	5,920	1,402	1,326	888,886 9.0%	\$ 1,676,168 25.3%	828,499 26.4%	
%   	100.0%	19.5% \$ 1 8.8% \$ 2.5% \$ 1.6% \$ 41.3% \$ 3.3 9.1% \$ 3.3% \$ 5.00 \$ 3.0% \$ 5.00 \$ 5	0 6.7% \$	\$ %0.0	6.7%	\$ %0.0	\$ 87.79 \$	e]		ام	<b>€!</b> %		o! %!	
2003 <u>Amount</u>	300.0% \$ 7,612,966	17.8% \$ 1,485,392 2.9% \$ 670,593 1.8% \$ 190,913 1.5% \$ 1,43,791 12.3% \$ 695,108 7.7% \$ 271,460 4.12% \$ 57,101,006	<u>21.2%</u> \$ 511,960	\$ %0.0	21.2% \$ 511,960	- \$ %0.0	\$ 511,960	5,889	\$ 1,293	\$ 1,206	\$ (70,414)	\$ 987,282	\$ 792,210 33.2%	100
2002 Amount	\$ 7,683,380 100	\$ 1,370,819 17 \$ 225,876 2 \$ 139,945 11 \$ 112,462 3 \$ 2,351,581 30 \$ 594,274 12 \$ 5,94,188 7 \$ 5,94,188 7 \$ 5,94,188 7	\$ 1,629,448 21		\$ 1,629,448 21		\$ 1,629,448	5,316	\$ 1,445	\$ 1,139	\$ 1,057,696 16.0%	\$ 1,057,696 16.0%	\$ (300,813) -11,3%	
2001 Amount %	\$ 6,625,684 100.0%	\$ 1,402,207 21,2% \$ 323,285 4.9% \$ 116,783 1.8% \$ 125,119 40.0% \$ 948,519 14,3% \$ 921,348 13.9% \$ 921,348 13.9% \$ 6,672,733 100,7%	\$ (47,049) -0.7%	\$ (925,397) -14.0%	\$ (972,446) -14.7%	<u>\$</u>	\$ (972,446) -14.7%	5,368	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,243				
	Revenue	Expenses: Driver Wages Fuel and maintenance Insurance and safety Depreciation Processing Fees Office Salaries and Wages Other Solid Waste expense	Net Operating Income	Other Income and (expense)	Net Income (loss) before FIT	Federal Income Tax	Net Income (loss)	Number of customers	Revenue per customer		Annual Growth in Revenue	Cumulative Growth in Revenue	Annual Growth in Processing fees	

	%1	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%  % <b>1</b>	<b>%</b> 1	%	<b>%</b> .	श्र	×Я							
01-2011 %	100.0%	19.9%	89.6	1.4%	2.0%	41.5%	10.9%	5.1%	3.7% <b>94.1%</b>	5.9%	-0.9%	2.0%	0.3%	4.7%							
Cumulative 2001-2011 Amount %	\$ 107,836,759	21,469,817	10,398,114	1,553,868	2,121,020	44,751,903	11,735,429	5,487,484	\$ 3,988,589 \$ 101,506,224	6,330,535	(925,397)	5,405,138	311,420	5,093,718							
Cumul	\$ 107,1	\$ 21,	\$ 10,	\$ 1,					\$ 3,	\$	s	\$	v,	\$							
	%	%	%1	1.1%	2.2%	%1	%	5.2%	2.7% 3.7%	6.3%	<u>0.0%</u>	<del>6.3</del> %	2.3%	4.0%							
%1	100.0%	20.8%	10.4%			•	-		ON		a								. 11 500	~ \m	- 1 \01
2011 Amount	13,709,428	2,857,235	1,426,097	153,744	295,402	5,628,493	1,402,195	719,638	367,534 12,850,338	859,090	,	859,090	311,420	547,670	7,713	1,777	1,666	1,361,336	7,083,744	(144,513)	2,976,099
Am	\$ 13,	\$ 2,	\$ 1,	φ.	\$	\$ 5,		s	\$ 12,	vs.	100	•	S	s,		S	v.	\$ 1,	\$ 7,	ς.	\$ 2
	쎏	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	<u> 원</u>	%	0.0%	0.4%	<u>0.0%</u>	0.4%							
%	100.0%	21.3%	7.1%	1.2%	2.1%	Ť	-	2.0%	2.9% <b>99.6%</b>	0.4%	3		0.0								
2010 Amount	\$ 12,348,092	2,634,878	875,770	145,679	265,369	5,773,006	1,626,306	613,808	\$ 357,987	55,289		55,289		55,289	7,383	1,673	1,665	308,319 2.6%	5,722,408 86.4%	\$ 1,253,989	\$ 3,120,612
Am	\$ 12,	\$ 2,0	₩.		s	\$ 5.	\$ 1,1	\$	\$ 12,	v	w	55	₩.	ν.		w	w	w	\$ 5.	\$ 1,	3,
%	100.0%	21.1%	10.2%	1.1%	2.1%	37.5%	12.1%	4.8%	2.8% <b>91.8%</b>	8.2%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	8.2%							
2003 II		2,545,846	1,226,350	133,660	250,635	4,519,017	1,458,702	576,354	338,707 049,271	990,502		990,502		990,502	9,466	1,272	1,167	765,212	4,089 81.7%	(21,677) -0.5%	6,623
20 Amount	\$ 12,039,773	\$ 2,54!		\$ 133				\$ 576	\$ 338,707	990	•	86		99			s	\$ 76	\$ 5,414,089 81.7%	\$ (2	\$ 1,866,623 <u>70.4%</u>
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•							,						
																			_	Ş	ng Fees
							S	Se			ense)	e FIT						an e	tevenue	ssing fe	rocessi
			ance	fety			ageW br	e expe		соше	d (exp	s) befor	Тах	<u>م</u>	omers	stomer		in Reve	wth in F	in Proce	wth in F
		ages	mainter	e and sa	tion	g Fees	laries ar	lid Wast		rating Ir	come a	me (los:	ncome	me (los	of cust	per cus		srowth	ive Gro	srowth	ive Gro
	nue	enses: Driver Wages	Fuel and maintenance	nsurance and safety	Depreciation	Processing Fees	Office Salaries and Wages	Other Solid Waste expense	Other	Net Operating Income	Other Income and (expense)	Net Income (loss) before FIT	Federal Income Tax	Net Income (loss)	Number of customers	Revenue per customer		Annual Growth in Revenue	Cumulative Growth in Revenue	Annual Growth in Processing fees	Cumulative Growth in Processing Fees
	Revenue	Expenses:	· iii	=		a.	J	U	J	~	5	2		~	~	Œ		•	Ü	•	J