





































			<p>would be \$417.31 in 2025, not the proposed \$514.5. The per acre rate adjustment is downright criminal - a 150% increase in one year is ridiculous. Not only will that harm consumer pocketbooks, but it will also hurt home values for our homes when buyers begin to steer clear of residences with acreage in our district due to burdensome irrigation charges. As a comparison, KID charges \$710 per acre, which is 17% less. However, going from \$408 to \$856 in one year is way too much of an increase. Using inflation as a meaasure for adjustment from 2020-today, the rate should only increase from \$408 to \$496. For many residences who are sitting on one acre lots, the proposed irrigation bill would be \$1370, up from \$751. If SVWW needs to make up some ground from past years, that's understandable, maybe a 8% increase per year for a few years, but an 84% combines increase in one year is absurd. I am strongly against this unreasonable increase. I believe you will see people start to move out of this area if the district continues down this road. Between taxes and irrigation, it just isn't good for the community.</p>
	Stephanie Amos	Web	<p>We strongly disagree with the proposed rate increase. When we moved in our home 17 years ago we were allowed to water any time we wished, then we were told to water every other day, all while paying the same rate for 50% less watering time. This proposed increase is over 50% of our current rate. Vote NO!</p>
	Cindy powell	Web	<p>I feel the increase is excessive. They tried it a year ago and it was denied. Now they are doubling down. This is beyond ridiculous. Enough is enough. If it were a reasonable increases I would understand.</p>
	Jamie Eder	Web	<p>This increase seems excessive and almost double for irrigation. We continually have low pressure. The increase should be gradual increase like everything else.</p>
	Nicole Hammond	Web	<p>Regarding the SVWW notice of rate increases:</p> <p>Irrigation: their proposed rate increase for base rate is 50%. The per acre proposed increase is 110%. I understand everything costs more these days but these are substantial increases. For comparison, KID charges 710 per acre which is 20% less than the proposed SVWW rate.</p> <p>Domestic water: their proposed monthly base rate increase for 3/4" service implemented over the next 13 months is 29% with a 40% increase on the usage rate over the same time period. For comparison, the city of kennewick charges a base rate of 67.59 per two months (33.80 per month) which is a higher rate for customers outside the city limits (which I used for comparison purposes). Their consumption rate &gt;100 cubic feet is 3.848 (about on par with proposed SVWW proposal). So it looks like the current SVWW base rate is already 30% higher than the current city of kennewick base rate.</p>
	Greg Boals	Web	<p>I just purchased a home in the Summit View district in the last 4 months. Budgeting for utilities is part of my normal process. I had no idea that rates could increase so drastically. I fully understand that rate increases occur and are needed in times of inflation. Having approximately a 27% increase in two years will negatively effect all users significantly. I also know of no infrastructure updates that will have an impact on current users. This is a huge increase that doesn't have justification that has been disclosed to user-customers.</p>
	Anthony	Web	<p>SVWW is trying to increase our irrigation rates by almost 100%! It would cost us about \$1k to water our 1/2</p>

	Smith		acre that only has limited grass. They need to meter irrigation water if they want to charge more.
	Chad D Whiting	Web	The increase price is higher than all other water companies in our area Also it is higher than inflation. This is price gouging they do not do any extra work to make things better at all and all neighbors are really upset and this company should not be able to just willi nilli raise prices this much it's like we are all hostages.
	Gerald Presby	Web	The requested rate increase proposed by this utility is far in excess of reasonable even with the recent high inflation rate. The new rates would be very difficult to afford for us as senior citizens, even when using the very minimum amount of water we do. There are no senior citizen discounts available and we believe an increase of this level is unjustified compared to other regional utilities.
	Katy Isley	Web	Summit View Water Works has done a rate increase of almost double of their already overpriced irrigation/domestic water. We are new to the neighborhood and moved just a short 3miles from our previous neighborhood. We were shocked to receive our first water bill where the rate had already increased double from Badger Mountain Irrigation District. This increase is shocking and seems to be exorbitant.
	emily a turner	Web	The proposed rate increase is extremely high. Definitely oppose this raise in rate.
	Frank J & H. Suzette Sullivan	Web	SVWW has proposed a substantial rate increase for irrigation water. Recognizing that costs of goods and services have steadily raised since the previous increase in 2020, the amount proposed is remarkedly steep. I ask that the commission conduct a thorough review of the actual costs incurred and not just forecasted impacts to the company. A rate increase is not unwarranted; double current costs seem unduly heavy.  Frank Sullivan
	Alicia English	Web	The proposed increase is ridiculous. It more than doubles irrigation costs.
	Ryan	Web	I have been with SVWW since 2016 and have seen the irrigation rates be raised consistently each year almost doubling. My concern is this company will continue raise rates on both domestic water and irrigation. We would be one of the highest in cost per our region if this passes.
	Frank Raine	Web	Above the base fees, many homeowners in our community have pools and ponds which bring in additional revenue to SVWW. This additional revenue does not cost them any more installation, maintenance or service fees. Their request for increase on the domestic and irrigation is more than double.
	Rita Whiting	Web	It's way above others in our area as well as inflation
	Sherry Lynch	Web	The water quality and pressure is HORRIBLE. THE RATES ARE ALREADY TOO HIGH!!
	Kirk Engle	Web	While not opposed to raising rates a little, by comparison, they are already charging more than comparable irrigation and domestic water services from the local city municipal utility. Additionally, the other local utility

			<p>does more pretreatment to the domestic.</p> <p>Again, I'm not opposed to a small increase but the proposed increases, from initial calculations are 50% higher on base irrigation rate with over 100% higher on rate per acre. Domestic appears to be over 40%. Given current inflation, I would be fine with a cap at 10-15% rate increase. Thank you for your time.</p>
	Lance	Web	<p>Re: Increase in domestic and irrigation water services – Summit View Water Works, LLC (SVWW) Kennewick, Wa. 99338</p> <p>I am writing once again to express my concern and disapproval over the recent proposed rate increases to the domestic and irrigation water service in Kennewick, Washington by Summit View Water Works, LLC (SVWW). There was a huge rate proposed not too long ago that I expressed concerned about even back then. Much of what I expressed since the last exorbitant price increase proposed by SVWW still holds true. I have been living in the SVWW district since January of 2016. Since my family's occupancy in 2016, the domestic water base and overage range rates have significantly increased. Irrigation rates have also increase significantly. Since then there has been continuous rate increase almost annually it seems. The increases are usually large such that SVWW has to spread them over many years to not shock the community. Rate increases in 2018 were relatively large even though they were spread out over the 3-year period. They are now proposing a two-year rate increase on domestic services that are in excess of 29% in total. Even more concerning, they want to raise the irrigation prices to almost double in a single year. This is almost a 200% increase from the original prices in 2016 (\$467.00). For what? I just cannot comprehend these huge proposed increases.</p> <p>This time SVWW did not even site the reasons for the increase beside "increases in operating expenses". To my knowledge there has not been increased capacities nor is there ever any transparencies about the significant proposed increases. To be fair I don't have the yearly breakdown to submit here but would encourage the WUTC to look at the rate increases over the last 10 years to evaluate this request for rate increase approval from SVWW.</p> <p>With all this said, I fully understand the rise in cost for most everything coming out of the recent inflation the last few years. I truly get it. The continued increases are troubling along with how high the increases typically are. However, the continued and proposed huge rate increases for domestic and irrigation water, which is part of a budgeted process in many if not all households, has far lasting impacts than the rise of everyday items that can be avoided and mitigated. These costs have a big impact on family's in this community and the significant rise in costs proposed should be looked into for a way to try and help with mitigation and keeping costs as low as possible.</p> <p>Apologies for the later response than intended but these proposed rate increase notices were just sent out 31 July with not much time to respond for busy households.</p> <p>Thank you for the consideration.</p>
	Marc Fouts	Web	<p>Once again it appears Summit View water has decided to get greedy. Proposed rates are excessive when compared to other local utilities.</p>

Attach. 13 - PC Cmt 9/23/2024

Page 19 of 22

			<p>City of Kennewick \$30 compared the Summit view proposed \$92 in 2 years. Kennewick irrigation \$600 per acre, Columbia Irrigation \$500, and Summit View \$855. Over 20% increases for household water and doubling the irrigation!</p> <p>As a retiree on a fixed income these forced increases with no options except to move seem out of line. With Social Security increasing at maybe a 4% rate there is no way I can keep up.</p> <p>Thank you Marc Fouts</p>
	Tony Ashton	Web	This rate hike is excessive and is not comparable to the surrounding area.
	Rovianne Pasicaran	Web	Price increase is too much.
	Donna Taylor	Web	SVWW has tried this outrageous rate increase I really feel they are doing this so they can say we didn't get the increase we asked for on more than one occasion so we need to sell to KID(Kennewick Irrigation District) I do not trust them. When they sold the lots instead of first upgrading the system they came to us to reduce our water usage. This was not due to the water availability this was due to the lack of infrastructure set up so there was no pressure. These people are very successful developers and they are pushing the cost of their future developments onto existing customers that are already established and that is not fair for us. SVWW have senior water rights and are abusing their power for what we call basic human needs. The proposed rate increase would make us the highest rate in our area by a minimum of 30%. Please I beg you to deny this request by SVWW in both water and irrigation for the 50% increases. A look at other local water companies will show that this increase in over reach and greed. Please look into the owners and their future developments you will see they have tried these tactics several times before, pushing the cost of developing future neighborhood onto the existing customers.
	Mark & Marion Halupczok	Web	We are opposed to the increase in both Domestic and Irrigation water rate proposed by Summit View Water Works. We would like to see exactly where the increase cost are generated from. The area served by Summit View Water Works (SVWW) is exploding with development. We the long term home owners should not be responsible for the infrastructure to the new housing developments. We are not aware of any major issues with the water delivery systems that would result in the proposed higher costs. Our irrigation costs are more than doubling under the proposal. Our domestic water would increase almost 30 percent. WHY? We are asked to curb our water usage only to have the rates increase. This doesn't make sense to these homeowners who are on a fixed income. Our feeling is that the New Homeowners and developers need to be paying for the

			increased needs of Summit View Water Works.	Attach. 13 - PC Cmt 9/23/2024 Page 21 of 22
	Pamela Raine	Web	Our neighborhood has had a marked increase in the number of residents contributing to the SVWW. With that consideration, it would seem that the increase in fees to them would cover the expenses they are reporting.	
	Lori Boyd	Web	I'm not opposed to a reasonable increase, but what they are proposing is crazy. I do not support this increase.  All of the Neighboring water districts are currently considerably lower than SVWW.  I do not support this increase.	
	Rachael Davis	Web	The proposal to increase the irrigation water rates are extreme. Nearly doubling the base rate and more that doubling the per acre rate is ridiculous. I will not pay over a thousand dollars annually just to water my lawn! It is completely unreasonable and I would have to reconfigure my landscaping to adjust to these costs. Please do not increase the rates so high!	
	Jeremy W Peck	Web	It is ridiculous that the company proposes over a 100% increase in per acre irrigation rate. We received a substantial increase in 2019 and 2020. I understand the need for a potential increase but a more reasonable increase eg 5-10% would be much more palatable. Thank you.	
	Rebecca Hammons	Web	I oppose the proposed rate increase.	
	Randy Brockman	Web	I believe the rate increase proposal for Summit View Water Works is considerably higher than other Utilities in our Area. Their current rates are already higher than any Utility in the area. They are also charging for acreage that is not irrigable.	
	Curtis D Docken Jr	Web	This rate increase is WAY too high! I understand prices and labor have risen in recent years but this percentage of increase is way out of line with those increases. If it were a 10% increase I would probably be a little upset but this increase is ridiculous and way out of line. I am against this proposal.	
	Katie Beck	Web	This increase would make our water 30% higher than those around us. It is also a 50% raise in cost	
	Steve Worley	Web	Doubling the annual fee for irrigation water is outrageous. I understand the need to raise rates occasionally, but double is way too much. Please deny their request and limit the increase to something more reasonable. Thank you.	
	Robert R Fox	Web	SVWW although a decent and reliable irrigation service company is not entitled to a rate increase. Especially a 66% increase. That's absurd. Last time (less than a year ago) they wanted to jack up rates like this because they said postage stamps and US mail costs were to blame. Now they're stating operating costs "have gone up". They're just jumping on the band wagon. Show me the actual documentation supporting these increases. Listen folks, SVWW is able to pay their operating costs and overhead just fine. The owner, manager etc are doing good enough. Whether or not they provide a way to get water on our trees, shrubs and grass from the rivers or from the sky, it's God's water. Quit trying to get rich SVWW on a resource we people all have a right too. Quit trying to fleece your customers every year.	

	Chris Herman	Web	I am not in favor of that large of rate hike.
	Kyle Gray	Web	These proposed rates hikes are double compared to what surrounding areas pay. This is absurd. This should be struck down immediately.
	Cathie Harpe	Web	<p>I understand costs for everything have gone up which would also presumably affect costs associated with operation of the SVWW domestic and irrigation water systems. However, the SVWW rate increase proposals seem excessive and SVWW has provided absolutely no explanation as to why these increases are necessary. Below is some background information for comparison.</p> <p>Irrigation: their proposed rate increase for base rate is 50%. The per acre proposed increase is 110%. For comparison, KID charges \$710 per acre which is 20% less than the proposed SVWW rate.</p> <p>Domestic water: their proposed monthly base rate increase for 3/4" service implemented over the next 13 months is 29% with a 40% increase on the usage rate over the same time period. For comparison, the city of kennewick charges a base rate of 67.59 per two months (33.80 per month) which is a higher rate for customers outside the city limits (which I used for comparison purposes). Their consumption rate &gt;100 cubic feet is 3.848 (about on par with proposed SVWW proposal). So it looks like the current SVWW base rate is already 30% higher than the current city of kennewick base rate.</p> <p>I don't believe significant cost increases such as these should be approved.</p>
	William Dramis	Web	<p>Passing your costs on to existing customers is socialism</p> <p>I do not support this extreme price hike</p>
	Katy Isley	Web	Summit View Water Works has done a rate increase of almost double of their already overpriced irrigation/domestic water. We are new to the neighborhood and moved just a short 3miles from our previous neighborhood. We were shocked to receive our first water bill where the rate had already increased double from Badger Mountain Irrigation District. This increase is shocking and seems to be exorbitant.
	Samantha Gray	Web	These new rates are very substantial and will significantly increase the amount we are paying per month. The irrigation rate alone will more than double for the per acre rate. We are already paying more than in people do in town and for some people this will break them. Please take into consideration the economy and the already skyrocketing costs homeowners are having to pay.